JUDICIAL COUNCIL
OF CALIFORNIA

INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY
ADVISORY COMMITTEE

INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY ADVISORY COMMITTEE

RULES AND POLICY SUBCOMMITTEE

MINUTES OF OPEN MEETING

February 16, 2016
12:10 PM – 1:00 PM
Teleconference

Advisory Body Members Present:	Justice Peter Siggins, Professor Dorothy Glancy, Judge Kyle Brodie, Judge Jackson Lucky, Justice Louis Mauro, Mr. Don Willenburg, Mr. Darrel Parker
Advisory Body Members Absent:	Judge Julie Culver
Others Present:	Mr. Patrick O'Donnell, Ms. Tara Lundstrom, Ms. Diana Glick

OPEN MEETING

Call to Order and Roll Call

The chair called the meeting to order at 12:10 pm, and took roll call.

DISCUSSION AND ACTION ITEMS (ITEMS 1–2)

Item 1

Rules and Form Proposal to Implement Assembly Bill 879 (Action Required)

Action: ***The subcommittee members voted to recommend the proposal to the Information Technology Advisory Committee, except for the advisory committee comment proposed by the Family and Juvenile Law Advisory Committee.***

Justice Siggins introduced the proposal to the subcommittee. Ms. Lundstrom then updated the subcommittee on the changes to the proposal that had been recommended by the Family and Juvenile Law Advisory Committee (FJLAC) in response to public comments. These changes included (1) revising the proposed language in rule 5.24(e)(2); (2) adding an advisory committee comment to that rule; (3) changing the new form EFS-005-JV/JV-141 from an optional to a mandatory form; and (4) making minor revisions to correct the form.

Several subcommittee members expressed concerns regarding the language proposed by the FJLAC for its advisory committee comment. The subcommittee members decided to notify FJLAC of their reservations; if FJLAC decided to retain the last two sentences of the advisory committee comment, the subcommittee members would recommend that the Information Technology Advisory Committee (ITAC) note its disagreement with the comment in the Judicial Council report. The subcommittee members then

voted to recommend the rules and form proposal to ITAC, with the exception of FJLAC's advisory committee comment, for its review and recommendation to the Rules and Projects Committee (RUPRO) and the Judicial Council Technology Committee (JCTC).

Item 2

Rules Proposal to Implement Assembly Bill 1519 (Action Required)

Action: *The subcommittee voted to recommend the proposal to the Information Technology Advisory Committee.*

Ms. Lundstrom introduced this proposal to the subcommittee. She then described the comment received by the Superior Court of Los Angeles County, as well as a proposed amendment to address that comment. Specifically, language would be added to the rule 2.257(a)(2) to clarify that "[i]f the local child support agency maintains an electronic copy of the original signed pleading in the statewide automated child support system, it may destroy the paper original."

The subcommittee unanimously voted to recommend the proposal, as modified, to ITAC for its review and recommendation to RUPRO and JCTC.

A D J O U R N M E N T

There being no further business, the meeting was adjourned at 1:00 PM.

Approved by the advisory body on July 8, 2016.