Family & Juvenile Law Advisory Committee

Trial Court Budget Advisory Committee

Juvenile Dependency: Court-Appointed Counsel Funding Allocation Methodology Joint Subcommittee

September 17, 2015

Meeting Materials

- 1. Caseload statistics
- 2. Case phase workload data
- 3. Financial survey of attorney provider data

Model Combining Filings and Child Welfare Case Numbers

	Average Filings	Average CW		
COUNTY	12-14	Cases 12-14	Filings %	Cases %
Alameda	628	1,769	1.63%	2.44%
Alpine	0	0	0.00%	0.00%
Amador	37	55	0.10%	0.08%
Butte	268	561	0.70%	0.77%
Calaveras	105	135	0.27%	0.19%
Colusa	28	35	0.07%	0.05%
Contra Costa	728	1,214	1.89%	1.67%
Del Norte	50	111	0.13%	0.15%
El Dorado	197	353	0.51%	0.49%
Fresno	874	1,950	2.27%	2.69%
Glenn	53	100	0.14%	0.14%
Humboldt	146	302	0.38%	0.42%
Imperial	211	372	0.55%	0.51%
Inyo	9	19	0.02%	0.03%
Kern	844	1,805	2.19%	2.49%
Kings	196	478	0.51%	0.66%
Lake	53	133	0.14%	0.18%
Lassen	53	71	0.14%	0.10%
Los Angeles	16,700	29,089	43.38%	40.08%
Madera	227	373	0.59%	0.51%
Marin	63	106	0.16%	0.15%
Mariposa	25	30	0.07%	0.04%
Mendocino	158	298	0.41%	0.41%
Merced	406	688	1.05%	0.95%
Modoc	14	15	0.04%	0.02%
Mono	4	10	0.01%	0.01%
Monterey	160	367	0.41%	0.51%
Napa	87	151	0.23%	0.21%
Nevada	66	117	0.17%	0.16%
Orange	1,389	3,051	3.61%	4.20%
Placer	515	392	1.34%	0.54%
Plumas	33	55	0.08%	0.08%
Riverside	3,035	5,254	7.88%	7.24%
Sacramento	1,121	2,637	2.91%	3.63%
San Benito	58	110	0.15%	0.15%
San Bernardino	2,544	4,700	6.61%	6.48%
San Diego	1,609	3,862	4.18%	5.32%
San Francisco	570	1,296	1.48%	1.79%
San Joaquin	599	1,486	1.56%	2.05%
San Luis Obispo	269	443	0.70%	0.61%
San Mateo	204	485	0.53%	0.67%

Santa Barbara	263	630	0.68%	0.87%
Santa Clara	545	1,495	1.42%	2.06%
Santa Cruz	203	357	0.53%	0.49%
Shasta	256	611	0.66%	0.84%
Sierra	3	3	0.01%	0.00%
Siskiyou	76	118	0.20%	0.16%
Solano	246	440	0.64%	0.61%
Sonoma	259	628	0.67%	0.87%
Stanislaus	390	630	1.01%	0.87%
Sutter	82	155	0.21%	0.21%
Tehama	143	207	0.37%	0.29%
Trinity	47	77	0.12%	0.11%
Tulare	605	1,088	1.57%	1.50%
Tuolumne	73	126	0.19%	0.17%
Ventura	598	1,040	1.55%	1.43%
Yolo	204	336	0.53%	0.46%
Yuba	169	159	0.44%	0.22%
Total	38,497	72,577	100.00%	100.00%

Model Combining

	10%		30%			
	Filings	Change	Filings	Change		Change
	Propor. of	from	Propor. of	from	50%	from
COUNTY	state	100% CW	state	100% CW	Filings	100% CW
Alameda	2.36%	-3.3%	2.19%	-9.9%	2.03%	-16.5%
Alpine	0.00%	-10.0%	0.00%	-30.0%	0.00%	-50.0%
Amador	0.08%	2.6%	0.08%	7.7%	0.09%	12.8%
Butte	0.76%	-1.0%	0.75%	-2.9%	0.73%	-4.9%
Calaveras	0.19%	4.6%	0.21%	13.8%	0.23%	23.1%
Colusa	0.05%	5.0%	0.05%	15.1%	0.06%	25.2%
Contra Costa	1.69%	1.3%	1.74%	3.9%	1.78%	6.6%
Del Norte	0.15%	-1.5%	0.15%	-4.4%	0.14%	-7.3%
El Dorado	0.49%	0.5%	0.49%	1.5%	0.50%	2.6%
Fresno	2.65%	-1.5%	2.56%	-4.6%	2.48%	-7.7%
Glenn	0.14%	0.0%	0.14%	0.1%	0.14%	0.1%
Humboldt	0.41%	-0.9%	0.41%	-2.7%	0.40%	-4.6%
Imperial	0.52%	0.7%	0.52%	2.1%	0.53%	3.5%
Inyo	0.03%	-1.5%	0.03%	-4.6%	0.02%	-7.7%
Kern	2.46%	-1.2%	2.40%	-3.6%	2.34%	-5.9%
Kings	0.64%	-2.3%	0.61%	-6.8%	0.58%	-11.3%
Lake	0.18%	-2.5%	0.17%	-7.5%	0.16%	-12.4%
Lassen	0.10%	4.0%	0.11%	12.0%	0.12%	19.9%
Los Angeles	40.41%	0.8%	41.07%	2.5%	41.73%	4.1%
Madera	0.52%	1.5%	0.54%	4.4%	0.55%	7.3%
Marin	0.15%	1.1%	0.15%	3.4%	0.15%	5.7%
Mariposa	0.04%	6.1%	0.05%	18.3%	0.05%	30.5%
Mendocino	0.41%	0.0%	0.41%	-0.1%	0.41%	-0.2%
Merced	0.96%	1.1%	0.98%	3.3%	1.00%	5.6%
Modoc	0.02%	8.0%	0.03%	24.0%	0.03%	
Mono	0.01%	-2.8%	0.01%	-8.5%	0.01%	-14.2%
Monterey	0.50%			-5.4%	0.46%	-9.0%
Napa	0.21%	0.8%	0.21%	2.5%	0.22%	4.1%
Nevada	0.16%					
Orange	4.14%					
Placer	0.62%					
Plumas	0.08%					
Riverside	7.30%					
Sacramento	3.56%					
San Benito	0.15%					
San Bernardino	6.49%					
San Diego	5.21%					
San Francisco	1.76%					
San Joaquin	2.00%					
San Luis Obispo	0.62%					
San Mateo	0.65%					
Juli Wialeu	0.05%	-2.1/0	0.05%	-0.270	0.00%	-10.5%

Santa Barbara	0.85%	-2.1%	0.81%	-6.3%	0.78%	-10.6%
Santa Clara	2.00%	-3.1%	1.87%	-9.4%	1.74%	-15.6%
Santa Cruz	0.50%	0.7%	0.50%	2.1%	0.51%	3.5%
Shasta	0.82%	-2.1%	0.79%	-6.3%	0.75%	-10.5%
Sierra	0.00%	15.1%	0.01%	45.4%	0.01%	75.7%
Siskiyou	0.17%	2.2%	0.17%	6.5%	0.18%	10.8%
Solano	0.61%	0.5%	0.62%	1.6%	0.62%	2.7%
Sonoma	0.85%	-2.2%	0.81%	-6.7%	0.77%	-11.1%
Stanislaus	0.88%	1.7%	0.91%	5.1%	0.94%	8.4%
Sutter	0.21%	0.0%	0.21%	-0.1%	0.21%	-0.2%
Tehama	0.29%	3.1%	0.31%	9.2%	0.33%	15.3%
Trinity	0.11%	1.6%	0.11%	4.9%	0.11%	8.2%
Tulare	1.51%	0.5%	1.52%	1.5%	1.54%	2.4%
Tuolumne	0.18%	0.9%	0.18%	2.8%	0.18%	4.7%
Ventura	1.45%	0.8%	1.47%	2.5%	1.49%	4.2%
Yolo	0.47%	1.4%	0.48%	4.3%	0.50%	7.2%
Yuba	0.24%	10.1%	0.28%	30.2%	0.33%	50.4%

Total

Model Combining Filings and Child Welfare Case Numbers: Sort

	Average Filings	Average CW		
COUNTY	12-14	Cases 12-14	Filings %	Cases %
Alpine	0	0	0.00%	0.00%
Alameda	628	1,769	1.63%	2.44%
Santa Clara	545	1,495	1.42%	2.06%
Mono	4	10	0.01%	0.01%
Lake	53	133	0.14%	0.18%
San Joaquin	599	1,486	1.56%	2.05%
Kings	196	478	0.51%	0.66%
Sonoma	259	628	0.67%	0.87%
San Diego	1,609	3,862	4.18%	5.32%
Santa Barbara	263	630	0.68%	0.87%
Shasta	256	611	0.66%	0.84%
San Mateo	204	485	0.53%	0.67%
Sacramento	1,121	2,637	2.91%	3.63%
Monterey	160	367	0.41%	0.51%
San Francisco	570	1,296	1.48%	1.79%
Inyo	9	19	0.02%	0.03%
Fresno	874	1,950	2.27%	2.69%
Del Norte	50	111	0.13%	0.15%
Orange	1,389	3,051	3.61%	4.20%
Kern	844	1,805	2.19%	2.49%
Butte	268	561	0.70%	0.77%
Humboldt	146	302	0.38%	0.42%
Sutter	82	155	0.21%	0.21%
Mendocino	158	298	0.41%	0.41%
Glenn	53	100	0.14%	0.14%
San Benito	58	110	0.15%	0.15%
San Bernardino	2,544	4,700	6.61%	6.48%
Tulare	605	1,088	1.57%	1.50%
El Dorado	197	353	0.51%	0.49%
Solano	246	440	0.64%	
Nevada	66	117	0.17%	0.16%
Santa Cruz	203	357	0.53%	0.49%
Imperial	211	372	0.55%	0.51%
Napa	87	151	0.23%	0.21%
Los Angeles	16,700	29,089	43.38%	40.08%
Ventura	598	1,040	1.55%	1.43%
Riverside	3,035	5,254	7.88%	7.24%
Tuolumne	73	126	0.19%	0.17%
Merced	406	688	1.05%	0.95%
Plumas	33	55	0.08%	0.08%
Marin	63	106	0.16%	0.15%

Contra Costa	728	1,214	1.89%	1.67%
San Luis Obispo	269	443	0.70%	0.61%
Yolo	204	336	0.53%	0.46%
Madera	227	373	0.59%	0.51%
Trinity	47	77	0.12%	0.11%
Stanislaus	390	630	1.01%	0.87%
Siskiyou	76	118	0.20%	0.16%
Amador	37	55	0.10%	0.08%
Tehama	143	207	0.37%	0.29%
Lassen	53	71	0.14%	0.10%
Calaveras	105	135	0.27%	0.19%
Colusa	28	35	0.07%	0.05%
Mariposa	25	30	0.07%	0.04%
Modoc	14	15	0.04%	0.02%
Yuba	169	159	0.44%	0.22%
Placer	515	392	1.34%	0.54%
Sierra	3	3	0.01%	0.00%
Total	38,497	72,577	100.00%	100.00%

Model Combininged by Change in Proportion Statewide

	10%		30%			
	Filings	Change	Filings	Change		Change
	Propor. of	from	Propor. of	from	50%	from
COUNTY	state	100% CW	state	100% CW	Filings	100% CW
Alpine	0.00%	-10.0%	0.00%	-30.0%	0.00%	-50.0%
Alameda	2.36%	-3.3%	2.19%	-9.9%	2.03%	-16.5%
Santa Clara	2.00%	-3.1%	1.87%	-9.4%	1.74%	-15.6%
Mono	0.01%	-2.8%	0.01%	-8.5%	0.01%	-14.2%
Lake	0.18%	-2.5%	0.17%	-7.5%	0.16%	-12.4%
San Joaquin	2.00%	-2.4%	1.90%	-7.2%	1.80%	-12.0%
Kings	0.64%	-2.3%	0.61%	-6.8%	0.58%	-11.3%
Sonoma	0.85%	-2.2%	0.81%	-6.7%	0.77%	-11.1%
San Diego	5.21%	-2.1%	4.98%	-6.4%	4.75%	-10.7%
Santa Barbara	0.85%	-2.1%	0.81%	-6.3%	0.78%	-10.6%
Shasta	0.82%	-2.1%	0.79%	-6.3%	0.75%	-10.5%
San Mateo	0.65%	-2.1%	0.63%	-6.2%	0.60%	-10.3%
Sacramento	3.56%	-2.0%	3.42%	-6.0%	3.27%	-9.9%
Monterey	0.50%	-1.8%	0.48%	-5.4%	0.46%	-9.0%
San Francisco	1.76%	-1.7%	1.69%	-5.1%	1.63%	-8.5%
Inyo	0.03%	-1.5%	0.03%	-4.6%	0.02%	-7.7%
Fresno	2.65%	-1.5%	2.56%	-4.6%	2.48%	-7.7%
Del Norte	0.15%	-1.5%	0.15%	-4.4%	0.14%	-7.3%
Orange	4.14%	-1.4%	4.03%	-4.3%	3.91%	-7.1%
Kern	2.46%	-1.2%	2.40%	-3.6%	2.34%	-5.9%
Butte	0.76%	-1.0%	0.75%	-2.9%	0.73%	-4.9%
Humboldt	0.41%	-0.9%	0.41%	-2.7%	0.40%	-4.6%
Sutter	0.21%	0.0%	0.21%	-0.1%	0.21%	-0.2%
Mendocino	0.41%	0.0%	0.41%	-0.1%	0.41%	-0.2%
Glenn	0.14%	0.0%	0.14%	0.1%	0.14%	0.1%
San Benito	0.15%	0.0%	0.15%	0.1%	0.15%	0.1%
San Bernardino	6.49%	0.2%	6.52%	0.6%	6.54%	1.0%
Tulare	1.51%	0.5%	1.52%	1.5%	1.54%	2.4%
El Dorado	0.49%	0.5%	0.49%	1.5%	0.50%	2.6%
Solano	0.61%	0.5%	0.62%	1.6%	0.62%	2.7%
Nevada	0.16%	0.6%	0.16%	1.8%	0.17%	3.1%
Santa Cruz	0.50%	0.7%	0.50%	2.1%	0.51%	3.5%
Imperial	0.52%	0.7%	0.52%	2.1%	0.53%	3.5%
Napa	0.21%	0.8%	0.21%	2.5%	0.22%	4.1%
Los Angeles	40.41%	0.8%	41.07%	2.5%	41.73%	4.1%
Ventura	1.45%	0.8%	1.47%	2.5%	1.49%	4.2%
Riverside	7.30%	0.9%	7.43%	2.7%	7.56%	4.4%
Tuolumne	0.18%	0.9%	0.18%	2.8%	0.18%	4.7%
Merced	0.96%	1.1%	0.98%	3.3%	1.00%	5.6%
Plumas	0.08%	1.1%	0.08%	3.4%	0.08%	5.6%
Marin	0.15%	1.1%	0.15%	3.4%	0.15%	5.7%

For discussion only Cou	urt Appointed Counsel Funding	Allocations Joint Subcommittee
-------------------------	-------------------------------	--------------------------------

Contra Costa	1.69%	1.3%	1.74%	3.9%	1.78%	6.6%
San Luis Obispo	0.62%	1.4%	0.64%	4.3%	0.65%	7.2%
Yolo	0.47%	1.4%	0.48%	4.3%	0.50%	7.2%
Madera	0.52%	1.5%	0.54%	4.4%	0.55%	7.3%
Trinity	0.11%	1.6%	0.11%	4.9%	0.11%	8.2%
Stanislaus	0.88%	1.7%	0.91%	5.1%	0.94%	8.4%
Siskiyou	0.17%	2.2%	0.17%	6.5%	0.18%	10.8%
Amador	0.08%	2.6%	0.08%	7.7%	0.09%	12.8%
Tehama	0.29%	3.1%	0.31%	9.2%	0.33%	15.3%
Lassen	0.10%	4.0%	0.11%	12.0%	0.12%	19.9%
Calaveras	0.19%	4.6%	0.21%	13.8%	0.23%	23.1%
Colusa	0.05%	5.0%	0.05%	15.1%	0.06%	25.2%
Mariposa	0.04%	6.1%	0.05%	18.3%	0.05%	30.5%
Modoc	0.02%	8.0%	0.03%	24.0%	0.03%	40.1%
Yuba	0.24%	10.1%	0.28%	30.2%	0.33%	50.4%
Placer	0.62%	14.8%	0.78%	44.4%	0.94%	73.9%
Sierra	0.00%	15.1%	0.01%	45.4%	0.01%	75.7%

Total

Court Appointed Counsel Caseload Model Components
Attorney time per event estimates (2002)
compared to median times reported by DRAFT programs (2013-2015)
Case Phase 1: Pre detention and detention hearing
Children

	Model	Model	Model	All DRAFT	All DRAFT	
	% freq.	Event	Total	Event	Event Total Time	
	per case	time	Time	Time	rotai rime	
Activity 1: Case Preparation						
Document review	100%	20	20	30	30	
Notes to file and drafting	52%	9	5	60	31	
Legal research	7%	44	3	59	4	
Communicate with client in person	83%	38	32	40	33	
Communication with client other	24%	10	2	25	6	
Communicate with social worker	75%	22	17	17	13	
Communicate with other counsel	84%	25	21	22	19	
Communicate with others	66%	45	30	22	15	
Other	100%	18	18	34	34	
Activity 2: Motions and Other Hearings	2%	21	0	43	1	
Activity 3: Detention Hearing						
Hearing preparation	29%	69	20	62	18	
Conduct hearing (Witnesses_	10%	20	2			
Conduct hearing (No witnesses)	90%	15	14			
Conduct hearing (JCATS)	100%			64	64	
Total minutes			183		267	

Sources. Court-Appointed Counsel: Caseload Standards, Service Delivery Models, and Contract Administration. Judicial Council of California, June 15, 2004

Judicial Council of California, Dependency Representation, Administration, Funding and Training (DRAFT) administrative data, 2013-2015.

Court Appointed Counsel Caseload Model Components
Attorney time per event estimates (2002)
compared to median times reported by DRAFT programs (2013-2015)
Case Phase 1: Pre detention and detention hearing
Parents

	Model	Model	Model	All DRAFT	All DRAFT
	% freq. per case	Event time	Total Time	Event Time	Event Total Time
	per case	time	Time	Tille	Total Tille
Activity 1: Case Preparation					
Document review	100%	20	20	28	28
Notes to file and drafting	76%	11	8	30	23
Legal research	10%	23	2	79	8
Communicate with client in person	92%	43	40	39	36
Communication with client other	38%	18	7	31	12
Communicate with social worker	73%	22	16	19	14
Communicate with other counsel	93%	19	18	18	17
Communicate with others	63%	29	18	18	11
Other	91%	19	17	34	31
Activity 2: Motions and Other Hearings	2%	22	0	42	1
Activity 3: Detention Hearing					
Hearing preparation	27%	182	49	62	17
Conduct hearing (Witnesses_	11%	20	2		
Conduct hearing (No witnesses)	89%	15	13		
Conduct hearing (JCATS)	100%			47	47
Total minutes			211		243

Sources. Court-Appointed Counsel: Caseload Standards, Service Delivery Models, and Contract Administration.

Judicial Council of California, June 15, 2004

Judicial Council of California, Dependency Representation, Administration, Funding and Training (DRAFT) administrative data, 2013-2015.

Court Appointed Counsel Caseload Model Components Attorney time per event estimates (2002) compared to median times reported by DRAFT programs (2013-2015) Case Phase 2: End of Detention through Juris/Dispo Children

Activity 1: Case Preparation Sevent time Total time Event Total Time Total Time Document review 90% 37 33 32 29 Notes to file and drafting 83% 20 17 34 28 Legal research 25% 28 7 65 16 Communicate with client in person 85% 32 27 57 48 Communication with social worker 89% 21 19 16 14 Communicate with other counsel 85% 14 12 22 18 Communicate with others 74% 41 30 22 16 Other 50% 29 15 32 16 Activity 2: Motions and Other Hearings 53% 80 42 56 30 Activity 3: Juris Dispo Hearing 53% 80 42 56 30 Activity 3: Juris Dispo Hearing 59% 45 4 5 5 Conduct hearing (Witnesses) 9%		Model	Model	Model	DRAFT	DRAFT
Activity 1: Case Preparation Document review 90% 37 33 32 29 Notes to file and drafting 83% 20 17 34 28 Legal research 25% 28 7 65 16 Communicate with client in person 85% 32 27 57 48 Communicate with social worker 89% 21 19 16 14 Communicate with other counsel 85% 14 12 22 18 Communicate with others 74% 41 30 22 16 Other 50% 29 15 32 16 Activity 2: Motions and Other Hearings 53% 80 42 56 30 Activity 3: Juris Dispo Hearing Hearing preparation 33% 131 43 71 23 Juris only 20 45 4 4 4 2 6 30 Activity 3: Juris Dispo Hearing 9% 45 4 4 5 3 3 1 <th></th> <th>% freq.</th> <th>Event</th> <th>Total</th> <th>Event</th> <th>Total</th>		% freq.	Event	Total	Event	Total
Document review 90% 37 33 32 29		per case	time	time	Time	Time
Notes to file and drafting 83% 20 17 34 28 Legal research 25% 28 7 65 16 Communicate with client in person 85% 32 27 57 48 Communication with client other 21% 11 2 23 5 Communicate with social worker 89% 21 19 16 14 Communicate with other counsel 85% 14 12 22 18 Communicate with others 74% 41 30 22 16 Other 50% 29 15 32 16 Activity 2: Motions and Other Hearings 53% 80 42 56 30 Activity 3: Juris Dispo Hearing 33% 131 43 71 23 Juris only 20 45 4 4 4 4 50 30 Activity 3: Juris Dispo Hearing 33% 131 43 71 23 3 3 1 23 3 3 4 2 20 30 <td< td=""><td>Activity 1: Case Preparation</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></td<>	Activity 1: Case Preparation					
Legal research 25% 28 7 65 16 Communicate with client in person 85% 32 27 57 48 Communication with client other 21% 11 2 23 5 Communicate with social worker 89% 21 19 16 14 Communicate with other counsel 85% 14 12 22 18 Communicate with others 74% 41 30 22 16 Other 50% 29 15 32 16 Activity 2: Motions and Other Hearings 53% 80 42 56 30 Activity 3: Juris Dispo Hearing Hearing preparation 33% 131 43 71 23 Juris Dispo Hearing (Witnesses) 9% 45 4 4 Conduct hearing (Witnesses) 27% 13 4 59 21 Dispo only Conduct hearing (Witnesses) 25% 13 3 59 21 Conduct hearing (Mo Witnesses) 25% 13<	Document review	90%	37	33	32	29
Communicate with client in person 85% 32 27 57 48 Communication with client other 21% 11 2 23 5 Communicate with social worker 89% 21 19 16 14 Communicate with other counsel 85% 14 12 22 18 Communicate with others 74% 41 30 22 16 Other 50% 29 15 32 16 Activity 2: Motions and Other Hearings S3% 80 42 56 30 Activity 3: Juris Dispo Hearing Hearing preparation 33% 131 43 71 23 Juris only Conduct hearing (Witnesses) 9% 45 4 4 Conduct hearing (JCATS all) 36% 59 21 Dispo only Conduct hearing (Witnesses) 11% 45 5 5 Conduct hearing (Witnesses) 25% 13 3 3 Conduct hearing (Witnesses) 61 22	Notes to file and drafting	83%	20	17	34	28
Communication with client other 21% 11 2 23 5 Communicate with social worker 89% 21 19 16 14 Communicate with other counsel 85% 14 12 22 18 Communicate with others 74% 41 30 22 16 Other 50% 29 15 32 16 Activity 2: Motions and Other Hearings Saw 80 42 56 30 Activity 3: Juris Dispo Hearing Bearing preparation 33% 131 43 71 23 Juris only 20 45 4<	Legal research	25%	28	7	65	16
Communicate with social worker 89% 21 19 16 14 Communicate with other counsel 85% 14 12 22 18 Communicate with others 74% 41 30 22 16 Other 50% 29 15 32 16 Activity 2: Motions and Other Hearings 53% 80 42 56 30 Activity 3: Juris Dispo Hearing Hearing preparation 33% 131 43 71 23 Juris only Conduct hearing (Witnesses) 9% 45 4	Communicate with client in person	85%	32	27	57	48
Communicate with other counsel 85% 14 12 22 18 Communicate with others 74% 41 30 22 16 Other 50% 29 15 32 16 Activity 2: Motions and Other Hearings 53% 80 42 56 30 Activity 3: Juris Dispo Hearing Hearing preparation 33% 131 43 71 23 Juris only 20 45 4	Communication with client other	21%	11	2	23	5
Communicate with others 74% 41 30 22 16 Other 50% 29 15 32 16 Activity 2: Motions and Other Hearings 53% 80 42 56 30 Activity 3: Juris Dispo Hearing Hearing preparation 33% 131 43 71 23 Juris only Conduct hearing (Witnesses) 27% 13 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 59 21 2 3 3 3 3 3	Communicate with social worker	89%	21	19	16	14
Other 50% 29 15 32 16 Activity 2: Motions and Other Hearings 53% 80 42 56 30 Activity 3: Juris Dispo Hearing Use of the preparation of the property of the	Communicate with other counsel	85%	14	12	22	18
Activity 2: Motions and Other Hearings 53% 80 42 56 30 Activity 3: Juris Dispo Hearing Hearing preparation 33% 131 43 71 23 Juris only Conduct hearing (Witnesses) 9% 45 4 Conduct hearing (No Witnesses) 27% 13 4 Conduct hearing (JCATS all) 36% 59 21 Dispo only Conduct hearing (Witnesses) 11% 45 5 Conduct hearing (No Witnesses) 25% 13 3 Conduct hearing (JCATS all) 36% 61 22 Juris/Dispo combined Conduct hearing (Witnesses) 8% 45 4	Communicate with others	74%	41	30	22	16
Activity 3: Juris Dispo Hearing Hearing preparation 33% 131 43 71 23 Juris only Conduct hearing (Witnesses) 9% 45 4 Conduct hearing (No Witnesses) 27% 13 4 Conduct hearing (JCATS all) 36% 59 21 Dispo only Conduct hearing (Witnesses) 11% 45 5 Conduct hearing (No Witnesses) 25% 13 3 Conduct hearing (JCATS all) 36% 61 22 Juris/Dispo combined Conduct hearing (Witnesses) 8% 45 4	Other	50%	29	15	32	16
Hearing preparation 33% 131 43 71 23 Juris only Conduct hearing (Witnesses) 9% 45 4 Conduct hearing (No Witnesses) 27% 13 4 Conduct hearing (JCATS all) 36% 59 21 Dispo only Conduct hearing (Witnesses) 11% 45 5 Conduct hearing (No Witnesses) 25% 13 3 Conduct hearing (JCATS all) 36% 61 22 Juris/Dispo combined Conduct hearing (Witnesses) 8% 45 4	Activity 2: Motions and Other Hearings	53%	80	42	56	30
Juris only Conduct hearing (Witnesses) 9% 45 4 Conduct hearing (No Witnesses) 27% 13 4 Conduct hearing (JCATS all) 36% 59 21 Dispo only Conduct hearing (Witnesses) 11% 45 5 Conduct hearing (No Witnesses) 25% 13 3 Conduct hearing (JCATS all) 36% 61 22 Juris/Dispo combined Conduct hearing (Witnesses) 8% 45 4	Activity 3: Juris Dispo Hearing					
Conduct hearing (Witnesses) 9% 45 4 Conduct hearing (No Witnesses) 27% 13 4 Conduct hearing (JCATS all) 36% 59 21 Dispo only Conduct hearing (Witnesses) 11% 45 5 Conduct hearing (No Witnesses) 25% 13 3 Conduct hearing (JCATS all) 36% 61 22 Juris/Dispo combined Conduct hearing (Witnesses) 8% 45 4	Hearing preparation	33%	131	43	71	23
Conduct hearing (No Witnesses) 27% 13 4 Conduct hearing (JCATS all) 36% 59 21 Dispo only Conduct hearing (Witnesses) 11% 45 5 Conduct hearing (No Witnesses) 25% 13 3 Conduct hearing (JCATS all) 36% 61 22 Juris/Dispo combined Conduct hearing (Witnesses) 8% 45 4	Juris only					
Conduct hearing (JCATS all) Dispo only Conduct hearing (Witnesses) Conduct hearing (No Witnesses) Conduct hearing (JCATS all) Conduct hearing (JCATS all) 36% 45 61 22 Juris/Dispo combined Conduct hearing (Witnesses) 8% 45 4	Conduct hearing (Witnesses)	9%	45	4		
Dispo only Conduct hearing (Witnesses) Conduct hearing (No Witnesses) Conduct hearing (JCATS all) 36% 61 22 Juris/Dispo combined Conduct hearing (Witnesses) 8% 45 4	Conduct hearing (No Witnesses)	27%	13	4		
Conduct hearing (Witnesses) 11% 45 5 Conduct hearing (No Witnesses) 25% 13 3 Conduct hearing (JCATS all) 36% 61 22 Juris/Dispo combined Conduct hearing (Witnesses) 8% 45 4	Conduct hearing (JCATS all)	36%			59	21
Conduct hearing (No Witnesses) 25% 13 3 Conduct hearing (JCATS all) 36% 61 22 Juris/Dispo combined Conduct hearing (Witnesses) 8% 45 4	Dispo only					
Conduct hearing (JCATS all) 36% 61 22 Juris/Dispo combined Conduct hearing (Witnesses) 8% 45 4	Conduct hearing (Witnesses)	11%	45	5		
Juris/Dispo combined Conduct hearing (Witnesses) 8% 45 4	Conduct hearing (No Witnesses)	25%	13	3		
Conduct hearing (Witnesses) 8% 45 4	Conduct hearing (JCATS all)	36%			61	22
	Juris/Dispo combined					
Conduct hearing (No Witnesses) 33% 13 4	Conduct hearing (Witnesses)	8%	45	4		
	- ·	33%	13	4		
Conduct hearing (JCATS all) 41% 65 27	Conduct hearing (JCATS all)	41%			65	27
Total minutes 271 614 314	Total minutes			271	614	314

Court Appointed Counsel Caseload Model Components
Attorney time per event estimates (2002)
compared to median times reported by DRAFT programs (2013-2015)
Case Phase 2: End of Detention through Juris/Dispo
Parents

	Model	Model	Model	DRAFT	DRAFT	
	% freq.	Event	Total	Event	Total	
	per case	time	time	Time	Time	
Activity 1: Case Preparation						
Document review	90%	41	37	30	27	
Notes to file and drafting	71%	11	8	39	28	
Legal research	30%	30	9	62	19	
Communicate with client in person	82%	46	38	38	31	
Communication with client other	59%	20	12	25	15	
Communicate with social worker	69%	20	14	18	12	
Communicate with other counsel	84%	17	14	22	18	
Communicate with others	60%	30	18	22	13	
Other	39%	40	16	48	19	
Aut to a section of other Handson	520/	0.4	45	4.4	22	
Activity 2: Motions and Other Hearings	53%	84	45	44	23	
Activity 3: Juris Dispo Hearing						
Hearing preparation	34%	247	84	68	23	
Juris only						
Conduct hearing (Witnesses)	9%	45	4			
Conduct hearing (No Witnesses)	27%	15	4			
Conduct hearing (JCATS all)	36%			38	14	
Dispo only						
Conduct hearing (Witnesses)	12%	45	5			
Conduct hearing (No Witnesses)	25%	15	4			
Conduct hearing (JCATS all)	37%			47	18	
Juris/Dispo combined						
Conduct hearing (Witnesses)	10%	45	5			
Conduct hearing (No Witnesses)	36%	15	5			
Conduct hearing (JCATS all)	46%			63	29	
Total minutes			321		287	

Court Appointed Counsel Caseload Model Components Attorney time per event estimates (2002) compared to median times reported by DRAFT programs (2013-2015) Case Phase 3: End of Disposition through Permanency Children

	Model	Model	Model	DRAFT	DRAFT
	% freq.	Event	Total	Event	Total
	per case	time	time	Time	Time
Activity 1: Case Preparation					
Document review	49%	55	27	31	15
Notes to file and drafting	39%	33	13	34	13
Legal research	12%	32	4	58	7
Communicate with client in person	42%	56	24	61	25
Communication with client other	30%	41	12	25	7
Communicate with social worker	46%	57	26	16	7
Communicate with other counsel	47%	41	19	21	10
Communicate with others	43%	119	51	21	9
Other	33%	40	13	29	10
Activity 2: Motions and Other Hearings	31%	90	28	59	18
Activity 3: Review Hearing					
Hearing preparation	17%	128	22	70	12
Review Hearing					
Conduct hearing (Witnesses)	6%	25	2		
Conduct hearing (No Witnesses)	30%	10	3		
Conduct hearing (JCATS all)	36%			64	23
Termination of reunification					
Conduct hearing (Witnesses)	7%	65	5		
Conduct hearing (No Witnesses)	3%	10	0		
Conduct hearing (JCATS all)	10%			64	6
File Notice of Appeal or Writ					
Prepare/file notice of appeal	2%	24		24	0
Prepare/file/respond/argue/writ	2%	2-7			· ·
W. A. J S			240		464
Total minutes			248		164

Court Appointed Counsel Caseload Model Components Attorney time per event estimates (2002) compared to median times reported by DRAFT programs (2013-2015) Case Phase 3: End of Disposition through Permanency Parents

	Model	Model	Model	DRAFT	DRAFT
	% freq.	Event	Total	Event	Total
	per case	time	time	Time	Time
Activity 1: Case Preparation					
Document review	49%	29	14	28	14
Notes to file and drafting	37%	17	6	49	18
Legal research	14%	41	6	61	9
Communicate with client in person	40%	45	18	20	8
Communication with client other	46%	41	19	23	10
Communicate with social worker	43%	46	20	17	7
Communicate with other counsel	47%	42	20	21	10
Communicate with others	35%	57	20	21	7
Other	30%	28	8	40	12
Activity 2: Motions and Other Hearings	26%	101	26	39	10
Activity 3: Review Hearing					
Hearing preparation	16%	139	22	84	13
Review Hearing					
Conduct hearing (Witnesses)	6%	35	2		
Conduct hearing (No Witnesses)	30%	10	3		
Conduct hearing (JCATS all)	36%			52	19
Termination of reunification					
Conduct hearing (Witnesses)	5%	85	4		
Conduct hearing (No Witnesses)	3%	15	0		
Conduct hearing (JCATS all)	8%			52	4
File Notice of Appeal or Writ					
Prepare/file notice of appeal	3%	18			
Prepare/file/respond/argue/writ	2%				
Total minutes			189		142

Court Appointed Counsel Caseload Model Components
Attorney time per event estimates (2002)
compared to median times reported by DRAFT programs (2013-2015)
Case Phase 4: 241.1/39.1B Writ
Children

	Model	Model	Model	DRAFT	DRAFT
	% freq.	Event	Total	Event	Total
	per case	time	time	Time	Time
Activity 1: Case Preparation					
Document review	30%	80	24	32	10
Notes to file and drafting	23%	42	10	40	9
Legal research	15%	46	7	72	11
Communicate with client in person	26%	43	11	61	16
Communication with client other	21%	29	6	26	5
Communicate with social worker	28%	39	11	16	4
Communicate with other counsel	28%	39	11	23	6
Communicate with others	29%	46	13	23	7
Other	27%	39	11	31	8
Activity 2: Motions and Other Hearings	17%	87	15	69	12
Activity 3: 39.1B Writs					
Prepare and file notice of intent	5%	50	3		
Request preparation of record	5%	35	2		
Review Record	10%	140	14		
Prepare/file pleadings	5%	260	13		
Oral Arugment	1%	110	1		
Draft settlement/order language	0%	80	0		
Total JCATS estimate				30	30

Activity 3: 241.1 Hearing						
Hearing preparation	8%	20	2	74	6	
241.1 hearing						
Conduct hearing (Witnesses)	2%	46	1			
Conduct hearing (No Witnesses)	5%	10	1			
Conduct hearing (JCATS all)	7%			65	5	
Phase B At .26 Adoption indicated						
Conduct hearing (Witnesses)	4%	46	2			
Conduct hearing (No Witnesses)	3%	10	0			
Conduct hearing (JCATS all)	7%			65	5	
File Notice of Appeal or Writ						
Prepare/file notice of appeal	0%	20				
Prepare/file/respond/argue/writ	1%					
Total minutes			156		133	

Sources. Court-Appointed Counsel: Caseload Standards, Service Delivery Models, and Contract Administration. Judicial Council of California, June 15, 2004

Judicial Council of California, Dependency Representation, Administration, Funding and Training (DRAFT) administrative data, 2013-2015.

Court Appointed Counsel Caseload Model Components
Attorney time per event estimates (2002)
compared to median times reported by DRAFT programs (2013-2015)
Case Phase 4: 241.1/39.1B Writ
Parents

	Model	Model	Model	DRAFT	DRAFT
	% freq.	Event	Total	Event	Total
	per case	time	time	Time	Time
Activity 1: Case Preparation					
Document review	30%	80	24	42	13
Notes to file and drafting	23%	30	7	47	11
Legal research	17%	55	9	88	15
Communicate with client in person	22%	43	9	35	8
Communication with client other	25%	30	8	23	6
Communicate with social worker	21%	15	3	17	4
Communicate with other counsel	28%	27	8	25	7
Communicate with others	22%	43	9	25	6
Other	19%	41	8	42	8
Activity 2: Motions and Other Hearings	20%	230	46	73	15
Activity 3: 39.1B Writs					
Prepare and file notice of intent	10%	23	2		
Request preparation of record	8%	32	3		
Review Record	14%	365	51		
Prepare/file pleadings	13%	650	85		
Oral Arugment	1%	98	1		
Draft settlement/order language	0%	45	0		
Total JCATS estimate				30	30

Activity 3: 241.1 Hearing						
Hearing preparation	8%	85	7	91	7	
241.1 hearing						
Conduct hearing (Witnesses)	2%	60	1			
Conduct hearing (No Witnesses)	5%	10	1			
Conduct hearing (JCATS all)				58	0	
Phase B At .26 Adoption indicated						
Conduct hearing (Witnesses)	0%	0	0			
Conduct hearing (No Witnesses)	0%	0	0			
Conduct hearing (JCATS all)				58	0	
File Notice of Appeal or Writ						
Prepare/file notice of appeal	0%	0				
Prepare/file/respond/argue/writ	0%					
Total minutes			281		128	

Sources. Court-Appointed Counsel: Caseload Standards, Service Delivery Models, and Contract Administration. Judicial Council of California, June 15, 2004

Judicial Council of California, Dependency Representation, Administration, Funding and Training (DRAFT) administrative data, 2013-2015.

Court Appointed Counsel Caseload Model Components Attorney time per event estimates (2002) compared to median times reported by DRAFT programs (2013-2015) Case Phase 5: through Post Permanency Plan Children

	Model % freq. per case	Model Event time	Model Total time	DRAFT Event Time	DRAFT Total Time	
	per case	time	time	Time	Time	
Activity 1: Case Preparation						
Document review	23%	16	4	26	6	
Notes to file and drafting	14%	8	1	36	5	
Legal research	2%	31	1	52	1	
Communicate with client in person	21%	50	11	42	9	
Communication with client other	13%	58	8	19	2	
Communicate with social worker	16%	60	10	14	2	
Communicate with other counsel	8%	23	2	19	2	
Communicate with others	22%	70	15	19	4	
Other	19%	43	8	26	5	
Activity 2: Motions and Other Hearings	24%	107	26	48	12	
Activity 3: Review hearing						
Hearing preparation	12%	230	28	56	7	
Review hearing						
Conduct hearing (Witnesses)	1%	15	0			
Conduct hearing (No Witnesses)	18%	10	2			
Conduct hearing (JCATS all)				49	0	
Review hearing: Adoption indicated						
Conduct hearing (Witnesses)	0%	15	0			
Conduct hearing (No Witnesses)	2%	10	0			
Conduct hearing (JCATS all)				49	0	
File Notice of Appeal or Writ						
Prepare/file notice of appeal	0%	10				
Prepare/file/respond/argue/writ	4%					
Total minutes			114		55	

Court Appointed Counsel Caseload Model Components Attorney time per event estimates (2002) compared to median times reported by DRAFT programs (2013-2015) Case Phase 5: through Post Permanency Plan Parents

	Model % freq.	Model Event	Model Total	DRAFT Event	DRAFT Total	
	per case	time	time	Time	Time	
Activity 1: Case Preparation						
Document review	23%	23	5	23	5	
Notes to file and drafting	20%	11	2	39	8	
Legal research	3%	29	1	104	3	
Communicate with client in person	6%	30	2	29	2	
Communication with client other	12%	15	2	22	3	
Communicate with social worker	14%	15	2	16	2	
Communicate with other counsel	20%	13	3	19	4	
Communicate with others	5%	44	2	19	1	
Other	11%	44	5	31	3	
Activity 2: Motions and Other Hearings	5%	158	8	39	2	
Activity 3: Review hearing						
Hearing preparation	1%	105	1	78	1	
Review hearing						
Conduct hearing (Witnesses)	0%	15	0			
Conduct hearing (No Witnesses)	15%	10	2			
Conduct hearing (JCATS all)				41	0	
Review hearing: Adoption indicated						
Conduct hearing (Witnesses)	0%	0	0			
Conduct hearing (No Witnesses)	0%	0	0			
Conduct hearing (JCATS all)						
File Notice of Appeal or Writ						
Prepare/file notice of appeal	1%	14				
Prepare/file/respond/argue/writ	0%					
Total minutes			34		34	

Region	Size	Organization Type	Senior Attorney Average	Attorney Average	Average Social Worker / Investigator	Salary includes overhead
Bay Area	Mid-sized	3 sole practitioner - associated	\$90,000	\$90,000	\$41,600	
Bay Area	Large	Administered panel		\$62,935		
Bay Area	Large	Administered panel	\$70,500	\$70,500	\$50,500	
Inland Empire	Large	Administered panel		\$105,000	\$49,840	
Inland Empire	Large	Administered panel		\$150,000	\$54,000	Yes
Bay Area	Large	Administered panel		\$102,224		Yes
Central Coast	Mid-sized	Administered panel	\$100,031	\$79,539	\$40,000	
Inland Empire	Mid-sized	Government		\$78,000	\$38,000	
Central Valley	Mid-sized	Government agency		\$58,000		
Southern	Large	Government agency	\$168,522	\$101,724	\$82,128	
Bay Area	Mid-sized	Government agency		\$96,877	\$73,806	
Central Valley	Mid-sized	Government agency	\$126,594	\$112,680		
Central Valley	Mid-sized	Government agency		\$93,333		
Bay Area	Mid-sized	Other (please specify)	\$91,429	\$90,000		
Southern	Large	Other (please specify)				
North	Small	Solo practitioner law firm (only 1 attorney)		\$103,000		
North	Small	Solo practitioner law firm (only 1 attorney)		\$49,000		Yes
North	Small	Solo practitioner law firm (only 1 attorney)				Yes

Region	Size	Organization Type	Senior Attorney Average	Attorney Average	Average Social Worker / Investigator	Salary includes overhead
North	Small	Solo practitioner law firm (only 1 attorney)		\$61,200		Yes
North	Small	Solo practitioner law firm (only 1 attorney)		\$62,364		Yes
North	Mid-sized	Solo practitioner law firm (only 1 attorney)		\$108,000		
North	Mid-sized	Solo practitioner law firm (only 1 attorney)		\$115,000		
North	Mid-sized	Solo practitioner law firm (only 1 attorney)	\$115,000	\$115,000		
North	Small	Solo practitioner law firm (only 1 attorney)		\$173,585		
North	Mid-sized	Solo practitioner law firm (only 1 attorney)		\$126,000		Yes
Central Valley	Mid-sized	Solo practitioner law firm (only 1 attorney)		\$45,000		
Central Coast	Mid-sized	Solo practitioner law firm (only 1 attorney)		\$73,000		
North	Small	Solo practitioner law firm (only 1 attorney)		\$89,000	\$52,000	

Region	Size	Organization Type	Senior Attorney Average	Attorney Average	Average Social Worker / Investigator	Salary includes overhead
North	Small	Solo practitioner law firm (only 1 attorney)				
North	Small	Solo practitioner law firm (only 1 attorney)		\$89,280		
North	Small	Solo practitioner law firm (only 1 attorney)	\$100,000	\$100,000	\$72,000	
North	Small	Solo practitioner law firm (only 1 attorney)				
Central Valley	Mid-sized	Solo practitioner law firm (only 1 attorney)	\$90,789	\$90,789		
Bay Area	Large	Staffed law firm (2 or more attorneys on staff)	\$98,625	\$63,742	\$54,250	
Inland Empire	Mid-sized	Staffed law firm (2 or more attorneys on staff)	\$114,000	\$108,000	\$76,000	
North	Small	Staffed law firm (2 or more attorneys on staff)	\$77,030	\$77,030	\$46,800	
Southern	Large	Staffed law firm (2 or more attorneys on staff)		\$80,133	\$38,836	
Southern	Large	Staffed law firm (2 or more attorneys on staff)	\$100,269	\$63,356	\$41,104	

Region	Size	Organization Type	Senior Attorney Average	Average	Average Social Worker / Investigator	Salary includes overhead
Bay Area	Mid-sized	Staffed law firm (2 or more attorneys on staff)		\$100,000		
North	Mid-sized	Staffed law firm (2 or more attorneys on staff)	\$85,160	\$85,160		
Southern	Mid-sized	Staffed law firm (2 or more attorneys on staff)		\$64,776	\$88,700	
Southern	Mid-sized	Staffed law firm (2 or more attorneys on staff)		\$54,000		
Central Valley	Large	Staffed law firm (2 or more attorneys on staff)		\$57,967	\$33,700	
Central Valley	Large	Staffed law firm (2 or more attorneys on staff)	\$132,000	\$105,000	\$30,000	
Central Coast	Mid-sized	Staffed law firm (2 or more attorneys on staff)	\$93,500	\$97,750		
Bay Area	Large	Staffed law firm (2 or more attorneys on staff)		\$74,577	\$56,000	
Bay Area	Large	Staffed law firm (2 or more attorneys on staff)	\$75,282	\$57,190	\$64,038	
Bay Area	Mid-sized	Staffed law firm (2 or more attorneys on staff)	\$85,000	\$60,000	\$40,000	

Table 1. Av	erage Att	orney Salarie	es			
Region	Size	Organization Type	Senior Attorney Average	Attorney Average	Average Social Worker / Investigator	Salary includes overhead
Central Valley	Mid-sized	Staffed law firm (2 or more attorneys on staff)	\$84,000	\$60,000		
Central Valley	Mid-sized	Staffed law firm (2 or more attorneys on staff)	\$100,000	\$80,000	\$49,250	
North	Small	Staffed law firm (2 or more attorneys on staff)		\$82,500		
North	Small		\$90,000	\$90,000		

Table 2.	Benefits	paid to staff				
Dagian	C:	Oussainstie	Calamytatal	Fat Tatal	0/ Donofito	
Region	Size	Organizatio n Type	Salary total	Benefits Cost	% Benefits	
Bay Area	Mid-sized	3 sole practitioner - associated	\$372,200	\$36,000	10%	
Bay Area	Large	Administer ed panel	\$1,702,786	\$13,006	1%	
Bay Area	Large	Administer ed panel	\$1,092,132	\$264,101	24%	
Inland Empire	Large	Administer ed panel	\$185,000		0%	
Inland Empire	Large	Administer ed panel	\$580,000		0%	
Bay Area	Large	Administer ed panel	\$0			
Central Coast	Mid-sized	Administer ed panel	\$534,187	\$133,513	25%	
Inland Empire	Mid-sized	Governmen t agency	\$240,700	\$70,000	29%	
Central Valley	Mid-sized	Governmen t agency	\$138,652		0%	
Southern	Large	Governmen t agency	\$1,556,020	\$688,783	44%	
Bay Area	Mid-sized	Governmen t agency	\$396,160	\$169,384	43%	
Central Valley	Mid-sized	Governmen t agency	\$143,585	\$43,075	30%	
Central Valley	Mid-sized	Governmen t agency	\$42,000	\$13,323	32%	
Bay Area	Mid-sized	Other (please specify)	\$241,000	\$53,400	22%	
Southern	Large	Other (please specify)	\$0	\$0		
North	Small	Solo practitioner law firm (only 1 attorney)	\$30,000	\$7,000	23%	
North	Small	Solo practitioner law firm (only 1 attorney)	\$45,000			

Region	Size	Organizatio n Type	Salary total	Est. Total % Benefits Cost	Benefits
North	Small	Solo practitioner law firm (only 1 attorney)		\$12,000	
North	Small	Solo practitioner law firm (only 1 attorney)	\$61,200	\$2,400	4%
North	Small	Solo practitioner law firm (only 1 attorney)		\$16,000	
North	Mid-sized	Solo practitioner law firm (only 1 attorney)	\$108,000		
North	Mid-sized	Solo practitioner law firm (only 1 attorney)	\$119,500		
North	Mid-sized	Solo practitioner law firm (only 1 attorney)	\$115,000		
North	Small	Solo practitioner law firm (only 1 attorney)	\$92,000		
North	Mid-sized	Solo practitioner law firm (only 1 attorney)	\$126,000	\$13,500	11%

		paid to staf				
Region	Size	Organizatio n Type	Salary total	Est. Total Benefits Cost	% Benefits	
Central Valley	Mid-sized	Solo practitioner law firm (only 1 attorney)	\$90,000			
Central Coast	Mid-sized	Solo practitioner law firm (only 1 attorney)	\$96,000	\$30,000	31%	
North	Small	Solo practitioner law firm (only 1 attorney)	\$172,090	\$15,800	9%	
North	Small	Solo practitioner law firm (only 1 attorney)	\$0	\$0		
North	Small	Solo practitioner law firm (only 1 attorney)	\$0	\$3,000		
North	Small	Solo practitioner law firm (only 1 attorney)	\$35,000			
North	Small	Solo practitioner law firm (only 1 attorney)	\$19,000			
Central Valley	Mid-sized	Solo practitioner law firm (only 1 attorney)	\$0	\$0		

rapie 2.	Benefits	paid to staff				
Region	Size	Organizatio	Salary total	Est. Total	% Panafits	
Region	Size	n Type	Salary total	Benefits Cost	% Benefits	
Bay Area	Large	Staffed law	\$1,497,730	\$236,960	16%	
bay / wea	20.80	firm (2 or	71,437,730	7230,300	10/0	
		more				
		attorneys				
		on staff)				
Inland	Mid-sized	Staffed law	\$345,800	\$1,400	0%	
Empire		firm (2 or	φσ .σ,σσσ	Ψ=, .00	9,5	
		more				
		attorneys				
		on staff)				
North	Small	Staffed law	\$58,786	\$11,611	20%	
		firm (2 or	, , , , , ,	, ,-		
		more				
		attorneys				
		on staff)				
Southern	Large	Staffed law	\$17,269,740	\$3,932,348	23%	
		firm (2 or				
		more				
		attorneys				
		on staff)				
Southern	Large	Staffed law	\$9,616,626	\$1,539,695	16%	
		firm (2 or				
		more				
		attorneys				
		on staff)				
Bay Area	Mid-sized	Staffed law	\$93,500	\$125	0%	
		firm (2 or				
		more				
		attorneys				
		on staff)				
North	Mid-sized	Staffed law	\$115,025	\$41,668	36%	
		firm (2 or				
		more				
		attorneys				
Southern	Mid-sized	on staff) Staffed law	¢474.040	¢00 200	100/	
Southern	IVIIu-Sizeu		\$471,048	\$86,300	18%	
		firm (2 or				
		more				
		attorneys				
Southern	Mid-sized	on staff) Staffed law	\$246,000	\$99,000	40%	
Journalin	IVIIG 312CG	firm (2 or	\$240,000	\$99,000	40%	
		more				
		attorneys on staff)				
Central	Large	Staffed law	\$1,853,424	\$413,600	22%	
Valley	00	firm (2 or	71,000,724	7-13,000	22/0	
,		more				
		attorneys				
		on staff)				

Dononto	paid to staff			
Size	Organizatio	 Salary total	Est. Total	% Benefits
		•		
Large	Staffed law	\$1,777,000		14%
	firm (2 or	', , , ; ; ;	, ,	
	more			
	attorneys			
	on staff)			
Mid-sized		\$605,900	\$0	0%
	firm (2 or			
	more			
	attorneys			
	on staff)			
Large		\$1,937,810	\$376,066	19%
	-			
	-			
Lorgo		Ć4 74F 020	¢220.655	200/
Large		\$1,715,820	\$339,655	20%
	-			
Mid-sized		\$260,000	\$13 525	5%
		7200,000	Ψ13,3 2 3	370
Mid-sized	Staffed law	\$116,400	\$125	
	firm (2 or			
	more			
	attorneys			
	on staff)			
Mid-sized		\$254,750	\$34,184	13%
	-			
Small		¢50,000		
Silidil		\$50,000		
Small	on statti	\$90,000		
	Size Large Mid-sized Large Large	Size Organizatio n Type Large Staffed law firm (2 or more attorneys on staff) Mid-sized Staffed law firm (2 or more attorneys on staff) Large Staffed law firm (2 or more attorneys on staff) Large Staffed law firm (2 or more attorneys on staff) Mid-sized Staffed law firm (2 or more attorneys on staff) Mid-sized Staffed law firm (2 or more attorneys on staff) Mid-sized Staffed law firm (2 or more attorneys on staff) Mid-sized Staffed law firm (2 or more attorneys on staff) Staffed law firm (2 or more attorneys on staff) Staffed law firm (2 or more attorneys on staff) Small Staffed law firm (2 or more attorneys on staff) Small Staffed law firm (2 or more attorneys on staff)	Size Organizatio n Type Large Staffed law firm (2 or more attorneys on staff) Large Staffed law firm (2 or more attorneys on staff) Large Staffed law firm (2 or more attorneys on staff) Large Staffed law firm (2 or more attorneys on staff) Large Staffed law firm (2 or more attorneys on staff) Mid-sized Staffed law firm (2 or more attorneys on staff) Mid-sized Staffed law firm (2 or more attorneys on staff) Mid-sized Staffed law firm (2 or more attorneys on staff) Mid-sized Staffed law firm (2 or more attorneys on staff) Mid-sized Staffed law firm (2 or more attorneys on staff) Staffed law firm (2 or more attorneys on staff) Staffed law firm (2 or more attorneys on staff) Staffed law firm (2 or more attorneys on staff) Small Staffed law \$50,000 firm (2 or more attorneys on staff)	Size Organizatio n Type Benefits Cost Large Staffed law firm (2 or more attorneys on staff) Large Staffed law firm (2 or more attorneys on staff) Mid-sized Staffed law firm (2 or more attorneys on staff) Large Staffed law firm (2 or more attorneys on staff) Large Staffed law firm (2 or more attorneys on staff) Large Staffed law firm (2 or more attorneys on staff) Mid-sized Staffed law firm (2 or more attorneys on staff) Mid-sized Staffed law firm (2 or more attorneys on staff) Mid-sized Staffed law firm (2 or more attorneys on staff) Mid-sized Staffed law firm (2 or more attorneys on staff) Mid-sized Staffed law firm (2 or more attorneys on staff) Mid-sized Staffed law firm (2 or more attorneys on staff) Small Staffed law firm (2 or more attorneys on staff) Small Staffed law firm (2 or more attorneys on staff) Small Staffed law firm (2 or more attorneys on staff) Small Staffed law firm (2 or more attorneys on staff) Small Staffed law firm (2 or more attorneys on staff)

		and Operat					
Region	Size	Organizatio n Type	Est. Contract Legal Services	Est. Contract Total	Travel	Training	Experi Witnesses
Bay Area	Mid-sized	3 sole practitioner - associated	\$0	\$1,200	\$22,000	\$1,500	\$5,000
Bay Area	Large	Administer ed panel	\$6,056	\$7,061	\$1,238	\$17,276	\$27,000
Bay Area	Large	Administer ed panel	\$1,044,765	\$1,300,022	\$23,129	\$21,528	\$5,652
Inland Empire	Large	Administer ed panel	\$775,000	\$800,000		\$6,000	
Inland Empire	Large	Administer ed panel	\$2,550,000	\$2,766,000	\$41,720	\$10,000	\$25,000
Bay Area	Large	Administer ed panel	\$3,533,197	\$3,533,198			
Central Coast	Mid-sized	Administer ed panel	\$0	\$0	\$10,000	\$4,000	\$0
Inland Empire	Mid-sized	Governmen t agency			\$6,250	\$3,000	\$5,000
Central Valley	Mid-sized	Governmen t agency	\$132,000	\$365,140			
Southern	Large	Governmen t agency				\$1,000	\$32,231
Bay Area	Mid-sized	Governmen t agency			\$380	\$2,115	\$7,500
Central Valley	Mid-sized	Governmen t agency				\$2,000	\$2,000
Central Valley	Mid-sized	Governmen t agency			\$262	\$450	
Bay Area	Mid-sized	Other (please specify)	\$19,200	\$50,600	\$13,000	\$3,500	\$5,000
Southern	Large	Other (please specify)	\$0	\$120,000			
North	Small	Solo practitioner law firm (only 1 attorney)			\$4,800	\$2,500	\$1,500
North	Small	Solo practitioner law firm (only 1 attorney)	\$0	\$5,400	\$750	\$500	

Table 3	3. Contract	and Operat	ting Costs				
Region	Size	Organizatio n Type	Est. Contract Legal Services	Est. Contract Total	Travel	Training	Expert Witnesses
North	Small	Solo practitioner law firm (only 1 attorney)			\$2,600	\$2,000	\$2,500
North	Small	Solo practitioner law firm (only 1 attorney)				\$700	0-1500
North	Small	Solo practitioner law firm (only 1 attorney)			\$750	\$1,000	\$5,000
North	Mid-sized	Solo practitioner law firm (only 1 attorney)			\$14,515	\$1,500	\$1,500
North	Mid-sized	Solo practitioner law firm (only 1 attorney)					
North	Mid-sized	Solo practitioner law firm (only 1 attorney)			\$0	\$0	\$0
North	Small	Solo practitioner law firm (only 1 attorney)	\$800	\$1,250	\$5,125	\$2,500	\$7,500
North	Mid-sized	Solo practitioner law firm (only 1 attorney)	\$750	\$1,500	\$2,200	\$300	\$6,000

Table 3	. Contract	and Opera	ting Costs				
Region	Size	Organizatio n Type	Est. Contract Legal Services	Est. Contract Total	Travel	Training	Expert Witnesses
Central Valley	Mid-sized	Solo practitioner law firm (only 1 attorney)			\$1,500	\$1,000	\$0
Central Coast	Mid-sized	Solo practitioner law firm (only 1 attorney)			\$1,000	\$0	\$0
North	Small	Solo practitioner law firm (only 1 attorney)			\$2,358	\$500	
North	Small	Solo practitioner law firm (only 1 attorney)			\$0	None to date	None to date
North	Small	Solo practitioner law firm (only 1 attorney)			\$3,858	\$1,000	
North	Small	Solo practitioner law firm (only 1 attorney)				\$1,000	
North	Small	Solo practitioner law firm (only 1 attorney)				\$400	
Central Valley	Mid-sized	Solo practitioner law firm (only 1 attorney)			\$2,600		

		and Operat	J				
Region	Size	Organizatio n Type	Est. Contract Legal Services	Est. Contract Total	Travel	Training	Exper Witnesses
Bay Area	Large	Staffed law firm (2 or more attorneys on staff)	\$0	\$38,200	\$67,500	\$15,000	\$5,000
Inland Empire	Mid-sized	Staffed law firm (2 or more attorneys on staff)	\$14,400	\$14,400		\$2,500	\$1,500
North	Small	Staffed law firm (2 or more attorneys	\$750	\$7,560	\$4,692	\$1,000	\$5,000
Southern	Large	Staffed law firm (2 or more attorneys on staff)	\$361,530	\$378,246	\$432,938	\$33,000	\$8,000
Southern	Large	Staffed law firm (2 or more attorneys on staff)	\$41,265	\$150,155	\$22,414	\$2,459	\$168,708
Bay Area	Mid-sized	Staffed law firm (2 or more attorneys on staff)	\$165,000	\$171,000	\$2,670	\$1,000	\$5,000
North	Mid-sized	Staffed law firm (2 or more attorneys on staff)	\$0	\$51,027	\$7,350	\$3,000	\$10,000
Southern	Mid-sized	Staffed law firm (2 or more attorneys on staff)	\$0	\$4,500			
Southern	Mid-sized	Staffed law firm (2 or more attorneys on staff)	\$0	\$20,850	\$3,600	\$1,155	
Central Valley	Large	Staffed law firm (2 or more attorneys on staff)	\$143,590	\$153,570	\$71,113	\$8,000	\$1,000

Table 3.	Contract	and Operat	ting Costs				
			3				
Region	Size	Organizatio n Type	Est. Contract Legal Services	Est. Contract Total	Travel	Training	Expert Witnesses
Central Valley	Large	Staffed law firm (2 or more attorneys			\$16,300	\$15,000	\$10,000
Central Coast	Mid-sized	Staffed law firm (2 or more attorneys on staff)			\$0	\$9,000	\$10,000
Bay Area	Large	Staffed law firm (2 or more attorneys			\$36,725	\$13,594	\$31,309
Bay Area	Large	Staffed law firm (2 or more attorneys on staff)			\$26,010	\$15,825	\$0
Bay Area	Mid-sized	Staffed law firm (2 or more attorneys			\$2,670	\$2,000	\$25,000
Central Valley	Mid-sized	Staffed law firm (2 or more attorneys on staff)	\$0	\$4,800	\$2,670	\$1,000	\$5,000
Central Valley	Mid-sized	Staffed law firm (2 or more attorneys			\$3,660	\$4,800	\$3,500
North	Small	Staffed law firm (2 or more attorneys on staff)			\$600	\$5,000	
North	Small				\$700	\$2,000	

Table 3. (Contract	and Opera					
		·					
Region	Size	Organizatio	Insurance	Rent	Overhead	Other	Total
		n Type				Project	Operating
						Costs	
Bay Area	Mid-sized	3 sole	\$10,000	\$23,000	\$23,950		\$85,450
		practitioner					
		- associated					
Bay Area	Large	Administer	\$44,644	\$15,000	\$30,064	\$0	\$135,222
		ed panel	7 ,	+ = 0 / 0 0 0	700/00	7 -	77
Bay Area	Large	Administer	\$31,504	\$116,522	\$138,706	\$38,156	\$375,197
		ed panel					
Inland	Large	Administer	\$8,400	\$15,000	\$10,290		\$39,690
Empire		ed panel					
Inland	Large	Administer	\$16,059	\$48,708	\$32,882		\$174,369
Empire		ed panel					
Bay Area	Large	Administer				\$374,377	\$374,377
Caratural	0 4: -l -:l	ed panel	¢0.000	Ć40 000	ć 4 000	ćo	¢27.000
Central	Mid-sized	Administer	\$9,000	\$10,000	\$4,900	\$0	\$37,900
<u>Coast</u> Inland	Mid-sized	ed panel Governmen			\$3,500		\$17,750
Empire	IVIIU-31ZEU	t agency			\$5,500		\$17,750
Central	Mid-sized	Governmen					\$0
Valley	Titla Sizea	t agency					ŞΟ
Southern	Large	Governmen	\$39,659		\$24,429		\$97,319
		t agency	700,000		7 - 1, 1 - 0		701,000
Bay Area	Mid-sized	Governmen	\$6,073		\$73,646		\$89,714
		t agency	·				
Central	Mid-sized	Governmen					\$4,000
Valley		t agency					
Central	Mid-sized	Governmen					\$712
•		_	4	4	4	4.0	4
Bay Area	Mid-sized		\$5,900	\$18,000	\$9,350	\$ 0	\$49,750
Southern	Large				¢10.1E6		\$19,156
Journelli	Large				\$19,150		\$19,150
North	Small		\$3 475	\$7,000	\$8 350		\$27,625
			γ 3, 1 73	77,000	70,550		Ψ 21,02 3
		-					
		attorney)					
	0 "		4	4 —	1		4 = .
North	Small		\$11,100	\$7,980	\$18,420		\$38,750
		attorney)					
Valley Bay Area Southern North	Mid-sized Large Small	t agency Other (please specify) Other (please specify) Solo practitioner law firm (only 1 attorney) Solo practitioner law firm (only 1 attorney)	\$5,900 \$3,475 \$11,100	\$18,000	\$9,350 \$19,156 \$8,350 \$18,420	\$0	\$19 \$27

Table 3	B. Contract	and Opera					
Region	Size	Organizatio n Type	Insurance	Rent	Overhead	Other Project Costs	Total Operating
North	Small	Solo practitioner law firm (only 1 attorney)	\$4,100	\$6,000	\$2,450		\$19,650
North	Small	Solo practitioner law firm (only 1 attorney)	\$2,553	\$0	\$3,050		\$6,303
North	Small	Solo practitioner law firm (only 1 attorney)	\$4,500	\$8,436	\$13,090		\$32,776
North	Mid-sized	Solo practitioner law firm (only 1 attorney)	\$6,100	\$5,000	\$23,815		\$52,430
North	Mid-sized	Solo practitioner law firm (only 1 attorney)	\$4,263	\$3,000	\$21,125		\$29,138
North	Mid-sized	Solo practitioner law firm (only 1 attorney)	\$6,580	\$5,600	\$17,286	\$700	\$30,166
North	Small	Solo practitioner law firm (only 1 attorney)	\$5,700	\$5,200	\$8,650		\$34,675
North	Mid-sized	Solo practitioner law firm (only 1 attorney)	\$1,850	\$4,500	\$4,980		\$19,830

Table 3	. Contract	and Opera					
Region	Size	Organizatio n Type	Insurance	Rent	Overhead	Other Project Costs	Total Operating
Central Valley	Mid-sized	Solo practitioner law firm (only 1 attorney)	\$12,250	\$12,000	\$15,170	\$1,000	\$42,920
Central Coast	Mid-sized	Solo practitioner law firm (only 1 attorney)	\$7,132	\$19,000	\$8,890		\$36,022
North	Small	Solo practitioner law firm (only 1 attorney)	\$3,900	\$7,200	\$3,600		\$17,558
North	Small	Solo practitioner law firm (only 1 attorney)	\$1,500	\$0	\$5,120	\$0	\$6,620
North	Small	Solo practitioner law firm (only 1 attorney)	\$950	\$3,750	\$1,200		\$10,758
North	Small	Solo practitioner law firm (only 1 attorney)	\$3,800	\$1,200	\$8,740		\$14,740
North	Small	Solo practitioner law firm (only 1 attorney)	\$2,100	\$5,100	\$4,200		\$11,800
Central Valley	Mid-sized	Solo practitioner law firm (only 1 attorney)	\$2,701	\$281	\$3,789		\$9,371

Table 3.	Contract	and Opera					
Region	Size	Organizatio n Type	Insurance	Rent	Overhead	Other Project Costs	Tota Operating
Bay Area	Large	Staffed law firm (2 or more attorneys on staff)	\$40,048	\$115,500	\$74,710	\$213,900	\$531,658
Inland Empire	Mid-sized	Staffed law firm (2 or more attorneys on staff)	\$15,236	\$42,000	\$11,355		\$72,591
North	Small	Staffed law firm (2 or more attorneys	\$2,000	\$4,000	\$5,034	\$2,000	\$23,726
Southern	Large	Staffed law firm (2 or more attorneys on staff)	\$559,540	\$422,287	\$936,879	\$48,250	\$2,440,894
Southern	Large	Staffed law firm (2 or more attorneys on staff)	\$261,331	\$727,767	\$509,312	########	\$3,545,913
Bay Area	Mid-sized	Staffed law firm (2 or more attorneys on staff)	\$2,325	\$9,000	\$5,835	\$700	\$26,530
North	Mid-sized	Staffed law firm (2 or more attorneys on staff)	\$8,482	\$8,075	\$10,038	\$7,500	\$54,445
Southern	Mid-sized	Staffed law firm (2 or more attorneys on staff)	\$9,450	\$31,603	\$38,972		\$80,025
Southern	Mid-sized	Staffed law firm (2 or more attorneys on staff)	\$25,621	\$2,000	\$22,607		\$54,983
Central Valley	Large	Staffed law firm (2 or more attorneys on staff)	\$62,169	\$131,000	\$63,619	\$6,675	\$343,576

Table 3.	Contract	and Opera					
Region	Size	Organizatio	Insurance	Rent	Overhead	Other	Total
		n Type				Project	Operating
	<u> </u>	c. c. II	d=0.400	4400 660	4444050	Costs	4.00.40=
Central Valley	Large	Staffed law firm (2 or	\$78,100	\$139,662	\$144,363	\$0	\$403,425
valley		more					
		attorneys					
		on staff)					
Central	Mid-sized	Staffed law	\$19,200	\$12,000	\$43,506	\$0	\$93,706
Coast		firm (2 or					
		more					
		attorneys					
Bay Area	Large	on staff) Staffed law	\$40,728	\$131,663	\$72,143	\$3,259	\$220.421
Day Alea	Laige	firm (2 or	\$40,728	\$131,003	\$72,143	\$3,239	\$329,421
		more					
		attorneys					
		on staff)					
Bay Area	Large	Staffed law	\$25,330	\$153,080	\$123,475	\$220,055	\$563,775
		firm (2 or					
		more					
		attorneys					
Bay Area	Mid-sized	on staff) Staffed law	¢2.225	¢14.000	¢0.250	\$700	¢ F 6 O 4 F
Day Alea	IVIIU-SIZEU	firm (2 or	\$2,325	\$14,000	\$9,350	\$700	\$56,045
		more					
		attorneys					
		on staff)					
Central	Mid-sized	Staffed law	\$2,325	\$4,800	\$5,960	\$700	\$22,455
Valley		firm (2 or					
		more					
		attorneys					
Central	Mid-sized	on staff) Staffed law	\$7,244	\$18,800	\$6,536	\$22,825	¢67.265
Valley	IVIIU-31ZEU	firm (2 or	\$7,244	\$10,000	٥٥,٥٥٥	322,02 3	\$67,365
Valley		more					
		attorneys					
		on staff)					
North	Small	Staffed law	\$4,930	\$9,000	\$7,910		\$27,440
		firm (2 or					
		more					
		attorneys					
North	Small	on staff)	\$17,000	\$4,800	\$4,110		\$28,610
1101111	Jiliali		λτ <i>\</i> ,000	9 4 ,000	γ4,11U		920,01U

Region	Size	Organizatio n Type	Travel	Training	Expert Witnesses	Insurance	Rent	Overhead
Bay Area	Mid-sized	3 sole practitioner - associated	4%	0%	1%	2%	5%	5%
Bay Area	Large	Administer ed panel	0%	1%	1%	2%	1%	2%
Bay Area	Large	Administer ed panel	1%	1%	0%	1%	4%	5%
Inland Empire	Large	Administer ed panel	0%	1%	0%	1%	1%	1%
Inland Empire	Large	Administer ed panel	1%	0%	1%	0%	1%	1%
Bay Area	Large	Administer ed panel	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Central Coast	Mid-sized	Administer ed panel	1%	1%	0%	1%	1%	1%
Inland Empire Central	Mid-sized Mid-sized	Governmen t agency	2% 0%	1% 0%	2% 0%	0%	0%	1%
Valley Southern	Large	Governmen t agency Governmen	0%	0%	1%	2%	0%	1%
Bay Area	Mid-sized	t agency Governmen		0%		1%	0%	
	Mid-sized	t agency	0%		1%			11%
Central Valley		Governmen t agency	0%	1%	1%	0%	0%	0%
Central Valley	Mid-sized	Governmen t agency	0%	1%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Bay Area	Mid-sized	Other (please specify)	3%	1%		1%	5%	2%
Southern	Large	Other (please	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	14%
North	Small	specify) Solo practitioner law firm (only 1 attorney)	7%	4%	2%	5%	11%	13%

Table 3	oa. Operatii	ng Costs as '	% OI TOLE	11 COSTS				
Region	Size	Organizatio n Type	Travel	Training	Expert Witnesses	Insurance	Rent	Overhead
North	Small	Solo practitioner law firm (only 1 attorney)	1%	1%	0%	12%	9%	21%
North	Small	Solo practitioner law firm (only 1 attorney)	8%	6%	8%	13%	19%	8%
North	Small	Solo practitioner law firm (only 1 attorney)	0%	1%	#VALUE!	4%	0%	4%
North	Small	Solo practitioner law firm (only 1 attorney)	0%	1%	3%	3%	5%	8%
North	Mid-sized	Solo practitioner law firm (only 1 attorney)	9%	1%	1%	4%	3%	15%
North	Mid-sized	Solo practitioner law firm (only 1 attorney)	0%	0%	0%	3%	2%	14%
North	Mid-sized	Solo practitioner law firm (only 1 attorney)	0%	0%	0%	5%	4%	12%
North	Small	Solo practitioner law firm (only 1 attorney)	4%	2%	6%	4%	4%	7%

Table 3	a. Operatii	ng Costs as	% of Tot	al Costs				
Region	Size	Organizatio n Type	Travel	Training	Expert Witnesses	Insurance	Rent	Overhead
North	Mid-sized	Solo practitioner law firm (only 1 attorney)	1%	0%	4%	1%	3%	3%
Central Valley	Mid-sized	Solo practitioner law firm (only 1 attorney)	1%	1%	0%	9%	9%	11%
Central Coast	Mid-sized	Solo practitioner law firm (only 1 attorney)	1%	0%	0%	4%	12%	5%
North	Small	Solo practitioner law firm (only 1 attorney)	1%	0%	0%	2%	4%	2%
North	Small	Solo practitioner law firm (only 1 attorney)	0%			23%	0%	77%
North	Small	Solo practitioner law firm (only 1 attorney)	28%	7%	0%	7%	27%	9%
North	Small	Solo practitioner law firm (only 1 attorney)	0%	2%	0%	7%	2%	17%
North	Small	Solo practitioner law firm (only 1 attorney)	0%	1%	0%	7%	17%	14%

Table 3a	a. Operatii	ng Costs as	% of Tota	al Costs				
Region	Size	Organizatio n Type	Travel	Training	Expert Witnesses	Insurance	Rent	Overhead
Central Valley	Mid-sized	Solo practitioner law firm (only 1 attorney)	28%	0%	0%	29%	3%	40%
Bay Area	Large	Staffed law firm (2 or more attorneys on staff)	3%	1%	0%	2%	5%	3%
Inland Empire	Mid-sized	Staffed law firm (2 or more attorneys on staff)	0%	1%	0%	4%	10%	3%
North	Small	Staffed law firm (2 or more attorneys on staff)	5%	1%	5%	2%	4%	5%
Southern	Large	Staffed law firm (2 or more attorneys on staff)	2%	0%	0%	2%	2%	4%
Southern	Large	Staffed law firm (2 or more attorneys	0%	0%	1%	2%	5%	3%
Bay Area	Mid-sized	on staff) Staffed law firm (2 or more attorneys on staff)	1%	0%	2%	1%	3%	2%
North	Mid-sized	Staffed law firm (2 or more attorneys on staff)	3%	1%	4%	3%	3%	4%
Southern	Mid-sized	Staffed law firm (2 or more attorneys on staff)	0%	0%	0%	1%	5%	6%

		ng Costs as '						
Region	Size	Organizatio	Travel	Training	Expert	Insurance	Rent	Overhead
		n Type			Witnesses			
Southern	Mid-sized	Staffed law	1%	0%	0%	6%	0%	5%
		firm (2 or						
		more						
		attorneys						
		on staff)						
Central	Large	Staffed law	3%	0%	0%	2%	5%	2%
Valley		firm (2 or						
		more						
		attorneys						
		on staff)						
Central	Large	Staffed law	1%	1%	0%	3%	6%	6%
Valley		firm (2 or						
		more						
		attorneys						
		on staff)						
Central	Mid-sized	Staffed law	0%	1%	1%	3%	2%	6%
Coast		firm (2 or						
		more						
		attorneys						
		on staff)						
Bay Area	Large	Staffed law	1%	0%	1%	1%	5%	3%
		firm (2 or						
		more						
		attorneys						
		on staff)						
Bay Area	Large	Staffed law	1%	1%	0%	1%	6%	5%
		firm (2 or						
		more						
		attorneys						
		on staff)						
Bay Area	Mid-sized	Staffed law	1%	0%	5%	0%	3%	2%
		firm (2 or						
		more						
		attorneys						
		on staff)						
Central	Mid-sized	Staffed law	2%	1%	3%	2%	3%	4%
Valley		firm (2 or						
		more						
		attorneys						
		on staff)						
Central	Mid-sized	Staffed law	1%	1%	1%	2%	5%	2%
Valley		firm (2 or						
		more						
		attorneys						
		on staff)						
North	Small	Staffed law	1%	6%	0%	6%	12%	10%
		firm (2 or						
		more						
		attorneys						
		on staff)						

Table 3	Ba. Opera	ting Costs as	s % of Tot	al Costs				
Region	Size	Organizatio n Type	Travel	Training	Expert Witnesses	Insurance	Rent	Overhead
North	Small		1%	2%	0%	14%	4%	3%

Table 3a	. Operatir	ng Costs a	(
_			
Region	Size	Organizatio	Other
		n Type	Project
Day Arga	Mid-sized	3 sole	Costs
Bay Area	iviiu-sizeu		0%
		practitioner	
		- associated	
Bay Area	Large	Administer	0%
		ed panel	
Bay Area	Large	Administer	1%
		ed panel	
Inland	Large	Administer	0%
Empire	-	ed panel	
Inland	Large	Administer	0%
Empire	-	ed panel	
Bay Area	Large	Administer	10%
		ed panel	
Central	Mid-sized	Administer	0%
Coast		ed panel	
Inland	Mid-sized	Governmen	0%
Empire	0.01.1.1	t agency	00/
Central	Mid-sized	Governmen	0%
Valley	1	t agency	
Southern	Large	Governmen	0%
Day Area	N 4: -l:l	t agency	
Bay Area	Mid-sized	Governmen	0%
Central	Mid-sized	t agency	00/
	IVIIu-Sizeu	Governmen	0%
Valley Central	Mid-sized	t agency Governmen	00/
Valley	IVIIU-SIZEU		0%
Bay Area	Mid-sized	t agency Other	0%
bay Area	IVIIU-312EU	(please	070
		specify)	
		specify)	
Southern	Large	Other	0%
Journelli	Luige	(please	0%
		specify)	
North	Small	Solo	0%
	3	practitioner	070
		law firm	
		(only 1	
		attorney)	
		accorney)	

Table 3a.	Operatin	g Costs a	•
Region	Size	Organizatio	Other
	0.20	n Type	Project
			Costs
North	Small	Solo practitioner law firm (only 1 attorney)	0%
North	Small	Solo practitioner law firm (only 1 attorney)	0%
North	Small	Solo practitioner law firm (only 1 attorney)	0%
North	Small	Solo practitioner law firm (only 1 attorney)	0%
North	Mid-sized	Solo practitioner law firm (only 1 attorney)	0%
North	Mid-sized	Solo practitioner law firm (only 1 attorney)	0%
North	Mid-sized	Solo practitioner law firm (only 1 attorney)	0%
North	Small	Solo practitioner law firm (only 1 attorney)	0%

Table 3a	Operatin	g Costs a	<u> </u>
Region	Size	Organizatio	Other
riegion	5.20	n Type	Project
	T	T	Costs
North	Mid-sized	Solo practitioner law firm (only 1 attorney)	0%
Central Valley	Mid-sized	Solo practitioner law firm (only 1 attorney)	1%
Central Coast	Mid-sized	Solo practitioner law firm (only 1 attorney)	0%
North	Small	Solo practitioner law firm (only 1 attorney)	0%
North	Small	Solo practitioner law firm (only 1 attorney)	0%
North	Small	Solo practitioner law firm (only 1 attorney)	0%
North	Small	Solo practitioner law firm (only 1 attorney)	0%
North	Small	Solo practitioner law firm (only 1 attorney)	0%

Table 3a	n. Operatii	ng Costs as	
Region	Size	Organizatio	Other
		n Type	Project
			Costs
Central	Mid-sized	Solo	0%
Valley		practitioner	
		law firm	
		(only 1	
		attorney)	
Bay Area	Large	Staffed law	9%
		firm (2 or	
		more	
		attorneys	
		on staff)	
Inland	Mid-sized	Staffed law	0%
Empire		firm (2 or	
		more	
		attorneys	
North	Small	on staff) Staffed law	2%
1101111	Sinan	firm (2 or	270
		more	
		attorneys	
		on staff)	
Southern	Large	Staffed law	0%
		firm (2 or	
		more	
		attorneys	
Southern	Laura	on staff) Staffed law	4.20/
Southern	Large		12%
		firm (2 or	
		more	
		attorneys on staff)	
Bay Area	Mid-sized	Staffed law	0%
•		firm (2 or	
		more	
		attorneys	
North	Mid-sized	on staff) Staffed law	3%
		firm (2 or	
		more	
		attorneys	
		on staff)	
Southern	Mid-sized	Staffed law	0%
		firm (2 or	
		more	
		attorneys	
		on staff)	

Table 3a			
Region	Size	Organizatio	Other
		n Type	Project
C th	0.4:- -:	Chaffa d lavo	Costs
Southern	Mid-sized	Staffed law	0%
		firm (2 or	
		more	
		attorneys	
Central	Large	on staff) Staffed law	0%
Valley		firm (2 or	070
,		more	
		attorneys	
		on staff)	
Central	Large	Staffed law	0%
Valley		firm (2 or	
		more	
		attorneys	
		on staff)	
Central	Mid-sized	Staffed law	0%
Coast		firm (2 or	
		more	
		attorneys	
D A	1	on staff)	00/
Bay Area	Large	Staffed law	0%
		firm (2 or	
		more	
		attorneys	
Bay Area	Large	on staff) Staffed law	8%
24704		firm (2 or	070
		more	
		attorneys	
		on staff)	
Bay Area	Mid-sized	Staffed law	0%
		firm (2 or	
		more	
		attorneys	
		on staff)	
Central	Mid-sized	Staffed law	0%
Valley		firm (2 or	
		more	
		attorneys	
Cambual	Mid-sized	on staff)	C0/
Central	iviia-sizea	Staffed law	6%
Valley		firm (2 or	
		more	
		attorneys	
North	Small	on staff) Staffed law	0%
	Ju.ii	firm (2 or	070
		more	
		attorneys	
		on staff)	

Table 3	a. Opera	ting Costs a:	
Region	Size	Organizatio	Other
		n Type	Project
			Costs
North	Small		0%

Table 4.	Direct an	d Indirect (Cost Proport	ions			
			·				
Region	Size	Organizatio n Type		% benefits to total salaries	% Non legal Contract +Operating to salaried		
Bay Area	Mid-sized	3 sole practitioner - associated	73%	10%	18%		
Bay Area	Large	Administer ed panel	91%	1%	7%		
Bay Area	Large	Administer ed panel	32%	24%	21%		
Inland Empire	Large	Administer ed panel	0%	0%	6%		
Inland Empire	Large	Administer ed panel	0%	0%	11%		
Bay Area	Large	Administer ed panel			10%		
Central Coast	Mid-sized	Administer ed panel	78%	25%	5%		
Inland Empire	Mid-sized	Governmen t agency	49%	29%	5%		
Central Valley	Mid-sized	Governmen t agency	42%	0%	46%		
Southern	Large	Governmen t agency	54%	44%	4%		
Bay Area	Mid-sized	Governmen t agency	49%	43%	14%		
Central Valley	Mid-sized	Governmen t agency	87%	30%	2%		
Central Valley	Mid-sized	Governmen t agency	100%	32%	1%		
Bay Area	Mid-sized	Other (please specify)	90%	22%	21%		
Southern	Large	Other (please specify)			100%		
North	Small	Solo practitioner law firm (only 1 attorney)	113%	23%	43%		
North	Small	Solo practitioner law firm (only 1 attorney)	67%	0%	50%		

Table 4	. Direct an	d Indirect (Cost Proport	ions			
Region	Size	Organizatio n Type		% benefits to total salaries	% Non legal Contract +Operating to salaried personnel		
North	Small	Solo practitioner law firm (only 1 attorney)			62%		
North	Small	Solo practitioner law firm (only 1 attorney)	100%	4%	9%		
North	Small	Solo practitioner law firm (only 1 attorney)			20%		
North	Mid-sized	Solo practitioner law firm (only 1 attorney)	100%	0%	33%		
North	Mid-sized	Solo practitioner law firm (only 1 attorney)	96%	0%	20%		
North	Mid-sized	Solo practitioner law firm (only 1 attorney)	0%	0%	21%		
North	Small	Solo practitioner law firm (only 1 attorney)	100%	0%	27%		
North	Mid-sized	Solo practitioner law firm (only 1 attorney)	100%	11%	13%		

Table 4	. Direct an	d Indirect	Cost Proport	ions			
Region	Size	Organizatio n Type	% line staff to total salaries	% benefits to total salaries	% Non legal Contract +Operating to		
					salaried nersonnel		
Central Valley	Mid-sized	Solo practitioner law firm (only 1 attorney)	100%	0%	32%		
Central Coast	Mid-sized	Solo practitioner law firm (only 1 attorney)	76%	31%	22%		
North	Small	Solo practitioner law firm (only 1 attorney)	0%	9%	9%		
North	Small	Solo practitioner law firm (only 1 attorney)			100%		
North	Small	Solo practitioner law firm (only 1 attorney)			78%		
North	Small	Solo practitioner law firm (only 1 attorney)	71%	0%	28%		
North	Small	Solo practitioner law firm (only 1 attorney)	100%	0%	38%		
Central Valley	Mid-sized	Solo practitioner law firm (only 1 attorney)	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	100%		

Table 4.	Direct an	d Indirect (Cost Proport	ions			
			- 1				
Region	Size	Organizatio	% line staff to	% benefits to	% Non legal	·	
		n Type	total salaries	total salaries	Contract +Operating to salaried personnel		
Bay Area	Large	Staffed law firm (2 or more attorneys on staff)	52%	16%	25%		
Inland Empire	Mid-sized	Staffed law firm (2 or more attorneys on staff)	64%	0%	17%		
North	Small	Staffed law firm (2 or more attorneys	69%	20%	30%		
Southern	Large	on staff) Staffed law firm (2 or more attorneys	52%	23%	10%		
Southern	Large	on staff) Staffed law firm (2 or more attorneys on staff)	66%	16%	25%		
Bay Area	Mid-sized	Staffed law firm (2 or more attorneys on staff)	64%	0%	11%		
North	Mid-sized	Staffed law firm (2 or more attorneys on staff)	35%	36%	40%		
Southern	Mid-sized	Staffed law firm (2 or more attorneys on staff)	69%	18%	13%		
Southern	Mid-sized	Staffed law firm (2 or more attorneys on staff)	44%	40%	18%		

Table 4.	Direct an	d Indirect	Cost Proport	ions			
			,				
Region	Size	Organizatio	% line staff to	% benefits to	% Non legal		<u> </u>
		n Type	total salaries	total salaries	Contract +Operating to salaried personnel		
Central	Large	Staffed law	44%	22%	13%		
Valley		firm (2 or more attorneys					
Central Valley	Large	on staff) Staffed law firm (2 or	84%	14%	17%		
		more attorneys on staff)					
Central Coast	Mid-sized	Staffed law firm (2 or more attorneys	69%	0%	13%		
Bay Area	Large	on staff) Staffed law firm (2 or	50%	19%	12%		
		attorneys on staff)		221			
Bay Area	Large	Staffed law firm (2 or more attorneys	50%	20%	22%		
Bay Area	Mid-sized	on staff) Staffed law firm (2 or more attorneys	56%	5%	11%		
Central Valley	Mid-sized	on staff) Staffed law firm (2 or more attorneys	98%	0%	19%		
Central Valley	Mid-sized	on staff) Staffed law firm (2 or more attorneys	61%	13%	19%		
North	Small	on staff) Staffed law firm (2 or more attorneys	100%	0%	35%		
North	Small	on staff)	100%	0%	24%		

ounty	• •	County Counsel midrange	Workload model	Survey average	County Counsel Average	Survey Average
odoc	0.61		67,143			
rinity	0.65	75,479	67,143			
lenn	0.68	89,008	79,539			
olusa	0.70	76,332	67,143			
umas	0.70	61,044	67,143			
skiyou	0.71	54,028	67,143	94,640		
erra	0.71		67,143			
ariposa	0.74	69,861				
umboldt	0.76	64,383		•		
ake	0.76	57,576		•		
nperial	0.77	73,818		•		
el Norte	0.79	72,413				
assen	0.80	71,240				
ehama	0.80	72,876	•	•		
lare	0.82	66,935	67,143		69,615	85,994
pine	0.82		79,539			
yo	0.83	77,772				
asta	0.85	76,782	67,143			
llaveras	0.86	84,240				
endocino	0.86	64,958				
ngs	0.89	70,440				
erced	0.91	64,594				
uolumne	0.91	75,479		•		
utte	0.92	63,960	67,143			
ladera	0.94	76,524	79,539			
ıba 	0.94	66,720	79,539			
ıtter	0.95	92,015	79,539			
evada	0.97	91,904	79,539		75.00	70.000
n Benito	0.97	70,446	79,539		75,064	78,383
Dorado	0.99	109,845				
nador	0.99	97,760				
esno	1.00	60,342	67,143			
olo	1.01	86,054	79,539			
anislaus	1.02	92,015	79,539			
n rnardino	1.05	69,982	79,539			
ernardino ern	1.05	75,558	79,539			
verside	1.05	95,278				
versiae in Luis Obisp		95,278 81,692	79,539			
an Luis Obisp an Joaquin	1.11	78,528				
acer	1.14	99,622				

Table 5. Cou	inty Couns	sel and At	torney Surv	ey Salaries	Compare	ed by BLS
County	BLS applied last year	County Counsel midrange	Workload model	Survey average	County Counsel Average	Survey Average
Santa Barbara	(2014) 1.16	82,692	95,892	97,750		
Sonoma	1.17	98,074	95,892	60,000		
Santa Cruz San Diego	1.17 1.17		95,892 95,892		86,121	89,997
Monterey	1.19	81,240	95,892			03,337
Mono Napa	1.20 1.21	,	79,539 95,892			
Solano Ventura	1.22 1.23	•	95,892 95,892	•		
Contra Costa	1.25	•		70,500		
Sacramento Marin	1.28 1.30		79,539 114,800	•		
Orange	1.30	97,796	95,892	73,500		
Los Angeles Alameda	1.34 1.42	,	95,892 95,892		·	
San Mateo	1.45	113,909	114,800			
Santa Clara San Francisco	1.47 1.61	,	114,800 114,800	65,883 102,224		78,187

County	EDD Econ	BLS	County	Workload	Survey	County	Survey
	Region	applied	Counsel	model	average	Counsel	Average
		last year	midrange			Average	
		(2014)					
Modoc	1	0.61		67,143			
Trinity	1	0.65	75,479	67,143			
Glenn	1	0.68	89,008	79,539			
Colusa	1	0.70	76,332	67,143			
Plumas	1	0.70	61,044	67,143			
Siskiyou	1	0.71	54,028	67,143	94,640		
Sierra	1	0.71		67,143			
Mariposa	1	0.74	69,861	67,143			
Humboldt	1	0.76	64,383	67,143	115,000		
Lake	1	0.76	57,576	79,539	77,030		
Del Norte	1	0.79	72,413	67,143	49,000		
Lassen	1	0.80	71,240	67,143			
Tehama	1	0.80	72,876	67,143	82,500		
Inyo	1	0.83	77,772	79,539			
Shasta	1	0.85	76,782	67,143			
Calaveras	1	0.86					
Mendocino	1	0.86	•				
Tuolumne	1	0.91			-		
Butte	1	0.92			-		
Amador	1	0.99	-				
Mono	1	1.20			•		
	North and	-				73,999	90,01
						,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	7 -
Tulare	2	0.82	66,935	67,143	90,789		
Alpine	2	0.82		79,539	-		
Kings	2	0.89					
Merced	2	0.91			•		
Madera	2	0.94			,		
Yuba	2	0.94					
Sutter	2	0.95	-				
Nevada	2	0.97					
El Dorado	2	0.99	-		-		
Fresno	2	1.00			-		
Yolo	2	1.01	-				
Stanislaus	2	1.01					
Kern	2	1.02	,				
San Joaquin	2	1.11	78,528				
Placer	2	1.11					
Sacramento	2	1.14					
Jaciailiciitu	Sacrament	-			37,307		74,24

Table 5. County Counsel and Attorney Survey Salaries Compared by EDD Region								
County	EDD Econ	BLS	County	Workload	Survey	County	Survey	
	Region	applied last year (2014)	Counsel midrange	model	average	Counsel Average	Average	
Sonoma	3	1.17	98,074	95,892	60,000			
Napa	3	1.21	98,509	95,892				
Solano	3	1.22	88,851	95,892	96,877			
Contra Costa	3	1.25	106,544	114,800	70,500			
Marin	3	1.30	101,213	114,800	100,000			
Alameda	3	1.42	101,910	95,892	63,338			
San Mateo	3	1.45	113,909	114,800				
Santa Clara	3	1.47	115,291	114,800	65,883			
San Francisco	3	1.61	143,845	114,800	102,224			
	Bay Area					107,572	79,832	
San Benito	4	0.97	70,446	79,539				
San Luis Obispo	4	1.07	81,692	79,539	79,539			
Santa Barbara	4	1.16	82,692	95,892	97,750			
Santa Cruz	4	1.17	84,966	95,892	90,000			
Monterey	4	1.19	81,240	95,892	73,000			
	Central					80,207	85,072	
San Diego	5	1.17	79,414	95,892				
Ventura	5	1.23	90,772	95,892				
Orange	5	1.30	97,796	95,892	73,500			
Los Angeles	5	1.34	91,327	95,892	78,580			
	Southern					89,827	76,040	
Imperial	6	0.77	73,818	67,143	93,000			
San Bernardino	6	1.05	69,982	79,539				
Riverside	6	1.07	95,278	95,892	127,500			
	Inland Emp	ire				79,692	110,250	

Table 6: Sta	aff Ratios				
Region	Size	Organizatio	Clients	Ratio:	Ratio: SWs
		n Type		Clients to	to Sen
				Sen Attys	Attys and
				and Attvs	Attvs
Bay Area	Mid-sized	3 sole	360	120	6.0
		practitioner			
		- associated			
		_			
Bay Area	Large	Administer	1596	166	
D 4		ed panel	2.405		
Bay Area	Large	Administer	2,185	437	1.0
Internal Engaine	1	ed panel	1 740	226	7.4
Inland Empire	Large	Administer	1,749	236	7.4
Inland Empire	Largo	ed panel Administer	8,664	F10	4.2
illianu Empire	Large		6,004	510	4.3
Bay Area	Large	ed panel Administer	3,057	90	
Day Area	Large	ed panel	3,037	90	
Central Coast	Mid-sized	Administer	850	170	2.5
Central Coust	Wild Sized	ed panel	030	170	2.5
Inland Empire	Mid-sized	Governmen	521	347	5.0
		t agency		31,	3.0
Central Valley	Mid-sized	Governmen	686	686	
,		t agency			
Southern	Large	Governmen	2,113	302	1.8
		t agency			
Bay Area	Mid-sized	Governmen	600	300	1.7
		t agency			
Central Valley	Mid-sized	Governmen	180	180	
		t agency			
Central Valley	Mid-sized	Governmen	155	344	
		t agency			
Bay Area	Mid-sized	Other	351	146	
		(please			
G		specify)			
Southern	Large	Other	approx		
		(please	1200		
Namble	Small	specify)	47	457	
North	Siliali	Solo	47	157	
		practitioner			
		law firm			
		(only 1			
		attorney)			
North	Small	Solo	92	92	
		practitioner	32	<i>J</i> 2	
		law firm			
		(only 1			
		attorney)			
		accorney)			

Table 6:	Staff Ratios				
Region	Size	Organizatio n Type	Clients	Ratio: Clients to Sen Attys and Attvs	Ratio: SWs to Sen Attys and Attvs
North	Small	Solo practitioner law firm (only 1 attorney)		0	
North	Small	Solo practitioner law firm (only 1 attorney)	60		
North	Small	Solo practitioner law firm (only 1 attorney)		0	
North	Mid-sized	Solo practitioner law firm (only 1 attorney)	135	135	
North	Mid-sized	Solo practitioner law firm (only 1 attorney)	188	188	
North	Mid-sized	Solo practitioner law firm (only 1 attorney)	199	199	
North	Small	Solo practitioner law firm (only 1 attorney)	70	132	
North	Mid-sized	Solo practitioner law firm (only 1 attorney)	115	115	

Table 6: Sta	aff Ratios				
Region	Size	Organizatio n Type	Clients	Ratio: Clients to Sen Attys and Attvs	Ratio: SWs to Sen Attys and Attvs
Central Valley	Mid-sized	Solo practitioner law firm (only 1 attorney)	604	302	Attvs
Central Coast	Mid-sized	Solo practitioner law firm (only 1 attorney)	292	292	
North	Small	Solo practitioner law firm (only 1 attorney)	81		0.0
North	Small	Solo practitioner law firm (only 1 attorney)	2	20	
North	Small	Solo practitioner law firm (only 1 attorney)	51	102	
North	Small	Solo practitioner law firm (only 1 attorney)	50	200	
North	Small	Solo practitioner law firm (only 1 attorney)	45	45	
Central Valley	Mid-sized	Solo practitioner law firm (only 1 attorney)	353		

Table 6: Sta	aff Ratios				
Region	Size	Organizatio n Type	Clients	Ratio: Clients to Sen Attys and Attys	Ratio: SWs to Sen Attys and Attvs
Bay Area	Large	Staffed law firm (2 or more attorneys on staff)	1,807	181	2.5
Inland Empire	Mid-sized	Staffed law firm (2 or more attorneys on staff)	353	177	1.3
North	Small	Staffed law firm (2 or more attorneys on staff)	90	170	
Southern	Large	Staffed law firm (2 or more attorneys on staff)	29,826	264	1.6
Southern	Large	Staffed law firm (2 or more attorneys	21,429	214	6.7
Bay Area	Mid-sized	Staffed law firm (2 or more attorneys on staff)	240	400	
North	Mid-sized	Staffed law firm (2 or more attorneys	260	553	
Southern	Mid-sized	Staffed law firm (2 or more attorneys on staff)	492	98	5.0
Southern	Mid-sized	Staffed law firm (2 or more attorneys on staff)	546	273	

Table 6: Sta	aff Ratios				
Region	Size	Organizatio	Clients	Ratio:	Ratio: SWs
		n Type		Clients to	to Sen
				Sen Attys	Attys and
Control Valley	Largo	Staffed law	3,053	and Attvs	Attvs
Central Valley	Large	firm (2 or	3,033	218	1.8
		-			
		more			
		attorneys on staff)			
Central Valley	Large	Staffed law	2,615	201	4.3
,		firm (2 or	,		
		more			
		attorneys			
		on staff)			
Central Coast	Mid-sized	Staffed law	853	284	
		firm (2 or			
		more			
		attorneys			
Day Area	1	on staff) Staffed law	1257	104	7.0
Bay Area	Large		1357	104	7.2
		firm (2 or			
		more			
		attorneys on staff)			
Bay Area	Large	Staffed law	1567	133	2.1
,		firm (2 or			
		more			
		attorneys			
		on staff)			
Bay Area	Mid-sized	Staffed law	660	330	
		firm (2 or			
		more			
		attorneys			
Central Valley	Mid-sized	on staff) Staffed law	145	97	
Central valley	IVIIU-SIZEU	firm (2 or	143	97	
		more			
		attorneys			
		on staff)			
Central Valley	Mid-sized	Staffed law	437	250	1.8
		firm (2 or			
		more			
		attorneys			
		on staff)			
North	Small	Staffed law	50	25	
		firm (2 or			
		more			
		attorneys			
North	Small	on staff)	87	174	
NOLUI	SITIALI		87	174	