



JUDICIAL COUNCIL OF CALIFORNIA

INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY
ADVISORY COMMITTEE

www.courts.ca.gov/itac.htm
itac@jud.ca.gov

INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY ADVISORY COMMITTEE

MINUTES OF OPEN MEETING

June 29, 2022

12:00 PM to 1:00 PM

Videoconferencing

Advisory Body Members Present: Hon. Sheila F. Hanson, Chair; Hon. Louis R. Mauro, Vice Chair; Mr. Mike Baliel; Mr. Jake Chatters; Mr. Brian Cotta; Mr. Adam Creiglow; Hon. Julie R. Culver; Hon. Tara Desautels; Hon. Michael S. Groch; Hon. Samantha P. Jessner; Hon. Kimberly Menninger; Hon. James Mize; Mr. Snorri Ogata; Mr. Darrel Parker; Hon. Donald Segerstrom; Mr. Anh Tran; Ms. Jeannette Vannoy; Mr. Don Willenburg; and Mr. David H. Yamasaki

Advisory Body Members Absent: Assembly Member Marc Berman; Hon. Truc T. Do; Ms. Alexandra Grimwade; Senator Robert Hertzberg; Hon. Bruce Smith, and Hon. Theodore Zayner

Others Present: Hon. Kyle S. Brodie; Ms. Heather Pettit; Ms. Jamel Jones; Ms. Camilla Kieliger, and other JCC staff present

OPEN MEETING

Call to Order and Roll Call

The chair called the meeting to order at 12:00 PM and took roll call.

Approval of Minutes

The advisory body reviewed and approved the minutes of the May 25, 2022, Information Technology Advisory Committee meeting.

There were no public comments submitted for this meeting.

DISCUSSION AND ACTION ITEMS (ITEMS 1 – 5)

Item 1

Chair's Report

Presenter: Hon. Sheila F. Hanson, Chair

Update: Judge Hanson welcomed members to the meeting and introduced the agenda. She noted that motions for rule amendments will now include language to allow the chair and vice-chair of ITAC to approve any minor adjustments to rules proposals; any major revisions will come back to the full committee for review.

Item 2

Judicial Council Technology Committee Update

Update on activities and news coming from this internal oversight committee.

Presenter: Hon. Kyle S. Brodie, Chair, Technology Committee.

Update: The Strategic Plan workstream will post the draft plan for public comment during July. The proposed plan includes a new goal to promote equal access to the digital court. A Court Technology Modernization Funding announcement for the next funding year has been distributed to all courts. Applications are due July 15, and the Technology Committee plans to present its funding recommendations to the Judicial Council at its September meeting.

The next Technology Committee is scheduled for July 11 and will include the three rules proposals on ITAC's agenda today, the Annual Agenda amendment to include P3 assignments, and an update on Court Technology Modernization Funding.

Item 3

Trial Court Rules Revisions: Amend rule 2.253 of the California Rules of Court to Remove E-Filing Report Requirement (Action Required)

Consider for recommendation to the Judicial Council proposed amendments to rule 2.253 of the California Rules of Court to remove a requirement that a trial court with mandatory electronic filing submit reports about its electronic filing program to the Judicial Council.

Presenters: Hon. Julie R. Culver, Chair, Rules & Policy Subcommittee
Ms. Andrea Jaramillo, Attorney, Legal Services

Action: Members approved the proposed recommendation to remove a requirement that a trial court with mandatory electronic filing submit reports about its electronic filing program to the Judicial Council, as they currently have other ways to collect that information. The chair and vice-chair will act on any minor changes to the proposal on behalf of ITAC, if requested by the Technology Committee.

Item 4

Trial Court Rules Revisions: Remote Access to Criminal Electronic Records by Private Criminal Defense Attorneys (Action Required)

Consider for recommendation to the Judicial Council a proposed amendment to the California Rules of Court on remote access to electronic records that would authorize remote access by private criminal defense attorneys to any criminal electronic records.

Presenters: Hon. Julie R. Culver, Chair, Rules & Policy Subcommittee
Ms. Andrea Jaramillo, Attorney, Legal Services

Action: Members approved, with one no vote, the proposed amendment to the California Rules of Court, rule 2.519 to authorize trial courts to provide private criminal defense attorneys broader remote access to criminal electronic records that they would have otherwise

been able to view at a courthouse. The chair and vice-chair will act on any minor changes to the proposal on behalf of ITAC, if requested by the Technology Committee..

Item 5

Trial Court Rules Revisions: Remote Access to Electronic Records by Appellate Appointed Counsel Administrators, Courts of Appeal, and the Habeas Corpus Resource Center (Action Required)

Consider for recommendation to the Judicial Council proposed amendments to the California Rules of Court on remote access to electronic records that would authorize remote access by appellate courts, appellate appointed counsel administrators, and the Habeas Corpus Resource Center.

Presenters: Hon. Julie R. Culver, Chair, Rules & Policy Subcommittee
Ms. Andrea Jaramillo, Attorney, Legal Services

Action: Members approved, with one no vote, the proposed amendments to the California Rules of Court. The chair and vice-chair will act on any minor changes to the proposal on behalf of ITAC, if requested by the Technology Committee..

1. Amend rule 2.515 of the California Rules of Court to add authorized persons working for an appellate appointed counsel administrator to the rule.
2. Amend rule 2.521 of the California Rules of Court to add authorized persons working for an appellate appointed counsel administrator to the rule, define what the administrators are and who persons working for them are, and delineating terms of remote access.
3. Amend rule 2.523 of the California Rules of Court to add appellate appointed counsel administrators to the rule and describe their responsibilities related to remote access.
4. Amend rule 2.540 of the California Rules of Court to add the Courts of Appeal and Habeas Corpus Resource Center to the rule and specify to which electronic records they may have remote access.

A D J O U R N M E N T

There being no further business, the meeting was adjourned.

Approved by the advisory body on enter date.