



# JUDICIAL COUNCIL OF CALIFORNIA

JUDICIAL BRANCH BUDGET  
COMMITTEE

## JUDICIAL BRANCH BUDGET COMMITTEE

**MATERIALS JUNE 1, 2022**

### Meeting Contents

|  |    |
|--|----|
| <b>Agenda</b> .....  | 1  |
| <b>Minutes</b>   |    |
| Draft Minutes from the May 18, 2022 Meeting .....  | 4  |
| <b>Discussion and Possible Action Items (1-5)</b>  |    |
| Item 1– 2022-23 Court-Appointed Dependency Counsel Allocations .....   | 7  |
| Attachment 1A – Recommended 2022-23 Court-Appointed Dependency Counsel<br>Allocations .....  | 12 |
| Attachment 1B – Impact of Recommendation on Court Allocations .....  | 13 |
| Item 2 – Allocations from the State Trial Court Improvement and Modernization Fund (IMF) for<br>2022-23 .....  | 14 |
| Attachment 2A – Judicial Council of California Approved 2021-22 and Propose 2022-<br>23 Allocations from the IMF- State Operations and Local Assistance<br>Appropriations..... | 19 |
| Attachment 2B – IMF Summary of Programs .....  | 20 |
| Attachment 2C – IMF Fund Condition Statement .....   | 22 |
| Item 3 – Allocations from the TCTF and Trial Court Allocations for 2022-23 .....   | 23 |
| Attachment 3A – Judicial Council Approved 2021-22 and Proposed 2022-23 State<br>Operations and Local Assistance Allocations from the TCTF .....                                | 29 |
| Attachment 3B – 2022-23 TCTF Recommended Preliminary Allocation .....  | 30 |
| Attachment 3C– 2022-23 Workload Formula Allocation .....   | 32 |
| Attachment 3D – TCTF Fund Condition Statement .....  | 35 |
| Item 4 – 2022-23 Pretrial Allocations and Funding Floor .....  | 36 |
| Attachment 4A – Recommended 2022-23 Pretrial Release Ongoing Allocations .....   | 39 |
| Item 5 – Workload Formula Impact from Remote Appearance Fee Revenue .....  | 41 |



# JUDICIAL COUNCIL OF CALIFORNIA

---

## JUDICIAL BRANCH BUDGET COMMITTEE

### **Information Only Items (No Action Required)**

|  |    |
|--|----|
| Info 1 – 2022-23 Self-Help Annual Update .....                 | 44 |
| Info 2 – TCTF Funds Held on Behalf Expenditure Reporting ..... | 48 |



# JUDICIAL COUNCIL OF CALIFORNIA

JUDICIAL BRANCH BUDGET  
COMMITTEE

[www.courts.ca.gov/jbbc.htm](http://www.courts.ca.gov/jbbc.htm)  
[JBBC@jud.ca.gov](mailto:JBBC@jud.ca.gov)

Request for ADA accommodations  
should be made at least three business  
days before the meeting and directed to:  
[JCCAccessCoordinator@jud.ca.gov](mailto:JCCAccessCoordinator@jud.ca.gov)

## JUDICIAL BRANCH BUDGET COMMITTEE

### NOTICE AND AGENDA OF OPEN MEETING

Open to the Public (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 10.75(c)(1) and (e)(1))

THIS MEETING IS BEING CONDUCTED BY ELECTRONIC MEANS

THIS MEETING IS BEING RECORDED

---

**Date:** June 1, 2022  
**Time:** 8:00 a.m. to 10:30 a.m.  
**Public Videocast:** <https://jcc.granicus.com/player/event/1800>

---

Meeting materials will be posted on the advisory body web page on the California Courts website at least three business days before the meeting.

Members of the public seeking to make an audio recording of the meeting must submit a written request at least two business days before the meeting. Requests can be e-mailed to [JBBC@jud.ca.gov](mailto:JBBC@jud.ca.gov).

Agenda items are numbered for identification purposes only and will not necessarily be considered in the indicated order.

---

#### I. OPEN MEETING (CAL. RULES OF COURT, RULE 10.75(C)(1))

---

##### **Call to Order and Roll Call**

##### **Approval of Minutes**

Approve minutes of the May 18, 2022, Judicial Branch Budget Committee meeting.

---

#### II. PUBLIC COMMENT (CAL. RULES OF COURT, RULE 10.75(K)(1))

---

This meeting will be conducted by electronic means with a listen only conference line available for the public. As such, the public may submit comments for this meeting only in writing. In accordance with California Rules of Court, rule 10.75(k)(1), written comments pertaining to any agenda item of a regularly noticed open meeting can be submitted up to one complete business day before the meeting. For this specific meeting, comments should be e-mailed to [JBBC@jud.ca.gov](mailto:JBBC@jud.ca.gov) attention: Angela Cowan. Only written comments received by 8:00 a.m. on Tuesday, May 31, 2022, will be provided to advisory body members prior to the start of the meeting.

**III. DISCUSSION AND POSSIBLE ACTION ITEMS (ITEMS 1 - 5)**

---

**Item 1**

**2022-23 Court-Appointed Dependency Counsel Allocations (Action Required)**

Consideration of the 2022-23 allocations from the Trial Court Trust Fund (TCTF) for court-appointed dependency counsel.

Presenter(s)/Facilitator(s): Hon. Jonathan B. Conklin, Chair, Trial Court Budget Advisory Committee

Mr. Don Will, Deputy Director, Judicial Council Center for Families, Children & the Courts

Ms. Audrey Fancy, Principal Managing Attorney, Judicial Council Center for Families, Children & the Courts

**Item 2**

**Allocations from the State Trial Court Improvement and Modernization Fund (IMF) for 2022-23 (Action Required)**

Consideration of recommendations of the Revenue and Expenditure (R&E) Subcommittee regarding allocations from the IMF for 2022-23.

Presenter(s)/Facilitator(s): Hon. Jonathan B. Conklin, Chair, Trial Court Budget Advisory Committee

Ms. Shirley Mohammed, Analyst, Judicial Council Budget Services

**Item 3**

**Allocations from the TCTF and Trial Court Allocations for 2022-23 (Action Required)**

Consideration of recommendations of the R&E Subcommittee and the Funding Methodology Subcommittee regarding allocations from the TCTF for 2022-23, and consideration of 2022-23 trial court allocations, including proposed funding, interpreter funding, and the Workload Formula from the TCTF, State Court Facilities Construction Fund, and General Fund.

Presenter(s)/Facilitator(s): Hon. Jonathan B. Conklin, Chair, Trial Court Budget Advisory Committee

Ms. Oksana Tuk, Senior Analyst, Judicial Council Budget Services

**Item 4**

**2022-23 Pretrial Allocations and Funding Floor (Action Required)**

Consideration of 2022-23 pretrial allocations and funding floor adjustment.

Presenter(s)/Facilitator(s): Hon. Jonathan B. Conklin, Chair, Trial Court Budget Advisory Committee  
Ms. Martha Wright, Manager, Judicial Council Criminal Justice Services

**Item 5**

**Workload Formula Impact from Remote Appearance Fee Revenue (Action Required)**

Consideration of the impact to the Workload Formula from fees imposed under Government Code section 70630.

Presenter(s)/Facilitator(s): Hon. Jonathan B. Conklin, Chair, Trial Court Budget Advisory Committee  
Ms. Oksana Tuk, Senior Analyst, Judicial Council Budget Services

---

**IV. INFORMATION ONLY ITEMS (NO ACTION REQUIRED)**

---

**Item 1**

**2022-23 Self-Help Annual Update**

Annual informational update of the three-year average population data from the California Department of Finance, Demographic Research Unit, and population estimates for cities and counties and the state.

Presenter(s)/Facilitator(s): Hon. Jonathan B. Conklin, Chair, Trial Court Budget Advisory Committee  
Mr. Nick Armstrong, Senior Research Analyst, Judicial Council Business Management Services

**Item 2**

**TCTF Funds Held on Behalf Expenditure Reporting**

Quarterly report to the TCBAC on how funds were expended for projects and planned expenditures that are complete.

Presenter(s)/Facilitator(s): Hon. Jonathan B. Conklin, Chair, Trial Court Budget Advisory Committee  
Mr. Catrayel Wood, Senior Analyst, Judicial Council Budget Services

---

**V. ADJOURNMENT**

---

**Adjourn**



# JUDICIAL COUNCIL OF CALIFORNIA

JUDICIAL BRANCH BUDGET  
COMMITTEE

[www.courts.ca.gov/jbbc.htm](http://www.courts.ca.gov/jbbc.htm)  
[JBBC@jud.ca.gov](mailto:JBBC@jud.ca.gov)

## JUDICIAL BRANCH BUDGET COMMITTEE

### MINUTES OF OPEN MEETING

May 18, 2022

9:00 a.m. to 12:00 p.m.

<http://icc.granicus.com/player/event/1766>

**Advisory Body Members Present:** Hon. David. M. Rubin, Chair; Hon. Ann Moorman, Vice Chair; Hon. C. Todd Bottke, Hon. Carin T. Fujisaki, Hon. Harold W. Hopp; Mr. Kevin Harrigan

**Advisory Body Members Absent:** Hon. Brad R. Hill

**Others Present:** Mr. John Wordlaw, Mr. Zlatko Theodorovic, Ms. Fran Mueller; Hon. Jonathan Conklin, Ms. Rebecca Fleming, Ms. Angela Cowan, Ms. Karene Alvarado, Ms. Francine Byrne, Mr. Jagan Singh, Ms. Heather Petit, Ms. Laura Speed, Mr. Don Will, Mr. Eric Schnurpfeil, Mr. John Larson, Ms. Bonnie Hough, Ms. Brandy Olivera.

#### OPEN MEETING

##### Call to Order and Roll Call

The chair called the meeting to order at 9:01 a.m. and took roll call.

##### Approval of Minutes

The advisory body reviewed and approved the minutes from the April 6, 2022, Judicial Branch Budget Committee (Budget Committee) meeting.

#### INFORMATION ONLY ITEMS (NO ACTION REQUIRED - ITEM 1-1)

##### Info 1 - 2022-23 May Revision Budget Update

Update on the 2022-23 May Revision to the Governor's Budget

Presenter(s)/Facilitator(s): Mr. John Wordlaw, Chief Administrative Officer, Judicial Council

**Action:** No action taken

#### DISCUSSION AND POSSIBLE ACTION ITEMS (ITEM 1-1)

##### Item 1 – 2023-24 Budget Change Concepts (Action Required)

Review of 2023-24 Budget Change Concepts.

Presenter(s)/Facilitator(s): Hon. David M. Rubin, Chair, Judicial Branch Budget Committee

**Action:** *The Budget Committee unanimously voted to approve a recommendation to the Judicial Council, for its July 14-15 business meeting, that the following budget change proposal concepts (except 23-09) be developed into budget change proposals for submission to the State Department of Finance in September 2022. The Budget Committee also voted to approve, with one abstention, a recommendation to the Judicial Council for its July 14-15 business meeting, that concept 23-09 be developed into a budget change proposal for submission to the State Department of Finance in September 2022. The approval of these requests was made with the following provisions:*

A. The following groups of concepts are approved and shall be combined into one funding request:

- a. 23-01 CJER Web Media Producer  
23-02 CJER Video Media Producer
- b. 23-05 Trial Court and Court of Appeal Deferred Maintenance  
23-06 Water Conservation and leak Detection Measures in Courthouses  
23-07 Facility Modification Prioritization and Costs  
23-08 New Trial Court Facility Operations and Maintenance
- c. 23-21 Language Access Efforts in the California Courts  
23-22 Increase Expenditure Authority for Court Interpreters' Fund (0327)

B. The following concepts are approved and shall remain stand alone:

- a. 23-03 Collaborative Justice Court Program: Trial Court and Statewide Administrative Support
- b. 23-04 Maintaining a Sufficient Pool of Competency to State Trial Court Evaluators
- c. 23-10 Trial Court Capital Outlay Funding: 2023-24 through 2026-27
- d. 23-16 Proposition 66 Costs in the Courts of Appeal
- e. 23-18 HCRC Case Team Staffing and Establishment of Los Angeles Office
- f. 23-19 Self Help Centers – Expanding In-Person Remote, and On-Line Services
- g. 23-20 Legal Support for Court Rules and User-Friendly Forms

C. The following concepts are approved with changes as noted:

- a. 23-14 Annual Automatic Inflationary Adjustment for Trial Courts (Consumer Price Index) and Catch Up – Approved at an estimated \$83 million in 2023-24 and with Consumer Price Index adjustment calculated ongoing as an automatic adjustment. Do not approve retroactive (catch up) request.
- b. 23-15 Trial Court Civil Assessment Backfill - Approved as is or with a revised figure based on the outcome of the 2022-23 budget process.
- c. 23-17 Appellate Court Security - Approved with fund source as Appellate Court Trust Fund instead of General Fund.

D. The following concepts are conditionally approved, provided they are not included in the 2022-23 budget:

- a. 23-09 San Diego Hall of Justice Facilities Modernization
- b. 23-11 Branchwide IT Modernization

---

**A D J O U R N M E N T**

---

There being no further business, the meeting was adjourned at 10:58 a.m.

Approved by the advisory body on enter date



JUDICIAL COUNCIL OF CALIFORNIA  
BUDGET SERVICES  
Report to the Judicial Branch Budget Committee  
(Action Item)

**Title:** 2022-23 Court-Appointed Dependency Counsel Allocations

**Date:** 5/13/2022

**Contact:** Kelly Meehleib, Supervising Analyst, Judicial Council Center for Families, Children & the Courts  
[kelly.meehleib@jud.ca.gov](mailto:kelly.meehleib@jud.ca.gov) | 916-263-1693  
Vida Terry, Senior Analyst, Judicial Council Center for Families, Children & the Courts  
[vida.terry@jud.ca.gov](mailto:vida.terry@jud.ca.gov) | 415-865-7721

---

**Issue**

Consideration of three Trial Court Budget Advisory Committee (TCBAC) recommendations: (1) amend the court-appointed dependency counsel funding allocation methodology as it relates to small court funding adjustments; (2) clarify the court-appointed dependency counsel funding allocation methodology as it relates to the survey of entry-level county counsel; and (3) approve the 2022-23 funding allocations for consideration by the Judicial Council at its July 14-15, 2022 business meeting.

The allocations may change based on final appropriations included in the final 2022 Budget Act.

**Background**

**Court-Appointed Dependency Counsel and Allocations**

Court-appointed dependency counsel became a state fiscal responsibility in 1989 through the Brown-Presley Trial Court Funding Act (SB 612/AB 1197; Stats. 1988, ch. 945). The Act added section 77003 to the Government Code, defined “court operations” in that section as including court-appointed dependency counsel, and made an appropriation to fund trial court operations. In 1997, the Lockyer-Isenberg Trial Court Funding Act (AB 233; Stats. 1997, ch. 850) provided the funding for, and delineated the parameters of, the transition to state trial court funding that had been outlined in the earlier legislation.

In 2015, the council approved recommendations of the TCBAC to reallocate funding for court-appointed dependency counsel among the trial courts based on a caseload funding model in an effort to provide a more equitable allocation of funding among the courts. Rather than using historical funding levels dating back to the adoption of state trial court funding, the new funding

JUDICIAL COUNCIL OF CALIFORNIA  
BUDGET SERVICES  
Report to the Judicial Branch Budget Committee  
(Action Item)

methodology is based on the caseload-based calculation of funding for each court provided by the workload model previously approved by the council in October 2007.<sup>1</sup>

Another recommendation approved by the council at this time was that a joint subcommittee of the TCBCAC and the Family and Juvenile Law Advisory Committee be formed to review that workload model for possible updates and revisions. After a year of research and analysis, the methodology recommended by this joint subcommittee was approved by the council.<sup>2</sup>

Based on discussion at the April and June 2016 council meetings, in July 2016, the council directed the Executive and Planning Committee to form a working group to consider changes to the court-appointed juvenile dependency counsel funding methodology as it relates to small courts.

The working group determined that changes were justified in light of the unique costs faced by small courts. It recommended that the funding methodology be modified for 2017-18 and 2018-19 to suspend reallocation-related budget reductions for the smallest courts with caseloads under 200, adjust the local economic index for the small courts with dependency caseloads under 400, and slightly reduce the funding allocations of the larger courts receiving increases related to the reallocation to compensate for these increases to the small court budget.<sup>3</sup>

The council adopted the modified funding methodology for small courts in May 2017 for 2017-18 and 2018-19, and as ongoing effective July 1, 2019.<sup>4</sup> Based on current workload and filing information, 31 courts remain in the small court category; however, caseload changes have resulted in only 24 courts meeting the “smallest” court criteria.

### **Proposed Small Court Funding Offset Methodology**

The council’s allocation methodology for dependency counsel includes several adjustments to ensure that small courts have adequate allocations to meet their needs. The cost of these adjustments requires a transfer of approximately \$1 million from the larger courts to the small court allocations. The current methodology specifies that this offset be provided by reducing the budgets of larger courts receiving increases. In 2021-22, the council received a one-time funding

---

<sup>1</sup> Judicial Council of Cal., *Juvenile Dependency: Court-Appointed-Counsel Funding Reallocation* (April 17, 2015), [www.courts.ca.gov/documents/jc-20150417-itemI.pdf](http://www.courts.ca.gov/documents/jc-20150417-itemI.pdf).

<sup>2</sup> Judicial Council of Cal., *Juvenile Dependency: Court-Appointed Dependency Counsel Workload and Funding Methodology* (April 15, 2016), <https://jcc.legistar.com/View.ashx?M=F&ID=4382676&GUID=E8BCCA8A-5DED-48C3-B946-6E21EBB0BEAF>.

<sup>3</sup> Judicial Council of Cal., *Juvenile Dependency: Small Court Dependency Workload Working Group Final Recommendations* (May 19, 2017), <https://jcc.legistar.com/View.ashx?M=F&ID=5150554&GUID=7D8E5F4F-6D83-4C73-A246-4F11E877A411>.

<sup>4</sup> Judicial Council of Cal., *Juvenile Law: Court Appointed Juvenile Dependency Counsel Funding Methodology for Small Courts* (January 15, 2019), <https://jcc.legistar.com/View.ashx?M=F&ID=6913216&GUID=4DEB6A82-B007-46D8-9885-8D11D907DBF5>.

JUDICIAL COUNCIL OF CALIFORNIA  
BUDGET SERVICES  
Report to the Judicial Branch Budget Committee  
(Action Item)

augmentation of \$10 million for COVID-related expenses in dependency counsel.<sup>5</sup> As a result, in 2022-23, almost all large courts will be receiving allocation decreases, and those few courts receiving an increase would be heavily impacted by the small court adjustments. Since the “reallocation” referenced in the January 2019 report is completed, and all courts are currently funded at the same percentage of need, the TCBAC recommends that the current methodology be revised so that funding for all large courts be adjusted to offset the costs for small court funding. The TCBAC recommends that the council make this change even if additional funding is provided in the enacted 2022 Budget Act, since the current methodology is based on offsetting the impact of a statewide reallocation which is now completed.

**Proposed Clarification to County Counsel Survey Updates used in Methodology**

The council’s allocation methodology includes this element used for calculating local and statewide funding need:

That attorney salaries used in the model be updated for each county using the statewide median county counsel salary and the Bureau of Labor Statistics (BLS) Category 92 index. [Judicial Council of Cal., *Juvenile Dependency: Court-Appointed Dependency Counsel Workload and Funding Methodology* (April 15, 2016) Recommendation 2, page 2.]]

The method used for the county counsel survey is described in the rationale section as:

The subcommittee determined that two data sources should be used: current county counsel salaries at the median of the first two salary ranges reported by counties, and the Bureau of Labor Statistics current index. County counsel represent the child welfare department in dependency proceedings and are roughly parallel in skills and experience to court-appointed dependency counsel. County counsel salary information is publicly available and can be used to update the workload model on a regular basis. (page 8).

However, the update cycle was not specified in the report and the salary survey has not been updated since the 2016 report. Updating the survey will result in a county counsel median statewide salary figure that will increase the total funding need reported to the council each year. It will not increase the amount allocated to courts, which is dependent on the funding provided in the Budget Act every year. The median salary is used in conjunction with the Bureau of Labor Statistics index to estimate a statewide need for dependency counsel funding.

The TCBAC recommends that the methodology be clarified to specify that the county counsel median salary be updated on an annual basis.

---

<sup>5</sup> The 2021 Budget Act augmented the annual court-appointed juvenile dependency counsel \$156.7 million budget to include \$10 million in additional funding for Court-Appointed Counsel to address COVID-19 pandemic-related operational and caseload increase costs, bringing the Court-Appointed Counsel allocation to \$166.7 million; [https://leginfo.legislature.ca.gov/faces/billNavClient.xhtml?bill\\_id=202120220SB129](https://leginfo.legislature.ca.gov/faces/billNavClient.xhtml?bill_id=202120220SB129).

JUDICIAL COUNCIL OF CALIFORNIA  
BUDGET SERVICES  
Report to the Judicial Branch Budget Committee  
(Action Item)

**Update on Court-Appointed Dependency Counsel Funding for 2021-22 (Informational)**

In March 2022, the council approved the allocation of \$1,543,180 in Family First Prevention Services Act augmentation funding to eligible courts, contingent upon actual receipt of the funding,<sup>6</sup> to support legal activities by attorneys representing children and their parents at a new juvenile court hearing. At this hearing, the court will approve or disapprove any new placement of a child or nonminor dependent in a short-term residential therapeutic program held under AB 153.<sup>7</sup>

In consultation with the California Department of Social Services, council staff have determined that this grant will need to be administered as a federal Title IV-E match program. Similar to the existing Federally Funded Dependency Representation Program<sup>8</sup> (FFDRP), program implementation requires a lengthy sequence of steps and approvals before this funding can be made available to the courts. The funding will be incorporated into the council's interbranch agreement with the California Department of Social Services for 2022-23 and courts and dependency counsel providers will have access to these funds in 2022-23 and ongoing.

While the new funding is being implemented, courts and dependency providers can recover costs for the new hearing under the court-appointed counsel general fund allocation to the courts and the FFDRP program. In 2022-23, the new funds will add additional funds to the Title IV-E reimbursement program to defray the costs of these hearings.

**Alternatives Considered**

The TCBC considered two alternatives to recommendation (1):

1. *Utilize the current methodology, using 2021-22 allocations at the \$156.7 million funding level.*

This alternative requires a calculation that nets out the 2021-22 one-time allocation of \$10 million. This alternative does not address the issue that the current methodology refers to increases based on the statewide reallocation of funds. Now that the reallocation is complete, all large courts are funded at the same percentage of need and a pro-rated share of the small court transfer of funding has the same relative impact on all large courts.

---

<sup>6</sup> Judicial Council of Cal., *Juvenile Law: 2021–22 Allocations for Dependency Counsel Program, Expected Unspent Program Funding, and Family First Prevention Services Act Funding* (February 22, 2022), <https://jcc.legistar.com/View.ashx?M=F&ID=10544371&GUID=5B28EB35-9464-4A92-8B00-6D5DE8EF2578>

<sup>7</sup> Assembly Bill 153 (Committee on Budget; Stats. 2021, ch. 86), accessible at [https://leginfo.legislature.ca.gov/faces/billTextClient.xhtml?bill\\_id=20210220AB153](https://leginfo.legislature.ca.gov/faces/billTextClient.xhtml?bill_id=20210220AB153).

<sup>8</sup> Judicial Council of Cal., *Juvenile Law: Federally Funded Dependency Representation Program* (September 3, 2020), <https://jcc.legistar.com/View.ashx?M=F&ID=8766467&GUID=17E19209-5AA7-4382-B7A7-257AAEE206F2>.

JUDICIAL COUNCIL OF CALIFORNIA  
BUDGET SERVICES  
Report to the Judicial Branch Budget Committee  
(Action Item)

2. *Utilize the current methodology.*

In 2021-22, the council received a one-time funding augmentation of \$10 million for COVID-related expenses for dependency counsel. Utilizing the current methodology would result in almost all large courts receiving allocation decreases, and the few courts receiving an increase carrying disproportionate reductions to fund the small court transfer.

**Recommendation**

It is recommended that the Judicial Branch Budget Committee approve the three TCBAC recommendations for Court Appointed Dependency Counsel methodology and funding for consideration by the Judicial Council at its July 14-15, 2022 business meeting:

1. Revising the current court-appointed dependency counsel funding allocation methodology to specify that the cost of the small court adjustment be funded by a pro-rata adjustment to the funding allocations of all courts not falling under the definition of “small court” in this methodology;
2. Specifying that the county counsel median salary survey be updated on an annual basis beginning in 2022-23 as shown in Attachment 1A, Column C; and
3. 2022-23 funding allocations based on the recommended amended methodology.

**Attachments**

**Attachment 1A:** Recommended 2022-23 Court-Appointed Dependency Counsel Allocations

**Attachment 1B:** Impact of Recommendation on Court Allocations



## 2022-23 Impact of Recommendation on Court Allocations

| Court           | Caseload Funding Model Estimated Funding Need Current Year 22-23 | Allocation without Small Court Adjustment Current Year 22-23 | Small Court Adjustment Current Year 22-23 | Current Methodology Courts funding adjustment | Current Methodology 2022-23 Allocation | Recommended Methodology Courts funding adjustment | Recommended Methodology 2022-23 Allocation |
|-----------------|--|--|---|---|--|---|--|
|                 | A  | B  | C   | D   | E                                      | F   | G  |
| Alameda         | \$4,165,251  | \$3,234,465  | \$0                                       | \$0   | \$3,234,465                            | -\$21,497   | \$3,212,968                                |
| Alpine          | \$17,400   | \$13,512   | \$6,338                                   |   | \$19,850                               |   | \$19,850                                   |
| Amador          | \$155,965  | \$121,112  | \$3,337                                   |   | \$124,450                              |   | \$124,450                                  |
| Butte           | \$1,004,737  | \$780,214  | \$0                                       | \$0   | \$780,214                              | -\$5,185  | \$775,028                                  |
| Calaveras       | \$172,781  | \$134,170  | \$27,118                                  |   | \$161,288                              |   | \$161,288                                  |
| Colusa          | \$93,171   | \$72,351   | \$26,713                                  |   | \$99,064                               |   | \$99,064                                   |
| Contra Costa    | \$2,980,842  | \$2,314,730  | \$0                                       | \$0   | \$2,314,730                            | -\$15,384   | \$2,299,345                                |
| Del Norte       | \$200,553  | \$155,737  | \$58,994                                  |   | \$214,730                              |   | \$214,730                                  |
| El Dorado       | \$597,947  | \$464,327  | \$0                                       |   | \$464,327                              |   | \$464,327                                  |
| Fresno          | \$4,840,683  | \$3,758,962  | \$0                                       | -\$274,415                                    | \$3,484,547                            | -\$24,983   | \$3,733,979                                |
| Glenn           | \$133,326  | \$103,532  | \$42,911                                  |   | \$146,444                              |   | \$146,444                                  |
| Humboldt        | \$844,588  | \$655,853  | \$0                                       | \$0   | \$655,853                              | -\$4,359  | \$651,494                                  |
| Imperial        | \$739,360  | \$574,139  | \$0                                       | \$0   | \$574,139                              | -\$3,816  | \$570,323                                  |
| Inyo            | \$36,120   | \$28,049   | \$18,304                                  |   | \$46,352                               |   | \$46,352                                   |
| Kern            | \$3,522,727  | \$2,735,523  | \$0                                       | \$0   | \$2,735,523                            | -\$18,181   | \$2,717,342                                |
| Kings           | \$858,302  | \$666,502  | \$0                                       | \$0   | \$666,502                              | -\$4,430  | \$662,072                                  |
| Lake            | \$173,416  | \$134,664  | \$101,475                                 |   | \$236,139                              |   | \$236,139                                  |
| Lassen          | \$131,299  | \$101,958  | \$27,132                                  |   | \$129,091                              |   | \$129,091                                  |
| Los Angeles     | \$100,814,675  | \$78,286,168   | \$0                                       | \$0   | \$78,286,168                           | -\$520,303  | \$77,765,865                               |
| Madera          | \$791,199  | \$614,394  | \$52,082                                  |   | \$666,476                              |   | \$666,476                                  |
| Marin           | \$289,720  | \$224,978  | \$59,754                                  |   | \$284,732                              |   | \$284,732                                  |
| Mariposa        | \$73,336   | \$56,948   | \$7,029                                   |   | \$63,977                               |   | \$63,977                                   |
| Mendocino       | \$521,132  | \$404,677  | \$106,347                                 |   | \$511,024                              |   | \$511,024                                  |
| Merced          | \$1,118,760  | \$868,757  | \$0                                       | \$0   | \$868,757                              | -\$5,774  | \$862,983                                  |
| Modoc           | \$37,755   | \$29,318   | \$21,938                                  |   | \$51,256                               |   | \$51,256                                   |
| Mono            | \$21,416   | \$16,631   | \$1,738                                   |   | \$18,368                               |   | \$18,368                                   |
| Monterey        | \$724,678  | \$562,738  | \$7,367                                   |   | \$570,105                              |   | \$570,105                                  |
| Napa            | \$486,138  | \$377,504  | \$0                                       |   | \$377,504                              |   | \$377,504                                  |
| Nevada          | \$185,860  | \$144,327  | \$39,930                                  |   | \$184,256                              |   | \$184,256                                  |
| Orange          | \$9,499,539  | \$7,376,729  | \$0                                       | \$0   | \$7,376,729                            | -\$49,027   | \$7,327,702                                |
| Placer          | \$704,457  | \$547,036  | \$5,423                                   |   | \$552,459                              |   | \$552,459                                  |
| Plumas          | \$106,378  | \$82,606   | \$69,852                                  |   | \$152,458                              |   | \$152,458                                  |
| Riverside       | \$10,048,073   | \$7,802,685  | \$0                                       | -\$569,617                                    | \$7,233,067                            | -\$51,858   | \$7,750,827                                |
| Sacramento      | \$5,522,714  | \$4,288,583  | \$0                                       | \$0   | \$4,288,583                            | -\$28,503   | \$4,260,080                                |
| San Benito      | \$111,691  | \$86,732   | \$2,431                                   |   | \$89,163                               |   | \$89,163                                   |
| San Bernardino  | \$16,076,265   | \$12,483,789   | \$0                                       | \$0   | \$12,483,789                           | -\$82,969   | \$12,400,820                               |
| San Diego       | \$6,647,256  | \$5,161,830  | \$0                                       | \$0   | \$5,161,830                            | -\$34,306   | \$5,127,523                                |
| San Francisco   | \$3,153,096  | \$2,448,491  | \$0                                       | \$0   | \$2,448,491                            | -\$16,273   | \$2,432,218                                |
| San Joaquin     | \$3,131,249  | \$2,431,526  | \$0                                       | \$0   | \$2,431,526                            | -\$16,160   | \$2,415,366                                |
| San Luis Obispo | \$873,531  | \$678,327  | \$0                                       | \$0   | \$678,327                              | -\$4,508  | \$673,819                                  |
| San Mateo       | \$896,472  | \$696,143  | \$0                                       |   | \$696,143                              |   | \$696,143                                  |
| Santa Barbara   | \$1,427,914  | \$1,108,826  | \$0                                       | -\$80,947                                     | \$1,027,879                            | -\$7,369  | \$1,101,457                                |
| Santa Clara     | \$3,977,233  | \$3,088,462  | \$0                                       | \$0   | \$3,088,462                            | -\$20,526   | \$3,067,936                                |
| Santa Cruz      | \$544,979  | \$423,195  | \$578                                     |   | \$423,773                              |   | \$423,773                                  |
| Shasta          | \$817,032  | \$634,454  | \$0                                       | \$0   | \$634,454                              | -\$4,217  | \$630,238                                  |
| Sierra          | \$0  | \$0  | \$22,459                                  |   | \$22,459                               |   | \$22,459                                   |
| Siskiyou        | \$173,714  | \$134,895  | \$110,478                                 |   | \$245,373                              |   | \$245,373                                  |
| Solano          | \$1,241,671  | \$964,202  | \$0                                       | -\$70,389                                     | \$893,813                              | -\$6,408  | \$957,794                                  |
| Sonoma          | \$1,714,938  | \$1,331,710  | \$0                                       | \$0   | \$1,331,710                            | -\$8,851  | \$1,322,859                                |
| Stanislaus      | \$1,619,266  | \$1,257,417  | \$0                                       | \$0   | \$1,257,417                            | -\$8,357  | \$1,249,060                                |
| Sutter          | \$373,067  | \$289,700  | \$10,110                                  |   | \$299,810                              |   | \$299,810                                  |
| Tehama          | \$240,370  | \$186,656  | \$55,180                                  |   | \$241,836                              |   | \$241,836                                  |
| Trinity         | \$74,230   | \$57,643   | \$36,187                                  |   | \$93,829                               |   | \$93,829                                   |
| Tulare          | \$2,700,364  | \$2,096,928  | \$0                                       | \$0   | \$2,096,928                            | -\$13,937   | \$2,082,992                                |
| Tuolumne        | \$326,761  | \$253,742  | \$59,579                                  |   | \$313,321                              |   | \$313,321                                  |
| Ventura         | \$2,055,714  | \$1,596,335  | \$0                                       | \$0   | \$1,596,335                            | -\$10,610   | \$1,585,725                                |
| Yolo            | \$1,468,321  | \$1,140,203  | \$0                                       | \$0   | \$1,140,203                            | -\$7,578  | \$1,132,625                                |
| Yuba            | \$405,544  | \$314,919  | \$14,585                                  |   | \$329,504                              |   | \$329,504                                  |
| Reserve         | \$0  | \$100,000  | \$0                                       |   | \$100,000                              |   | \$100,000                                  |
| <b>Total</b>    | <b>\$201,664,975</b>   | <b>\$156,700,000</b>   | <b>\$995,369</b>                          | <b>-\$995,369</b>                             | <b>\$156,700,000</b>                   | <b>-\$995,369</b>                                 | <b>\$156,700,000</b>                       |

Note: Allocations are based on filings data obtained from the Office of Court Research and caseload data obtained from the California Child Welfare Indicators Project (CCWIP) as of July 1, 2021.

JUDICIAL COUNCIL OF CALIFORNIA  
BUDGET SERVICES  
Report to the Judicial Branch Budget Committee  
(Action Item)

**Title:** Allocations from the State Trial Court Improvement and Modernization Fund (IMF) for 2022-23

**Date:** 6/1/2022

**Contact:** Shirley Mohammed, Analyst, Judicial Council Budget Services  
916-263-1933 | [shirley.mohammed@jud.ca.gov](mailto:shirley.mohammed@jud.ca.gov)

---

**Issue**

Consider adopting recommendations from the Trial Court Budget Advisory Committee (TCBAC) for the preliminary 2022-23 allocations from the IMF for consideration by the Judicial Council at its July 14-15, 2022 business meeting.

**Proposed 2022-23 Preliminary Allocations**

Over the last two fiscal years, the IMF was facing possible insolvency as early as 2022-23 due to estimated declining revenues. However, with a one-time influx of \$5 million from audit findings in 2021-22, there are no insolvency concerns in 2022-23 even if revenues continue to decline. In addition, the proposed Budget Bill Language included in the 2022-23 Governor’s Budget ensures that revenue declines would be backfilled in the amount necessary to support the fund.

As approved by the Judicial Council at its June 24, 2016 business meeting, the IMF fund condition also includes a reserve of \$2 million to protect against possible further declines in revenue and should be considered available for expenditure since the revenues in the fund have declined<sup>1</sup>. This reserve is not expected to be needed to support 2022-23 allocation recommendations included in Attachment 2A. Attachment 2B provides narrative descriptions of the programs receiving IMF funding allocations.

**The following are the proposed 2022-23 allocation requests by Judicial Council offices:**

1. **Audit Services** – Conducts operational audits, risk assessments, and recommends improvements to all judicial branch entities.
  - a. *Approve an allocation of \$354,000; a decrease of \$6,000 from the 2021–22 allocation.*
    - i. The allocation is for conducting performance and compliance audits of the 58 trial courts.

---

<sup>1</sup> Judicial Council meeting (June 24, 2016), <https://jcc.legistar.com/View.ashx?M=F&ID=4496693&GUID=FE6C1F1D-A68F-4CB8-B4E7-0596B5A59994>; Judicial Council meeting minutes (June 24, 2016), <https://jcc.legistar.com/View.ashx?M=M&ID=463476&GUID=26AF2EFA-74F7-4F01-AE8D-2A556C3986CD>.



JUDICIAL COUNCIL OF CALIFORNIA  
BUDGET SERVICES  
Report to the Judicial Branch Budget Committee  
(Action Item)

- ii. The decrease is due to lower forecasted operational expenses, offset by increased staffing costs.
  
- 2. **Branch Accounting and Procurement** – Supports the trial courts’ financial and human resources Phoenix System.
  - a. *Approve an allocation of \$169,000; an increase of \$12,000 from the 2021–22 allocation.*
    - i. The allocation is for one accounting staff and for providing contract-related services to produce statewide leveraged procurement agreements.
    - ii. The increase is due to increased staffing costs.
  
- 3. **Business Management Services** – Supports the judicial branch research, data, and analytic programs and manages the Temporary Assigned Judges Program.
  - a. *Approve an allocation of \$9,000; a decrease of \$200,000 from the 2021–22 allocation.*
    - i. The allocation is for committee meeting expenses for court personnel and judges related to workload studies.
    - ii. The decrease is due to the one-time funding of \$200,000 in 2021-22 for the Juror Source List Update program as required by SB 592.
  
- 4. **Budget Services** – Supports meetings of various committees and subcommittees as they relate to trial court funding, policies, and other issues.
  - a. *Approve an allocation of \$150,000; a decrease of \$209,000 from the 2021–22 allocation.*
    - i. The allocation is for the TCBAC and subcommittees meetings, two budget staff, and annual trainings for Revenue Distribution and the Collections Reporting Template.
    - ii. The decrease is due to a technical adjustment for the agency to utilize the ongoing General Fund backfill, in lieu of IMF, to cover the cost of the 5 percent general salary increase that all council positions received in July 2021.
  
- 5. **Center for Families, Children & the Courts** – Supports various programs within the courts for litigants.
  - a. *Approve an allocation of \$6,429,000; a decrease of \$247,000 from the 2021–22 allocation.*
    - i. The allocation is for providing Domestic Violence forms in languages other than English to all courts; enabling all courts to use Hotdocs Document Assembly Applications while filing documents; court-based assistance to self-represented litigants; supporting the Beyond the Bench conference, Child & Family Focused Education Conference, and Youth Summit; funding for legal

JUDICIAL COUNCIL OF CALIFORNIA  
BUDGET SERVICES  
Report to the Judicial Branch Budget Committee  
(Action Item)

services agencies and their court partners to provide representation to indigent persons; updating the Self-represented Litigants Statewide Support Program and expanding the online California Courts Self-Help Center on the judicial branch website; and for recruitment of new interpreters.

- ii. The decrease is for the specific use of funds for the Shriver Civil Counsel Program from cy pres funds that are held in reserve in the fund and may only be used for this purpose. This statutorily provided funding was expected to only be collected in 2019-20; however, some revenue has continued to come in after the planned sunset date. This request represents the use of the remaining available balance of that revenue.
- iii. Provisional language in the budget requires unspent funds for Self-Help to revert to the General Fund.

**6. Center for Judicial Education and Research** – Provides education to judges, court leaders, court staff faculty, managers, supervisors, and lead staff.

*a. Approve an allocation of \$1,705,000; an increase of \$639,000 from the 2021–22 allocation.*

- i. The allocation is for faculty development participant expenses, training for court leaders, the Court Clerks Training Institute, and for newly elected or appointed judges and subordinate judicial officers’ education programs.
- ii. The increase is due to an additional, mandatory in-person training for judicial officers that was postponed due to the COVID-19 pandemic.

**7. Criminal Justice Services** – Supports the Judicial Council’s Criminal Jury Instructions Advisory Committee.

*a. Approve an allocation of \$9,000; this is an increase of \$9,000 as there was no 2021–22 allocation.*

- i. The allocation is for the criminal portion of the Jury Instructions and is self-funded by royalties generated from their sales.
- ii. The increase is due to a portion of the Jury System Improvement Project being transferred to Criminal Justice Services from Legal Services.

**8. Human Resources** – Supports the Trial Court Labor Relations Academy to provide assistance to trial court staff in addressing various labor issues (not mandated).

*a. Approve \$0; a decrease of \$23,000 from the 2021–22 allocation.*

- i. The allocation is for the Labor Relations Academy and Forums to provide court management staff with comprehensive labor relations knowledge to assist the courts in addressing their labor needs.
- ii. The decrease is due to the Trial Court Labor Relations Academy and Forum to be held every other year; therefore, funding is not needed for 2022-23.

JUDICIAL COUNCIL OF CALIFORNIA  
BUDGET SERVICES  
Report to the Judicial Branch Budget Committee  
(Action Item)

9. **Information Technology** – Supports information technology systems for the 58 trial courts.
- a. *Approve an allocation of \$33,877,000; a reduction of \$3,805,000 from the 2021–22 allocation.*
    - i. The allocation is for the Data Center and Cloud Service to host some level of services for the 58 California trial courts, the appellate courts, and the Supreme Court; the distribution and mandated reporting of uniform civil fees collected by all 58 trial courts; the California Courts Protective Order Registry; for developing and supporting a standardized level of network infrastructure for the trial courts; the Enterprise Policy and Planning program which provides a variety of Oracle products to the courts; Data Integration; the Jury Management System; and case management system replacement budget change proposal funding which provides one-time funding to 10 courts to replace legacy systems.
    - ii. The reduction is primarily due to the expiration of the 2016-17 budget change proposal funding, one-time identified savings, and phasing out of a software program.
10. **Legal Services** – Supports the Judicial Council staff divisions and the courts, manages litigation, and is responsible for rules and projects including the California Rules of Court and Judicial Council forms as well as the Judicial Council’s Civil Jury Instructions Advisory Committee.
- a. *Approve an allocation of \$870,000; a decrease of \$7,600,000 from the 2021–22 allocation.*
    - i. The allocation is for the Regional Office Assistance Group of Legal Services to provide direct services to the trial courts; the Litigation Management Program of Legal Services to pay settlement, judgments, and litigation costs; and for the civil portion of the Jury Instructions which is self-funded by royalties generated from their sales.
    - ii. The reduction is due to \$9,000 of the Jury System Improvement Project transferred to the Criminal Justice Services; \$1,509,000 in Judicial Performance Defense Insurance costs transferred to Leadership Support Services; and \$6,119,000 for one-time 2021-22 costs for litigation that resulted in an expense to the IMF. Of the \$6,119,000, only \$3,000,000 was utilized for expenditure from the IMF and \$3,119,000 was returned to the IMF fund balance in 2021-22. The office is retaining \$100,000 as final litigation costs are confirmed.
    - iii. The reductions are offset by increased staffing costs of \$37,000.
11. **Leadership Support Services** – Supports the trial court judicial officers for the Commission on Judicial Performance defense master insurance policy.

JUDICIAL COUNCIL OF CALIFORNIA  
BUDGET SERVICES  
Report to the Judicial Branch Budget Committee  
(Action Item)

- a. *Approve an allocation of \$1,812,000; this is an increase of \$1,812,000 as there was no 2021–22 allocation.*
- i. The allocation is for the Judicial Performance Defense Insurance program which is used to pay the insurance premium for trial court judges and judicial officers for the Commission on Judicial Performance defense master insurance policy.
  - ii. The increase is due to the Judicial Performance Defense Insurance program being transferred to Leadership Support Services from Legal Services including increased premium costs.

The 2022–23 IMF allocation request of \$45,384,000 is reflected in the IMF Fund Condition Statement. Based on current revenue estimates, the fund is estimated to have a sufficient balance for the requested allocations (see Attachment 2C, Row 19).

**Recommendation**

The following recommendation is presented to the Judicial Branch Budget Committee for consideration:

Adopt a recommendation to approve a total of \$45,384,000 in preliminary allocations for 2022-23 from the IMF for consideration by the council at its July 14-15, 2022 business meeting.

**Attachments**

**Attachment 2A:** Judicial Council of California Approved 2021-22 and Proposed 2022-23 Allocations from the IMF – State Operations and Local Assistance Appropriations

**Attachment 2B:** IMF Summary of Programs

**Attachment 2C:** IMF Fund Condition Statement

**Judicial Council of California**  
**Approved 2021-22 and Proposed 2022-23 Allocations**  
**State Trial Court Improvement and Modernization Fund**  
**State Operations and Local Assistance Appropriations**

| #  | Program Name and Adjustments                                      | Office | 2021-22 Allocations                   | Recommended 2022-23 Allocations |                      |                      | \$ Change from 2021-22 | % Change from 2021-22 |
|----|---|--------|---------------------------------------|---------------------------------|----------------------|----------------------|------------------------|-----------------------|
|    |   |        | Judicial Council Approved Allocations | State Operations                | Local Assistance     | Total                |                        |                       |
| A  | B   | C      | D                                     | E                               | F                    | G = (E + F)          | H = (G - D)            | I = (H/D)             |
| 1  | Audit Services  | AS     | \$ 360,000                            | \$ 354,000                      | \$ -                 | \$ 354,000           | \$ (6,000)             | -1.7%                 |
| 2  | Trial Court Master Agreements                                     | BAP    | 157,000                               | 169,000                         | -                    | 169,000              | 12,000                 | 7.6%                  |
| 3  | Workload Assessment Advisory Committee                            | BMS    | 9,000                                 |                                 | 9,000                | 9,000                | -                      | 0.0%                  |
| 4  | Juror Source List Update  | BMS    | 200,000                               |                                 | -                    | -                    | (200,000)              | 100.0%                |
| 5  | Budget Focused Training and Meetings                              | BS     | 30,000                                |                                 | 30,000               | 30,000               | -                      | 0.0%                  |
| 6  | Treasury Services - Cash Management                               | BS     | 319,000                               | 110,000                         |                      | 110,000              | (209,000)              | -65.5%                |
| 7  | Revenue Distribution Training                                     | BS     | 10,000                                |                                 | 10,000               | 10,000               | -                      | 0.0%                  |
| 8  | Domestic Violence Forms Translation                               | CFCC   | 17,000                                |                                 | 17,000               | 17,000               | -                      | 0.0%                  |
| 9  | Interactive Software - Self-Rep Electronic Forms                  | CFCC   | 60,000                                |                                 | 60,000               | 60,000               | -                      | 0.0%                  |
| 10 | Self-Help Center  | CFCC   | 5,000,000                             |                                 | 5,000,000            | 5,000,000            | -                      | 0.0%                  |
| 11 | Statewide Multidisciplinary Education                             | CFCC   | 67,000                                |                                 | 67,000               | 67,000               | -                      | 0.0%                  |
| 12 | Shriver Civil Counsel- Cy Pres Funding                            | CFCC   | 1,289,000                             |                                 | 1,042,000            | 1,042,000            | (247,000)              | -19.2%                |
| 13 | Statewide Support for Self-Help Programs                          | CFCC   | 100,000                               |                                 | 100,000              | 100,000              | -                      | 0.0%                  |
| 14 | Court Interpreter Testing etc.                                    | CFCC   | 143,000                               |                                 | 143,000              | 143,000              | -                      | 0.0%                  |
| 15 | CJER Faculty  | CJER   | 48,000                                |                                 | 48,000               | 48,000               | -                      | 0.0%                  |
| 16 | Essential Court Management Education                              | CJER   | 40,000                                |                                 | 40,000               | 40,000               | -                      | 0.0%                  |
| 17 | Essential Court Personnel Education                               | CJER   | 130,000                               |                                 | 130,000              | 130,000              | -                      | 0.0%                  |
| 18 | Judicial Education  | CJER   | 848,000                               |                                 | 1,487,000            | 1,487,000            | 639,000                | 75.4%                 |
| 19 | Jury System Improvement Projects                                  | CJS    | -                                     |                                 | 9,000                | 9,000                | 9,000                  | 100.0%                |
| 20 | Trial Court Labor Relations Academies and Forums                  | HR     | 23,000                                |                                 | -                    | -                    | (23,000)               | -100.0%               |
| 21 | Data Center and Cloud Service                                     | IT     | 8,582,000                             | 2,139,000                       | 4,957,000            | 7,096,000            | (1,486,000)            | -17.3%                |
| 22 | Uniform Civil Filing Services                                     | IT     | 437,000                               | 429,000                         | 3,000                | 432,000              | (5,000)                | -1.1%                 |
| 23 | California Courts Protective Order Registry (CCPOR)               | IT     | 1,200,000                             | 400,000                         | 551,000              | 951,000              | (249,000)              | -20.8%                |
| 24 | Telecommunications  | IT     | 12,470,000                            |                                 | 13,470,000           | 13,470,000           | 1,000,000              | 8.0%                  |
| 25 | Enterprise Policy & Planning (Statewide Planning and Dev Support) | IT     | 3,887,000                             | 1,035,000                       | 2,870,000            | 3,905,000            | 18,000                 | 0.5%                  |
| 26 | Data Integration  | IT     | 1,879,000                             | 791,000                         | 992,000              | 1,783,000            | (96,000)               | -5.1%                 |
| 27 | Jury Management System  | IT     | 665,000                               |                                 | 665,000              | 665,000              | -                      | 0.0%                  |
| 28 | Case Management System Replacement                                | IT     | 3,053,000                             |                                 | 66,000               | 66,000               | (2,987,000)            | -97.8%                |
| 29 | Telecom   | IT     | 5,509,000                             | 1,125,000                       | 4,384,000            | 5,509,000            | -                      | 0.0%                  |
| 30 | Jury System Improvement Projects                                  | LS     | 19,000                                |                                 | 10,000               | 10,000               | (9,000)                | -47.4%                |
| 31 | Regional Office Assistance Group                                  | LS     | 823,000                               | 860,000                         | -                    | 860,000              | 37,000                 | 4.5%                  |
| 32 | Litigation Management Program                                     | LS     | 6,119,000                             |                                 | -                    | -                    | (6,119,000)            | -100.0%               |
| 33 | Judicial Performance Defense Insurance                            | LS     | 1,509,000                             |                                 | -                    | -                    | (1,509,000)            | -100.0%               |
| 34 | Judicial Performance Defense Insurance                            | LSS    | -                                     |                                 | 1,812,000            | 1,812,000            | 1,812,000              | 100.0%                |
| 35 | <b>Total</b>  |        | <b>\$ 55,002,000</b>                  | <b>\$ 7,412,000</b>             | <b>\$ 37,972,000</b> | <b>\$ 45,384,000</b> | <b>\$ (9,618,000)</b>  | <b>-17.5%</b>         |

| Totals by Office         |  | Office | Judicial Council Approved Allocations | State Operations    | Local Assistance     | Total                | \$ Change from 2021-22 | % Change from 2021-22 |
|--------------------------|--|--------|---------------------------------------|---------------------|----------------------|----------------------|------------------------|-----------------------|
| Legend                   | C  | D      | E                                     | F                   | G = (E + F)          | H = (G - D)          | I = (H/D)              |                       |
| 36                       | Audit Services                               | AS     | \$ 360,000                            | \$ 354,000          | \$ -                 | \$ 354,000           | \$ (6,000)             | -1.7%                 |
| 37                       | Branch Accounting and Procurement            | BAP    | 157,000                               | 169,000             | -                    | 169,000              | 12,000                 | 7.6%                  |
| 38                       | Business Management Services                 | BMS    | 209,000                               | -                   | 9,000                | 9,000                | (200,000)              | -95.7%                |
| 39                       | Budget Services                              | BS     | 359,000                               | 110,000             | 40,000               | 150,000              | (209,000)              | -58.2%                |
| 40                       | Center for Families, Children and the Courts | CFCC   | 6,676,000                             | -                   | 6,429,000            | 6,429,000            | (247,000)              | -3.7%                 |
| 41                       | Center for Judicial Education and Research   | CJER   | 1,066,000                             | -                   | 1,705,000            | 1,705,000            | 639,000                | 59.9%                 |
| 42                       | Criminal Justice Services                    | CJS    | -                                     | -                   | 9,000                | 9,000                | 9,000                  | 100.0%                |
| 43                       | Human Resources                              | HR     | 23,000                                | -                   | -                    | -                    | (23,000)               | -100.0%               |
| 44                       | Information Technology                       | IT     | 37,682,000                            | 5,919,000           | 27,958,000           | 33,877,000           | (3,805,000)            | -10.1%                |
| 45                       | Legal Services                               | LS     | 8,470,000                             | 860,000             | 10,000               | 870,000              | (7,600,000)            | -89.7%                |
| 46                       | Leadership Services                          | LSS    | -                                     | -                   | 1,812,000            | 1,812,000            | 1,812,000              | 100.0%                |
| <b>Total Allocations</b> |  |        | <b>\$ 55,002,000</b>                  | <b>\$ 7,412,000</b> | <b>\$ 37,972,000</b> | <b>\$ 45,384,000</b> | <b>\$ (9,618,000)</b>  | <b>-17.5%</b>         |

**State Trial Court Improvement and Modernization Fund  
Summary of Programs**

| Row #  | Program Name                                     | Office  | Program Description  |
|--------|--|---------|--|
| A      | B  | C       | D  |
| 1      | Audit Services                                   | AS      | Conducts performance and compliance audits of the State's 58 trial courts per the annual audit plan.   |
| 2      | Trial Court Procurement/TCAS-MSA-IMF             | BAP     | Pays for personal services, phone services, and rent allocation for one position in Branch Accounting and Procurement to provide contract related services for the production of statewide leveraged procurement agreements.   |
| 3      | Workload Assessment Advisory Committee           | BMS     | Pays for meeting expenses of the Workload Assessment Advisory Committee and travel expenses for court personnel and judges related to workload studies.  |
| 4      | Juror Source List Update                         | BMS     | Senate Bill (SB) 592 mandates beginning on January 1, 2022, the list of resident state tax filers be added to the juror source list. The funds being requested will be used to assist with the cost of updating the jury source lists of each county to include the Franchise Tax Board data.  |
| 5      | Budget Focused Training and Meetings             | BS      | Supports meetings of the Trial Court Budget Advisory Committee and associated subcommittees on the preparation, development, and implementation of the budget for trial courts and provides input to the Judicial Council on policy issues affecting Trial Court Funding.  |
| 6      | Treasury Services - Cash Management              | BS      | Used for the compensation, operating expenses and equipment costs for two accounting staff.  |
| 7      | Revenue Distribution Training                    | BS      | Pays for annual training on Revenue Distribution to all the collection programs as well as annual CRT training.  |
| 8      | Domestic Violence Forms Translation              | CFCC    | This program makes available to all courts, translation of domestic violence protective order forms in languages other than English. Since 2000, these forms have been translated into Spanish, Vietnamese, Chinese and Korean based on data from various language needs studies.  |
| 9      | Interactive Software - Self-Rep Electronic Forms | CFCC    | This program enables all courts to use Hotdocs Document Assembly Applications, which present court users with a Q&A format that automatically populates fields across all filing documents.  |
| 10     | Self-Help Center                                 | CFCC    | Provides court-based assistance to self-represented litigants.   |
| 11     | Statewide Multidisciplinary Education            | CFCC    | Supports the biannual Beyond the Bench Conference, biannual Child & Family Focused Education Conference and annual Youth Summit.   |
| 12     | Shriver Civil Counsel- Cy Pres Funding           | CFCC    | This program provides funding for legal services agencies and their court partners to provide representation to indigent persons in cases involving housing, child custody, guardianship, conservatorships, and domestic violence.   |
| 13     | Statewide Support for Self-Help Programs         | CFCC    | The Self-represented Litigants Statewide Support Program updates and expands the online California Courts Self-Help Center on the judicial branch website. Further, this program facilitates the translating of over 50 Judicial Council forms that are used regularly by self-represented litigants.  |
| 14     | Court Interpreter Testing etc.                   | CFCC    | Pays for the testing, orientation, and recruitment of new interpreters.  |
| 15     | CJER Faculty                                     | CJER    | Lodging, meals, and travel for faculty development participants. Primarily development of pro bono judge and court staff faculty who will teach all CJER programs for the trial courts.  |
| 16     | Essential Court Management Education             | CJER    | National and statewide training for court leaders, including Institute for Court Management (ICM) courses, CJER Core 40 and Core 24 courses, & other local & regional courses for managers, supervisors and lead staff.  |
| 17     | Essential Court Personnel Education              | CJER    | The Court Clerks Training Institute - courtroom and court legal process education in civil, traffic, criminal, probate, family, juvenile, appellate. Regional and local court personnel courses. The biennial Trial Court Judicial Attorneys Institute.  |
| 18     | Judicial Education                               | CJER    | Programs for all newly elected or appointed judges and subordinate judicial officers required by Rule of Court 10.462 (c)(1) to complete the new judge education programs offered by CJER; Judicial Institutes, courses for experienced judges; programs for PJs, CEOs & Supervising Judges.   |
| 19, 30 | Jury System Improvement Projects                 | CJS/ LS | This program is related to Jury Instructions and is a "self-funding" PCC. Funds in this account are generated by royalties generated from sales of criminal and civil jury instructions. The funds are deposited pursuant to the Government Code.  |
| 20     | Trial Court Labor Relations Academies and Forums | HR      | The Labor Relations Academy and Forums provide court management staff with comprehensive labor relations knowledge that assists the courts in meeting its labor challenges. The Academies are held once per year in the spring and the Forums are held once per year in the fall. The allocation pays for costs tied to the setup and operations of HR's annual Labor Relations Academies and Forums. Typical expenses include: reimbursement of travel expenses for trial court employees who participate as faculty; lodging for all trial court attendees (including those who serve as faculty); meeting room/conference room rental fees; books/reference materials if needed; and meals for trial court participants of the Labor Relations Forum. Following each Academy, program staff send out surveys to gather feedback and receive suggestions for future events. In addition, participant attendance is gathered and reported to the Judicial Council as part of the Administrative Director's Report to the Council. |

**State Trial Court Improvement and Modernization Fund  
Summary of Programs**

| Row #  | Program Name   | Office | Program Description   |
|--------|--|--------|---|
| A      | B  | C      | D   |
| 21     | Data Center and Cloud Service (formerly CCTC and/or CCTC Operations) | IT     | <p>The CCTC hosts some level of services for the 58 California superior courts, all the Courts of Appeal and the Supreme Court and has over 10,000 supported users. Major installations in the CCTC include the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Appellate Court Case Management System (ACCMS)</li> <li>• California Court Protective Order Registry (CCPOR)</li> <li>• Phoenix - Trial Court Financial and Human Resources System</li> <li>• Computer Aided Facilities Management (CAFM) system</li> <li>• Civil, Small Claims, Probate, and Mental Health Trial Court Case Management System (V3)</li> <li>• Integration Services Backbone (ISB)</li> </ul> <p>This program provides consistent, cost effective, and secure hosting services, including ongoing maintenance and operational support, data network management, desktop computing and local server support, tape back-up and recovery, help desk services, email services, and a disaster recovery program.</p> |
| 22     | Uniform Civil Filing Services  | IT     | <p>This program supports the distribution and mandated reporting of uniform civil fees collected by all 58 superior courts, with an average of \$43 million distributed per month. The system generates reports for the State Controller's Office and various entities that receive the distributed funds. There are over 200 fee types collected by each court, distributed to 23 different entities (e.g. Trial Court Trust Fund, County, Equal Access Fund, Law Library, etc.), requiring 65,502 corresponding distribution rules that are maintained by UCFS. UCFS benefits the public by minimizing the amount of penalties paid to the state for incorrect or late distributions and ensuring that the entities entitled to a portion of the civil fees collected, as mandated by law, receive their correct distributions.</p>   |
| 23     | California Courts Protective Order Registry (CCPOR)                  | IT     | <p>The California Courts Protective Order Registry (CCPOR) is a statewide repository of protective orders containing both data and scanned images of orders that can be accessed by judges, court staff, and law enforcement officers. CCPOR allows judges and law enforcement officers to view orders issued by other court divisions and across county lines.</p>   |
| 24, 29 | Telecommunications Support   | IT     | <p>This program develops and supports a standardized level of network infrastructure for the California superior courts. This infrastructure provides a foundation for local systems (email, jury, CMS, VOIP, etc.) and enterprise system applications such as Phoenix, via shared services at the CCTC provides operational efficiencies, and secures valuable court information resources.</p>  |
| 25     | Enterprise Policy & Planning (Statewide Planning and Dev Support)    | IT     | <p>The Enterprise Policy and Planning program provides the trial courts access to a variety of Oracle products (e.g., Oracle Enterprise Database, Real Application Clusters, Oracle Security Suite, Oracle Advanced Security, Diagnostic Packs, Oracle WebLogic Application Server) without cost to the courts.</p>   |
| 26     | Data Integration   | IT     | <p>Data Integration provides system interfaces between Judicial Council systems and the computer systems of our justice partners, be they courts, law enforcement agencies, the department of justice and others. Without the Integrated Services Backbone (ISB), the current systems for sharing protective orders, for example, would not function.</p>   |
| 27     | Jury Management System   | IT     | <p>The allocation for the Jury Program is used to distribute funds to the trial courts in the form of grants to improve court jury management systems. All trial courts are eligible to apply for the jury funding. The number of courts receiving grants varies according to the amount of grant funding available and the number of jury grant requests received.</p>   |
| 28     | Case Management System Replacement                                   | IT     | <p>The CMS Replacement – BCP funding provides one-time funding to ten courts to replace their legacy case management systems with a more modern CMS. Additionally, this budget also includes funding for two Sr. BSA's to support the CMS Planning and Administration Unit with the oversight of the CMS deployments as well as administration of the Judicial Council's statewide CMS master service agreements.</p>   |
| 31     | Regional Office Assistance Group                                     | LS     | <p>The allocation for the Regional Office Assistance Group is used to pay for attorneys and support personnel to provide direct legal services to the trial courts in the areas of transactions/business operations, legal opinions, ethics, and labor and employment law.</p>  |
| 32     | Litigation Management Program  | LS     | <p>The allocation for the Litigation Management Program is used to pay settlements, judgments (if any), and litigation costs, including attorney fees, arising from claims and lawsuits brought against trial courts.</p>   |
| 33,34  | Judicial Performance Defense Insurance                               | LSS    | <p>The allocation for the Judicial Performance Defense Insurance program is used to pay the insurance premium for trial court judges and judicial officers for the Commission on Judicial Performance (CJP) defense master insurance policy. The program (1) covers defense costs in CJP proceedings related to CJP complaints; (2) protects judicial officers from exposure to excessive financial risk for acts committed within the scope of their judicial duties, and (3) lowers the risk of conduct that could lead to complaints through required ethics training for judicial officers.</p>   |

**State Trial Court Improvement and Modernization Fund  
Fund Condition Statement  
May Revision 2022-23**

Updated: May 13, 2022

| #  | Description  | Estimated                                       |   |   |                   |                   |                   |
|----|--|---|---|---|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|
|    |  | 2018-19<br>(Year-end<br>Financial<br>Statement) | 2019-20<br>(Year-end<br>Financial<br>Statement) | 2020-21<br>(Year-end<br>Financial<br>Statement) | 2021-22           | 2022-23           | 2023-24           |
|    |  | A   | B   | C   | D                 | E                 | F                 |
| 1  | Beginning Balance  | 14,796,514                                      | 15,864,292                                      | 21,152,288                                      | 16,886,263        | 19,416,426        | 16,448,426        |
| 2  | Prior-Year Adjustments                                   | -973,149  | 5,086,942                                       | 2,422,584                                       | 6,651,663         | 0                 | 0                 |
| 3  | <b>Adjusted Beginning Balance</b>                        | <b>13,823,364</b>                               | <b>20,951,234</b>                               | <b>23,574,872</b>                               | <b>23,537,926</b> | <b>19,416,426</b> | <b>16,448,426</b> |
| 4  | <b>REVENUES<sup>1</sup>:</b>                             |   |   |   |                   |                   |                   |
| 5  | <b>Subtotal Revenues</b>                                 | <b>25,761,957</b>                               | <b>19,653,661</b>                               | <b>17,262,862</b>                               | <b>14,169,000</b> | <b>12,303,000</b> | <b>12,146,000</b> |
| 6  | <b>Transfers and Other Adjustments</b>                   |   |   |   |                   |                   |                   |
| 7  | To Trial Court Trust Fund (Gov. Code, § 77209(j))        | -13,397,000                                     | -13,397,000                                     | -13,397,000                                     | -13,397,000       | -13,397,000       | -13,397,000       |
| 8  | To Trial Court Trust Fund (Budget Act)                   | -594,000  | -594,000  | -594,000  | -594,000          | -594,000          | -594,000          |
| 9  | <b>Total Revenues, Transfers, and Other Adjustments</b>  | <b>11,770,957</b>                               | <b>5,662,661</b>                                | <b>3,271,862</b>                                | <b>178,000</b>    | <b>-1,688,000</b> | <b>-1,845,000</b> |
| 10 | <b>Total Resources</b>                                   | <b>25,594,322</b>                               | <b>26,613,895</b>                               | <b>26,846,734</b>                               | <b>23,715,926</b> | <b>17,728,426</b> | <b>14,603,426</b> |
| 11 | <b>EXPENDITURES<sup>2</sup>:</b>                         |   |   |   |                   |                   |                   |
| 12 | Judicial Branch Total State Operations                   | <b>4,724,200</b>                                | <b>4,538,757</b>                                | <b>4,635,013</b>                                | <b>5,517,000</b>  | <b>7,412,000</b>  | <b>7,581,000</b>  |
| 13 | Judicial Branch Total Local Assistance                   | <b>49,813,207</b>                               | <b>70,316,604</b>                               | <b>47,825,123</b>                               | <b>45,746,500</b> | <b>37,972,000</b> | <b>38,211,000</b> |
| 14 | <b>Total Expenditures</b>                                | <b>54,537,407</b>                               | <b>74,855,362</b>                               | <b>52,460,136</b>                               | <b>51,263,500</b> | <b>45,384,000</b> | <b>45,792,000</b> |
| 15 | <b>Expenditure Adjustments:</b>                          |   |   |   |                   |                   |                   |
| 16 | Pro Rata and Other Adjustments                           | <b>305,622</b>                                  | <b>105,746</b>                                  | <b>288,335</b>                                  | <b>308,000</b>    | <b>181,000</b>    | <b>308,000</b>    |
| 17 | Less funding provided by General Fund (Local Assistance) | -45,114,000                                     | -69,501,000                                     | -42,788,000                                     | -47,272,000       | -44,285,000       | -44,285,000       |
| 18 | <b>Total Expenditures and Adjustments</b>                | <b>9,729,029</b>                                | <b>5,460,608</b>                                | <b>9,960,471</b>                                | <b>4,299,500</b>  | <b>1,280,000</b>  | <b>1,815,000</b>  |
| 19 | <b>Fund Balance</b>                                      | <b>15,864,292</b>                               | <b>21,152,288</b>                               | <b>16,886,263</b>                               | <b>19,416,426</b> | <b>16,448,426</b> | <b>12,788,426</b> |
| 20 | <b>Fund Balance - less restricted funds</b>              | <b>9,860,057</b>                                | <b>16,261,964</b>                               | <b>12,592,172</b>                               | <b>15,735,335</b> | <b>13,781,335</b> | <b>10,253,789</b> |
| 21 | <b>Structural Balance</b>                                | <b>2,041,928</b>                                | <b>202,054</b>                                  | <b>-6,688,609</b>                               | <b>-4,121,500</b> | <b>-2,968,000</b> | <b>-3,660,000</b> |

<sup>1</sup> Revenue estimates include actuals through February 2022. Approximately \$3 million of audit finding revenue is under appeal by the counties.

<sup>2</sup> Expenditures for 2021-22 reflect estimates as of April 2022. Expenditure data for 2022-23 ties to proposed allocations. Expenditure data for 2023-24 reflect estimates from the programs.



JUDICIAL COUNCIL OF CALIFORNIA  
BUDGET SERVICES  
Report to the Judicial Branch Budget Committee  
(Action Item)

**Title:** Allocations from the Trial Court Trust Fund (TCTF) and Trial Court Allocations for 2022-23

**Date:** 5/16/2022

**Contact:** Oksana Tuk, Senior Analyst, Judicial Council Budget Services  
916-643-8027 | [oksana.tuk@jud.ca.gov](mailto:oksana.tuk@jud.ca.gov)

---

**Issue**

Government Code section 68502.5(c)(2)(A) requires the Judicial Council to make a preliminary allocation to the trial courts in July and finalize allocations in January of each fiscal year. Included for the Judicial Branch Budget Committee's (Budget Committee's) review and approval is the Trial Court Budget Advisory Committee's (TCBAC's) recommendation on the 2022-23 trial court TCTF, State Court Facilities Construction Fund (SCFCF), and General Fund (GF) allocations for consideration by the Judicial Council at its July 14-15, 2022 business meeting.

**2022-23 Governor's Budget Proposals**

The 2022-23 Governor's Budget includes \$5.2 billion in operating and facility funds for the judiciary, which is the largest budget ever for the judicial branch. The proposed budget reflects critical investments to advance equal access for all Californians.

In recognition of trial court operational cost pressures due to inflation, the proposed budget includes \$84.2 million ongoing GF to benefit all trial courts, which represents an overall increase of 3.8 percent of Workload Formula (WF) allocations from 2021-22.

The proposed budget also includes \$100 million ongoing GF to expand equal access, promote greater fiscal equity, and materially reduce the funding gap between trial courts.

To further expand access to justice by addressing judicial workload needs, the proposed budget includes \$39.9 million GF in 2022-23 and \$39.1 million annually thereafter for 23 additional superior court judgeships. The budget also proposes funding to address the increased security needs placed on counties due to the additional judgeships. With this augmentation, the judicial branch would be fully funded to fill all authorized judgeships.

The TCBAC recommends allocating trial court funding proposed in the 2022-23 Governor's Budget in the following manner:

- First, allocate the inflationary funding of \$84.2 million as a 3.8 increase over each court's 2021-22 WF allocation, consistent with how the \$84.2 million was calculated.

JUDICIAL COUNCIL OF CALIFORNIA  
BUDGET SERVICES  
Report to the Judicial Branch Budget Committee  
(Action Item)

- Next, allocate the equity funding of \$100 million by bringing courts below the statewide average as close to the statewide average funding level as calculated for 2022-23, where each court is brought up to the same WF percentage.
- Lastly, allocate the judgeship funding of \$31.2 million based on the Judicial Council-approved WF methodology, with non-sheriff security funding reduced from the funding amount prior to allocation.

**Base, Discretionary, and Non-Discretionary Programs**

1. Program 0140010 – Judicial Council
  - a. TCBAC recommendations<sup>1</sup> for Judicial Council staff in the amount of \$3.364 million (Attachment 3A, column J, line 31).
2. Program 0150010 – Support for Operation of the Trial Courts
  - a. TCTF allocation in the amount of \$2.339 billion (Attachment 3B, column W).
  - b. New allocations include:
    - i. \$21.693 million for non-court interpreter benefits cost change for 2022-23 (Attachment 3B, column J);
    - ii. Proposed inflationary increase funding of \$84.176 million for trial court operations included in the 2022-23 Governor’s Budget (Attachment 3B, column D);
    - iii. Proposed \$100 million equity funding (Attachment 3B, column E); and
    - iv. Proposed 23 judgeships funding of \$31.166 million with non-sheriff security reduction (Attachment 3B, columns F, G, H, I).
  - c. TCBAC recommendation for Support of Operation of Trial Courts in the amount of \$44.481 million (Attachment 3A, column J, line 32).
3. Program 0150011 – Court Appointed Dependency Counsel
  - a. An allocation in the amount of \$156.7 million for Court-Appointed Dependency Counsel (Attachment 3A, column J, line 33).
    - i. Assumes the Budget Committee recommendation to the Judicial Council as presented under Item 1 of this meeting’s agenda.
4. Program 0150010 – Pretrial Funding
  - a. An allocation in the amount of \$68.950 million for pretrial (Attachment 3B, column Z).
    - i. Assumes the Budget Committee recommendation to the Judicial Council as presented under Item 4 of this meeting’s agenda.

---

<sup>1</sup> R&E meeting materials (April 14, 2022), <https://www.courts.ca.gov/documents/tcbac-20220414-rande-materials.pdf>.

JUDICIAL COUNCIL OF CALIFORNIA  
BUDGET SERVICES  
Report to the Judicial Branch Budget Committee  
(Action Item)

5. Program 0150037 – Court Interpreters
  - a. TCBAC recommendation in the amount of \$87,000 for the Court Interpreter Data Collection System (Attachment 3A, column J, line 34). For 2022-23, there is a technical adjustment to shift this allocation from Local Assistance to State Operations to align the budget.
  
6. Program 0150095 – Expenses on Behalf of the Trial Courts
  - a. TCBAC recommendation in the amount of \$15.411 million for expenditures incurred by the Judicial Council on behalf of the trial courts (Attachment 3A, column J, line 35).

**State Court Facilities Construction Fund**

Approve \$50.0 million from the SCFCF for support for operation of the trial courts (Attachment 3B, column X).

**General Fund**

Approve \$68.819 million GF for employee benefits (Attachment 3B, column Y).

**2022-23 Workload Formula Allocation**

The 2022-23 WF allocation includes allocations, revenues, and adjustments in the amount of \$2.447 billion (Attachment 3C, column AB).

Changes to the prior year WF allocation include:

- a. Reduction for subordinate judicial officer (SJO) conversions totaling \$25,000 (Attachment 3C, column L);
- b. Adjustment to the SJO allocation totaling -\$950,000 (Attachment 3C, column M);
- c. A change of -\$59,000 in Automated Recordkeeping and Micrographics collections from fiscal year 2019-20 to 2020-21 (Attachment 3C, column N);
- d. 2022-23 non-interpreter benefits cost changes totaling \$21.693 million (Attachment 3C, column O);
- e. Criminal Justice Realignment funding of \$9.223 million (Attachment 3C, column P);
- f. 2020-21 revenues collected totaling \$91.050 million (Attachment 3C, column Q)<sup>2</sup>;
- g. Proposed funding as outlined in the ‘2022-23 Governor’s Budget Proposal’ section above totaling \$214.859 million (Attachment 3C, columns R through V);
- h. An increase to the base funding floor amount for two courts, Alpine and Sierra, to \$950,000 as approved by the council effective 2022-23 (Attachment 3C, column X); and

---

<sup>2</sup> Includes all other applicable revenue sources as recommended by the FMS. Revenue does not reflect an allocation of funding to the trial courts but is used in the calculation of the Workload Allocation.

JUDICIAL COUNCIL OF CALIFORNIA  
BUDGET SERVICES  
Report to the Judicial Branch Budget Committee  
(Action Item)

- i. 2022-23 funding floor adjustment, with all other courts sharing a pro rata reduction in the funding floor allocation (Attachment 3C, column Y). The funding floor adjustment may change based on final appropriations included the 2022 Budget Act.

**Resource Assessment Study (RAS) Model Update and Workload Formula**

For 2022-23, the RAS model, which is the foundation of the Workload Formula, uses the most recent three-year average filings (2018-19, 2019-20, 2020-21).

The 2019-20 filings include the first four months of the pandemic (March-June 2020), a period of time when many courts had to close suddenly and retool their operations to adjust to new physical distancing requirements and modes of serving the public. Temporary emergency orders were put into place to extend statutory timeframes for processing court workload while these adjustments took place.

Since many courts were unable to process filings during that period of time, the former Workload Assessment Advisory Committee (WAAC) approved replacing the four months of pandemic-impacted data with data that is more representative of the expected trend in filings for that four-month period of time.<sup>3</sup>

For the 2020-21 filings, the most recent year of the three-year average, no adjustments to filings were made. Modification of filings during the early onset of the pandemic was necessary because of the radical disruption in those early months. Although total filings are still down and pandemic impacts continue, court operations have adapted and stabilized. Additionally, the RAS measures high-level, long-term changes (changes in law, processes, technology advances) and is not responsive enough for short-term need. Because of these reasons, WAAC elected to not make any adjustments to 2020-21 filing counts.

**Pending Allocations**

Items pending allocation from the Program 0150010 appropriation include:

- a. Under Government Code section 77203(b), a trial court may carry over unexpended funds in an amount not to exceed 3 percent of the court's operating budget from the prior fiscal year, effective June 30, 2021. Because the courts have until July 15, 2022 to provide their preliminary 2021-22 ending fund balances, the preliminary reduction amounts related to trial court reserves above the 3 percent cap referenced in Government Code section 68502.5(c)(2)(A) will not be available for consideration by the TCBAC or Budget Committee prior to recommendation to the council at its July 14-15, 2022 business meeting. The TCBAC will consider the final allocation reductions for fund

---

<sup>3</sup> Workload Assessment Advisory Committee materials (April 23, 2021), <https://www.courts.ca.gov/documents/waac-20210423-materials.pdf>

JUDICIAL COUNCIL OF CALIFORNIA  
BUDGET SERVICES  
Report to the Judicial Branch Budget Committee  
(Action Item)

balances above the 3 percent cap prior to recommendation to the Budget Committee and the Judicial Council before January 2023.

- b. In 2021-22, an ongoing \$30 million in funding was provided for increasing the number of court reporters in family law and civil cases as well as an ongoing \$7 million to cover the costs associated with increased transcript rates. An update to the funding methodology and allocation recommendation for 2022-23 is planned to be presented to the council for consideration in the fall of 2022.
- c. The allocation of funding, using the council-approved formula, collected through the dependency counsel collections program will be brought to the TCBAC, the Budget Committee, and council once final 2021–22 collections are known.
- d. Various revenue distributions as required by statute or as authorized charges for the cost of programs or cash advances.

**Potential Impacts to Allocations**

- a. Any changes to appropriations provided for in the final 2022 Budget Act.
- b. Final TCTF allocation amounts for 2022-23 will be based on available state revenues and final budget decisions. Additional modifications may be necessary based on potential changes in state revenue and budget priorities.
- c. The \$10 million in urgent needs funding assumes no allocations in 2022–23. If funding is allocated in 2022-23, courts would need to replenish the funding up to what was allocated by the council from their 2023-24 base allocation<sup>4</sup>.

The projected 2022–23 ending TCTF fund balance is \$137.470 million (Attachment 3D, column F, row 27). Approximately \$61.253 million of this amount is either statutorily restricted or restricted by the council (Attachment 3D, column F, row 29). The estimated unrestricted fund balance is \$76.217 million (Attachment 3D, column F, row 30). The 2022-23 preliminary allocation requests totaling \$2.853 billion can be supported by the TCTF based on current revenue projections and 2021-22 projected savings.

**Recommendation**

The following recommendations presented to the Budget Committee for consideration include TCBAC allocation methodologies and recommendations, an informational update on RAS filings data related to the WF, and assume the funding proposed in the 2022-23 Governor’s Budget will remain in the final 2022 Budget Act:

- Approve base, discretionary, and non-discretionary programs from the TCTF in the amount of \$2.853 billion (Attachment 3B, column AH), including:
  - SCFCF allocation in the amount of \$50.0 million for support for operation of the trial courts (Attachment 3B, column X); and

---

<sup>4</sup> Judicial Branch Budget Committee report (March 18, 2019), <https://www.courts.ca.gov/documents/jbbc-20190318-materials.pdf>.

JUDICIAL COUNCIL OF CALIFORNIA  
BUDGET SERVICES  
Report to the Judicial Branch Budget Committee  
(Action Item)

- GF allocation in the amount of \$68.8 million for employee benefits (Attachment 3B, column Y).
- As a subset of the \$2.853 billion total allocation, approve a Workload Allocation of \$2.447 billion based on methodologies recommended by the TCBAC and approved by the Judicial Council (Attachment 3C, column AB).

**Attachments**

**Attachment 3A:** Judicial Council Approved 2021-22 and Proposed 2022-23 State Operations and Local Assistance Allocations from the TCTF

**Attachment 3B:** 2022-23 TCTF Recommended Preliminary Allocation

**Attachment 3C:** 2022-23 Workload Formula Allocation

**Attachment 3D:** TCTF Fund Condition Statement

**Judicial Council of California**  
**Approved 2021-22 and Proposed 2022-23 Allocations**  
**State Operations and Local Assistance**  
**Trial Court Trust Fund**

| #  | Program Name  | Program Number | Office | 2021-22 Allocations |                  |                            | Recommended 2022-23 Allocations |                  |                            | \$\$ Change from 2021-22 | % Change from 2021-22 |
|----|---|----------------|--------|---------------------|------------------|----------------------------|---------------------------------|------------------|----------------------------|--------------------------|-----------------------|
|    |   |                |        | State Operations    | Local Assistance | Total Approved Allocations | State Operations                | Local Assistance | Total Proposed Allocations |                          |                       |
| A  | B   | C              | D      | E                   | F                | G (E + F)                  | H                               | I                | J (H + I)                  | K (J - G)                | L (K / G)             |
| 1  | SCO Audit - Pilot program per GC 77206 (h)(4)           | 0150095        | AS     |                     | \$ 540,000       | \$ 540,000                 |                                 | \$ 540,000       | \$ 540,000                 | -                        | 0%                    |
| 2  | California State Auditor Audits                         | 0150010        | AS     |                     | -                | -                          |                                 | 325,000          | 325,000                    | 325,000                  |                       |
| 3  | Phoenix Financial Services                              | 0140010        | BAP    | 80,332              |                  | 80,332                     | 86,857                          |                  | 86,857                     | 6,525                    | 8%                    |
| 4  | Phoenix HR Services                                     | 0140010        | BAP    | 1,521,818           |                  | 1,521,818                  | 1,623,808                       |                  | 1,623,808                  | 101,990                  | 7%                    |
| 5  | Other Post Employment Benefits Valuations               | 0150095        | BAP    |                     | 600,300          | 600,300                    |                                 | 122,750          | 122,750                    | (477,550)                | -80%                  |
| 6  | Statewide Support for Collections Programs              | 0140010        | BS     | 656,000             |                  | 656,000                    | 551,000                         |                  | 551,000                    | (105,000)                | -16%                  |
| 7  | Jury  | 0150010        | BS     |                     | 14,500,000       | 14,500,000                 |                                 | 14,500,000       | 14,500,000                 | -                        | 0%                    |
| 8  | Elder Abuse   | 0150010        | BS     |                     | 650,000          | 650,000                    |                                 | 650,000          | 650,000                    | -                        | 0%                    |
| 9  | SCO Administrative Costs per GC 68085(g)                | 0150010        | BS     |                     |                  | -                          |                                 | 275,000          | 275,000                    | 275,000                  |                       |
| 10 | Children in Dependency Case Training                    | 0150095        | CFCC   |                     | 113,000          | 113,000                    |                                 | 113,000          | 113,000                    | -                        | 0%                    |
| 11 | Sargent Shriver Civil Counsel Pilot Program             | 0140010        | CFCC   | 596,000             |                  | 596,000                    | 596,000                         |                  | 596,000                    | -                        | 0%                    |
| 12 | Sargent Shriver Civil Counsel Pilot Program             | 0150095        | CFCC   |                     | 18,094,937       | 18,094,937                 |                                 | 12,265,725       | 12,265,725                 | (5,829,212)              | -32%                  |
| 13 | Equal Access Fund                                       | 0140010        | CFCC   | 246,000             |                  | 246,000                    | 246,000                         |                  | 246,000                    | -                        | 0%                    |
| 14 | Court-Appointed Dependency Counsel Collections          | 0140010        | CFCC   | 260,000             |                  | 260,000                    | 260,000                         |                  | 260,000                    | -                        | 0%                    |
| 15 | Court-Appointed Dependency Counsel                      | 0150011        | CFCC   |                     | 166,700,000      | 166,700,000                |                                 | 156,700,000      | 156,700,000                | (10,000,000)             | -6%                   |
| 16 | CAC Dependency Collections Reimbursement Rollover       | 0150010        | CFCC   |                     |                  | -                          |                                 |                  | -                          | -                        |                       |
| 17 | Juvenile Dependency Collections Reimbursement           | 0150010        | CFCC   |                     | 331,541          | 331,541                    |                                 | 1,144,748        | 1,144,748                  | 813,207                  | 245%                  |
| 18 | Self-Help Center  | 0150010        | CFCC   |                     | 25,300,000       | 25,300,000                 |                                 | 25,300,000       | 25,300,000                 | -                        | 0%                    |
| 19 | Screening Equipment Replacement                         | 0150010        | FS     |                     | 2,000,000        | 2,000,000                  |                                 | 2,286,000        | 2,286,000                  | 286,000                  | 14%                   |
| 20 | Court Interpreters Data Collections System (CIDCS)      | 0150037        | IT     |                     | 87,000           | 87,000                     | 87,000                          |                  | 87,000                     | -                        | 0%                    |
| 21 | Civil, Small Claims, Probate and Mental Health (V3) CMS | 0150095        | IT     |                     | 1,656,088        | 1,656,088                  |                                 | 1,680,998        | 1,680,998                  | 24,910                   | 2%                    |
| 22 | Data Center and Cloud Services                          | 0150095        | IT     |                     | 688,803          | 688,803                    |                                 | 688,803          | 688,803                    | -                        | 0%                    |
| 23 | Statewide E-Filing Implementation                       | 0140010        | IT     | 448,793             |                  | 448,793                    |                                 |                  | -                          | (448,793)                | -100%                 |
| 24 | <b>Total Allocations</b>                                |                |        | \$ 3,808,943        | \$ 231,261,669   | \$ 235,070,612             | \$ 3,450,665                    | \$ 216,592,024   | \$ 220,042,689             | \$ (15,027,923)          | -6.39%                |

| Totals by Office |  |      | State Operations | Local Assistance | Total Approved Allocations | State Operations | Local Assistance | Total Proposed Allocations | \$\$ Change from 2021-22 | % Change from 2021-22 |
|------------------|--|------|------------------|------------------|----------------------------|------------------|------------------|----------------------------|--------------------------|-----------------------|
| Legend           |  |      | E                | F                | G (E + F)                  | H                | I                | J (H + I)                  | K (J - G)                | L (K / G)             |
| 25               | Audit Services                               | AS   | \$ -             | \$ 540,000       | \$ 540,000                 | \$ -             | \$ 865,000       | \$ 865,000                 | \$ 325,000               | 60.19%                |
| 26               | Branch Accounting and Procurement            | BAP  | 1,602,150        | 600,300          | 2,202,450                  | 1,710,665        | 122,750          | 1,833,415                  | (369,035)                | -16.76%               |
| 27               | Budget Services                              | BS   | 656,000          | 15,150,000       | 15,806,000                 | 551,000          | 15,425,000       | 15,976,000                 | 170,000                  | 1.08%                 |
| 28               | Center for Families, Children and the Courts | CFCC | 1,102,000        | 210,539,478      | 211,641,478                | 1,102,000        | 195,523,473      | 196,625,473                | (15,016,005)             | -7.10%                |
| 29               | Facility Services                            | FS   | -                | 2,000,000        | 2,000,000                  | -                | 2,286,000        | 2,286,000                  | 286,000                  | 14.30%                |
| 30               | Information Technology                       | IT   | 448,793          | 2,431,891        | 2,880,684                  | 87,000           | 2,369,801        | 2,456,801                  | (423,883)                | -14.71%               |
|                  | <b>Total Allocations</b>                     |      | \$ 3,808,943     | \$ 231,261,669   | \$ 235,070,612             | \$ 3,450,665     | \$ 216,592,024   | \$ 220,042,689             | \$ (15,027,923)          | -6.39%                |

| Totals by Program |   | State Operations | Local Assistance | Total Approved Allocations | State Operations | Local Assistance | Total Proposed Allocations | \$\$ Change from 2021-22 | % Change from 2021-22 |        |
|-------------------|---|------------------|------------------|----------------------------|------------------|------------------|----------------------------|--------------------------|-----------------------|--------|
| Legend            |   | E                | F                | G (E + F)                  | H                | I                | J (H + I)                  | K (J - G)                | L (K / G)             |        |
| 31                | Judicial Council (Staff)                      | \$ 3,808,943     | \$ -             | \$ 3,808,943               | \$ 3,363,665     | \$ -             | \$ 3,363,665               | \$ (445,278)             | -11.69%               |        |
| 32                | Support for the Operation of the Trial Courts | -                | 42,781,541       | 42,781,541                 | -                | 44,480,748       | 44,480,748                 | 1,699,207                | 3.97%                 |        |
| 33                | Court Appointed Dependency Counsel            | -                | 166,700,000      | 166,700,000                | -                | 156,700,000      | 156,700,000                | (10,000,000)             | -6.00%                |        |
| 34                | Court Interpreters                            | -                | 87,000           | 87,000                     | 87,000           | -                | 87,000                     | -                        | 0.00%                 |        |
| 35                | Expenses on Behalf of the Trial Courts        | -                | 21,693,128       | 21,693,128                 | -                | 15,411,276       | 15,411,276                 | (6,281,852)              | -28.96%               |        |
|                   | <b>Total Allocations</b>                      |                  | \$ 3,808,943     | \$ 231,261,669             | \$ 235,070,612   | \$ 3,450,665     | \$ 216,592,024             | \$ 220,042,689           | \$ (15,027,923)       | -6.39% |

2022-23 TCTF Recommended Preliminary Allocation

| Court           | 2021-22 Ending Trial Court Trust Fund (TCTF) Ongoing Base Allocation | 2021-22 ONGOING BASE ADJUSTMENTS              | 2021-22 Adjusted TCTF Ongoing Base Allocation | 2022-23 ONGOING BASE ALLOCATIONS                              |                                  |  |  |                                       |  |  |                           |                        | OTHER ONE-TIME TCTF ALLOCATIONS |                                |   |                                 |  |
|-----------------|--|---|---|---|----------------------------------|--|--|---------------------------------------|--|--|---------------------------|------------------------|---------------------------------|--------------------------------|---|---------------------------------|--|
|                 |  | General Ledger (GL) 812110                    |   | GL 812110   |                                  |  |  |                                       |  |  |                           |                        | GL 812110                       |                                |   |                                 |  |
|                 |  | 2021-22 Current Year Non-Interpreter Benefits |   | 2022-23 Consumer Price Index Funding of \$84.2m (3.8 Percent) | 2022-23 Equity Funding of \$100m | 23 New Judgeships (Cluster 1 Courts to 100%) | 23 New Judgeships (Courts Below Statewide Average) | 23 New Judgeships (Courts Below 100%) | 23 New Judgeships Non-Sheriff Security (1.12%) | 2022-23 Non-Interpreter Benefit Cost Change Funding <sup>1</sup> | Total Ongoing Allocations | Telephonic Appearances | Criminal Justice Realignment    | Court Reporters SB 170 Funding | Increased Transcript Rates SB 170 Funding | Total One-Time Base Allocations |  |
| A               | B  | C (A+B)                                       | D   | E   | F                                | G  | H  | I                                     | J  | K (D:J)  | L                         | M                      | N                               | O                              | P (L:O)                                   |                                 |  |
| Alameda         | 78,583,535   | 526,485                                       | 79,110,019                                    | 3,148,432   | -                                | -  | -  | -                                     | 37,160   | 1,913,100  | 5,098,691                 | -                      | 210,769                         | -                              | 210,769                                   |                                 |  |
| Alpine          | 783,264  | (10,248)                                      | 773,017                                       | 30,400  | -                                | -  | -  | -                                     | -  | 2,042  | 32,442                    | -                      | 239                             | -                              | 239                                       |                                 |  |
| Amador          | 3,468,151  | 13,570  | 3,481,721                                     | 144,854   | -                                | -  | -  | -                                     | -  | 13,570   | 158,424                   | 5,790                  | 5,729                           | -                              | 11,519                                    |                                 |  |
| Butte           | 12,393,323   | 30,001  | 12,423,324                                    | 482,086   | -                                | -  | 27,084   | 89,995                                | 5,462  | 266,858  | 871,485                   | 15,210                 | 132,477                         | -                              | 147,687                                   |                                 |  |
| Calaveras       | 2,859,082  | (36,954)                                      | 2,822,127                                     | 118,309   | -                                | 55,105                                       | -  | -                                     | -  | 29,919   | 203,333                   | 791                    | 8,354                           | -                              | 9,146                                     |                                 |  |
| Colusa          | 2,111,710  | 988   | 2,112,697                                     | 90,117  | -                                | -  | -  | -                                     | -  | 5,240  | 95,357                    | -                      | 6,684                           | -                              | 6,684                                     |                                 |  |
| Contra Costa    | 41,977,002   | 154,331                                       | 42,131,333                                    | 1,920,928   | -                                | -  | -  | 347,151                               | -  | 462,980  | 2,731,059                 | -                      | 64,209                          | -                              | 64,209                                    |                                 |  |
| Del Norte       | 3,150,048  | 1,546   | 3,151,594                                     | 132,546   | -                                | 44,798                                       | -  | -                                     | -  | 44,476   | 221,819                   | -                      | 11,457                          | -                              | 11,457                                    |                                 |  |
| El Dorado       | 7,927,762  | 35,814  | 7,963,576                                     | 338,998   | -                                | -  | -  | 63,015                                | -  | 147,977  | 549,990                   | 24,418                 | 45,830                          | -                              | 70,248                                    |                                 |  |
| Fresno          | 50,707,929   | (104,277)                                     | 50,603,652                                    | 2,224,878   | 1,808,275                        | -  | 565,497  | 428,490                               | -  | (108,710)  | 4,918,429                 | 75,930                 | 152,288                         | -                              | 228,218                                   |                                 |  |
| Glenn           | 2,463,534  | (15,202)                                      | 2,448,332                                     | 106,224   | -                                | 107,530                                      | -  | -                                     | -  | (989)  | 212,880                   | 1,230                  | 11,219                          | -                              | 12,449                                    |                                 |  |
| Humboldt        | 7,058,085  | 62,645  | 7,120,730                                     | 300,947   | -                                | -  | -  | 55,316                                | 1,962  | 168,762  | 526,987                   | 12,250                 | 35,805                          | -                              | 48,055                                    |                                 |  |
| Imperial        | 9,647,695  | (136,886)                                     | 9,510,810                                     | 398,723   | -                                | -  | -  | -                                     | 4,917  | 104,624  | 508,264                   | 25,465                 | 19,096                          | -                              | 44,561                                    |                                 |  |
| Inyo            | 2,258,462  | 1,757   | 2,260,219                                     | 89,068  | -                                | -  | -  | -                                     | -  | 2,183  | 154,095                   | 1,395                  | 10,025                          | -                              | 11,420                                    |                                 |  |
| Kern            | 54,066,314   | (145,459)                                     | 53,920,855                                    | 2,386,746   | -                                | -  | 539,702  | 433,744                               | 767  | (2,241,087)  | 1,119,872                 | 38,700                 | 278,798                         | -                              | 317,498                                   |                                 |  |
| Kings           | 8,468,368  | (114,959)                                     | 8,353,408                                     | 364,797   | 886,099                          | -  | 94,687   | 71,746                                | 4,934  | (35,807)   | 1,386,455                 | 5,935                  | 67,790                          | -                              | 73,725                                    |                                 |  |
| Lake            | 4,317,533  | (1,661)                                       | 4,315,872                                     | 162,902   | 301,198                          | -  | 43,893   | 33,259                                | 2,298  | 55,423   | 598,971                   | -                      | 10,264                          | -                              | 10,264                                    |                                 |  |
| Lassen          | 2,433,204  | (961)   | 2,432,243                                     | 97,035  | -                                | -  | -  | -                                     | 3,436  | 8,735  | 109,206                   | 4,241                  | 11,219                          | -                              | 15,460                                    |                                 |  |
| Los Angeles     | 586,678,646  | (1,064,417)                                   | 585,614,229                                   | 23,333,694  | 46,325,905                       | -  | 6,404,787  | 4,853,052                             | 167,148  | 10,951,724   | 92,036,311                | -                      | 3,077,993                       | -                              | 3,077,993                                 |                                 |  |
| Madera          | 8,563,804  | 21,209  | 8,585,013                                     | 361,518   | 1,702,970                        | -  | 106,278  | 80,529                                | 4,460  | 46,165   | 2,301,919                 | -                      | 40,578                          | -                              | 40,578                                    |                                 |  |
| Marin           | 11,763,982   | (36,894)                                      | 11,727,088                                    | 531,495   | -                                | -  | -  | 91,794                                | 113  | 77,032   | 700,434                   | 42,540                 | 27,211                          | -                              | 69,751                                    |                                 |  |
| Mariposa        | 1,527,595  | 28,369  | 1,555,963                                     | 62,312  | -                                | 79,287                                       | -  | -                                     | -  | 6,704  | 148,303                   | -                      | 7,638                           | -                              | 7,638                                     |                                 |  |
| Mendocino       | 6,526,913  | 62,522  | 6,589,436                                     | 268,395   | -                                | -  | -  | 46,552                                | 3,500  | 273,563  | 592,011                   | 8,520                  | 51,797                          | -                              | 60,317                                    |                                 |  |
| Merced          | 13,448,905   | (51,295)                                      | 13,397,610                                    | 574,095   | 442,609                          | -  | 146,559  | 111,051                               | -  | (13,298)   | 1,261,017                 | 13,095                 | 64,687                          | -                              | 77,782                                    |                                 |  |
| Modoc           | 1,133,585  | 8,611   | 1,142,196                                     | 48,502  | -                                | -  | -  | -                                     | 9  | 8,999  | 57,510                    | 776                    | 3,103                           | -                              | 3,879                                     |                                 |  |
| Mono            | 2,053,071  | (2,794)                                       | 2,050,277                                     | 88,862  | -                                | -  | -  | -                                     | 282  | 5,539  | 94,684                    | -                      | 239                             | -                              | 239                                       |                                 |  |
| Monterey        | 21,873,298   | (49,057)                                      | 21,824,241                                    | 874,475   | 324,383                          | -  | 223,578  | 169,410                               | 10,173   | 145,128  | 1,747,146                 | -                      | 47,739                          | -                              | 47,739                                    |                                 |  |
| Napa            | 7,944,206  | (19,462)                                      | 7,924,744                                     | 333,903   | -                                | -  | -  | 60,721                                | 3,456  | 120,030  | 518,109                   | 14,590                 | 17,902                          | -                              | 32,492                                    |                                 |  |
| Nevada          | 5,726,696  | 37,036  | 5,763,732                                     | 209,859   | 418,746                          | -  | 57,867   | 43,847                                | 5,068  | 221,575  | 956,963                   | -                      | 2,626                           | -                              | 2,626                                     |                                 |  |
| Orange          | 144,339,233  | (186,003)                                     | 144,153,230                                   | 6,119,541   | 9,797,271                        | -  | 1,626,271  | 1,232,262                             | 31,967   | 2,280,244  | 21,087,556                | -                      | 572,395                         | -                              | 572,395                                   |                                 |  |
| Placer          | 18,781,113   | 75,611  | 18,856,724                                    | 775,476   | 2,389,175                        | -  | 213,567  | 161,825                               | -  | 421,080  | 3,961,123                 | 24,920                 | 43,204                          | -                              | 68,124                                    |                                 |  |
| Plumas          | 1,633,412  | 11,655  | 1,645,067                                     | 66,997  | -                                | -  | -  | -                                     | -  | 16,104   | 83,101                    | 2,448                  | 5,490                           | -                              | 7,938                                     |                                 |  |
| Riverside       | 112,698,017  | (775,332)                                     | 111,922,684                                   | 4,744,498   | -                                | -  | 896,159  | 889,774                               | 22,586   | 2,113,228  | 8,666,245                 | -                      | 915,879                         | -                              | 915,879                                   |                                 |  |
| Sacramento      | 87,409,299   | 67,930  | 87,477,229                                    | 3,495,781   | 6,274,439                        | -  | 933,523  | 707,352                               | 21,801   | 158,620  | 11,591,516                | 43,920                 | 155,392                         | -                              | 199,312                                   |                                 |  |
| San Benito      | 4,031,075  | 23,858  | 4,054,933                                     | 165,238   | -                                | 166,028                                      | -  | -                                     | -  | 9,748  | 341,014                   | -                      | 19,812                          | -                              | 19,812                                    |                                 |  |
| San Bernardino  | 113,875,532  | (18,009)                                      | 113,857,523                                   | 4,401,502   | 17,788,721                       | -  | 1,228,971  | 931,219                               | 38,230   | (2,809,827)  | 21,578,817                | 239,760                | 1,153,382                       | -                              | 1,393,142                                 |                                 |  |
| San Diego       | 152,067,724  | 336,184                                       | 152,403,908                                   | 6,307,885   | -                                | -  | -  | 1,123,747                             | 7,685  | 1,584,220  | 9,023,537                 | -                      | 230,104                         | -                              | 230,104                                   |                                 |  |
| San Francisco   | 52,632,943   | (153,535)                                     | 52,479,408                                    | 2,421,942   | -                                | -  | -  | -                                     | -  | 620,123  | 3,042,065                 | 17,515                 | 104,788                         | -                              | 122,303                                   |                                 |  |
| San Joaquin     | 39,813,018   | (123,056)                                     | 39,689,963                                    | 1,583,675   | 4,928,229                        | -  | 443,863  | 336,325                               | 3,365  | 441,263  | 7,736,720                 | 51,955                 | 72,564                          | -                              | 124,519                                   |                                 |  |
| San Luis Obispo | 15,393,059   | 32,229  | 15,425,288                                    | 625,042   | 429,233                          | -  | 160,381  | 121,524                               | 2,826  | 145,588  | 1,484,594                 | 18,700                 | 41,772                          | -                              | 60,472                                    |                                 |  |
| San Mateo       | 38,263,938   | (40,516)                                      | 38,223,422                                    | 1,614,412   | -                                | -  | -  | 290,925                               | 5,181  | 90,554   | 2,001,072                 | 39,742                 | 48,694                          | -                              | 88,437                                    |                                 |  |
| Santa Barbara   | 23,732,015   | 98,928  | 23,830,943                                    | 998,553   | -                                | -  | -  | 171,497                               | 12,338   | (18,898)   | 1,163,490                 | 44,719                 | 16,231                          | -                              | 60,950                                    |                                 |  |
| Santa Clara     | 80,440,878   | 164,869                                       | 80,605,747                                    | 3,259,803   | -                                | -  | 28,362   | 608,665                               | -  | 562,687  | 4,459,516                 | -                      | 134,625                         | -                              | 134,625                                   |                                 |  |
| Santa Cruz      | 14,070,098   | 73,111  | 14,143,208                                    | 586,266   | -                                | -  | 61,295   | 109,514                               | -  | 199,910  | 956,984                   | 21,904                 | 38,669                          | -                              | 60,573                                    |                                 |  |
| Shasta          | 16,055,425   | 91,689  | 16,147,114                                    | 534,593   | 63,041                           | -  | 137,911  | 104,498                               | 30,798   | 287,495  | 1,158,336                 | 9,190                  | 102,401                         | -                              | 111,591                                   |                                 |  |
| Sierra          | 761,941  | 1,549   | 763,490                                       | 30,400  | -                                | -  | -  | -                                     | -  | 43,614   | 74,014                    | 630                    | -                               | -                              | 630                                       |                                 |  |
| Siskiyou        | 3,280,374  | 16,887  | 3,297,261                                     | 130,761   | 325,592                          | -  | 37,351   | 28,301                                | -  | 144,131  | 666,137                   | -                      | 7,877                           | -                              | 7,877                                     |                                 |  |
| Solano          | 24,728,222   | 2,578   | 24,730,800                                    | 1,041,422   | -                                | -  | -  | 189,481                               | 5,091  | 673,973  | 1,909,966                 | 42,765                 | 158,972                         | -                              | 201,737                                   |                                 |  |
| Sonoma          | 25,472,647   | (508,570)                                     | 24,964,077                                    | 1,024,962   | 1,157,174                        | -  | 264,596  | 200,491                               | 5,145  | 376,970  | 3,029,338                 | 14,895                 | 77,099                          | -                              | 91,994                                    |                                 |  |
| Stanislaus      | 26,018,290   | 32,525  | 26,050,814                                    | 1,078,937   | 213,652                          | -  | 273,889  | 207,532                               | 109  | 288,949  | 2,063,068                 | -                      | 126,509                         | -                              | 126,509                                   |                                 |  |
| Sutter          | 6,202,336  | 3,543   | 6,205,879                                     | 256,075   | 583,371                          | -  | 69,779   | 52,873                                | 2,889  | 88,381   | 1,053,367                 | 2,795                  | 15,754                          | -                              | 18,549                                    |                                 |  |
| Tehama          | 4,858,601  | (19,072)                                      | 4,839,530                                     | 206,997   | -                                | -  | -  | 38,712                                | -  | 126,507  | 372,216                   | 1,340                  | 22,199                          | -                              | 23,539                                    |                                 |  |
| Trinity         | 2,292,374  | 428   | 2,292,802                                     | 72,844  | -                                | -  | -  | -                                     | 6,021  | 64,396   | 143,260                   | 400                    | 3,342                           | -                              | 3,742                                     |                                 |  |
| Tulare          | 23,680,305   | 76,701  | 23,757,006                                    | 974,860   | 2,993,359                        | -  | 272,878  | 206,766                               | 182  | 311,694  | 4,759,739                 | 12,890                 | 87,602                          | -                              | 100,492                                   |                                 |  |
| Tuolumne        | 4,276,999  | 28,944  | 4,305,943                                     | 169,000   | -                                | -  | -  | 30,819                                | 2,579  | 106,854  | 309,251                   | 6,280                  | 13,367                          | -                              | 19,647                                    |                                 |  |
| Ventura         | 39,111,136   | (258,745)                                     | 38,852,391                                    | 1,551,008   | -                                | -  | 122,905  | 290,220                               | 18,232   | 129,437  | 2,111,801                 | -                      | 583,375                         | -                              | 583,375                                   |                                 |  |
| Yolo            | 12,787,986   | 96,823  | 12,884,809                                    | 488,278   | 846,560                          | -  | 133,578  | 101,215                               | 6,816  | 416,681  | 1,993,129                 | -                      | 15,038                          | -                              | 15,038                                    |                                 |  |
| Yuba            | 5,428,194  | 4,145   | 5,432,339                                     | 224,154   | -                                | -  | -  | -                                     | 1,550  | 76,450   | 302,154                   | 9,456                  | 32,701                          | -                              | 42,157                                    |                                 |  |
| Unallocated     | -  | -   | -   | -   | -                                | -  | -  | -                                     | -  | -  | -                         | -                      | -                               | 30,000,000                     | 7,000,000                                 | 37,000,000                      |  |
| <b>Total</b>    | <b>2,056,051,885</b>   | <b>(1,648,295)</b>                            | <b>2,054,403,589</b>                          | <b>84,176,000</b>   | <b>100,000,000</b>               | <b>452,748</b>                               | <b>15,115,211</b>                                  | <b>15,115,211</b>                     | <b>482,830</b>                                 | <b>21,693,293</b>  | <b>237,035,293</b>        | <b>897,100</b>         | <b>9,223,000</b>                | <b>30,000,000</b>              | <b>7,000,000</b>                          | <b>47,120,100</b>               |  |

<sup>1</sup> Benefits funding reflects actual cost changes as identified by the court and is fiscally neutral.





2022-23 Workload Formula Allocation

| Court           | 2021-22 Adjusted Trial Court Trust Fund (TCTF) Ongoing Base Allocation | Trial Court Operations Allocation Funded from State Court Facilities Construction Fund | General Fund Employee Benefits | Total Adjusted Total Base Allocation | 2021-22 NON-BASE ADJUSTMENTS USED TO CALCULATE WORKLOAD ALLOCATION |                           |                   | 2021-22 BASE ADJUSTMENTS USED TO CALCULATE WORKLOAD ALLOCATION |   |  |
|-----------------|--|--|--------------------------------|--------------------------------------|--|---------------------------|-------------------|--|---|--|
|                 |  |  |                                |                                      | Automated Recordkeeping & Micrographics                            | 2% Automation Replacement | Self-Help         | Security Base Adjustment                                       | Subordinate Judicial Officer (SJO) Adjustment | Total Workload Formula Related Adjustments |
|                 |  |  |                                |                                      | E  | F                         | G                 | H  | I   | J (E-I)                                    |
| Alameda         | 79,110,019   | 2,104,111  | 3,102,046                      | 84,316,176                           | 93,224   | 424,792                   | 1,009,970         | (3,317,864)  | (2,266,802)                                   | (4,056,680)                                |
| Alpine          | 773,017  | 21,282   | 20,340                         | 814,639                              | 29   | 2,034                     | 34,675            | -  | -   | 36,738                                     |
| Amador          | 3,481,721  | 62,182   | 51,756                         | 3,595,659                            | 717  | 11,006                    | 56,263            | -  | (146,296)                                     | (78,310)                                   |
| Butte           | 12,423,324   | 273,524  | 124,077                        | 12,820,925                           | 11,295   | 59,332                    | 163,674           | (487,716)  | (408,500)                                     | (661,915)                                  |
| Calaveras       | 2,822,127  | 58,645   | 50,506                         | 2,931,278                            | 842  | 18,652                    | 60,407            | -  | -   | 79,901                                     |
| Colusa          | 2,112,697  | 48,701   | 24,773                         | 2,186,171                            | 311  | 13,708                    | 46,905            | -  | -   | 60,924                                     |
| Contra Costa    | 42,131,333   | 1,132,213  | 1,396,191                      | 44,659,737                           | 64,611   | 218,186                   | 709,092           | -  | (844,523)                                     | 147,367                                    |
| Del Norte       | 3,151,594  | 69,702   | 94,130                         | 3,315,426                            | 408  | 11,208                    | 49,989            | -  | -   | 61,605                                     |
| El Dorado       | 7,963,576  | 186,535  | 213,120                        | 8,363,231                            | 3,269  | 54,374                    | 145,931           | -  | (133,917)                                     | 69,657                                     |
| Fresno          | 50,603,652   | 1,211,523  | 3,340,363                      | 55,155,538                           | 59,419   | 181,080                   | 629,073           | -  | (1,262,388)                                   | (392,816)                                  |
| Glenn           | 2,448,332  | 52,813   | 54,665                         | 2,555,810                            | 424  | 19,264                    | 51,045            | (10,210)   | -   | 60,523                                     |
| Humboldt        | 7,120,730  | 172,432  | 73,084                         | 7,366,246                            | 6,864  | 48,160                    | 112,977           | (175,189)  | (155,032)                                     | (162,219)                                  |
| Imperial        | 9,510,810  | 237,510  | 125,539                        | 9,873,859                            | 8,907  | 67,678                    | 145,188           | (438,995)  | (157,902)                                     | (375,124)                                  |
| Inyo            | 2,260,219  | 57,003   | 75,586                         | 2,392,808                            | 305  | 30,402                    | 44,882            | (194,877)  | (31,681)                                      | (150,969)                                  |
| Kern            | 53,920,855   | 1,122,339  | 3,544,268                      | 58,587,462                           | 59,073   | 277,328                   | 568,760           | (68,454)   | (2,039,547)                                   | (1,202,840)                                |
| Kings           | 8,353,408  | 185,312  | 45,118                         | 8,583,838                            | 7,745  | 57,026                    | 123,584           | (440,497)  | (229,592)                                     | (481,734)                                  |
| Lake            | 4,315,872  | 93,356   | 9,123                          | 4,418,351                            | 1,246  | 20,328                    | 71,903            | (205,146)  | (72,161)                                      | (183,830)                                  |
| Lassen          | 2,432,243  | 65,929   | 7,839                          | 2,506,011                            | 450  | 20,156                    | 51,546            | (306,775)  | -   | (234,623)                                  |
| Los Angeles     | 585,614,229  | 14,700,731   | 18,887,968                     | 619,202,928                          | 943,743  | 3,144,530                 | 6,028,083         | (14,923,924)   | (20,112,654)                                  | (24,920,222)                               |
| Madera          | 8,585,013  | 200,598  | 384,825                        | 9,170,436                            | 3,034  | 52,502                    | 127,019           | (398,201)  | -   | (215,646)                                  |
| Marin           | 11,727,088   | 337,855  | 644,511                        | 12,709,454                           | 12,807   | 114,766                   | 187,724           | (10,049)   | (67,262)                                      | 237,985                                    |
| Mariposa        | 1,555,963  | 33,001   | 22,301                         | 1,611,265                            | 267  | 3,904                     | 44,591            | -  | (40,903)                                      | 7,860                                      |
| Mendocino       | 6,589,436  | 139,029  | 311,771                        | 7,040,236                            | 4,713  | 30,068                    | 85,968            | (312,531)  | -   | (191,782)                                  |
| Merced          | 13,397,610   | 312,868  | 774,827                        | 14,485,305                           | 14,702   | 55,652                    | 199,206           | -  | (383,412)                                     | (113,851)                                  |
| Modoc           | 1,142,196  | 26,220   | 31,967                         | 1,200,383                            | 249  | 6,134                     | 39,618            | (824)  | -   | 45,177                                     |
| Mono            | 2,050,277  | 43,038   | 85,641                         | 2,178,956                            | 187  | 12,446                    | 41,983            | (25,220)   | (25,384)                                      | 4,013                                      |
| Monterey        | 21,824,241   | 472,462  | 277,496                        | 22,574,199                           | 19,536   | 183,464                   | 293,559           | (908,310)  | (385,072)                                     | (796,824)                                  |
| Napa            | 7,924,744  | 199,584  | 309,795                        | 8,434,123                            | 2,506  | 30,550                    | 116,203           | (308,567)  | (220,549)                                     | (379,857)                                  |
| Nevada          | 5,763,732  | 139,614  | 95,495                         | 5,998,841                            | 4,564  | 49,946                    | 91,807            | (452,517)  | (385,274)                                     | (691,474)                                  |
| Orange          | 144,153,230  | 3,891,207  | 6,929,920                      | 154,974,357                          | 227,468  | 923,882                   | 1,915,141         | (2,854,158)  | (4,119,960)                                   | (3,907,627)                                |
| Placer          | 18,856,724   | 410,174  | 634,796                        | 19,901,694                           | 23,192   | 77,378                    | 266,252           | -  | (1,074,293)                                   | (707,471)                                  |
| Plumas          | 1,645,067  | 36,529   | 14,929                         | 1,696,525                            | 302  | 9,206                     | 45,284            | -  | -   | 54,792                                     |
| Riverside       | 111,922,684  | 2,296,005  | 923,656                        | 115,142,345                          | 55,702   | 532,226                   | 1,458,505         | (2,016,574)  | (3,612,829)                                   | (3,582,970)                                |
| Sacramento      | 87,477,229   | 2,090,813  | 3,560,591                      | 93,128,633                           | 114,659  | 340,254                   | 937,891           | (1,946,524)  | (2,190,917)                                   | (2,744,638)                                |
| San Benito      | 4,054,933  | 70,059   | 34,642                         | 4,159,634                            | 1,103  | 14,700                    | 69,472            | -  | (47,767)                                      | 37,507                                     |
| San Bernardino  | 113,857,523  | 2,569,673  | 1,264,732                      | 117,691,928                          | 150,574  | 435,474                   | 1,311,982         | (3,413,416)  | (3,825,306)                                   | (5,340,693)                                |
| San Diego       | 152,403,908  | 3,882,649  | 2,853,598                      | 159,140,155                          | 209,558  | 718,442                   | 1,992,172         | (686,131)  | (4,424,847)                                   | (2,190,807)                                |
| San Francisco   | 52,479,408   | 1,531,727  | 5,487,134                      | 59,498,269                           | 63,134   | 272,528                   | 554,282           | -  | (508,436)                                     | 381,508                                    |
| San Joaquin     | 39,689,963   | 859,541  | 1,245,356                      | 41,794,860                           | 49,517   | 201,698                   | 483,455           | (300,418)  | (1,192,546)                                   | (758,294)                                  |
| San Luis Obispo | 15,425,288   | 376,713  | 298,957                        | 16,100,958                           | 15,661   | 130,020                   | 197,513           | (252,318)  | (477,668)                                     | (386,792)                                  |
| San Mateo       | 38,223,422   | 932,577  | 2,411,112                      | 41,567,111                           | 12,950   | 329,518                   | 487,187           | (462,551)  | (1,244,585)                                   | (877,481)                                  |
| Santa Barbara   | 23,830,943   | 569,017  | 1,597,661                      | 25,997,621                           | 24,208   | 162,858                   | 299,425           | (1,101,574)  | (631,892)                                     | (1,246,975)                                |
| Santa Clara     | 80,605,747   | 2,129,236  | 2,309,466                      | 85,044,449                           | 95,376   | 452,782                   | 1,180,269         | -  | (973,644)                                     | 754,783                                    |
| Santa Cruz      | 14,143,208   | 321,970  | 203,558                        | 14,668,736                           | 11,768   | 113,210                   | 194,628           | -  | (256,008)                                     | 63,598                                     |
| Shasta          | 16,147,114   | 337,674  | 262,221                        | 16,747,009                           | 3,601  | 44,394                    | 138,439           | (2,749,839)  | (248,276)                                     | (2,811,681)                                |
| Sierra          | 763,490  | 21,571   | 9,616                          | 794,677                              | 28   | 1,830                     | 35,878            | -  | -   | 37,736                                     |
| Siskiyou        | 3,297,261  | 85,800   | 91,038                         | 3,474,099                            | 743  | 37,000                    | 60,087            | -  | (212,921)                                     | (115,090)                                  |
| Solano          | 24,730,800   | 559,362  | 353,778                        | 25,643,940                           | 30,936   | 119,364                   | 291,897           | (454,573)  | (686,914)                                     | (699,290)                                  |
| Sonoma          | 24,964,077   | 643,923  | 1,172,049                      | 26,780,049                           | 28,375   | 119,004                   | 326,183           | (459,375)  | (630,280)                                     | (616,093)                                  |
| Stanislaus      | 26,050,814   | 540,457  | 1,305,229                      | 27,896,500                           | 33,273   | 88,718                    | 360,402           | (9,737)  | (605,969)                                     | (133,312)                                  |
| Sutter          | 6,205,878  | 127,407  | 159,761                        | 6,493,046                            | 1,837  | 37,382                    | 91,672            | (257,951)  | -   | (127,060)                                  |
| Tehama          | 4,839,530  | 98,606   | 108,184                        | 5,046,320                            | 1,238  | 28,100                    | 71,778            | -  | (2,619)                                       | 98,498                                     |
| Trinity         | 2,292,802  | 47,850   | 53,679                         | 2,394,331                            | 654  | 7,648                     | 41,977            | (537,593)  | -   | (487,313)                                  |
| Tulare          | 23,757,006   | 457,506  | 33,744                         | 24,248,256                           | 24,299   | 204,932                   | 314,070           | (16,262)   | (594,066)                                     | (67,027)                                   |
| Tuolumne        | 4,305,943  | 85,983   | 50,352                         | 4,442,278                            | 972  | 16,642                    | 66,058            | (230,226)  | (36,107)                                      | (182,661)                                  |
| Ventura         | 38,852,391   | 914,809  | 968,752                        | 40,735,952                           | 49,353   | 205,304                   | 533,382           | (1,627,814)  | (821,109)                                     | (1,660,885)                                |
| Yolo            | 12,884,809   | 245,500  | 210,076                        | 13,340,385                           | 9,363  | 48,556                    | 163,904           | (608,557)  | (287,338)                                     | (674,071)                                  |
| Yuba            | 5,432,339  | 105,550  | 90,867                         | 5,628,756                            | 1,597  | 15,788                    | 79,190            | (138,407)  | -   | (41,832)                                   |
| Unallocated     | -  | -  | -                              | -                                    | -  | -                         | -                 | -  | -   | -  |
| <b>Total</b>    | <b>2,054,403,589</b>   | <b>50,000,000</b>  | <b>68,818,575</b>              | <b>2,173,222,164</b>                 | <b>2,566,892</b>   | <b>10,907,514</b>         | <b>25,300,000</b> | <b>(43,109,863)</b>  | <b>(58,075,147)</b>                           | <b>(62,410,604)</b>                        |

<sup>1</sup> Revenue does not reflect an allocation of funding to the trial courts, but is used in the calculation of the Workload Formula allocation.



2022-23 Workload Formula Allocation

| Court           | WORKLOAD ALLOCATION ADJUSTMENTS           |                             |                               |                      |                                   | WORKLOAD FORMULA         |                             |
|-----------------|---|-----------------------------|-------------------------------|----------------------|-----------------------------------|--------------------------|-----------------------------|
|                 | 2022-23 Workload Funding Floor Adjustment |                             |                               |                      | 2022-23 Final Workload Allocation | 2022-23 Workload Formula | Workload Formula Percentage |
|                 | Applied Funding Floor                     | Floor Allocation Adjustment | Percentage Share of Reduction | Reduction Allocation |                                   |                          |                             |
|                 | X   | Y                           | Z                             | AA                   | AB (W+Y+AA)                       | AC                       | AD (AB/AC)                  |
| Alameda         |   |                             | 3.68%                         | (3,231)              | 89,951,652                        | 88,721,292               | 101.4%                      |
| Alpine          | 950,000                                   | 48,091                      | -                             | -                    | 950,000                           | 449,772                  | 211.2%                      |
| Amador          |   |                             | 0.16%                         | (143)                | 3,986,575                         | 3,977,456                | 100.2%                      |
| Butte           |   |                             | 0.54%                         | (478)                | 13,296,693                        | 14,791,477               | 89.9%                       |
| Calaveras       |   |                             | 0.13%                         | (118)                | 3,287,727                         | 3,287,845                | 100.0%                      |
| Colusa          |   |                             | 0.10%                         | (87)                 | 2,434,885                         | 2,241,285                | 108.6%                      |
| Contra Costa    |   |                             | 2.12%                         | (1,861)              | 51,808,736                        | 57,057,062               | 90.8%                       |
| Del Norte       |   |                             | 0.15%                         | (134)                | 3,721,757                         | 3,721,891                | 100.0%                      |
| El Dorado       |   |                             | 0.39%                         | (344)                | 9,581,207                         | 10,356,971               | 92.5%                       |
| Fresno          |   |                             | 2.57%                         | (2,260)              | 62,925,726                        | 70,425,780               | 89.4%                       |
| Glenn           |   |                             | 0.12%                         | (105)                | 2,913,234                         | 2,913,338                | 100.0%                      |
| Humboldt        |   |                             | 0.36%                         | (314)                | 8,731,332                         | 9,091,694                | 96.0%                       |
| Imperial        |   |                             | 0.44%                         | (387)                | 10,769,352                        | 9,180,484                | 117.3%                      |
| Inyo            |   |                             | 0.10%                         | (91)                 | 2,536,943                         | 2,257,443                | 112.4%                      |
| Kern            |   |                             | 2.61%                         | (2,288)              | 63,710,690                        | 71,289,380               | 89.4%                       |
| Kings           |   |                             | 0.43%                         | (378)                | 10,536,257                        | 11,792,062               | 89.4%                       |
| Lake            |   |                             | 0.20%                         | (175)                | 4,884,179                         | 5,466,319                | 89.4%                       |
| Lassen          |   |                             | 0.11%                         | (93)                 | 2,597,386                         | 2,332,455                | 111.4%                      |
| Los Angeles     |   |                             | 29.15%                        | (25,597)             | 712,693,370                       | 797,638,572              | 89.4%                       |
| Madera          |   |                             | 0.48%                         | (425)                | 11,826,053                        | 13,235,588               | 89.4%                       |
| Marin           |   |                             | 0.58%                         | (506)                | 14,095,812                        | 15,087,150               | 93.4%                       |
| Mariposa        |   |                             | 0.07%                         | (65)                 | 1,809,872                         | 1,809,937                | 100.0%                      |
| Mendocino       |   |                             | 0.31%                         | (275)                | 7,649,921                         | 7,651,217                | 100.0%                      |
| Merced          |   |                             | 0.67%                         | (586)                | 16,308,404                        | 18,252,186               | 89.4%                       |
| Modoc           |   |                             | 0.06%                         | (48)                 | 1,348,636                         | 1,284,287                | 105.0%                      |
| Mono            |   |                             | 0.10%                         | (88)                 | 2,452,034                         | 2,037,226                | 120.4%                      |
| Monterey        |   |                             | 1.02%                         | (894)                | 24,878,641                        | 27,843,901               | 89.4%                       |
| Napa            |   |                             | 0.38%                         | (337)                | 9,370,061                         | 9,979,950                | 93.9%                       |
| Nevada          |   |                             | 0.26%                         | (231)                | 6,439,176                         | 7,206,655                | 89.4%                       |
| Orange          |   |                             | 7.40%                         | (6,499)              | 180,963,472                       | 202,532,326              | 89.4%                       |
| Placer          |   |                             | 0.97%                         | (854)                | 23,764,735                        | 26,597,230               | 89.4%                       |
| Plumas          |   |                             | 0.08%                         | (66)                 | 1,851,517                         | 1,663,727                | 111.3%                      |
| Riverside       |   |                             | 5.35%                         | (4,698)              | 130,801,164                       | 146,241,646              | 89.4%                       |
| Sacramento      |   |                             | 4.25%                         | (3,731)              | 103,877,896                       | 116,258,997              | 89.4%                       |
| San Benito      |   |                             | 0.19%                         | (167)                | 4,662,270                         | 4,662,438                | 100.0%                      |
| San Bernardino  |   |                             | 5.59%                         | (4,912)              | 136,753,918                       | 153,053,479              | 89.4%                       |
| San Diego       |   |                             | 7.06%                         | (6,200)              | 172,634,884                       | 184,697,021              | 93.5%                       |
| San Francisco   |   |                             | 2.65%                         | (2,325)              | 64,726,725                        | 56,836,452               | 113.9%                      |
| San Joaquin     |   |                             | 2.02%                         | (1,774)              | 49,390,867                        | 55,277,714               | 89.4%                       |
| San Luis Obispo |   |                             | 0.73%                         | (641)                | 17,846,390                        | 19,973,484               | 89.4%                       |
| San Mateo       |   |                             | 1.81%                         | (1,586)              | 44,154,774                        | 47,815,932               | 92.3%                       |
| Santa Barbara   |   |                             | 1.10%                         | (969)                | 26,979,055                        | 28,186,948               | 95.7%                       |
| Santa Clara     |   |                             | 3.70%                         | (3,248)              | 90,419,847                        | 100,038,958              | 90.4%                       |
| Santa Cruz      |   |                             | 0.66%                         | (580)                | 16,139,246                        | 17,999,527               | 89.7%                       |
| Shasta          |   |                             | 0.63%                         | (551)                | 15,346,059                        | 17,175,140               | 89.4%                       |
| Sierra          | 950,000                                   | 39,713                      | -                             | -                    | 950,000                           | 392,700                  | 241.9%                      |
| Siskiyou        |   |                             | 0.17%                         | (149)                | 4,156,201                         | 4,651,574                | 89.4%                       |
| Solano          |   |                             | 1.19%                         | (1,044)              | 29,072,619                        | 31,142,713               | 93.4%                       |
| Sonoma          |   |                             | 1.20%                         | (1,057)              | 29,442,996                        | 32,952,277               | 89.4%                       |
| Stanislaus      |   |                             | 1.25%                         | (1,095)              | 30,477,032                        | 34,109,559               | 89.4%                       |
| Sutter          |   |                             | 0.32%                         | (279)                | 7,764,653                         | 8,690,114                | 89.4%                       |
| Tehama          |   |                             | 0.24%                         | (212)                | 5,911,447                         | 6,362,648                | 92.9%                       |
| Trinity         |   |                             | 0.08%                         | (74)                 | 2,063,322                         | 1,926,810                | 107.1%                      |
| Tulare          |   |                             | 1.24%                         | (1,091)              | 30,364,539                        | 33,983,658               | 89.4%                       |
| Tuolumne        |   |                             | 0.19%                         | (170)                | 4,734,028                         | 5,065,303                | 93.5%                       |
| Ventura         |   |                             | 1.75%                         | (1,538)              | 42,820,647                        | 47,700,002               | 89.8%                       |
| Yolo            |   |                             | 0.61%                         | (534)                | 14,863,935                        | 16,635,553               | 89.4%                       |
| Yuba            |   |                             | 0.25%                         | (222)                | 6,173,289                         | 5,301,565                | 116.4%                      |
| Unallocated     | -   | -                           | -                             | -                    | -                                 | -                        | -                           |
| <b>Total</b>    | <b>1,900,000</b>                          | <b>87,804</b>               | <b>100.00%</b>                | <b>(87,804)</b>      | <b>2,446,603,837</b>              | <b>2,679,306,170</b>     | <b>91.3%</b>                |

<sup>1</sup> Revenue does not reflect an allocation of funding to the trail courts, but is used in the calculation of the Workload Formula allocation.

| <b>Trial Court Trust Fund<br/>Fund Condition Statement<br/>2022-23 May Revision</b> |   |   |   |   |                      |                      |
|---|---|---|---|---|----------------------|----------------------|
|   | <b>Description</b>  | <b>YEAR END FINANCIAL STATEMENTS</b>      |   |   | <b>ESTIMATES</b>     |                      |
|   |   | <b>2018-19<br/>(Financial Statements)</b> | <b>2019-20<br/>(Financial Statements)</b> | <b>2020-21<br/>(Financial Statements)</b> | <b>2021-22</b>       | <b>2022-23</b>       |
| #   | A   | B   | C   | D   | E                    | F                    |
| 1   | <b>Beginning Fund Balance</b>                               | <b>60,478,281</b>                         | <b>71,630,938</b>                         | <b>84,663,432</b>                         | <b>162,032,593</b>   | <b>151,325,515</b>   |
| 2   | Prior-Year Adjustments                                      | 7,380,390                                 | (17,503,309)                              | 21,449,000                                | 1,407                | -                    |
| 3   | <b>TOTAL REVENUES AND TRANSFERS</b>                         | <b>1,314,999,921</b>                      | <b>1,332,994,770</b>                      | <b>1,200,868,158</b>                      | <b>1,100,976,000</b> | <b>1,199,017,000</b> |
| 4   | <i>Total Revenues</i> <sup>1</sup>                          | <i>1,295,031,921</i>                      | <i>1,213,958,770</i>                      | <i>1,182,553,158</i>                      | <i>1,181,661,000</i> | <i>1,179,540,000</i> |
| 5   | <b>Transfers/Charges/Reimbursements</b>                     |   |   |   |                      |                      |
| 6   | General Fund Loan - Statewide E-Filing                      | 491,000                                   |   | (1,162,000)                               |                      |                      |
| 7   | Reduction Offset Transfers                                  | 6,080,000                                 | 119,036,000                               | 19,477,000                                | (80,685,000)         | 19,477,000           |
| 8   | <i>FISCAL Assessment</i>                                    |   | <i>(359,000)</i>                          |   |                      |                      |
| 9   | Net Other Transfers/Charges/Reimbursements                  | 13,397,000                                | 13,315,000                                | 13,397,000                                | 13,397,000           | 13,397,000           |
| 10  | <b>Total Resources</b>                                      | <b>1,382,858,593</b>                      | <b>1,387,122,399</b>                      | <b>1,306,980,590</b>                      | <b>1,263,010,000</b> | <b>1,350,342,515</b> |
| 11  | <b>EXPENDITURES/ENCUMBRANCES/ALLOCATIONS</b>                |   |   |   |                      |                      |
| 12  | Program 0140010 - Judicial Council (Staff)                  | 3,446,535                                 | 3,346,279                                 | 3,688,354                                 | 4,627,000            | 4,627,000            |
| 13  | Program 0150010 - Support for Operation of the Trial Courts | 1,990,037,604                             | 2,073,267,359                             | 1,966,753,144                             | 2,202,105,000        | 2,501,990,000        |
| 14  | Program 0150011 - Court-Appointed Dependency Counsel        | 134,062,223                               | 156,700,000                               | 156,525,184                               | 166,700,000          | 156,700,000          |
| 15  | Program 0150019 - Compensation of Superior Court Judges     | 373,931,033                               | 423,408,027                               | 380,761,790                               | 400,267,000          | 422,654,000          |
| 16  | Program 0150028 - Assigned Judges                           | 22,372,129                                | 14,773,788                                | 14,218,450                                | 50,832,485           | 30,505,000           |
| 17  | Program 0150037 - Court Interpreters                        | 112,773,052                               | 134,228,000                               | 110,584,015                               | 132,058,000          | 135,827,000          |
| 18  | Program 0150075 - Grants                                    | 9,003,519                                 | 10,328,980                                | 10,328,980                                | 10,529,000           | 10,329,000           |
| 19  | Program 0150095 - Expenses on Behalf of the Trial Courts    | 8,950,559                                 | 9,139,535                                 | 12,703,251                                | 21,952,000           | 21,952,000           |
| 20  | <b>Total Local Assistance</b>                               | <b>2,651,130,120</b>                      | <b>2,821,845,689</b>                      | <b>2,652,100,000</b>                      | <b>2,984,443,485</b> | <b>3,279,957,000</b> |
| 21  | <i>FISCAL Assessment</i>                                    |   | <i>174,000</i>                            | <i>174,000</i>                            | <i>174,000</i>       | <i>174,000</i>       |
| 22  | <i>Pro Rata/State Ops</i>                                   | <i>176,000</i>                            | <i>66,000</i>                             | <i>209,643</i>                            | <i>210,000</i>       | <i>185,000</i>       |
| 23  | <i>Supplemental Pension Payments</i>                        | <i>98,000</i>                             | <i>76,000</i>                             | <i>76,000</i>                             | <i>76,000</i>        | <i>76,000</i>        |
| 24  | <b>Total Expenditures (includes State Ops and LA)</b>       | <b>2,654,576,655</b>                      | <b>2,825,191,967</b>                      | <b>2,655,788,354</b>                      | <b>2,989,070,485</b> | <b>3,284,584,000</b> |
| 25  | <i>Less Funding Provided by General Fund:</i>               | <i>1,343,623,000</i>                      | <i>1,523,049,000</i>                      | <i>1,511,300,000</i>                      | <i>1,877,846,000</i> | <i>2,072,147,000</i> |
| 26  | <b>Total Expenditures and Expenditure Adjustments</b>       | <b>1,311,227,655</b>                      | <b>1,302,458,967</b>                      | <b>1,144,947,997</b>                      | <b>1,111,684,485</b> | <b>1,212,872,000</b> |
| 27  | <b>Ending Fund Balance</b> <sup>2</sup>                     | <b>71,630,938</b>                         | <b>84,663,432</b>                         | <b>162,032,593</b>                        | <b>151,325,515</b>   | <b>137,470,515</b>   |
| 28  | <b>Restricted Funds</b>                                     |   |   |   |                      |                      |
| 29  | <b>Total Restricted/Reserved Funds</b>                      | <b>33,808,846</b>                         | <b>27,720,455</b>                         | <b>48,473,051</b>                         | <b>63,411,685</b>    | <b>61,253,084</b>    |
| 30  | <b>Ending Unrestricted Fund Balance</b>                     | <b>37,822,092</b>                         | <b>56,942,977</b>                         | <b>113,559,542</b>                        | <b>87,913,831</b>    | <b>76,217,431</b>    |

<sup>1</sup> Revenues reflect current projections as of February 2022 actuals.

<sup>2</sup> 2019-20 Fund Balance includes \$100M loan from the ICNA that was paid back in 2021-22.

JUDICIAL COUNCIL OF CALIFORNIA  
BUDGET SERVICES  
Report to the Judicial Branch Budget Committee

**(Action Item)**

**Title:** \$70 Million Pretrial Funding  
**Date:** June 1, 2022  
**Contact:** Martha Wright, Manager, Judicial Council Criminal Justice Services | 415-865-7649 | [martha.wright@jud.ca.gov](mailto:martha.wright@jud.ca.gov)

---

**Issue**

Senate Bill (SB) 129 provides \$70 million in ongoing funding beginning in 2021-22 to the Judicial Council for distribution to the courts for the implementation and operation of ongoing court programs and practices that promote the safe, efficient, fair, and timely pretrial release of individuals booked into jail.

Each court may retain up to 30 percent of the funding for costs associated with pretrial release programs and practices. Courts are required to contract for pretrial services with their county's probation department or other county department or agency—except for those that have primary responsibility for making arrests or prosecuting criminal offenses<sup>1</sup>—and provide that department with the remainder of the funds.

The Judicial Council receives five percent of the court's annual appropriation for administration of the Pretrial Release Program (Program) and reporting to the Legislature. The current annual budget available to the courts in 2022-23 for the Program is \$68.95 million.

Judicial Council Criminal Justice Services (CJS) staff present the 2022-23 allocations of the Program funding for approval and submission to the Judicial Council for consideration at its business meeting on July 15, 2022.

**Background**

SB 129 (Stats. 2021, ch. 69), amending the 2021 Budget Act, provided funding for “the implementation and operation of ongoing court programs and practices that promote the safe, efficient, fair, and timely pretrial release of individuals booked into jail.” (SB 129, sec. 4, item 0250-101-0001, provision 9.) SB 129 appropriated \$140 million in 2021-22 and, of this amount, \$70 million is ongoing funding to the Judicial Council for distribution to the courts for these activities.

---

<sup>1</sup> SB 129 specifically provides that the Santa Clara Superior Court may contract with the Office of Pretrial Services in that county, and that the San Francisco Superior Court may contract with the Sheriff's Office and the existing not-for-profit entity that is performing pretrial services in the city and county for pretrial assessment and supervision services.

JUDICIAL COUNCIL OF CALIFORNIA  
BUDGET SERVICES  
Report to the Judicial Branch Budget Committee

At its meeting on August 13, 2021, the Judicial Branch Budget Committee (Budget Committee) approved the Trial Court Budget Advisory Committee’s (TCBAC) recommendation to present the allocations to the Judicial Council for approval. At its October 1, 2021 business meeting, the Judicial Council approved the 2021–22 allocations of \$137.9 million for the trial courts in accordance with methodologies outlined in SB 129 and including minimum funding floors.<sup>2</sup>

**2021–22—One-Time Pretrial Release Funding for Non-Pretrial Pilot Program Courts**

Half of the 2021–22 funding (\$70 million) was distributed to the 41 courts that did not receive Pretrial Pilot Program funding in the 2019 Budget Act. The funding was allocated based on each county’s relative proportion of the state population 18 to 25 years of age. These funds are available for encumbrance or expenditure until June 30, 2024.

**2022–23—Ongoing Pretrial Release Funding for All Courts**

The Judicial Council is required to distribute \$70 million each year, beginning in 2021–22, to all courts based on each county’s relative proportion of the state population 18 to 25 years of age.<sup>3</sup> These funds must be encumbered or expended by June 30, 2023. The breakdown for these ongoing allocation recommendations is reflected in Attachment 4A.

**Funding Floor**

A minimum funding floor of \$200,000 is recommended for ongoing funding in 2022–23. This recommendation is based on analysis of planned budgets versus actual spending by small courts<sup>4</sup> participating in the Pretrial Pilot Program in 2019–20 and 2020–21. It is also equivalent to the floor used in the funding methodology for the California Community Corrections Performance Incentives Act of 2009 (SB 678).<sup>5</sup> CJS staff continue to monitor and evaluate whether the floor provides small and small-medium courts with the resources necessary to meet the mandates of the legislation. If this analysis changes, staff will return to the TCBAC and Budget Committee with a recommendation to rescind or adjust the floor.

For 2021–22, the adequacy of this floor has been difficult to fully evaluate because several important factors have delayed all trial courts and their partners from fully utilizing these funds. The COVID-19 pandemic has had a significant, direct impact on the starting of pretrial release

---

<sup>2</sup> Judicial Council of Cal., Budget Com. Rep., *Allocations and Reimbursements to Trial Courts: Pretrial Release Funding and Allocation Methodology* (Oct. 1, 2021), <https://jcc.legistar.com/View.ashx?M=F&ID=9814613&GUID=7AB3D35B-705F-4527-BFE9-C78FC9442FF6>.

<sup>3</sup> The U.S. Census Bureau five-year estimates based on each county’s relative proportion of the state population 18 to 25 years of age is complete and has all 58 counties’ population: <https://data.census.gov/cedsci/table?q=age%20by%20county&g=0400000US06.050000&tid=ACSST5Y2019.S0101&hidePreview=true&tp=true>.

The California Department of Finance (DOF) population data age categories do not match the age categories specified in the SB 129 language. The DOF broke down the 18-to-25 age category into two groups: 15 to 19 years of age and 20 to 24 years of age. SB 129 specified that the age group be between 18 and 25 years of age.

<sup>4</sup> The court-size category is based on the number of authorized judicial positions (AJPs) within a county: small (2–5 AJPs), small-medium (6–15 AJPs), medium (16–47 AJPs), and large (48+ AJPs).

<sup>5</sup> Stats. 2009, ch. 608, [www.courts.ca.gov/documents/sb678.pdf](http://www.courts.ca.gov/documents/sb678.pdf).

JUDICIAL COUNCIL OF CALIFORNIA  
BUDGET SERVICES  
**Report to the Judicial Branch Budget Committee**

programs or their continuing operations or both. This impact has led to delays in staffing as well as operational and logistical challenges. Many courts have not yet been able to secure memorandums of understanding with their pretrial services providers which has significantly delayed spending during the first fiscal year of the program. Hiring of pretrial officers—a substantial funding component—has also been delayed or curtailed in many instances. Physical access to defendants in the jails for pretrial interviews has been delayed and/or modified to adapt to COVID protocols and continues to limit the number of people eligible to be assessed and to participate in the Program.

**Recommendation**

The TCBAC recommends approval of the 2022–23 allocations for Pretrial Release funding, as outlined in Attachment 4A, for consideration by the Judicial Council at its July 15, 2022 business meeting.

**Attachments**

**Attachment 4A:** Recommended 2022–23 Pretrial Release Ongoing Allocations



**Attachment 4A: Recommended 2022–23 Pretrial Release Ongoing Allocations**

| <b>Court</b>   | <b>Total no. of 18–24 yr. olds*</b> | <b>% of 18–24 yr. olds in CA population</b> | <b>\$ allocation of \$68.95M, based on % of 18–24 yr. olds</b> |
|----------------|-------------------------------------|---|--|
| Alameda        | 136,997                             | 3.749%                                      | \$ 2,412,294   |
| Alpine         | N/A†                                | N/A†  | 200,000  |
| Amador         | N/A                                 | N/A   | 200,000  |
| Butte          | 32,344                              | 0.885%                                      | 569,525  |
| Calaveras      | N/A                                 | N/A   | 200,000  |
| Colusa         | N/A                                 | N/A   | 200,000  |
| Contra Costa   | 93,037                              | 2.546%                                      | 1,638,230  |
| Del Norte      | N/A                                 | N/A   | 200,000  |
| El Dorado      | 13,341                              | 0.365%                                      | 234,913  |
| Fresno         | 97,886                              | 2.678%                                      | 1,723,613  |
| Glenn          | N/A                                 | N/A   | 200,000  |
| Humboldt       | 17,036                              | 0.466%                                      | 299,976  |
| Imperial       | 18,338                              | 0.502%                                      | 322,902  |
| Inyo           | N/A                                 | N/A   | 200,000  |
| Kern           | 90,462                              | 2.475%                                      | 1,592,888  |
| Kings          | 16,621                              | 0.455%                                      | 292,669  |
| Lake           | N/A                                 | N/A   | 200,000  |
| Lassen         | N/A                                 | N/A   | 200,000  |
| Los Angeles    | 952,944                             | 26.076%                                     | 16,779,791   |
| Madera         | 15,152                              | 0.415%                                      | 266,802  |
| Marin          | 16,964                              | 0.464%                                      | 298,708  |
| Mariposa       | N/A                                 | N/A   | 200,000  |
| Mendocino      | N/A                                 | N/A   | 200,000  |
| Merced         | 30,639                              | 0.838%                                      | 539,503  |
| Modoc          | N/A                                 | N/A   | 200,000  |
| Mono           | N/A                                 | N/A   | 200,000  |
| Monterey       | 43,083                              | 1.179%                                      | 758,621  |
| Napa           | 12,011                              | 0.329%                                      | 211,494  |
| Nevada         | N/A                                 | N/A   | 200,000  |
| Orange         | 289,774                             | 7.929%                                      | 5,102,448  |
| Placer         | 28,723                              | 0.786%                                      | 505,765  |
| Plumas         | N/A                                 | N/A   | 200,000  |
| Riverside      | 236,238                             | 6.464%                                      | 4,159,766  |
| Sacramento     | 130,962                             | 3.584%                                      | 2,306,027  |
| San Benito     | N/A                                 | N/A   | 200,000  |
| San Bernardino | 223,409                             | 6.113%                                      | 3,933,868  |
| San Diego      | 340,813                             | 9.326%                                      | 6,001,161  |
| San Francisco  | 60,846                              | 1.665%                                      | 1,071,399  |
| San Joaquin    | 72,485                              | 1.983%                                      | 1,276,343  |

| <b>Court</b>    | <b>Total no. of 18–24 yr. olds*</b> | <b>% of 18–24 yr. olds in CA population</b> | <b>\$ allocation of \$68.95M, based on % of 18–24 yr. olds</b> |
|-----------------|-------------------------------------|---|--|
| San Luis Obispo | 42,626                              | 1.166%                                      | 750,574  |
| San Mateo       | 56,002                              | 1.532%                                      | 986,104  |
| Santa Barbara   | 69,751                              | 1.909%                                      | 1,228,201  |
| Santa Clara     | 161,684                             | 4.424%                                      | 2,846,992  |
| Santa Cruz      | 40,529                              | 1.109%                                      | 713,650  |
| Shasta          | 13,633                              | 0.373%                                      | 240,055  |
| Sierra          | N/A                                 | N/A   | 200,000  |
| Siskiyou        | N/A                                 | N/A   | 200,000  |
| Solano          | 38,611                              | 1.057%                                      | 679,877  |
| Sonoma          | 40,081                              | 1.097%                                      | 705,761  |
| Stanislaus      | 51,695                              | 1.415%                                      | 910,265  |
| Sutter          | N/A                                 | N/A   | 200,000  |
| Tehama          | N/A                                 | N/A   | 200,000  |
| Trinity         | N/A                                 | N/A   | 200,000  |
| Tulare          | 46,977                              | 1.285%                                      | 827,188  |
| Tuolumne        | N/A                                 | N/A   | 200,000  |
| Ventura         | 78,658                              | 2.152%                                      | 1,385,039  |
| Yolo            | 44,160                              | 1.208%                                      | 777,586  |
| Yuba            | N/A                                 | N/A   | 200,000  |
| <b>Total</b>    | <b>3,654,512</b>                    |   | <b>\$ 68,950,000</b>   |

\* Source:

<https://data.census.gov/cedsci/table?q=age%20by%20county&q=0400000US06.050000&tid=ACSSST5Y2019.S0101&hidePreview=true&tp=true>

† For courts that indicate “N/A,” a minimal funding floor of \$200,000 has been imposed to ensure adequate funding for small and small-medium courts to meet the legislative mandate.

JUDICIAL COUNCIL OF CALIFORNIA  
BUDGET SERVICES  
Report to the Judicial Branch Budget Committee  
(Action Item)

**Title:** Workload Formula Impact from Remote Appearance Fee Revenue

**Date:** 5/17/2022

**Contact:** Oksana Tuk, Senior Analyst, Judicial Council Budget Services  
916-643-8027 | [oksana.tuk@jud.ca.gov](mailto:oksana.tuk@jud.ca.gov)

---

### **Issue**

Consideration of Trial Court Budget Advisory Committee (TCBAC) recommendations on returning fees imposed under Government Code (GC) section 70630—videoconferencing or remote appearance—back to courts dollar-for-dollar retroactive to January 1, 2022 and excluding this revenue stream from the Workload Formula effective July 1, 2022 for consideration by the Judicial Council at its July 14-15, 2022 business meeting.

### **Background**

#### **Government Code**

GC section 70630 states:

*If the court has made videoconferencing services available, the clerk of the court shall charge a reasonable fee to cover the costs of permitting parties to appear by videoconferencing. This fee shall be deposited into the Trial Court Trust Fund.*

GC section 70630 fees are currently deposited into the Trial Court Trust Fund (TCTF) on a statewide level and are dispersed to courts through the regular council-approved allocation process. It is the authority of the council to allocate funds from the TCTF, and GC section 70630 does not prescribe the specific distribution of fees collected for these appearances.

#### **California Rules of Court, Rule 3.672**

On December 28, 2021, the Judicial Council adopted California Rules of Court (CRC), rule 3.672, effective January 1, 2022, which outlined provisions for charging videoconferencing fees to parties in civil cases.<sup>1</sup> Specifically, videoconference fees are charged pursuant to GC section 70630 if parties have paid a filing fee and do not have a fee waiver.<sup>2</sup> Rule 3.672 does not prescribe the specific distribution of fees collected for these appearances.

---

<sup>1</sup> Circulating Order Memorandum to the Judicial Council (December 28, 2021), <https://jcc.legistar.com/View.ashx?M=A&ID=918636&GUID=BFA5B7E4-6AD9-42AA-BA44-3CCE361CDD7F>; Circulating Order minutes (December 28, 2021), <https://jcc.legistar.com/View.ashx?M=M&ID=918636&GUID=BFA5B7E4-6AD9-42AA-BA44-3CCE361CDD7F>.

<sup>2</sup> CRC, Rule 3.672. Remote proceedings, [https://www.courts.ca.gov/cms/rules/index.cfm?title=three&linkid=rule3\\_672](https://www.courts.ca.gov/cms/rules/index.cfm?title=three&linkid=rule3_672).

JUDICIAL COUNCIL OF CALIFORNIA  
 BUDGET SERVICES  
 Report to the Judicial Branch Budget Committee  
 (Action Item)

**Prior Judicial Council Action**

In January 2020, the council considered a recommendation regarding court reporter fees in hearings lasting more than one hour pursuant to GC section 68086(a)(2).<sup>3</sup>

The TCBAC recommended, and the council approved, that fees collected under GC section 68086(a)(2)—court reporter services in civil proceedings lasting *more than one hour*—be distributed back to trial courts on a dollar-for-dollar basis after deposit into the TCTF and that this revenue stream be excluded from the Workload Formula, for consistency in allowing courts to offset costs as provided in GC section 68086(a)(1)—court reporter services in civil proceedings lasting *less than one hour*.

**Workload Formula Impact**

On July 19, 2019, the council approved a recommendation to adjust each court’s workload allocation to include net civil assessments and specific general ledger accounts as part of the Workload Formula effective with 2019-20 allocations.<sup>4</sup>

The Workload Formula is for standard, core business operations. Costs associated with activities that are not captured in the Resource Assessment Study and/or not included in the Workload Formula (e.g., interpreter staff and court reporter staff in non-mandated areas) are excluded. Therefore, it was determined that revenues due to court reporter proceedings under one hour would not be included in the Workload Formula.

**Revenues**

The revenues collected under GC section 70630 have ranged in the hundreds of dollars statewide in recent years as shown in Table 1 below. However, it is anticipated that these revenue amounts will increase based on the change in CRC rule 3.672.

**Table 1 – GC 70630 Remote Appearance Fee Revenues Deposited into the TCTF**

| 2017-18 | 2018-19 | 2019-20 | 2020-21 | 2021-22* |
|---------|---------|---------|---------|----------|
| \$930   | \$831   | \$139   | \$589   | \$361    |

*\*Revenues through February 2022.*

<sup>3</sup> Judicial Council meeting report (January 17, 2020), <https://jcc.legistar.com/View.ashx?M=F&ID=7972056&GUID=D1E7E13B-D919-4FE6-91B6-008A003F8672>; Judicial Council meeting minutes (January 17, 2020), <https://jcc.legistar.com/View.ashx?M=M&ID=711572&GUID=AC46528C-6E37-406A-A1CE-B41CC33E29EB>.

<sup>4</sup> Judicial Council meeting report (July 19, 2019), <https://jcc.legistar.com/View.ashx?M=F&ID=7338800&GUID=9284F0B3-BCAE-4C0C-A110-49AA99D8A139>; Judicial Council meeting minutes (July 19, 2019), <https://jcc.legistar.com/View.ashx?M=M&ID=640299&GUID=79BFCFF3-78C5-45FE-909E-190F0A45083B>.

JUDICIAL COUNCIL OF CALIFORNIA  
BUDGET SERVICES  
Report to the Judicial Branch Budget Committee  
(Action Item)

**Trial Court Impact**

Excluding GC section 70630 fees for remote appearances from the Workload Formula allocation is consistent with other fees such as court reporter fees and would enable courts to offset the costs of these services with the associated fees without the amount impacting how their Workload Formula allocation is calculated.

**Recommendation**

The TCBAC recommends the following for consideration by the Judicial Council at its July 14-15, 2022 business meeting:

1. Approve that the fees deposited into the TCTF as a result of GC section 70630 be distributed back to courts on an ongoing, dollar-for-dollar basis, retroactive to January 1, 2022, when CRC rule 3.672 was effective; and
2. Exclude revenues collected under GC section 70630 as a funding category in the Workload Formula methodology for “Other Local Revenues” effective July 1, 2022.

JUDICIAL COUNCIL OF CALIFORNIA  
BUDGET SERVICES  
Report to the Judicial Branch Budget Committee  
(Information Only)

**Title:** 2022-23 Self-Help Annual Update  
**Date:** 4/27/2022  
**Contact:** Nicholas Armstrong, Senior Research Analyst, Office of Court Research,  
Business Management Services

---

**Issue**

This report is to inform the trial courts of the latest three-year population average derived from data published by the California Department of Finance. Specifically, this report serves to keep the courts aware of population shifts and how those shifts could impact self-help funding allocations when the next three-year update is conducted in 2024-25.

**Background**

At its September 21, 2018 business meeting, the Judicial Council adopted the following policy recommendations for the allocation of self-help funding effective for the 2019–20 allocations and ongoing<sup>1</sup>:

1. Adopt a three-year population update schedule using rolling three-year average population data;
2. Provide annual population updates to trial courts using rolling three-year average data for informational purposes only; and
3. Maintain the current self-help allocation baseline of \$34,000 per court and revisit in 2021 after the November 30, 2020 report to the Legislature.

On July 9, 2021, the council voted to approve the continued use of the \$34,000 base with the remainder of the funds allocated by proportion of state population.<sup>2</sup> The three previous recommendations were retained.

This report responds to #2 of the approved council recommendations to provide a yearly, informational only update of the rolling three-year population average. This will keep courts aware of population shifts and allow preparation for potential funding changes that could occur

---

<sup>1</sup> Judicial Council meeting report (September 21, 2018), <https://jcc.legistar.com/View.ashx?M=F&ID=6631465&GUID=98405B9A-39EF-4D54-8C11-BAC963D1239D>; Judicial Council meeting minutes (September 21, 2018), <https://jcc.legistar.com/View.ashx?M=M&ID=559788&GUID=1AF2481A-79EE-44AD-A8E6-1D5F9E02CC7A>.

<sup>2</sup> Judicial Council meeting report (July 9, 2021), <https://jcc.legistar.com/View.ashx?M=F&ID=9481308&GUID=D05A08D1-E39B-4880-BCA1-A551C9B8F20C>; Judicial Council meeting minutes (July 9, 2021), <https://jcc.legistar.com/View.ashx?M=M&ID=803683&GUID=7A91FDD5-4839-4018-9831-79E23D4383BF>.

from the allocation recalculation done every three years. The next allocation recalculation is scheduled for 2024-25.

### Population Update

The allocation methodology for self-help funding has two components; a baseline level of funding and then a proportionate share of funding based on county population relative to the total state population. The allocation of self-help funds through 2023-24 will remain unchanged and is based on the three-year average of population data using 2018, 2019, and 2020 data<sup>3</sup>. For purposes of informing the courts of population changes that may impact future allocations, an informational update of the most current three-year average of population data (2019, 2020, 2021) is provided.

Table 1 shows the current three-year population average used for the self-help allocation (2018-2020) with the corresponding percentage of the state total. This is followed by the updated three-year population average (2019-2021) with the new corresponding percentage of the state population. The population averages are shown in columns A and C, while the proportions to the state total are shown in columns B and D. Column E shows the percent change in population, whereas column F shows the change in the percentage of the state total.

**Table 1: Population Average, Percentage of State Total, Percent Change in Population Average, and Change in State Population**

| County       | Allocation Population (3-Year Avg. 2018-20) | (%) of State Population | Informational Population Update (3-Year Avg. 2019-21) | (%) of State Population | (%) Change in Population Avg. | Change in (%) of State Population (D - B) |
|--------------|---|-------------------------|---|-------------------------|-------------------------------|---|
|              | A   | B                       | C   | D                       | E                             | F   |
| Alameda      | 1,666,779                                   | 4.184%                  | 1,659,771   | 4.194%                  | -0.42%                        | 0.010%                                    |
| Alpine       | 1,153                                       | 0.003%                  | 1,143   | 0.003%                  | -0.81%                        | 0.000%                                    |
| Amador       | 38,021                                      | 0.095%                  | 37,602  | 0.095%                  | -1.10%                        | 0.000%                                    |
| Butte        | 221,459                                     | 0.556%                  | 210,825   | 0.533%                  | -4.80%                        | -0.023%                                   |
| Calaveras    | 45,099                                      | 0.113%                  | 45,048  | 0.114%                  | -0.11%                        | 0.001%                                    |
| Colusa       | 22,039                                      | 0.055%                  | 22,073  | 0.056%                  | 0.16%                         | 0.000%                                    |
| Contra Costa | 1,152,934                                   | 2.894%                  | 1,150,443   | 2.907%                  | -0.22%                        | 0.013%                                    |
| Del Norte    | 27,307                                      | 0.069%                  | 27,108  | 0.069%                  | -0.73%                        | 0.000%                                    |
| El Dorado    | 191,158                                     | 0.480%                  | 192,857   | 0.487%                  | 0.89%                         | 0.008%                                    |
| Fresno       | 1,016,276                                   | 2.551%                  | 1,019,993   | 2.577%                  | 0.37%                         | 0.027%                                    |
| Glenn        | 29,109                                      | 0.073%                  | 29,307  | 0.074%                  | 0.68%                         | 0.001%                                    |
| Humboldt     | 134,879                                     | 0.339%                  | 132,464   | 0.335%                  | -1.79%                        | -0.004%                                   |
| Imperial     | 189,889                                     | 0.477%                  | 187,669   | 0.474%                  | -1.17%                        | -0.002%                                   |
| Inyo         | 18,585                                      | 0.047%                  | 18,572  | 0.047%                  | -0.07%                        | 0.000%                                    |

<sup>3</sup> E-1: California Department of Finance, Demographic Research Unit, Population Estimates for Cities and Counties and the State.

| County          | Allocation Population (3-Year Avg. 2018-20) | (%) of State Population | Informational Population Update (3-Year Avg. 2019-21) | (%) of State Population | (%) Change in Population Avg. | Change in (%) of State Population (D - B) |
|-----------------|---|-------------------------|---|-------------------------|-------------------------------|---|
|                 | A   | B                       | C   | D                       | E                             | F   |
| Kern            | 913,273                                     | 2.292%                  | 912,695   | 2.306%                  | -0.06%                        | 0.014%                                    |
| Kings           | 152,993                                     | 0.384%                  | 152,831   | 0.386%                  | -0.11%                        | 0.002%                                    |
| Lake            | 64,731                                      | 0.162%                  | 64,044  | 0.162%                  | -1.06%                        | -0.001%                                   |
| Lassen          | 29,965                                      | 0.075%                  | 28,491  | 0.072%                  | -4.92%                        | -0.003%                                   |
| Los Angeles     | 10,236,799                                  | 25.695%                 | 10,114,404  | 25.558%                 | -1.20%                        | -0.136%                                   |
| Madera          | 158,859                                     | 0.399%                  | 158,348   | 0.400%                  | -0.32%                        | 0.001%                                    |
| Marin           | 262,532                                     | 0.659%                  | 259,880   | 0.657%                  | -1.01%                        | -0.002%                                   |
| Mariposa        | 18,088                                      | 0.045%                  | 18,059  | 0.046%                  | -0.16%                        | 0.000%                                    |
| Mendocino       | 88,751                                      | 0.223%                  | 87,527  | 0.221%                  | -1.38%                        | -0.002%                                   |
| Merced          | 282,142                                     | 0.708%                  | 282,876   | 0.715%                  | 0.26%                         | 0.007%                                    |
| Modoc           | 9,595                                       | 0.024%                  | 9,563   | 0.024%                  | -0.33%                        | 0.000%                                    |
| Mono            | 13,634                                      | 0.034%                  | 13,423  | 0.034%                  | -1.55%                        | 0.000%                                    |
| Monterey        | 443,279                                     | 1.113%                  | 439,303   | 1.110%                  | -0.90%                        | -0.003%                                   |
| Napa            | 140,387                                     | 0.352%                  | 138,748   | 0.351%                  | -1.17%                        | -0.002%                                   |
| Nevada          | 98,724                                      | 0.248%                  | 97,646  | 0.247%                  | -1.09%                        | -0.001%                                   |
| Orange          | 3,212,644                                   | 8.064%                  | 3,173,211   | 8.018%                  | -1.23%                        | -0.045%                                   |
| Placer          | 396,645                                     | 0.996%                  | 399,785   | 1.010%                  | 0.79%                         | 0.015%                                    |
| Plumas          | 19,271                                      | 0.048%                  | 18,220  | 0.046%                  | -5.45%                        | -0.002%                                   |
| Riverside       | 2,432,794                                   | 6.106%                  | 2,438,076   | 6.161%                  | 0.22%                         | 0.054%                                    |
| Sacramento      | 1,543,680                                   | 3.875%                  | 1,550,742   | 3.919%                  | 0.46%                         | 0.044%                                    |
| San Benito      | 60,579                                      | 0.152%                  | 62,483  | 0.158%                  | 3.14%                         | 0.006%                                    |
| San Bernardino  | 2,182,559                                   | 5.478%                  | 2,172,403   | 5.490%                  | -0.47%                        | 0.011%                                    |
| San Diego       | 3,344,199                                   | 8.394%                  | 3,326,667   | 8.406%                  | -0.52%                        | 0.012%                                    |
| San Francisco   | 888,546                                     | 2.230%                  | 883,893   | 2.234%                  | -0.52%                        | 0.003%                                    |
| San Joaquin     | 767,587                                     | 1.927%                  | 773,804   | 1.955%                  | 0.81%                         | 0.029%                                    |
| San Luis Obispo | 279,251                                     | 0.701%                  | 275,280   | 0.696%                  | -1.42%                        | -0.005%                                   |
| San Mateo       | 773,961                                     | 1.943%                  | 769,155   | 1.944%                  | -0.62%                        | 0.001%                                    |
| Santa Barbara   | 453,297                                     | 1.138%                  | 447,159   | 1.130%                  | -1.35%                        | -0.008%                                   |
| Santa Clara     | 1,957,618                                   | 4.914%                  | 1,941,357   | 4.906%                  | -0.83%                        | -0.008%                                   |
| Santa Cruz      | 274,323                                     | 0.689%                  | 267,770   | 0.677%                  | -2.39%                        | -0.012%                                   |
| Shasta          | 178,363                                     | 0.448%                  | 177,655   | 0.449%                  | -0.40%                        | 0.001%                                    |
| Sierra          | 3,207                                       | 0.008%                  | 3,199   | 0.008%                  | -0.24%                        | 0.000%                                    |
| Siskiyou        | 44,552                                      | 0.112%                  | 44,461  | 0.112%                  | -0.21%                        | 0.001%                                    |
| Solano          | 440,441                                     | 1.106%                  | 438,648   | 1.108%                  | -0.41%                        | 0.003%                                    |
| Sonoma          | 498,996                                     | 1.253%                  | 490,493   | 1.239%                  | -1.70%                        | -0.013%                                   |
| Stanislaus      | 557,435                                     | 1.399%                  | 554,677   | 1.402%                  | -0.49%                        | 0.002%                                    |
| Sutter          | 98,493                                      | 0.247%                  | 101,770   | 0.257%                  | 3.33%                         | 0.010%                                    |
| Tehama          | 64,518                                      | 0.162%                  | 65,006  | 0.164%                  | 0.76%                         | 0.002%                                    |



| County       | Allocation Population (3-Year Avg. 2018-20) | (%) of State Population | Informational Population Update (3-Year Avg. 2019-21) | (%) of State Population | (%) Change in Population Avg. | Change in (%) of State Population (D - B) |
|--------------|---|-------------------------|---|-------------------------|-------------------------------|---|
|              | A   | B                       | C   | D                       | E                             | F   |
| Trinity      | 13,624                                      | 0.034%                  | 13,574  | 0.034%                  | -0.36%                        | 0.000%                                    |
| Tulare       | 478,308                                     | 1.201%                  | 478,890   | 1.210%                  | 0.12%                         | 0.010%                                    |
| Tuolumne     | 54,749                                      | 0.137%                  | 54,307  | 0.137%                  | -0.81%                        | 0.000%                                    |
| Ventura      | 852,852                                     | 2.141%                  | 840,234   | 2.123%                  | -1.48%                        | -0.017%                                   |
| Yolo         | 221,852                                     | 0.557%                  | 219,702   | 0.555%                  | -0.97%                        | -0.002%                                   |
| Yuba         | 77,177                                      | 0.194%                  | 78,380  | 0.198%                  | 1.56%                         | 0.004%                                    |
| <b>Total</b> | <b>39,839,959</b>                           | <b>100%</b>             | <b>39,573,718</b>                                     | <b>100%</b>             |                               |   |

JUDICIAL COUNCIL OF CALIFORNIA  
BUDGET SERVICES  
Report to the Judicial Branch Budget Committee  
(Information Only)

**Title:** Trial Court Trust Fund (TCTF) Funds Held on Behalf Expenditure Reporting

**Date:** 5/5/2022

**Contact:** Catrayel Wood, Senior Analyst, Judicial Council Budget Services  
916-643-7008 | [Catrayel.Wood@jud.ca.gov](mailto:Catrayel.Wood@jud.ca.gov)

---

**Issue**

Upon completion of TCTF Funds Held on Behalf (FHOB) projects or planned expenditures, courts are required to report to the Trial Court Budget Advisory Committee (TCBAC) within 90 days on the project or planned expenditure and how the funds were expended.

**Background**

Government Code section 68502.5(c)(2)(A) requires the Judicial Council, when setting the allocations for trial courts, to set a preliminary allocation in July of each fiscal year. In January of each fiscal year, after review of available trial court reserves as of June 30 of the prior fiscal year, the Judicial Council is required to finalize allocations and each court's final allocation is offset by the amount of reserves that exceed the amount authorized to be carried over pursuant to Government Code section 77203(b). Under this section, a trial court may, beginning June 30, 2014 and concluding June 30, 2019, carryover unexpended funds in an amount not to exceed 1 percent of the court's operating budget from the prior fiscal year. Effective June 30, 2020, the carryover amount increased to 3 percent.

At its business meeting on July 29, 2014, the Judicial Council approved an annual process beginning in 2015-16 for courts to provide preliminary and final computations of the portion of their ending fund balance that is subject to the 1 percent cap.<sup>1</sup>

At its business meeting on April 15, 2016, the Judicial Council adopted a process, criteria, and procedures for trial courts to request that TCTF-reduced allocations related to the 1 percent fund balance cap be retained in the TCTF as restricted fund balance for the benefit of those courts that make the request. The FHOB process is intended only for expenditures that cannot be funded by a court's annual budget or three-year encumbrance term and that require multiyear savings to implement. The process also requires reporting on the use of the funds.<sup>2</sup>

---

<sup>1</sup> Judicial Council meeting report (July 29, 2014), <https://www.courts.ca.gov/documents/jc-20140729-itemC.pdf>;  
Judicial Council meeting minutes (July 29, 2014), <https://www.courts.ca.gov/documents/jc-20140729-minutes.pdf>.

<sup>2</sup> Judicial Council meeting report (April 15, 2016), <https://jcc.legistar.com/View.ashx?M=F&ID=4378277&GUID=57D6B686-EA95-497E-9A07-226CA724ADCB>;  
Judicial Council meeting minutes (April 15, 2016), <https://jcc.legistar.com/View.ashx?M=M&ID=463457&GUID=194A3350-D97F-452B-ACF4-1EBE6C105CCA>.

JUDICIAL COUNCIL OF CALIFORNIA  
 BUDGET SERVICES  
 Report to the Trial Court Budget Advisory Committee  
 (Information Only)

The Judicial Council adopted revisions to the policy, including streamlining the submission schedule, making a change to the recipient of the request, and providing language corrections to better align with court year-end closing, trial court allocation offsets, and requests to amend previously reviewed requests at its business meeting on January 17, 2020 (see Attachment 2A).<sup>3</sup>

Judicial Council Budget Services staff submitted its initial expenditure report to the TCBCAC at its July 25, 2019 meeting and established quarterly reporting on the status of FHOB projects or planned expenditures from those courts that indicate completion.<sup>4</sup>

**Report of Status**

In April 2022, Judicial Council Budget Services staff requested a status on projects or planned expenditures from those courts that indicated completion through April 29, 2022:

| Court  | Council Approval Date | Project or Planned Expenditure | Completion Date | Approved FHOB    | Expended FHOB    | Unspent FHOB Returning to TCTF |
|--------|-----------------------|--------------------------------|-----------------|------------------|------------------|--------------------------------|
| Orange | 1/22/21               | Case Management System         | 09/13/21        | \$885,469        | \$885,469        | \$0                            |
|        |                       |                                |                 | <b>\$885,469</b> | <b>\$885,469</b> | <b>\$0</b>                     |

Details of the funds held on behalf process are included as Attachment Info 2A and the project completion form for Orange Superior Court is included as Attachment Info 2B.

**Attachments**

**Attachment Info 2A:** *Summary of Recommended Process, Criteria, and Required Information for Trial Court Trust Fund Fund Balance Held on Behalf of the Courts*

**Attachment Info 2B:** Funds Held on Behalf of the Court Project Completion Reporting

<sup>3</sup> Judicial Council meeting report (January 17, 2020), <https://jcc.legistar.com/View.ashx?M=F&ID=7977186&GUID=6B519461-BD50-4F19-9B80-CD40F8FD64FE>; Judicial Council meeting minutes (January 17, 2020), <https://jcc.legistar.com/View.ashx?M=M&ID=711572&GUID=AC46528C-6E37-406A-A1CE-B41CC33E29EB>.  
<sup>4</sup> TCBCAC meeting report (July 25, 2019), <https://www.courts.ca.gov/documents/tcbac-20190725-materials.pdf>; TCBCAC meeting minutes (July 25, 2019), <https://www.courts.ca.gov/documents/tcbac-20190725-minutes.pdf>.

## **Summary of Recommended Process, Criteria, and Required Information for Trial Court Trust Fund Fund Balance Held on Behalf of the Courts**

### **Recommended Process for Trial Court Trust Fund Fund Balance Held on Behalf of the Courts**

1. Trial Court Trust Fund fund balance will be held on behalf of trial courts only for expenditures or projects that cannot be funded by a court's annual budget or three-year encumbrance term and that require multiyear savings to implement.
  - a. Categories or activities include, but are not limited to:
    - i) Projects that extend beyond the original planned three-year term process such as expenses related to the delayed opening of new facilities or delayed deployment of new information systems;
    - ii) Technology improvements or infrastructure such as installing a local data center, data center equipment replacement, case management system deployment, converting to a VoIP telephone system, desktop computer replacement, and replacement of backup emergency power systems;
    - iii) Facilities maintenance and repair allowed under rule 10.810 of the California Rules of Court such as flooring replacement and renovation as well as professional facilities maintenance equipment;
    - iv) Court efficiencies projects such as online and smart forms for court users and RFID systems for tracking case files; and
    - v) Other court infrastructure projects such as vehicle replacement and copymachine replacement.
  
2. The submission, review, and approval process is as follows:
  - a. All requests will be submitted to the Judicial Council for consideration.
  - b. Requests will be submitted to the *director of Budget Services* by the court's presiding judge or court executive officer.
  - c. *Budget Services* staff will review the request, ask the court to provide any missing or incomplete information, draft a preliminary report, share the preliminary report with the court for its comments, revise as necessary, and issue the report to the *Fiscal Planning Subcommittee* of the Trial Court Budget Advisory Committee (TCBAC); the *subcommittee* will meet to review the request, hear any presentation of the court representative, and ask questions of the representative if one participates on behalf of the court; and *Budget Services* office staff will issue a final report on behalf of the *subcommittee* for the council.
  - d. The final report to the *subcommittee* and the Judicial Council will be provided to the requesting court before the report is made publicly available on the California Courts website.
  - e. The court may send a representative to the *subcommittee* and Judicial Council meetings to present its request and respond to questions.

3. To be considered at a scheduled Judicial Council business meeting, requests must be submitted to the *director of Budget Services* at least 40 business days (approximately eight weeks) before that business meeting.
4. The Judicial Council may consider including appropriate terms and conditions that courts must accept for the council to approve designating TCTF fund balance on the court's behalf.
  - a. Failure to comply with the terms and conditions would result in the immediate change in the designation of the related TCTF fund balance from restricted to unrestricted and no longer held on behalf of the court unless the council specifies an alternative action.
5. Approved requests that courts subsequently determine need to be revised to reflect a change (1) in the amounts by year to be distributed to the court for the planned annual expenditures and/or encumbrances, (2) in the total amount of the planned expenditures, or (3) of more than 10 percent of the total request among the categories of expense will need to be amended and resubmitted following the submission, review, and approval process discussed in 1–3 above.
  - a. Denied revised requests will result in the immediate change in the designation of the related TCTF fund balance from restricted to unrestricted and no longer held on behalf of the court unless the council specifies an alternative action.
6. Approved requests that courts subsequently determine have a change in purpose will need to be amended and resubmitted following the submission, review, and approval process discussed in 1–3 above, along with a request that the TCTF funds held on behalf of the court for the previously approved request continue to be held on behalf of the court for this new purpose.
  - a. Denied new requests tied to previously approved requests will result in the immediate change in the designation of the related TCTF fund balance from restricted to unrestricted and no longer held on behalf of the court unless the council specifies an alternative action.
7. On completion of the project or planned expenditure, courts are required to report to the Trial Court Budget Advisory Committee within 90 days on the project or planned expenditure and how the funds were expended.
8. As part of the courts' audits in the scope of the normal audit cycle, a review of any funds that were held on behalf of the courts will be made to confirm that they were used for their stated approved purpose.

## **Recommended Criteria for Eligibility for TCTF Fund Balance Held on Behalf of the Courts**

TCTF fund balance will be held on behalf of the trial courts only for expenditures or projects that cannot be funded by the court's annual budget or three-year encumbrance term and that require multiyear savings to implement.

## **Recommended Information Required to Be Provided by Trial Courts for TCTF Fund Balance Held on Behalf of the Courts**

Below is the information required to be provided by trial courts on the *Application for TCTF Funds Held on Behalf of the Court*:

### **SECTION I**

#### **General Information**

- Superior court
- Date of submission
- Person authorizing the request
- Contact person and contact information
- Time period covered by the request (includes contribution and expenditure)
- Requested amount
- A description providing a brief summary of the request

### **SECTION II**

#### **Amended Request Changes**

- Sections and answers amended
- A summary of changes to request

### **SECTION III**

#### **Trial Court Operations and Access to Justice**

- An explanation as to why the request does not fit within the court's annual operational budget process and the three-year encumbrance term
- A description of how the request will enhance the efficiency and/or effectiveness of court operations, and/or increase the availability of court services and programs
- If a cost efficiency, cost comparison (*table template provided*)
- A description of the consequences to the court's operations if the court request is not approved
- A description of the consequences to the public and access to justice if the court request is not approved
- The alternatives that the court has identified if the request is not approved, and the reason why holding funding in the TCTF is the preferred alternative

## **SECTION IV**

### **Financial Information**

- Three-year history of year-end fund balances, revenues, and expenditures (*table template provided*)
- Current detailed budget projections for the fiscal years during which the trial court would either be contributing to the TCTF fund balance held on the court's behalf or receiving distributions from the TCTF fund balance held on the court's behalf (*table template provided*)
- Identification of all costs, by category and amount, needed to fully implement the project (*table template provided*)
- A specific funding and expenditure schedule identifying the amounts to be contributed and expended, by fiscal year (*table template provided*)

## FUNDS HELD ON BEHALF OF THE COURT PROJECT COMPLETION REPORTING

|   |   |
|---|---|
| <b>REQUEST NUMBER:</b> 30-2015-1  |   |
| <b>SECTION I: GENERAL INFORMATION</b>   |   |
| <b>SUPERIOR COURT:</b><br>Orange  |   |
| <b>JC APPROVED DATE:</b><br>1/22/2021   | <b>JC APPROVED AMOUNT:</b><br>\$885,469 |
| <b>REASON PROVIDED ON APPLICATION:</b><br><br>In support of the Court's long-term business objectives, cost savings measures, and technology goals, the Court's leadership initiated the implementation of a new Case Management System ("CMS") from Tyler Technologies ("Tyler") to replace the Court's outdated Banner CMS, which supports Family Law and Juvenile case types. The implementation plan also included the conversion of the Civil Cashiering System ("CCS"). As such, the Court required professional and consulting services to assist with a gap analysis, configuration, data conversion, testing, and implementation. Services included technical project management support; inventory, assessment, and recommendations for Family Law, Juvenile Dependency, Juvenile Delinquency, and CCS data conversion; programming; and other services to ensure the Court's successful conversion to Tyler's Odyssey CMS. |   |
| <b>SECTION II: PROJECT STATUS OF COMPLETION (TO BE COMPLETED BY COURT)</b>  |   |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <b>PROJECT COMPLETE</b>   |   |
| <i>Per Judicial Council policy, "On completion of the project or planned expenditure, courts are required to report to the Trial Court Budget Advisory Committee within 90 days on the project or planned expenditure and how the funds were expended."</i>   |   |
| <b>PLEASE PROVIDE A DESCRIPTION OF HOW THE FUNDS WERE EXPENDED:</b><br>Tyler deliverables: \$445,116<br>Tyler software maintenance for FY 2020-21 and FY 2021-22: \$440,353   |   |
| <b>TOTAL COST OF PROJECT OR PLANNED EXPENDITURE:</b> \$885,469  |   |
| <b>COMPLETION DATE OF PROJECT:</b> 9/13/2021  |   |
| <input type="checkbox"/> <b>PROJECT NOT COMPLETED</b>   |   |
| <b>PLEASE PROVIDE A PROGRESS REPORT:</b>  |   |
| <b>ESTIMATED DATE OF COMPLETION:</b> <a href="#">Click here to enter a date.</a>  |   |
| <b>CONTACT PERSON AND CONTACT INFO:</b><br>Katrina Coreces<br>kcoreces@occourts.org   |   |
| <b>PERSON AUTHORIZING REQUEST</b> ( <i>Presiding Judge or Court Executive Officer</i> ):  |   |