

JUDICIAL COUNCIL OF CALIFORNIA

455 Golden Gate Avenue · San Francisco, California 94102-3688 www.courts.ca.gov

REPORT TO THE JUDICIAL COUNCIL

Item No.:

For business meeting on: July 14-15, 2022

Title

Juvenile Law: Fiscal Year 2022–23 Funding Allocations for Court Appointed Special Advocate Local Assistance

Rules, Forms, Standards, or Statutes Affected None

Recommended by

Family and Juvenile Law Advisory Committee Hon. Stephanie E. Hulsey, Cochair Hon. Amy M. Pellman, Cochair

Agenda Item Type

Action Required

Effective Date
July 1, 2022

Date of Report May 9, 2022

Contact

Kelly Meehleib, 916-263-1693 kelly.meehleib@jud.ca.gov Vida Terry, 415-865-7721 vida.terry@jud.ca.gov

Executive Summary

The Family and Juvenile Law Advisory Committee recommends approving Court Appointed Special Advocate (CASA) program grant funding allocations for fiscal year (FY) 2022–23. The judicial branch budget for Judicial Council CASA grants for FY 2022–23 is \$2.713 million, which includes a \$500,000 augmentation to support efforts to increase the number of foster children served. The recommended allocations were calculated based on the CASA funding methodology approved by the Judicial Council at its July 20 and September 21, 2018, business meetings.

Recommendation

The Family and Juvenile Law Advisory Committee recommends that the Judicial Council, effective July 1, 2022:

1. Continue the allocation of the \$500,000 augmentation as base funding for FY 2022–23 to CASA programs using the four-tiered base funding methodology approved by the council on September 21, 2018; and

2. Allocate \$2.713 million for CASA local assistance grants to 46 CASA programs serving 51 California counties using the council's funding methodology approved July 20 and September 21, 2018.

Attachment A, *Proposed Allocation for FY 2022–23 Judicial Council Local Assistance*, is attached to this report.

Relevant Previous Council Action

Legislation (Stats. 1988, ch. 723) amended Welfare and Institutions Code section 100 et seq. to require the Judicial Council to establish guidelines encouraging the development of local CASA programs that assist abused and neglected children who are the subject of judicial proceedings. The legislation also called for the establishment of a CASA grant program to be administered by the Judicial Council and required CASA programs to provide local matching—or in-kind—funds equal to program funding received from the Judicial Council. At its February 9, 1999, meeting, the Judicial Council delegated approval of the allocation of the Judicial Council CASA grant funds to the Executive and Planning Committee (Executive Committee).

In August 2003, at the recommendation of the Family and Juvenile Law Advisory Committee, the Executive Committee approved a formula-based method for distributing Judicial Council CASA program funding to California CASA programs. The new funding approach replaced the previous competitive request-for-proposals process with predetermined program awards. Then on August 23, 2013, the Judicial Council approved a new funding methodology that was formula based and used program data submitted by local programs to evaluate the efficiency and growth of those programs.¹

At its meeting on July 20, 2018, the Judicial Council adopted a revised methodology that provides a larger percentage of funds as base funding and replaced the two existing incentives with a growth incentive for those programs that are eligible.² The revised methodology made no changes to the four-tiered base funding portion of the methodology. At its September 21, 2018, meeting, the Judicial Council approved the allocation of \$500,000 to CASA programs as additional base funding for FYs 2018–19, and 2019–20, using the four-tiered base funding methodology adopted and reaffirmed at its August 2013 and July 2018 meetings, respectively.³

¹ Judicial Council of Cal., Advisory Com. Rep., *Juvenile Dependency: Court Appointed Special Advocate Program Funding Methodology* (July 19, 2013), www.courts.ca.gov/documents/jc-20130823-itemM.pdf.

² Judicial Council of Cal., Advisory Com. Rep., *Judicial Council Budget: Court Appointed Special Advocate Funding Methodology and FY 2018–19 Allocations* (June 28, 2018), https://jcc.legistar.com/View.ashx?M=F&ID=6357571&GUID=C010F4D4-28C5-4868-871C-94B763688ACA.

³ Judicial Council of Cal., Advisory Com. Rep., (Aug. 14, 2018), *Judicial Council Budget: Allocation of Augmented Funding for Court Appointed Special Advocate Grant Program*, http://jcc.legistar.com/gateway.aspx?m=l&id=/matter.aspx?key=2133.

Analysis/Rationale

The judicial branch budget for Judicial Council CASA grants for FY 2022–23 is \$2.713 million. The Budget Act of 2018, signed into law by the Governor, included an additional ongoing augmentation of \$500,000 for the CASA grant program to support efforts to increase the number of foster children served. The Judicial Council at its meeting on September 20, 2018, approved allocation of the \$500,000 on a two-year funding plan using the four-tiered base funding methodology. In FY 2021–22, the Judicial Council approved extending the application of the four-tiered case funding methodology for allocation of the augmented funds as additional base funding to CASA programs beyond the two-year funding plan.

The COVID-19 pandemic has strained all facets of the child welfare system. As a result of the COVID-19 pandemic, CASA programs have been challenged to recruit, train, and supervise CASA volunteers. Continued utilization of the four-tiered base funding methodology approved in September 2018 for augmented funds is requested for the FY 2022–23 funding cycle. Judicial Council program staff will continue collecting data to develop and present a methodology to distribute the augmented funds and determine eligibility for continued funding.

Proposed funding plan for augmented funds for FY 2022–23

- The Judicial Council CASA Grant program will continue the allocation of \$500,000 as base funding for FY 2022–23 to CASA programs using the four-tiered base funding methodology approved by the council on September 21, 2018.
- Judicial Council CASA program staff will continue collecting data to monitor funding, and measure improvements and changes.

The committee recommends that the methodology adopted at the Judicial Council meetings of July 20 and September 21, 2018, be applied, providing CASA programs and those programs that are eligible for the financial incentives with a baseline allocation. These funding allocations are listed in Attachment A.

Policy implications

The committee has no policy implications to present this fiscal year.

Comments

Because the recommended allocations were calculated based on the CASA funding methodology approved by the Judicial Council, comments were not sought.

Alternatives considered

The committee has no alternatives to present this fiscal year.

Fiscal and Operational Impacts

There are no fiscal or operational impacts other than the estimated \$2.713 million judicial branch funding to be distributed to CASA programs.

Attachments and Links

1. Attachment A: Proposed Allocation for FY 2022–23 Judicial Council Local Assistance



Local CASA Programs by County(ies)	Base Allocations	Allocation of \$500,000	Proposed Growth Incentive	Total Proposed JC Local Assistance Grant
Alameda	\$57,500.00	\$13,827.00	\$4,955.00	\$76,282.00
Amador /Calaveras	\$44,850.00	\$10,785.00	\$4,955.00	\$60,590.00
Butte/Glenn	\$58,650.00	\$14,104.00	\$0.00	\$72,754.00
Contra Costa	\$57,500.00	\$13,827.00	\$4,955.00	\$76,282.00
Del Norte	\$29,900.00	\$7,190.00	\$4,955.00	\$42,045.00
El Dorado	\$39,100.00	\$9,403.00	\$4,955.00	\$53,458.00
Fresno/Madera	\$86,250.00	\$20,741.00	\$4,955.00	\$111,946.00
Humboldt	\$29,900.00	\$7,190.00	\$0.00	\$37,090.00
Imperial	\$39,100.00	\$9,403.00	\$4,955.00	\$53,458.00
Inyo/Mono	\$44,850.00	\$10,785.00	\$0.00	\$55,635.00
Kern	\$57,500.00	\$13,827.00	\$4,955.00	\$76,282.00
Kings	\$39,100.00	\$9,403.00	\$0.00	\$48,503.00
Lassen	\$29,900.00	\$7,190.00	\$4,955.00	\$42,045.00
Los Angeles	\$57,500.00	\$13,827.00	\$0.00	\$71,327.00
Marin	\$39,100.00	\$9,403.00	\$4,955.00	\$53,458.00
Mariposa	\$29,900.00	\$7,190.00	\$0.00	\$37,090.00
Mendocino/Lake	\$58,650.00	\$14,104.00	\$4,955.00	\$77,709.00
Merced	\$39,100.00	\$9,403.00	\$0.00	\$48,503.00
Modoc	\$29,900.00	\$7,190.00	\$0.00	\$37,090.00
Monterey	\$48,300.00	\$11,615.00	\$4,955.00	\$64,870.00
Napa	\$39,100.00	\$9,403.00	\$4,955.00	\$53,458.00
Nevada	\$29,900.00	\$7,190.00	\$4,955.00	\$42,045.00
Orange	\$57,500.00	\$13,827.00	\$4,955.00	\$76,282.00
Placer	\$48,300.00	\$11,615.00	\$0.00	\$59,915.00
Plumas	\$29,900.00	\$7,190.00	\$4,955.00	\$42,045.00
Riverside	\$57,500.00	\$13,827.00	\$4,955.00	\$76,282.00
Sacramento	\$57,500.00	\$13,827.00	\$4,955.00	\$76,282.00
San Benito	\$29,900.00	\$7,190.00	\$0.00	\$37,090.00
San Bernardino	\$57,500.00	\$13,827.00	\$4,955.00	\$76,282.00
San Diego	\$57,500.00	\$13,827.00	\$0.00	\$71,327.00
San Francisco	\$48,300.00	\$11,615.00	\$0.00	\$59,915.00
San Joaquin	\$48,300.00	\$11,615.00	\$4,955.00	\$64,870.00
San Luis Obispo	\$39,100.00	\$9,403.00	\$4,955.00	\$53,458.00
San Mateo	\$48,300.00	\$11,615.00	\$0.00	\$59,915.00
Santa Barbara	\$48,300.00	\$11,615.00	\$4,955.00	\$64,870.00
Santa Clara	\$57,500.00	\$13,827.00	\$4,955.00	\$76,282.00
Santa Cruz	\$39,100.00	\$9,403.00	\$4,955.00	\$53,458.00
Shasta/Tehama	\$58,650.00	\$14,104.00	\$0.00	\$72,754.00
Siskiyou	\$29,900.00	\$7,190.00	\$4,955.00	\$42,045.00
Solano	\$48,300.00	\$11,615.00	\$4,955.00	\$64,870.00
Sonoma	\$48,300.00	\$11,615.00	\$0.00	\$59,915.00
Stanislaus	\$48,300.00	\$11,615.00	\$4,955.00	\$64,870.00
Tulare	\$48,300.00	\$11,615.00	\$0.00	\$59,915.00
Ventura	\$48,300.00	\$11,615.00	\$0.00	\$59,915.00
Yolo	\$39,100.00	\$9,403.00	\$0.00	\$48,503.00
Totals	\$2,079,200.00	\$499,995.00	\$133,785.00	\$2,712,980.00

Total Local Assistance Grant	\$2,713,000.00	
Total Base Amounts	\$2,579,195.00	
Incentives Awards for Top 27 Program (Small 13 & Large 14)	\$133,785.00	

^{*}Base Allocations are based on the four-tier methodology

 $^{{\}it The CASA Methodology specifies \$5,000 for incentives.}$

 $After \ allocating \ funds \ to \ the \ base \ according \ to \ the \ methodology, \ \$4,955 \ per \ qualified \ program \ was \ available \ for \ incentive \ funding.$