



Judicial Council of California

Family & Juvenile Law Advisory
Committee

www.courts.ca.gov/familyjuvenilecomm.htm
familyjuvenilecomm@jud.ca.gov

FAMILY AND JUVENILE LAW ADVISORY COMMITTEE

MINUTES OF OPEN MEETING WITH CLOSED SESSION

April 17, 2023

4:30 - 5:30 p.m.

Virtual

Advisory Body Members Present: Hon. Stephanie E. Hulse, Hon. Bunmi O. Awoniyi, Hon. John P. Bianco, Ms. Mary Majich Davis, Hon. Ana L. España, Hon. Katherine Fogarty, Suzanne Gazzaniga, Mx. Cody Hernandez, Ms. Selis Koker, Ms. Sharon Lawrence, Hon. Frank J. Menetrez, Ms. Sherry Peterson, Ms. Melissa J. Poulos, Hon. Nannette J. Stomberg, Ms. Shannon Sullivan, Hon. B. Scott Thomsen, Hon. Rubén A. Villalobos.

Advisory Body Members Absent: Hon. Amy M. Pellman, Hon. Charles F. Adams, Hon. Craig E. Arthur, Hon. Brett Bianco, Hon. Tari L. Cody, Hon. Suzan M. Gill, Hon. Jennifer Lee Giuliani, Ms. Leslie Heimov, Hon., Ms. Diane Iglesias, Ms. Rose Klein, Mr. Jonathan Laba, Hon. Laura H. Miller, Mr. Brian J. Richart, Hon. Lawrence P. Riff, Ms. Cheryl Scott, Ms. Nancy E. Smith, Hon. Monica F. Wiley.

Others Present: Hon. Sue Alexander (Ret.), Judicial Council Staff.

OPEN MEETING

Call to Order and Roll Call

The chair called the meeting to order at 4:31 p.m. and took roll call.

Approval of Minutes

The advisory body reviewed and approved the minutes of the March 13, 2023, Family and Juvenile Law Advisory Committee meeting. Motion: Judge Villalobos, Second: Justice Menetrez. Abstentions none. Motion carries.

DISCUSSION AND ACTION ITEMS (ITEM 1)

Item 1

Child Support: Updating AB 1058 Program Funding Methodologies and Adopting 2023–24 Funding Allocations (Action Required)

After consideration and vote, the committee recommended that the Judicial Council approve the recommended base allocation for the Child Support Commissioner and the Family Law Facilitator programs for 2023–24 and 2024–25, as described below and set forth in Attachment A, maintaining the current funding methodologies previously approved by the council with updated workload and population data. Hon. Katherine Fogarty, Hon. Ms. Selis Koker, and Ms. Melissa Poulos abstained from voting.

A D J O U R N M E N T

There being no further open meeting business, the open portion of the meeting was adjourned at 4:52.

C L O S E D S E S S I O N

Item 1

Legislation

Closed session under rule 10.75(d)(10) proposed legislation, rules, or forms

Closed portion of the meeting adjourned at 5:26.

Approved by the advisory body on May 15, 2023.



Judicial Council of California

455 Golden Gate Avenue · San Francisco, California 94102-3688

Telephone 415-865-4200 · Fax 415-865-4205

M E M O R A N D U M

Date

May 9, 2023

Action Requested

Please Review

To

Members of the Family and Juvenile Law
Advisory Committee

Deadline

May 15, 2023

From

Kelly Meehleib, Supervising Analyst

Contact

Kelly Meehleib, 916-263-1693
kelly.meehleib@jud.ca.gov

Subject

Draft Judicial Council Report regarding
FY 2023-24 Court Appointed Special
Advocates (CASA) Local Assistance Funding
Methodology, \$500,000 Augmentation,
Program Expansion, and Funding Allocations

Vida Terry, 415-865-7721
vida.terry@jud.ca.gov

Issue

As the oversight body for state funding of Court Appointed Special Advocates (CASA) programs the Family and Juvenile Law Advisory Committee has been asked to consider three recommendations on the funding allocation methodology as discussed in the report and FY 2023–24 funding allocations based on the updated methodology.

1. Allocation of the \$500,000 funding augmentation as base funding using the four-tiered base funding methodology approved by the council on September 21, 2018 until the child welfare system recovers and stabilizes from the impact of the COVID-19 pandemic;
2. Amendment of the methodology to allow for expansion of the CASA Local Assistance Program to expand to serve new courts without a program; and
3. Allocation of \$2.713 million for fiscal year 2023–24 to the CASA programs based on the recommended amended methodology.

Background

Legislation (Stats. 1988, ch. 723) amended Welfare and Institutions Code section 100 et seq. to require the Judicial Council to establish guidelines encouraging the development of local CASA programs that assist abused and neglected children who are the subject of judicial proceedings. The legislation also called for the establishment of a CASA grant program to be administered by the Judicial Council, and required CASA programs to provide local matching—or in-kind—funds equal to program funding received from the Judicial Council. At its February 9, 1999, meeting, the Judicial Council delegated approval of the allocation of the Judicial Council CASA grant funds to the Executive and Planning Committee (Executive Committee).

In August 2003, at the recommendation of the Family and Juvenile Law Advisory Committee, the Executive Committee approved a formula-based method for distributing Judicial Council CASA program funding to California CASA programs. The new funding approach replaced the previous competitive request-for-proposals process with predetermined program awards. Then on August 23, 2013, the Judicial Council approved a new funding methodology that was formula based and used program data submitted by local programs to evaluate the efficiency and growth of those programs.¹

At its meeting on July 20, 2018, the Judicial Council adopted a revised methodology that provides a larger percentage of funds as base funding and replaced the two existing incentives with a growth incentive for those programs that are eligible.² The revised methodology made no changes to the four-tiered base funding portion of the methodology. At its September 21, 2018, meeting, the Judicial Council approved the allocation of \$500,000 to CASA programs as additional base funding for FYs 2018–19 and 2019–20 using the four-tiered base funding methodology adopted and reaffirmed at its August 2013 and July 2018 meetings, respectively.

In FY 2021–22, the Judicial Council approved extending utilization of the four-tiered case funding methodology for allocation of the augmented funds beyond the two-year funding plan.³

¹ Judicial Council of Cal., Advisory Com. Rep., *Juvenile Dependency: Court Appointed Special Advocate Program Funding Methodology* (July 19, 2013), www.courts.ca.gov/documents/jc-20130823-itemM.pdf.

² Judicial Council of Cal., Advisory Com. Rep., *Judicial Council Budget: Court Appointed Special Advocate Funding Methodology and FY 2018–19 Allocations* (June 28, 2018), <https://jcc.legistar.com/View.ashx?M=F&ID=6357571&GUID=C010F4D4-28C5-4868-871C-94B763688ACA>.

³ Judicial Council of Cal., Advisory Com. Rep., *Juvenile Law: Fiscal Year 2021–22 Funding Allocations for Court Appointed Special Advocate Local Assistance* (June 16, 2021), <https://jcc.legistar.com/View.ashx?M=F&ID=9487734&GUID=BD0E146D-4BF6-40A9-B325-779E70763AAD>.

Then, on July 15, 2022, the Judicial Council directed Judicial Council CASA program staff to develop a funding methodology for the \$500,000 augmentation.⁴

Issue 1: \$500,000 Augmentation Funding Methodology

Since becoming available through the Budget Act of 2018, the \$500,000 augmentation has been allocated to programs as base funding using the four-tiered base funding methodology approved by the council on September 21, 2018. An ad hoc working group of members from the Family and Juvenile Law Advisory Committee worked with program staff to develop a funding methodology for the augmented funding. The ad-hoc working group reviewed CASA Local Assistance base funding amounts for each county, the number of dependents served by CASAs and the resident population for each county.

The working group took into consideration that the COVID-19 pandemic strained all aspects of the child welfare system, that there was an overall decrease in the number of children in dependency statewide between July 1, 2019 and July 1, 2022, and that local CASA programs have been challenged to recruit, train, and supervise CASA volunteers after a drop in volunteers during the pandemic. The programs continue to experience ongoing challenges with recruiting, training, and supervising CASA volunteers.

Based on these considerations, the working group agreed that the most optimal option was to continue allocation of the \$500,000 augmentation to CASA programs as additional base funding using the four-tiered base funding methodology adopted by the council on September 21, 2018 until the child welfare system recovers and stabilizes from the impact of the COVID-19 pandemic. By adopting this position, the augmented funding would be folded into the methodology as it has been done since its inception and therefore the local CASA programs can anticipate funding based on historic allocations.

The working group considered two alternatives. The first alternative considered was to allocate the \$500,000 augmentation evenly for all local CASA programs. However, the working group noted that this option would not account for county population, multicounty programs, or any other factors. The second alternative considered was to create an incentive that would provide augmented funding for programs that meet that incentive. However, the working group noted that because of economies of scale, larger programs are better positioned to reach incentives, creating inequities in the awarding of incentives.

⁴ Judicial Council of Cal., Advisory Com. Rep., *Juvenile Law: Fiscal Year 2022–23 Funding Allocations for Court Appointed Special Advocate Local Assistance* (June 22, 2022), <https://jcc.legistar.com/View.ashx?M=F&ID=11051536&GUID=CB060812-69AE-4272-9D05-0F0AECEA8ABC>.

Issue 2: CASA Program Expansion

While the ad hoc working-group was tasked only with developing recommendations for the \$500,000 funding augmentation, at the direction of the cochairs, the working group was reconvened to develop recommendations on expanding the CASA Local Assistance program to new courts.

The current methodology locks the available funding for allocation only to existing programs and does not include provisions to expand the funding to courts without a program. However, there are currently seven courts without a CASA program including Alpine, Colusa, Sierra, Sutter, Trinity, Tuolumne, and Yuba. At present, three courts, Sierra, Trinity, and Yuba have expressed an interest in integrating their courts into an existing neighboring CASA program and it is anticipated that more of the seven counties without CASA programs may seek expansion in the future. One program requested to expand their program to serve an additional court effective FY 2023–24.⁵

After consideration of the three options described in the report, the working group recommended that the current methodology be amended to allow expansion of the program to serve new courts with the following parameters:

1. New programs must be approved by the California CASA Association and National CASA Association;
2. New programs must join under an existing and neighboring program; and
3. The request to join an existing program must be submitted to the Judicial Council by April 1 to be considered for inclusion in the next CASA Local Assistance allocation term (September 1 through August 31).

The working group considered two alternatives. One alternative considered was to allow expansion for stand-alone programs. However, the working group agreed that opening the program to new stand-alone programs would be a less optimal option as expansion to stand-alone programs would have a greater fiscal impact on existing programs and requiring new programs to join under existing programs will likely lead to efficiencies. Another alternative considered was to defer program expansion to future years. However, the working group agreed that

⁵ The Superior Court of California, County of Yuba and the CASA program serving Placer, Child Advocates of Placer County, requested consideration for inclusion in the CASA Local Assistance Program as a two-county program beginning FY 2023–24. In April 2023 the California CASA Association submitted an application to the National CASA Association for Child Advocates of Placer County to expand into Yuba County. The application is pending approval as of the time of this writing. The allocations detailed in Attachment B will be used in the event that the application is not approved in time for consideration at the July 2023 Judicial Council meeting.

expansion should not be deferred to future years as allowing for expansion beginning in FY 2023–24 should increase the number of children served by a CASA.

Issue 3: Allocation of \$2.713 Million for FY 2023–24 to the CASA Programs Based on the Recommended Amended Methodology

The draft report includes two allocation charts based on the recommended amended methodology. Attachment A includes allocations with expansion to one additional county. Attachment B includes allocations without expansion.

Proposal

The Family and Juvenile Law Advisory Committee makes recommendations to the Judicial Council at the June 20, 2023 meeting to take the following actions:

1. Allocate the \$500,000 funding augmentation as base funding using the four-tiered base funding methodology approved by the council on September 21, 2018 until the child welfare system recovers and stabilizes from the impact of the COVID-19 pandemic;
2. Amend the methodology to allow for expansion of the CASA Local Assistance program to permit existing programs to expand to serve new courts without a program; and
3. Allocate \$2.713 million for fiscal year 2023–24 to the CASA programs based on the recommended amended methodology.

Attachments

1. Draft report to the Judicial Council
2. Attachment A: Proposed Allocation for FY 2023–2024 Judicial Council Local Assistance (Recommended – with program expansion)
3. Attachment B: Proposed Allocation for FY 2023–2024 Judicial Council Local Assistance (no program expansion)



Judicial Council of California

455 Golden Gate Avenue · San Francisco, California 94102-3688

www.courts.ca.gov

REPORT TO THE JUDICIAL COUNCIL

Item No.: 23-104

For business meeting on: July 20–21, 2023

Title

Juvenile Law: Court Appointed Special Advocate Funding Methodology, Program Expansion, and FY 2023–2024 Allocations

Rules, Forms, Standards, or Statutes Affected

None

Recommended by

Family and Juvenile Law Advisory Committee
Hon. Stephanie E. Hulse, Cochair
Hon. Amy M. Pellman, Cochair

Agenda Item Type

Action Required

Effective Date

July 1, 2023

Date of Report

May 9, 2023

Contact

Kelly Meehleib, 916-263-1693
kelly.meehleib@jud.ca.gov
Vida Terry, 415-865-7721
vida.terry@jud.ca.gov

Executive Summary

The Family and Juvenile Law Advisory Committee recommends allocation of \$2.713 million for fiscal year (FY) 2023–24 for the Court Appointed Special Advocate Local Assistance program, and two updates to the funding allocation methodology. The judicial branch budget for Judicial Council CASA grants for FY 2023–24 is \$2.713 million, which includes a \$500,000 augmentation. The allocations would fund 45 CASA programs serving 52 counties.

Recommendation

The Family and Juvenile Law Advisory Committee recommends that the Judicial Council, effective July 1, 2023:

1. Allocate the \$500,000 funding augmentation as base funding using the four-tiered base funding methodology approved by the council on September 21, 2018, until the child welfare system recovers and stabilizes from the impact of the COVID-19 pandemic;

2. Amend the methodology to allow for expansion of the CASA Local Assistance program to permit existing programs to expand to serve new courts without a program; and
3. Allocate \$2.713 million for fiscal year 2023–24 to the CASA programs based on the recommended amended methodology.

Relevant Previous Council Action

Legislation (Stats. 1988, ch. 723) amended Welfare and Institutions Code section 100 et seq. to require the Judicial Council to establish guidelines encouraging the development of local CASA programs that assist abused and neglected children who are the subject of judicial proceedings. The legislation also called for the establishment of a CASA grant program to be administered by the Judicial Council, and required CASA programs to provide local matching—or in-kind—funds equal to program funding received from the Judicial Council. At its February 9, 1999, meeting, the Judicial Council delegated approval of the allocation of the Judicial Council CASA grant funds to the Executive and Planning Committee (Executive Committee).

In August 2003, at the recommendation of the Family and Juvenile Law Advisory Committee, the Executive Committee approved a formula-based method for distributing Judicial Council CASA program funding to California CASA programs. The new funding approach replaced the previous competitive request-for-proposals process with predetermined program awards. Then on August 23, 2013, the Judicial Council approved a new funding methodology that was formula-based and used program data submitted by local programs to evaluate the efficiency and growth of those programs.¹

At its meeting on July 20, 2018, the Judicial Council adopted a revised methodology that provides a larger percentage of funds as base funding and replaced the two existing incentives with a growth incentive for those programs that are eligible.² The revised methodology made no changes to the four-tiered base funding portion of the methodology. At its September 21, 2018, meeting, the Judicial Council approved the allocation of \$500,000 to CASA programs as additional base funding for FYs 2018–19 and 2019–20 using the four-tiered base funding methodology adopted and reaffirmed at its August 2013 and July 2018 meetings, respectively.³

¹ Judicial Council of Cal., Advisory Com. Rep., *Juvenile Dependency: Court Appointed Special Advocate Program Funding Methodology* (July 19, 2013), www.courts.ca.gov/documents/jc-20130823-itemM.pdf.

² Judicial Council of Cal., Advisory Com. Rep., *Judicial Council Budget: Court Appointed Special Advocate Funding Methodology and FY 2018–19 Allocations* (June 28, 2018), <https://jcc.legistar.com/View.ashx?M=F&ID=6357571&GUID=C010F4D4-28C5-4868-871C-94B763688ACA>.

³ Judicial Council of Cal., Advisory Com. Rep., (Aug. 14, 2018), *Judicial Council Budget: Allocation of Augmented Funding for Court Appointed Special Advocate Grant Program*, <http://jcc.legistar.com/gateway.aspx?m=l&id=/matter.aspx?key=2133>.

In FY 2021–22, the Judicial Council approved extending utilization of the four-tiered case funding methodology for allocation of the augmented funds beyond the two-year funding plan.⁴

On July 15, 2022, the Judicial Council directed Judicial Council CASA program staff to develop a funding methodology for the \$500,000 augmentation.⁵

Analysis/Rationale

\$500,000 augmentation

Since becoming available through the Budget Act of 2018, the \$500,000 augmentation has been allocated to programs as base funding using the four-tiered base funding methodology approved by the council on September 21, 2018. At its July 2022 meeting, the council directed program staff to develop and present recommendations for a funding methodology for this \$500,000 augmentation. The Family and Juvenile Law Advisory Committee formed an ad hoc working group to work with program staff to develop a funding methodology for this funding.

The ad hoc working group reviewed CASA Local Assistance base funding amounts for each county, the number of dependents served by CASAs, and the resident population for each county. The COVID-19 pandemic strained all aspects of the child welfare system. There was an overall decrease in the number of children in dependency statewide between July 1, 2019, and July 1, 2022. Local CASA programs have been challenged to recruit, train, and supervise CASA volunteers after a drop in volunteers during the pandemic. The programs continue to experience ongoing challenges with recruiting, training, and supervising CASA volunteers.

The committee recommends continuing allocation of the \$500,000 augmentation to CASA programs as additional base funding using the four-tiered base funding methodology adopted by the council on September 21, 2018, until the child welfare system recovers and stabilizes from the impact of the COVID-19 pandemic. Continuing the \$500,000 augmentation funding allocation based on this funding methodology will provide the local CASA programs with funding based on historic allocations.

CASA program expansion

There are seven courts without a CASA program, and many of these courts have expressed an interest in establishing a local CASA program. One program requested to expand their program to serve an additional court effective FY 2023–24.⁶ However, the current methodology locks the

⁴ Judicial Council of Cal., Advisory Com. Rep., *Juvenile Law: Fiscal Year 2021–22 Funding Allocations for Court Appointed Special Advocate Local Assistance* (June 16, 2021), <https://jcc.legistar.com/View.ashx?M=F&ID=9487734&GUID=BD0E146D-4BF6-40A9-B325-779E70763AAD>.

⁵ Judicial Council of Cal., Advisory Com. Rep., *Juvenile Law: Fiscal Year 2022–23 Funding Allocations for Court Appointed Special Advocate Local Assistance* (June 22, 2022), <https://jcc.legistar.com/View.ashx?M=F&ID=11051536&GUID=CB060812-69AE-4272-9D05-0F0AECEA8ABC>.

⁶ The Superior Court of Yuba County and the CASA program serving Placer County, Child Advocates of Placer County, requested consideration for inclusion in the CASA Local Assistance Program as a two-county program

available funding for allocation only to existing programs and does not include provisions to expand the funding to courts without a program.

The committee recommends the current methodology be updated to include provisions for expansion of the program to serve new courts with the following parameters:

1. New programs must be approved by the California CASA Association and National CASA Association;
2. New programs must join under an existing and neighboring program; and
3. The request to join an existing program must be submitted to the Judicial Council by April 1 to be considered for inclusion in the next CASA Local Assistance allocation term (September 1 through August 31).

Since the total amount available for funding does not increase with expansion, expansion to new courts will result in a decrease in the funding available to each program, specifically the augmentation and growth incentive funding. Requiring new courts to join under an existing or neighboring program will minimize the fiscal impact on existing programs.

Proposed funding allocations for FY 2023–24

The judicial branch budget for Judicial Council CASA grants for FY 2023–24 is \$2.713 million, which includes a \$500,000 augmentation. The allocations would fund 45 CASA programs serving 52 counties. The committee recommends allocation of the \$2.713 million for fiscal year 2023–24 to the CASA programs based on the recommended amended methodology as outlined in Attachment A. Attachment A includes FY 2023–24 program allocations with the addition of a new program. Attachment B shows the FY 2023–24 program allocations without the new program.

Policy implications

There are no policy implications to consider for the recommended allocation and methodology revisions.

Comments

Circulation for public comment was not required for this report. However, as indicated previously, the Family and Juvenile Law Advisory Committee formed an ad hoc working group to work with program staff to develop a funding methodology for the ongoing \$500,000 funding augmentation. The working group discussed and considered two alternatives, detailed below. When evaluating various options, the working group carefully considered child welfare data, county populations, the historical funding methodology, the impact of the COVID-19 pandemic on the child welfare system, and the challenges faced by the local CASA programs. There was

beginning FY 2023–24. In April 2023, the California CASA Association submitted an application to the National CASA Association for Child Advocates of Placer County to expand into Yuba County.

consensus among the working group to continue allocation of the \$500,000 augmentation to CASA programs as additional base funding using the four-tiered base funding methodology adopted by the council on September 21, 2018, until the child welfare system recovers and stabilizes from the impact of the COVID-19 pandemic.

The working group was reconvened to discuss and consider a methodology to permit expansion of the program to serve courts without a local CASA program. The current methodology does not include provisions to expand the funding to courts without a program. The group discussed the process for expansion with respect to the requirements for approval by the California CASA Association and the National CASA Association, which includes formal application and approval. The working group also discussed the number of courts currently without a CASA program and anticipation of additional courts showing interest in joining the program. Also discussed and considered was the impact of expansion of the program on funding allocations for new and existing programs. The recommended methodology stemmed from these discussions with no dissent.

Alternatives considered

Two alternatives were considered to recommendation 1:

1. *Allocate the \$500,000 augmentation evenly for all local CASA programs.*
This alternative equally distributes the \$500,000 among the 45 local programs. Although this method would provide the same amount of funding for each program, it would not account for county population, multicounty programs, or any other factors.
2. *Create an incentive program that would provide augmented funding for programs that meet that incentive.*
Creating another incentive option for the local CASA programs, such as number of children served or number of CASA volunteers recruited within a time frame, would reward CASA programs that reach that incentive. However, because of economies of scale, larger programs are better positioned to reach incentives, creating inequities in the awarding of incentives.

Two alternatives were considered to recommendation 2:

1. *Allow expansion for standalone programs.*
Allowing expansion to standalone programs would increase the amount of funding distributed for base allocations, thereby reducing the augmentation and growth incentive funding available for all programs. New programs joining under an existing and neighboring program will have a lesser impact on the available funding for each program. In addition, shared resources will likely lead to efficiencies.
2. *Defer CASA Local Assistance program expansion to future years.*
By deferring expansion to future years, those courts without a CASA program would continue to be ineligible for funding through the CASA Local Assistance program to serve

children in their counties. Allowing for expansion beginning in FY 2023–24 will increase the number of children served by a CASA.

Fiscal and Operational Impacts

This recommendation is for the allocation of funds that are included in the FY 2023–24 budget. Implementation of the expansion provision will be effective for FY 2023–24. If the recommended methodology for expansion is approved, all programs will see a minimal decrease in augmentation funding and growth incentive funding.

Attachments and Links

1. Attachment A: Proposed Allocation for FY 2023–2024 Judicial Council Local Assistance (recommended—with program expansion)
2. Attachment B: Proposed Allocation for FY 2023–2024 Judicial Council Local Assistance (no program expansion)

DRAFT

Attachment A: Proposed Allocation for FY 2023-24

Local CASA Programs by County(ies)	Base Allocations	Allocation of \$500,000	Proposed Growth Incentive	Total Proposed JC Local Assistance Grant
Alameda	\$57,500.00	\$13,668.67	\$4,061.00	\$75,229.70
Amador /Calaveras	\$44,850.00	\$10,661.56	\$4,061.00	\$59,572.58
Butte/Glenn	\$58,650.00	\$13,942.04	\$0.00	\$72,592.07
Contra Costa	\$57,500.00	\$13,668.67	\$4,061.00	\$75,229.70
Del Norte	\$29,900.00	\$7,107.71	\$0.00	\$37,007.72
El Dorado	\$39,100.00	\$9,294.70	\$4,061.00	\$52,455.72
Fresno/Madera	\$86,250.00	\$20,503.01	\$0.00	\$106,753.05
Humboldt	\$29,900.00	\$7,107.71	\$0.00	\$37,007.72
Imperial	\$39,100.00	\$9,294.70	\$4,061.00	\$52,455.72
Inyo/Mono	\$44,850.00	\$10,661.56	\$0.00	\$55,511.58
Kern	\$57,500.00	\$13,668.67	\$4,061.00	\$75,229.70
Kings	\$39,100.00	\$9,294.70	\$4,061.00	\$52,455.72
Lassen	\$29,900.00	\$7,107.71	\$4,061.00	\$41,068.72
Los Angeles	\$57,500.00	\$13,668.67	\$0.00	\$71,168.70
Marin	\$39,100.00	\$9,294.70	\$4,061.00	\$52,455.72
Mariposa	\$29,900.00	\$7,107.71	\$0.00	\$37,007.72
Mendocino/Lake	\$58,650.00	\$13,942.04	\$4,061.00	\$76,653.07
Merced	\$39,100.00	\$9,294.70	\$4,061.00	\$52,455.72
Modoc	\$29,900.00	\$7,107.71	\$0.00	\$37,007.72
Monterey	\$48,300.00	\$11,481.68	\$4,061.00	\$63,842.70
Napa	\$39,100.00	\$9,294.70	\$4,061.00	\$52,455.72
Nevada	\$29,900.00	\$7,107.71	\$0.00	\$37,007.72
Orange	\$57,500.00	\$13,668.67	\$0.00	\$71,168.70
Placer/Yuba	\$72,450.00	\$17,222.53	\$4,061.00	\$93,733.56
Plumas	\$29,900.00	\$7,107.71	\$0.00	\$37,007.72
Riverside	\$57,500.00	\$13,668.67	\$4,061.00	\$75,229.70
Sacramento	\$57,500.00	\$13,668.67	\$4,061.00	\$75,229.70
San Benito	\$29,900.00	\$7,107.71	\$0.00	\$37,007.72
San Bernardino	\$57,500.00	\$13,668.67	\$4,061.00	\$75,229.70
San Diego	\$57,500.00	\$13,668.67	\$0.00	\$71,168.70
San Francisco	\$48,300.00	\$11,481.68	\$4,061.00	\$63,842.70
San Joaquin	\$48,300.00	\$11,481.68	\$4,061.00	\$63,842.70
San Luis Obispo	\$39,100.00	\$9,294.70	\$4,061.00	\$52,455.72
San Mateo	\$48,300.00	\$11,481.68	\$0.00	\$59,781.70
Santa Barbara	\$48,300.00	\$11,481.68	\$4,061.00	\$63,842.70
Santa Clara	\$57,500.00	\$13,668.67	\$0.00	\$71,168.70
Santa Cruz	\$39,100.00	\$9,294.70	\$4,061.00	\$52,455.72
Shasta/Tehama	\$58,650.00	\$13,942.04	\$4,061.00	\$76,653.07
Siskiyou	\$29,900.00	\$7,107.71	\$4,061.00	\$41,068.72
Solano	\$48,300.00	\$11,481.68	\$4,061.00	\$63,842.70
Sonoma	\$48,300.00	\$11,481.68	\$4,061.00	\$63,842.70
Stanislaus	\$48,300.00	\$11,481.68	\$0.00	\$59,781.70
Tulare	\$48,300.00	\$11,481.68	\$4,061.00	\$63,842.70
Ventura	\$48,300.00	\$11,481.68	\$0.00	\$59,781.70
Yolo	\$39,100.00	\$9,294.70	\$0.00	\$48,394.72
Totals	\$2,103,350.00	\$499,999.97	\$109,647.00	\$2,712,996.97
Total Local Assistance Grant	\$2,713,000.00			
Total Base Amounts	\$2,603,349.97			
Incentives Awards for Top 27 Program (Small 13 & Large 14)	\$109,647.00			

*Base Allocations are based on the four-tier methodology

After allocating funds to the base according to the methodology and expanding the Placer County program to serve Yuba County, \$4,061 was available for incentive funding per qualified program.

Attachment B: Proposed Allocation for FY 2023-24

Local CASA Programs by County(ies)	Base Allocations	Allocation of \$500,000	Proposed Growth Incentive	Total Proposed JC Local Assistance Grant
Alameda	\$57,500.00	\$13,827.00	\$4,955.00	\$76,282.00
Amador /Calaveras	\$44,850.00	\$10,785.00	\$4,955.00	\$60,590.00
Butte/Glenn	\$58,650.00	\$14,104.00	\$0.00	\$72,754.00
Contra Costa	\$57,500.00	\$13,827.00	\$4,955.00	\$76,282.00
Del Norte	\$29,900.00	\$7,190.00	\$0.00	\$37,090.00
El Dorado	\$39,100.00	\$9,403.00	\$4,955.00	\$53,458.00
Fresno/Madera	\$86,250.00	\$20,741.00	\$0.00	\$106,991.00
Humboldt	\$29,900.00	\$7,190.00	\$0.00	\$37,090.00
Imperial	\$39,100.00	\$9,403.00	\$4,955.00	\$53,458.00
Inyo/Mono	\$44,850.00	\$10,785.00	\$0.00	\$55,635.00
Kern	\$57,500.00	\$13,827.00	\$4,955.00	\$76,282.00
Kings	\$39,100.00	\$9,403.00	\$4,955.00	\$53,458.00
Lassen	\$29,900.00	\$7,190.00	\$4,955.00	\$42,045.00
Los Angeles	\$57,500.00	\$13,827.00	\$0.00	\$71,327.00
Marin	\$39,100.00	\$9,403.00	\$4,955.00	\$53,458.00
Mariposa	\$29,900.00	\$7,190.00	\$0.00	\$37,090.00
Mendocino/Lake	\$58,650.00	\$14,104.00	\$4,955.00	\$77,709.00
Merced	\$39,100.00	\$9,403.00	\$4,955.00	\$53,458.00
Modoc	\$29,900.00	\$7,190.00	\$0.00	\$37,090.00
Monterey	\$48,300.00	\$11,615.00	\$4,955.00	\$64,870.00
Napa	\$39,100.00	\$9,403.00	\$4,955.00	\$53,458.00
Nevada	\$29,900.00	\$7,190.00	\$0.00	\$37,090.00
Orange	\$57,500.00	\$13,827.00	\$0.00	\$71,327.00
Placer	\$48,300.00	\$11,615.00	\$4,955.00	\$64,870.00
Plumas	\$29,900.00	\$7,190.00	\$0.00	\$37,090.00
Riverside	\$57,500.00	\$13,827.00	\$4,955.00	\$76,282.00
Sacramento	\$57,500.00	\$13,827.00	\$4,955.00	\$76,282.00
San Benito	\$29,900.00	\$7,190.00	\$0.00	\$37,090.00
San Bernardino	\$57,500.00	\$13,827.00	\$4,955.00	\$76,282.00
San Diego	\$57,500.00	\$13,827.00	\$0.00	\$71,327.00
San Francisco	\$48,300.00	\$11,615.00	\$4,955.00	\$64,870.00
San Joaquin	\$48,300.00	\$11,615.00	\$4,955.00	\$64,870.00
San Luis Obispo	\$39,100.00	\$9,403.00	\$4,955.00	\$53,458.00
San Mateo	\$48,300.00	\$11,615.00	\$0.00	\$59,915.00
Santa Barbara	\$48,300.00	\$11,615.00	\$4,955.00	\$64,870.00
Santa Clara	\$57,500.00	\$13,827.00	\$0.00	\$71,327.00
Santa Cruz	\$39,100.00	\$9,403.00	\$4,955.00	\$53,458.00
Shasta/Tehama	\$58,650.00	\$14,104.00	\$4,955.00	\$77,709.00
Siskiyou	\$29,900.00	\$7,190.00	\$4,955.00	\$42,045.00
Solano	\$48,300.00	\$11,615.00	\$4,955.00	\$64,870.00
Sonoma	\$48,300.00	\$11,615.00	\$4,955.00	\$64,870.00
Stanislaus	\$48,300.00	\$11,615.00	\$0.00	\$59,915.00
Tulare	\$48,300.00	\$11,615.00	\$4,955.00	\$64,870.00
Ventura	\$48,300.00	\$11,615.00	\$0.00	\$59,915.00
Yolo	\$39,100.00	\$9,403.00	\$0.00	\$48,503.00
Totals	\$2,079,200.00	\$499,995.00	\$133,785.00	\$2,712,980.00

Total Local Assistance Grant	\$2,713,000.00
Total Base Amounts	\$2,579,195.00
Incentives Awards for Top 27 Program (Small 13 & Large 14)	\$133,785.00

*Base Allocations are based on the four-tier methodology

After allocating funds to the base according to the methodology, \$4,955 was available for incentive funding per qualified program.