



JUDICIAL COUNCIL OF CALIFORNIA

455 Golden Gate Avenue · San Francisco, California 94102-3688

www.courts.ca.gov

REPORT TO THE JUDICIAL COUNCIL

For business meeting on: For business meeting on: March 23-24, 2020

Title

Child Support: Midyear Funding
Reallocation for Fiscal Year 2019–20 and
Base Funding Allocation for Fiscal Year
2020–21 for the Child Support
Commissioner and Family Law Facilitator
Program

Agenda Item Type

Action Required

Effective Date

March 23, 2020

Date of Report

January 14, 2020

Contact

Anna L. Maves, 916-263-8624
anna.maves@jud.ca.gov

Rules, Forms, Standards, or Statutes Affected

None

Recommended by

Trial Court Budget Advisory Committee
Hon. Jonathan B. Conklin, Chair
Family and Juvenile Law Advisory
Committee
Hon. Jerilyn L. Borack, Cochair
Hon. Mark A. Juhas, Cochair

Executive Summary

The Trial Court Budget Advisory Committee and the Family and Juvenile Law Advisory Committee recommend approving the reallocation of funding for the Child Support Commissioner and Family Law Facilitator Program for the remainder of fiscal year (FY) 2019–20 and the allocation of funding for this same program for FY 2020–21, as required by Assembly Bill 1058 (Stats. 1996, ch. 957). The funds are provided through a cooperative agreement between the California Department of Child Support Services (DCSS) and the Judicial Council. At midyear, under an established procedure described in the standard agreement with each superior court, the Judicial Council redistributes to courts with a documented need for additional funds any available funds from courts that are projected not to spend their full grants that year,

up to the amount of funds available through the contract with DCSS. The courts are also offered an option to use local court funds up to an approved amount to draw down, or qualify for, federal matching funds.

Recommendation

The Trial Court Budget Advisory Committee and the Family and Juvenile Law Advisory Committee recommend that the Judicial Council, effective March 23, 2020:

1. Approve the reallocation for funding of child support commissioners for FY 2019–20, subject to the state Budget Act;
2. Approve the reallocation for funding of family law facilitators for FY 2019–2020, subject to the state Budget Act;
3. Approve allocation for funding of child support commissioners for FY 2020–21, subject to the state Budget Act; and
4. Approve the allocation for funding of family law facilitators for FY 2020–21, subject to the state Budget Act.

Attachments A through D contain tables detailing the recommended reallocations and allocations of funding.

Previous Council Action

The Judicial Council is required annually to allocate nontrial court funding to the Child Support Commissioner and Family Law Facilitator Program and has done so since 1997.¹ A cooperative agreement between the California Department of Child Support Services and the Judicial Council provides the funds for this program and requires the council to annually approve the funding allocation. Two-thirds of the funds are federal, and one-third comes from the state General Fund (nontrial court funding). Any funds left unspent during the fiscal year revert to the state General Fund and cannot be used in subsequent years.

Historically, the Judicial Council at midyear redistributes to courts—with a documented need for additional funds—any available funds from courts that are projected not to spend their full grants. In addition, in FY 2007–08, DCSS and the Judicial Council provided a mechanism for the courts to recover two-thirds of additional program costs beyond the contract maximum covered

¹ AB 1058 added article 4 to chapter 2 of part 2 of division 9 of the Family Code, which at section 4252(b)(6) requires the Judicial Council to “[e]stablish procedures for the distribution of funding to the courts for child support commissioners, family law facilitators pursuant to [Family Code] Division 14 (commencing with Section 10000), and related allowable costs.”

by local trial court funds. This federal drawdown option continues to be available for FY 2020–21.

On January 15, 2019, the Judicial Council approved the recommendations of the AB 1058 Funding Allocation Joint Subcommittee and:

1. Adopted a new funding methodology for the AB1058 child support commissioner program base funding that is workload-based and employs the same workload and cost structures as the Workload Formula, caps increases or decreases of funding at 5 percent, maintains current funding levels for smaller courts to ensure continued operation of their programs, and reviews the workload measure on a biannual basis;
2. Based on recommendations of the Family and Juvenile Law Advisory Committee, allocated federal title IV-D (of the Social Security Act) drawdown funds (to be matched by the trial courts) to each court in proportion to the total funds up to the amount the court requests and is prepared to match; and
3. Maintained the historical funding methodology for the family law facilitator program until FY 2021-22.

Rationale

Midyear reallocation, FY 2019–2020

The midyear reallocation process is a review of each court’s program funding in the current fiscal year, conducted through a questionnaire distributed to each court to allow courts to indicate whether they anticipate having additional funds that can be reallocated to courts that have demonstrated a need for additional funds. Historically, the midyear reallocation is to meet one-time, nonrecurring special needs, such as equipment purchases or temporary help to clear work backlogs. However, since AB 1058 program funding has been flat since 2008, a number of courts indicated a need for additional funds just to maintain current service levels resulting from increased costs of doing business.

In FY 2007–08, an additional procedure—the federal drawdown option—was put in place to assist in covering the cost of maintaining current program service levels through the use of local trial courts used as a match to obtain additional federal funds for the program. Federal drawdown funds voluntarily returned by some courts are also available to be redistributed to courts that have requested additional federal drawdown funds. Therefore, the committee recommends reallocation of the limited amount of funds available based on a proportional formula to all courts that have indicated a need.

Base funds and funds under the federal drawdown option, not requested at the beginning of the fiscal year or allocated at the beginning of the fiscal year but returned by courts unable to use all of the funds, are proposed for reallocation during this midyear process consistent with the funding made available under the contract between the Department of Child Support Services

and the Judicial Council. As a result of the midyear reallocation process for the Child Support Commissioner Program, a total of \$2,219,180 is available because \$188,414 in base funds from unallocated funds at the beginning of the fiscal year and because 5 courts have volunteered to return funds and \$2,030,766² in federal drawdown option funds from 15 courts that volunteered to return funds that they do not anticipate needing during fiscal year 2019–20. For the Family Law Facilitator Program, a total of \$345,266 is available because four courts have volunteered to return \$46,999 in base funds and \$298.267 in federal drawdown option funds from unallocated funds at the beginning of the fiscal year and because five courts have volunteered to return federal drawdown option funds to be allocated to other courts.

Under an established procedure described in the standard agreement with each superior court, a questionnaire is sent to each court requesting the information needed to evaluate appropriate funding levels. In addition to compiling questionnaire responses, Judicial Council staff gathers information on each court’s historical spending patterns and calculates projected spending based on invoices received to date for the current fiscal year. The Family and Juvenile Law Advisory Committee then recommends proposed funding changes. The criteria for consideration of court requests are caseload, funds available for redistribution, historical spending patterns, special needs, and staffing levels. Funds returned by courts with a historical pattern of underspending, funds voluntarily returned, and any previously unallocated funds are redistributed to courts with documented needs.

This midyear reallocation process ensures that the highest proportion of total funds allocated to the courts is spent where funding is needed. This process also minimizes the amount of unspent funds that revert to the state General Fund.

A total of \$2,219,180 from the Child Support Commissioner Program grant sources was available for reallocation to the child support commissioner component of the program. A total of 39 courts requested no change to their child support commissioner base allocations, 25 requested no change to their federal drawdown option, 5 courts offered to return base funds, 15 courts offered to return federal drawdown option funds, 14 courts requested additional base funds for their Child Support Commissioner Program, and 14 requested additional federal drawdown option funds.

A total of \$345,266 from the Family Law Facilitator Program grant source was available for reallocation to the family law facilitator component of the program. A total of 33 courts requested no change to their family law facilitator base allocations, 46 requested no change to their federal drawdown option, four courts offered to return base funds, five courts offered to

² \$2,030,766 in federal drawdown funds were made available by courts for reallocation. Courts requested \$1,211,413 in additional federal drawdown funds, leaving an unallocated balance of \$819,353. Program staff will monitor court spending through the fiscal year and make these funds available to courts who exhaust their allocation.

return federal drawdown funds, 21 courts requested additional base funds for their Family Law Facilitator Program, and 7 requested additional federal drawdown option funds.

All allocations to courts requesting additional funding have been based on proportionately allocating the available base and federal drawdown funds among the courts requesting additional funds proportionate to their share of the total base funding. Under the established allocation procedures for this program, the request was reviewed by the Family and Juvenile Law Advisory Committee. The committee recommends that the Judicial Council adopt the allocations for the Child Support Commissioner Program detailed in Attachment A and the allocations for the Family Law Facilitator Program detailed in Attachment B.

Base funding, FY 2020–21

The Judicial Council is also responsible for the allocation of base program funding at the beginning of each fiscal year. In 1997, the Judicial Council established staffing standards for child support commissioners under Family Code section 4252(b)(3). Staffing standards are based on the number of local child support agency cases that have established child support orders. In addition, under an established procedure described in the standard agreement with each superior court, questionnaires are sent annually to each court requesting the information needed to evaluate appropriate funding levels in case of any exceptional needs.

Funding for FY 2020–21 for the child support commissioner component of the program will be \$31,616,936 in base funding and \$13,038,952 for the federal drawdown option; funding for the family law facilitator component will be \$10,789,626 in base funding and \$4,449,685 from the federal drawdown option, for a total program base allocation of \$44.6 million and a total federal drawdown allocation of \$15.2 million.

On January 15, 2019, the council approved a new funding methodology for base funding for the child support commissioner program, while maintaining the historical methodology for base funding for the family law facilitator program. The committees recommend that the Judicial Council adopt the allocations for the child support commissioner program detailed in Attachment C and the allocations for the family law facilitator program detailed in Attachment D, which follow the respective approved methodologies for each program as described below.

Child support commissioner program funding allocations, FY 2019-20

The approved child support commissioner program base funding allocation methodology estimates the workload-based need for child support commissioners and the staff to support those commissioners, excluding the family law facilitator, using the same principles and model parameters as the Resource Assessment Study (RAS) model and the Workload Formula. Child support commissioner need is estimated by taking a three-year average of governmental child support filings (FY 2014-15 through FY 2016-17) and multiplying those filings by the case weight in the Family Law-Other Petitions category (46 minutes). The product is then divided by the judicial workload year value. The result is an estimate of the full-time equivalent (FTE)

positions needed for the workload. A similar approach is taken to estimate the workload- based need for staff support, with estimates for managers/supervisors and administrative staff (human resources, information technology, finance) included by using the same ratios of line staff to supervisory/administrative staff as in the RAS model. A ratio of 1.25 court reporters to each judicial officer needed is used to establish a court reporter need, and the salary, benefits, and labor costs for each staff position (following the Workload Formula framework) are used to convert the FTE need to dollars. Finally, the Operating Expenses & Equipment factor used in Workload Formula was also applied on the staff side. Applying this methodology shows that the amount needed to fully fund the program greatly exceeds the funding available.

However, because this methodology would result in dramatic funding cuts or increases in most courts, which would impact the courts' ability to provide the services required to meet federal and state law and contractual provisions associated with the funding, the council approved the joint subcommittee's recommendation that the initial reallocation be capped at 5 percent of the total amount that each court's program can be cut or increased. Additionally, recognizing the important collaborations between small courts via intra-branch agreements to share child support commissioners to ensure each court's limited funding does not prevent it from being able to meet federal, state, and contractual requirements, the council approved the joint subcommittee's recommendation that these courts (cluster 1 courts and any courts with an existing intra-branch agreement with another court for AB 1058 services) be funded at no less than their current levels for FY 2019-20 and FY 2020-21.

With these new child support commissioner program base allocations, courts were directed to reassess their federal drawdown funding need and request a federal drawdown amount for FY 2020-21 by responding to a questionnaire distributed to the courts. The council adopted the joint subcommittee's recommendation that federal drawdown funds be allocated proportionally to each court based on the new funding allocations up to the amount that a court requests and can match. The council further determined that if the request for federal drawdown funds exceeds the amount available to allocate, these funds should be allocated in proportion to a court's base funding. This proportional allocation is continued until all drawdown funds are allocated to those courts that are willing and able to provide the matching funds.

The committees recommend that courts be allocated base and federal drawdown funding for the child support commissioner program for FY 2020-21 following these methodologies as shown on Attachment C.

Family law facilitator program funding allocations, FY 2019-20

Per the historic funding allocation methodology, a questionnaire is sent to each court requesting the information needed to evaluate appropriate funding levels for the family law facilitator base funds and family law facilitator federal drawdown funds. The committees recommend that courts be allocated base funding, less any amount a court indicated that it wishes to relinquish, for the family law facilitator program as in FY 2019-20. The committees also recommend that courts be allocated federal drawdown funding, less any amount a court indicated that it wishes to

relinquish, for the family law facilitator program as in FY 2019-20, but that each court requesting increased base funding, federal drawdown funding, or both, be allocated additional funding in proportion to overall funding available for program funding.

The Trial Court Budget Advisory Committee reviewed the allocation of base funding for both the Child Support Commissioner and Family Law Facilitator Program and the Family and Juvenile Law Advisory Committee reviewed the allocation of the federal drawdown funding for both the Child Support Commissioner Program and Family Law Facilitator Program as directed by the Judicial Council. The committees recommend adopting the base funding and federal drawdown allocations for FY 2020-21 as shown on Attachment C and Attachment D.

Comments

This proposal was not circulated for public comment; however, a detailed funding questionnaire was completed by all 58 courts and used to develop the allocation recommendations.

The committee considered taking no action but rejected this option as inconsistent with Judicial Council goals because it would result in the reversion of unspent funds to the General Fund. Taking no action would also deprive courts of the option of using federal financial participation to cover two-thirds of some of the existing court contributions to the programs. A number of courts commented in their questionnaires about continued shortfalls in program funding, and these concerns have been forwarded to DCSS.

Implementation Requirements, Costs, and Operational Impacts

To draw down federal funds, federal provisions require payment of a state share of one-third of total expenditures. Therefore, each participating court will need to provide the one-third share of the court's total cost to draw down two-thirds of total expenditures from federal participation.

Attachments

1. Attachment A: Child Support Commissioner Program Midyear Reallocation, FY 2019–2020
2. Attachment B: Family Law Facilitator Program Midyear Reallocation, FY 2019–2020
3. Attachment C: Child Support Commissioner Program Allocation, FY 2020–2021
4. Attachment D: Family Law Facilitator Program Allocation, FY 2020–2021

Attachment A
Child Support Commissioner Program Midyear Reallocation, FY 2019–2020

#	CSC Court	A Beginning Base Funding Allocation	B Beginning Federal Drawdown Option	C Mid-Year Changes to Base Allocation	D Mid-Year Changes to Federal Drawdown Option	E Recommended Base Funding Allocation (A + C)	F Recommended Federal Drawdown Option Allocation (B + D)	G Federal Share 66% (Column F * .66)	H Court Share 34% (Column F * .34)	I Total Allocation (E + F)	J Contract Amount (E + G)
1	Alameda	\$ 1,119,358.00	\$ 549,815.00	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 1,119,358.00	\$ 549,815.00	\$ 362,877.90	\$ 186,937.10	\$ 1,669,173.00	\$ 1,482,235.90
2	Alpine	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
3	Amador	\$ 140,250.00	\$ 45,736.00	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 140,250.00	\$ 45,736.00	\$ 30,185.76	\$ 15,550.24	\$ 185,986.00	\$ 170,435.76
4	Butte	\$ 250,000.00	\$ -	\$ (20,000.00)	\$ -	\$ 230,000.00	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 230,000.00	\$ 230,000.00
5	Calaveras	\$ 132,667.00	\$ 10,000.00	\$ -	\$ (6,000.00)	\$ 132,667.00	\$ 4,000.00	\$ 2,640.00	\$ 1,360.00	\$ 136,667.00	\$ 135,307.00
6	Colusa	\$ 45,691.00	\$ 20,809.00	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 45,691.00	\$ 20,809.00	\$ 13,733.94	\$ 7,075.06	\$ 66,500.00	\$ 59,424.94
7	Contra Costa	\$ 835,291.00	\$ -	\$ 10,000.00	\$ 10,000.00	\$ 845,291.00	\$ 10,000.00	\$ 6,600.00	\$ 3,400.00	\$ 855,291.00	\$ 851,891.00
8	Del Norte	\$ 50,404.00	\$ 29,023.00	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 50,404.00	\$ 29,023.00	\$ 19,155.18	\$ 9,867.82	\$ 79,427.00	\$ 69,559.18
9	El Dorado	\$ 203,169.00	\$ 100,382.00	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 203,169.00	\$ 100,382.00	\$ 66,252.12	\$ 34,129.88	\$ 303,551.00	\$ 269,421.12
10	Fresno	\$ 1,547,773.00	\$ 843,800.00	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 1,547,773.00	\$ 843,800.00	\$ 556,908.00	\$ 286,892.00	\$ 2,391,573.00	\$ 2,104,681.00
11	Glenn	\$ 120,030.00	\$ 63,012.00	\$ -	\$ (53,012.00)	\$ 120,030.00	\$ 10,000.00	\$ 6,600.00	\$ 3,400.00	\$ 130,030.00	\$ 126,630.00
12	Humboldt	\$ 117,835.00	\$ 59,801.00	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 117,835.00	\$ 59,801.00	\$ 39,468.66	\$ 20,332.34	\$ 177,636.00	\$ 157,303.66
13	Imperial	\$ 173,631.00	\$ 99,977.00	\$ 4,164.00	\$ 104,590.00	\$ 177,795.00	\$ 204,567.00	\$ 135,014.22	\$ 69,552.78	\$ 382,362.00	\$ 312,809.22
14	Inyo	\$ 79,264.00	\$ 45,640.00	\$ -	\$ (41,640.00)	\$ 79,264.00	\$ 4,000.00	\$ 2,640.00	\$ 1,360.00	\$ 83,264.00	\$ 81,904.00
15	Kern	\$ 704,023.00	\$ 405,377.00	\$ (45,000.00)	\$ (45,000.00)	\$ 659,023.00	\$ 360,377.00	\$ 237,848.82	\$ 122,528.18	\$ 1,019,400.00	\$ 896,871.82
16	Kings	\$ 289,538.00	\$ 166,716.00	\$ 6,944.00	\$ 75,045.00	\$ 296,482.00	\$ 241,761.00	\$ 159,562.26	\$ 82,198.74	\$ 538,243.00	\$ 456,044.26
17	Lake	\$ 148,425.00	\$ 37,000.00	\$ 3,560.00	\$ 60,690.00	\$ 151,985.00	\$ 97,690.00	\$ 64,475.40	\$ 33,214.60	\$ 249,675.00	\$ 216,460.40
18	Lassen	\$ 60,000.00	\$ -	\$ 1,439.00	\$ 124,599.00	\$ 61,439.00	\$ 124,599.00	\$ 82,235.34	\$ 42,363.66	\$ 186,038.00	\$ 143,674.34
19	Los Angeles	\$ 5,554,479.00	\$ 3,198,270.00	\$ -	\$ (574,270.00)	\$ 5,554,479.00	\$ 2,624,000.00	\$ 1,731,840.00	\$ 892,160.00	\$ 8,178,479.00	\$ 7,286,319.00
20	Madera	\$ 205,992.00	\$ 83,000.00	\$ 4,940.00	\$ 55,900.00	\$ 210,932.00	\$ 138,900.00	\$ 91,674.00	\$ 47,226.00	\$ 349,832.00	\$ 302,606.00
21	Marin	\$ 120,757.00	\$ 34,980.00	\$ 2,896.00	\$ 10,020.00	\$ 123,653.00	\$ 45,000.00	\$ 29,700.00	\$ 15,300.00	\$ 168,653.00	\$ 153,353.00
22	Mariposa	\$ 75,216.00	\$ -	\$ (27,946.00)	\$ -	\$ 47,270.00	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 47,270.00	\$ 47,270.00
23	Mendocino	\$ 162,914.00	\$ 51,250.00	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 162,914.00	\$ 51,250.00	\$ 33,825.00	\$ 17,425.00	\$ 214,164.00	\$ 196,739.00
24	Merced	\$ 516,419.00	\$ 297,354.00	\$ 12,385.00	\$ 13,652.00	\$ 528,804.00	\$ 311,006.00	\$ 205,263.96	\$ 105,742.04	\$ 839,810.00	\$ 734,067.96
25	Modoc	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
26	Mono	\$ 45,974.00	\$ 5,000.00	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 45,974.00	\$ 5,000.00	\$ 3,300.00	\$ 1,700.00	\$ 50,974.00	\$ 49,274.00
27	Monterey	\$ 375,757.00	\$ 100,556.00	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 375,757.00	\$ 100,556.00	\$ 66,366.96	\$ 34,189.04	\$ 476,313.00	\$ 442,123.96
28	Napa	\$ 100,465.00	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 100,465.00	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 100,465.00	\$ 100,465.00
29	Nevada	\$ 327,593.00	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 327,593.00	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 327,593.00	\$ 327,593.00
30	Orange	\$ 2,199,809.00	\$ 326,142.00	\$ (43,709.00)	\$ (326,142.00)	\$ 2,156,100.00	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 2,156,100.00	\$ 2,156,100.00
31	Placer	\$ 328,758.00	\$ 51,092.00	\$ -	\$ (12,092.00)	\$ 328,758.00	\$ 39,000.00	\$ 25,740.00	\$ 13,260.00	\$ 367,758.00	\$ 354,498.00
32	Plumas	\$ 95,777.00	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 95,777.00	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 95,777.00	\$ 95,777.00
33	Riverside	\$ 1,055,625.00	\$ 244,375.00	\$ -	\$ (70,000.00)	\$ 1,055,625.00	\$ 174,375.00	\$ 115,087.50	\$ 59,287.50	\$ 1,230,000.00	\$ 1,170,712.50
34	Sacramento	\$ 1,096,727.00	\$ 500,000.00	\$ -	\$ (100,000.00)	\$ 1,096,727.00	\$ 400,000.00	\$ 264,000.00	\$ 136,000.00	\$ 1,496,727.00	\$ 1,360,727.00
35	San Benito	\$ 135,384.00	\$ 30,000.00	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 135,384.00	\$ 30,000.00	\$ 19,800.00	\$ 10,200.00	\$ 165,384.00	\$ 155,184.00
36	San Bernardino	\$ 2,698,328.00	\$ 1,393,318.00	\$ 64,713.00	\$ 372,576.00	\$ 2,763,041.00	\$ 1,765,894.00	\$ 1,165,490.04	\$ 600,403.96	\$ 4,528,935.00	\$ 3,928,531.04
37	San Diego	\$ 1,755,653.00	\$ 1,010,906.00	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 1,755,653.00	\$ 1,010,906.00	\$ 667,197.96	\$ 343,708.04	\$ 2,766,559.00	\$ 2,422,850.96
38	San Francisco	\$ 863,471.00	\$ 441,796.00	\$ -	\$ (441,796.00)	\$ 863,471.00	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 863,471.00	\$ 863,471.00
39	San Joaquin	\$ 719,254.00	\$ 50,000.00	\$ 10,000.00	\$ 25,000.00	\$ 729,254.00	\$ 75,000.00	\$ 49,500.00	\$ 25,500.00	\$ 804,254.00	\$ 778,754.00
40	San Luis Obispo	\$ 220,725.00	\$ 127,093.00	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 220,725.00	\$ 127,093.00	\$ 83,881.38	\$ 43,211.62	\$ 347,818.00	\$ 304,606.38
41	San Mateo	\$ 372,835.00	\$ 214,678.00	\$ -	\$ (107,591.00)	\$ 372,835.00	\$ 107,087.00	\$ 70,677.42	\$ 36,409.58	\$ 479,922.00	\$ 443,512.42
42	Santa Barbara	\$ 458,012.00	\$ 149,724.00	\$ 10,984.00	\$ 109,000.00	\$ 468,996.00	\$ 258,724.00	\$ 170,757.84	\$ 87,966.16	\$ 727,720.00	\$ 639,753.84
43	Santa Clara	\$ 1,697,087.00	\$ 977,183.00	\$ 40,700.00	\$ 171,164.00	\$ 1,737,787.00	\$ 1,148,347.00	\$ 757,909.02	\$ 390,437.98	\$ 2,886,134.00	\$ 2,495,696.02
44	Santa Cruz	\$ 186,631.00	\$ 36,000.00	\$ -	\$ (6,122.00)	\$ 186,631.00	\$ 29,878.00	\$ 19,719.48	\$ 10,158.52	\$ 216,509.00	\$ 206,350.48
45	Shasta	\$ 417,575.00	\$ 205,874.00	\$ -	\$ (205,874.00)	\$ 417,575.00	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 417,575.00	\$ 417,575.00
46	Sierra	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
47	Siskiyou	\$ 124,720.00	\$ -	\$ (14,720.00)	\$ -	\$ 110,000.00	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 110,000.00	\$ 110,000.00
48	Solano	\$ 493,537.00	\$ 95,481.00	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 493,537.00	\$ 95,481.00	\$ 63,017.46	\$ 32,463.54	\$ 589,018.00	\$ 556,554.46
49	Sonoma	\$ 477,253.00	\$ 221,104.00	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 477,253.00	\$ 221,104.00	\$ 145,928.64	\$ 75,175.36	\$ 698,357.00	\$ 623,181.64
50	Stanislaus	\$ 737,802.00	\$ 260,000.00	\$ -	\$ (35,000.00)	\$ 737,802.00	\$ 225,000.00	\$ 148,500.00	\$ 76,500.00	\$ 962,802.00	\$ 886,302.00
51	Sutter	\$ 192,235.00	\$ 63,487.00	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 192,235.00	\$ 63,487.00	\$ 41,901.42	\$ 21,585.58	\$ 255,722.00	\$ 234,136.42
52	Tehama	\$ 98,961.00	\$ 56,982.00	\$ 2,374.00	\$ 19,256.00	\$ 101,335.00	\$ 76,238.00	\$ 50,317.08	\$ 25,920.92	\$ 177,573.00	\$ 151,652.08
53	Trinity	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
54	Tulare	\$ 534,195.00	\$ 68,348.00	\$ -	\$ (6,227.00)	\$ 534,195.00	\$ 62,121.00	\$ 40,999.86	\$ 21,121.14	\$ 596,316.00	\$ 575,194.86
55	Tuolumne	\$ 158,566.00	\$ 78,346.00	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 158,566.00	\$ 78,346.00	\$ 51,708.36	\$ 26,637.64	\$ 236,912.00	\$ 210,274.36
56	Ventura	\$ 555,211.00	\$ 106,527.00	\$ 13,315.00	\$ 59,921.00	\$ 568,526.00	\$ 166,448.00	\$ 109,855.68	\$ 56,592.32	\$ 734,974.00	\$ 678,381.68
57	Yolo	\$ 199,702.00	\$ 33,000.00	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 199,702.00	\$ 33,000.00	\$ 21,780.00	\$ 11,220.00	\$ 232,702.00	\$ 221,482.00
58	Yuba	\$ 203,149.00	\$ 50,000.00	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 203,149.00	\$ 50,000.00	\$ 33,000.00	\$ 17,000.00	\$ 253,149.00	\$ 236,149.00
TOTAL		\$ 31,579,897.00	\$ 13,038,954.00	\$ 37,039.00	\$ (819,353.00)	\$ 31,616,936.00	\$ 12,219,601.00	\$ 8,064,936.66	\$ 4,154,664.34	\$ 43,836,537.00	\$ 39,681,872.66

CSC Base Funds

\$ 31,616,936.00

\$ 13,038,953.00

\$ 44,655,889.00

\$ 31,616,936.00

\$ - Final CSC Base Funds

\$ 12,219,601.00

\$ 819,353.00 Final CSC FDD

\$ 43,836,537.00 Total Funding Allocated

\$ 819,353.00 Total Funding Allocated

Attachment B
Family Law Facilitator Program Midyear Reallocation, FY 2019–2020

#	FLF Court	A Beginning Base Funding Allocation	B Beginning Federal Drawdown Option	C Mid-Year Changes to Base Allocation	D Mid-Year Changes to Federal Drawdown Option	E Recommended Base Funding Allocation (A + C)	F Recommended Federal Drawdown Option Allocation (B + D)	G Federal Share 66% (Column F * .66)	H Court Share 34% (Column F * .34)	I Total Allocation (E + F)	J Contract Amount (E + G)
1	Alameda	\$ 362,939.00	\$ 215,080.00	\$ 4,119.00	\$ -	\$ 367,058.00	\$ 215,080.00	\$ 141,952.80	\$ 73,127.20	\$ 582,138.00	\$ 509,010.80
2	Alpine	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
3	Amador	\$ 46,885.00	\$ 4,701.00	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 46,885.00	\$ 4,701.00	\$ 3,102.66	\$ 1,598.34	\$ 51,586.00	\$ 49,987.66
4	Butte	\$ 101,754.00	\$ 61,250.00	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 101,754.00	\$ 61,250.00	\$ 40,425.00	\$ 20,825.00	\$ 163,004.00	\$ 142,179.00
5	Calaveras	\$ 70,655.00	\$ 8,000.00	\$ 802.00	\$ 10,000.00	\$ 71,457.00	\$ 18,000.00	\$ 11,880.00	\$ 6,120.00	\$ 89,457.00	\$ 83,337.00
6	Colusa	\$ 35,600.00	\$ 8,900.00	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 35,600.00	\$ 8,900.00	\$ 5,874.00	\$ 3,026.00	\$ 44,500.00	\$ 41,474.00
7	Contra Costa	\$ 345,518.00	\$ -	\$ (15,000.00)	\$ -	\$ 330,518.00	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 330,518.00	\$ 330,518.00
8	Del Norte	\$ 50,002.00	\$ 5,971.00	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 50,002.00	\$ 5,971.00	\$ 3,940.86	\$ 2,030.14	\$ 55,973.00	\$ 53,942.86
9	El Dorado	\$ 106,037.00	\$ 50,384.00	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 106,037.00	\$ 50,384.00	\$ 33,253.44	\$ 17,130.56	\$ 156,421.00	\$ 139,290.44
10	Fresno	\$ 394,558.00	\$ 186,596.00	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 394,558.00	\$ 186,596.00	\$ 123,153.36	\$ 63,442.64	\$ 581,154.00	\$ 517,711.36
11	Glenn	\$ 75,808.00	\$ -	\$ 860.00	\$ -	\$ 76,668.00	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 76,668.00	\$ 76,668.00
12	Humboldt	\$ 89,185.00	\$ 9,774.00	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 89,185.00	\$ 9,774.00	\$ 6,450.84	\$ 3,323.16	\$ 98,959.00	\$ 95,635.84
13	Imperial	\$ 52,865.00	\$ 36,086.00	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 52,865.00	\$ 36,086.00	\$ 23,816.76	\$ 12,269.24	\$ 88,951.00	\$ 76,681.76
14	Inyo	\$ 57,185.00	\$ 27,171.00	\$ (17,185.00)	\$ (27,171.00)	\$ 40,000.00	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 40,000.00	\$ 40,000.00
15	Kern	\$ 355,141.00	\$ 200,000.00	\$ 4,031.00	\$ 150,000.00	\$ 359,172.00	\$ 350,000.00	\$ 231,000.00	\$ 119,000.00	\$ 709,172.00	\$ 590,172.00
16	Kings	\$ 58,493.00	\$ 32,000.00	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 58,493.00	\$ 32,000.00	\$ 21,120.00	\$ 10,880.00	\$ 90,493.00	\$ 79,613.00
17	Lake	\$ 57,569.00	\$ 26,836.00	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 57,569.00	\$ 26,836.00	\$ 17,711.76	\$ 9,124.24	\$ 84,405.00	\$ 75,280.76
18	Lassen	\$ 65,000.00	\$ -	\$ 738.00	\$ -	\$ 65,738.00	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 65,738.00	\$ 65,738.00
19	Los Angeles	\$ 1,890,029.00	\$ 803,431.00	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 1,890,029.00	\$ 803,431.00	\$ 530,264.46	\$ 273,166.54	\$ 2,693,460.00	\$ 2,420,293.46
20	Madera	\$ 80,794.00	\$ 25,383.00	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 80,794.00	\$ 25,383.00	\$ 16,752.78	\$ 8,630.22	\$ 106,177.00	\$ 97,546.78
21	Marin	\$ 136,581.00	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 136,581.00	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 136,581.00	\$ 136,581.00
22	Mariposa	\$ 45,390.00	\$ -	\$ (5,188.00)	\$ -	\$ 40,202.00	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 40,202.00	\$ 40,202.00
23	Mendocino	\$ 60,462.00	\$ 30,000.00	\$ 686.00	\$ 8,425.00	\$ 61,148.00	\$ 38,425.00	\$ 25,360.50	\$ 13,064.50	\$ 99,573.00	\$ 86,508.50
24	Merced	\$ 98,847.00	\$ 67,473.00	\$ -	\$ 52,035.00	\$ 98,847.00	\$ 119,508.00	\$ 78,875.28	\$ 40,632.72	\$ 218,355.00	\$ 177,722.28
25	Modoc	\$ 70,941.00	\$ 1,247.00	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 70,941.00	\$ 1,247.00	\$ 823.02	\$ 423.98	\$ 72,188.00	\$ 71,764.02
26	Mono	\$ 48,246.00	\$ 1,350.00	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 48,246.00	\$ 1,350.00	\$ 891.00	\$ 459.00	\$ 49,596.00	\$ 49,137.00
27	Monterey	\$ 120,688.00	\$ 57,179.00	\$ 1,370.00	\$ -	\$ 122,058.00	\$ 57,179.00	\$ 37,738.14	\$ 19,440.86	\$ 179,237.00	\$ 159,796.14
28	Napa	\$ 61,820.00	\$ 40,000.00	\$ 702.00	\$ -	\$ 62,522.00	\$ 40,000.00	\$ 26,400.00	\$ 13,600.00	\$ 102,522.00	\$ 88,922.00
29	Nevada	\$ 116,010.00	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 116,010.00	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 116,010.00	\$ 116,010.00
30	Orange	\$ 537,209.00	\$ 114,738.00	\$ -	\$ (75,849.00)	\$ 537,209.00	\$ 38,889.00	\$ 25,666.74	\$ 13,222.26	\$ 576,098.00	\$ 562,875.74
31	Placer	\$ 89,626.00	\$ -	\$ (9,626.00)	\$ -	\$ 80,000.00	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 80,000.00	\$ 80,000.00
32	Plumas	\$ 55,827.00	\$ 7,803.00	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 55,827.00	\$ 7,803.00	\$ 5,149.98	\$ 2,653.02	\$ 63,630.00	\$ 60,976.98
33	Riverside	\$ 665,441.00	\$ 218,500.00	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 665,441.00	\$ 218,500.00	\$ 144,210.00	\$ 74,290.00	\$ 883,941.00	\$ 809,651.00
34	Sacramento	\$ 309,597.00	\$ 211,331.00	\$ 3,514.00	\$ -	\$ 313,111.00	\$ 211,331.00	\$ 139,478.46	\$ 71,852.54	\$ 524,442.00	\$ 452,589.46
35	San Benito	\$ 60,289.00	\$ 29,151.00	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 60,289.00	\$ 29,151.00	\$ 19,239.66	\$ 9,911.34	\$ 89,440.00	\$ 79,528.66
36	San Bernardino	\$ 459,342.00	\$ 313,548.00	\$ 5,213.00	\$ -	\$ 464,555.00	\$ 313,548.00	\$ 206,941.68	\$ 106,606.32	\$ 778,103.00	\$ 671,496.68
37	San Diego	\$ 605,937.00	\$ 253,614.00	\$ 6,877.00	\$ -	\$ 612,814.00	\$ 253,614.00	\$ 167,385.24	\$ 86,228.76	\$ 866,428.00	\$ 780,199.24
38	San Francisco	\$ 245,257.00	\$ 62,362.00	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 245,257.00	\$ 62,362.00	\$ 41,158.92	\$ 21,203.08	\$ 307,619.00	\$ 286,415.92
39	San Joaquin	\$ 214,154.00	\$ 78,238.00	\$ 2,431.00	\$ (8,238.00)	\$ 216,585.00	\$ 70,000.00	\$ 46,200.00	\$ 23,800.00	\$ 286,585.00	\$ 262,785.00
40	San Luis Obispo	\$ 67,010.00	\$ 32,246.00	\$ 761.00	\$ -	\$ 67,771.00	\$ 32,246.00	\$ 21,282.36	\$ 10,963.64	\$ 100,017.00	\$ 89,053.36
41	San Mateo	\$ 126,800.00	\$ 86,554.00	\$ 1,439.00	\$ -	\$ 128,239.00	\$ 86,554.00	\$ 57,125.64	\$ 29,428.36	\$ 214,793.00	\$ 185,364.64
42	Santa Barbara	\$ 170,705.00	\$ 77,323.00	\$ 1,937.00	\$ (10,000.00)	\$ 172,642.00	\$ 67,323.00	\$ 44,433.18	\$ 22,889.82	\$ 239,965.00	\$ 217,075.18
43	Santa Clara	\$ 445,545.00	\$ 210,712.00	\$ 5,057.00	\$ -	\$ 450,602.00	\$ 210,712.00	\$ 139,069.92	\$ 71,642.08	\$ 661,314.00	\$ 589,671.92
44	Santa Cruz	\$ 74,335.00	\$ 43,000.00	\$ -	\$ 574.00	\$ 74,335.00	\$ 43,574.00	\$ 28,758.84	\$ 14,815.16	\$ 117,909.00	\$ 103,093.84
45	Shasta	\$ 185,447.00	\$ 111,913.00	\$ -	\$ (92,913.00)	\$ 185,447.00	\$ 19,000.00	\$ 12,540.00	\$ 6,460.00	\$ 204,447.00	\$ 197,987.00
46	Sierra	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
47	Siskiyou	\$ 74,650.00	\$ 35,000.00	\$ 847.00	\$ 10,000.00	\$ 75,497.00	\$ 45,000.00	\$ 29,700.00	\$ 15,300.00	\$ 120,497.00	\$ 105,197.00
48	Solano	\$ 129,070.00	\$ 39,710.00	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 129,070.00	\$ 39,710.00	\$ 26,208.60	\$ 13,501.40	\$ 168,780.00	\$ 155,278.60
49	Sonoma	\$ 138,141.00	\$ 65,519.00	\$ 1,568.00	\$ -	\$ 139,709.00	\$ 65,519.00	\$ 43,242.54	\$ 22,276.46	\$ 205,228.00	\$ 182,951.54
50	Stanislaus	\$ 219,062.00	\$ 120,000.00	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 219,062.00	\$ 120,000.00	\$ 79,200.00	\$ 40,800.00	\$ 339,062.00	\$ 298,262.00
51	Sutter	\$ 66,292.00	\$ 31,409.00	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 66,292.00	\$ 31,409.00	\$ 20,729.94	\$ 10,679.06	\$ 97,701.00	\$ 87,021.94
52	Tehama	\$ 27,294.00	\$ 3,535.00	\$ 310.00	\$ -	\$ 27,604.00	\$ 3,535.00	\$ 2,333.10	\$ 1,201.90	\$ 31,139.00	\$ 29,937.10
53	Trinity	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
54	Tulare	\$ 307,882.00	\$ 132,293.00	\$ -	\$ 67,233.00	\$ 307,882.00	\$ 199,526.00	\$ 131,687.16	\$ 67,838.84	\$ 507,408.00	\$ 439,569.16
55	Tuolumne	\$ 64,534.00	\$ 30,084.00	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 64,534.00	\$ 30,084.00	\$ 19,855.44	\$ 10,228.56	\$ 94,618.00	\$ 84,389.44
56	Ventura	\$ 252,718.00	\$ 77,864.00	\$ 2,868.00	\$ -	\$ 255,586.00	\$ 77,864.00	\$ 51,390.24	\$ 26,473.76	\$ 333,450.00	\$ 306,976.24
57	Yolo	\$ 76,604.00	\$ 35,377.00	\$ 869.00	\$ -	\$ 77,473.00	\$ 35,377.00	\$ 23,348.82	\$ 12,028.18	\$ 112,850.00	\$ 100,821.82
58	Yuba	\$ 65,856.00	\$ 44,953.00	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 65,856.00	\$ 44,953.00	\$ 29,668.98	\$ 15,284.02	\$ 110,809.00	\$ 95,524.98
TOTAL		\$ 10,789,626.00	\$ 4,365,589.00	\$ -	\$ 84,096.00	\$ 10,789,626.00	\$ 4,449,685.00	\$ 2,936,792.10	\$ 1,512,892.90	\$ 15,239,311.00	\$ 13,726,418.10

FLF Base Funds \$ 10,789,626.00

FLF Federal Drawdown \$ 4,449,685.00

Total \$ 15,239,311.00 Total Funding Allocated

Attachment C

Child Support Commissioner Program Allocation, FY 2020-2021

CSC Court	Beginning Base Funding Allocation	Beginning Federal Drawdown Option	Federal Share 66% (Column F * .66)	Court Share 34% (Column F * .34)	Total Allocation (E +F)	Contract Amount (E + G)
Alameda	\$ 1,119,358.00	\$ 549,815.00	\$ 362,878.00	\$ 186,937.10	\$ 1,669,173.00	\$ 1,482,236.00
Alpine	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Amador	\$ 140,250.00	\$ 45,736.00	\$ 30,186.00	\$ 15,550.24	\$ 185,986.00	\$ 170,436.00
Butte	\$ 287,041.65	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 287,042.00	\$ 287,042.00
Calaveras	\$ 132,667.00	\$ 10,000.00	\$ 6,600.00	\$ 3,400.00	\$ 142,667.00	\$ 139,267.00
Colusa	\$ 45,691.00	\$ 20,809.00	\$ 13,734.00	\$ 7,075.06	\$ 66,500.00	\$ 59,425.00
Contra Costa	\$ 835,291.21	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 835,291.00	\$ 835,291.00
Del Norte	\$ 50,404.20	\$ 29,022.75	\$ 19,155.00	\$ 9,867.73	\$ 79,427.00	\$ 69,559.00
El Dorado	\$ 203,169.00	\$ 100,382.00	\$ 66,252.00	\$ 34,129.88	\$ 303,551.00	\$ 269,421.00
Fresno	\$ 1,547,772.61	\$ 843,800.00	\$ 556,908.00	\$ 286,892.00	\$ 2,391,573.00	\$ 2,104,681.00
Glenn	\$ 120,030.00	\$ 63,012.00	\$ 41,588.00	\$ 21,424.08	\$ 183,042.00	\$ 161,618.00
Humboldt	\$ 117,835.00	\$ 59,801.00	\$ 39,469.00	\$ 20,332.34	\$ 177,636.00	\$ 157,304.00
Imperial	\$ 173,631.15	\$ 99,976.85	\$ 65,985.00	\$ 33,992.13	\$ 273,608.00	\$ 239,616.00
Inyo	\$ 79,264.00	\$ 45,640.22	\$ 30,123.00	\$ 15,517.68	\$ 124,904.00	\$ 109,387.00
Kern	\$ 704,022.90	\$ 405,376.50	\$ 267,548.00	\$ 137,828.01	\$ 1,109,399.00	\$ 971,571.00
Kings	\$ 289,537.96	\$ 166,716.01	\$ 110,033.00	\$ 56,683.44	\$ 456,254.00	\$ 399,571.00
Lake	\$ 148,425.41	\$ 37,000.00	\$ 24,420.00	\$ 12,580.00	\$ 185,425.00	\$ 172,845.00
Lassen	\$ 60,000.00	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 60,000.00	\$ 60,000.00
Los Angeles	\$ 5,554,479.00	\$ 3,198,269.94	\$ 2,110,858.00	\$ 1,087,411.78	\$ 8,752,749.00	\$ 7,665,337.00
Madera	\$ 205,991.62	\$ 83,000.00	\$ 54,780.00	\$ 28,220.00	\$ 288,992.00	\$ 260,772.00
Marin	\$ 120,756.51	\$ 34,980.00	\$ 23,087.00	\$ 11,893.20	\$ 155,737.00	\$ 143,844.00
Mariposa	\$ 75,216.00	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 75,216.00	\$ 75,216.00
Mendocino	\$ 162,914.32	\$ 51,250.00	\$ 33,825.00	\$ 17,425.00	\$ 214,164.00	\$ 196,739.00
Merced	\$ 516,418.55	\$ 297,353.89	\$ 196,254.00	\$ 101,100.32	\$ 813,772.00	\$ 712,673.00
Modoc	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Mono	\$ 45,974.00	\$ 5,000.00	\$ 3,300.00	\$ 1,700.00	\$ 50,974.00	\$ 49,274.00
Monterey	\$ 375,757.00	\$ 100,556.00	\$ 66,367.00	\$ 34,189.04	\$ 476,313.00	\$ 442,124.00
Napa	\$ 100,464.58	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 100,465.00	\$ 100,465.00
Nevada	\$ 327,593.00	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 327,593.00	\$ 327,593.00
Orange	\$ 2,199,808.78	\$ 326,142.00	\$ 215,254.00	\$ 110,888.28	\$ 2,525,951.00	\$ 2,415,063.00
Placer	\$ 328,758.37	\$ 51,092.00	\$ 33,721.00	\$ 17,371.28	\$ 379,850.00	\$ 362,479.00
Plumas	\$ 95,777.00	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 95,777.00	\$ 95,777.00
Riverside	\$ 1,055,624.85	\$ 244,375.00	\$ 161,288.00	\$ 83,087.50	\$ 1,300,000.00	\$ 1,216,913.00
Sacramento	\$ 1,096,727.10	\$ 500,000.00	\$ 330,000.00	\$ 170,000.00	\$ 1,596,727.00	\$ 1,426,727.00
San Benito	\$ 135,384.00	\$ 30,000.00	\$ 19,800.00	\$ 10,200.00	\$ 165,384.00	\$ 155,184.00
San Bernardino	\$ 2,698,327.80	\$ 1,393,318.00	\$ 919,590.00	\$ 473,728.12	\$ 4,091,646.00	\$ 3,617,918.00
San Diego	\$ 1,755,653.34	\$ 1,010,905.49	\$ 667,198.00	\$ 343,707.87	\$ 2,766,559.00	\$ 2,422,851.00
San Francisco	\$ 863,471.05	\$ 441,796.00	\$ 291,585.00	\$ 150,210.64	\$ 1,305,267.00	\$ 1,155,056.00
San Joaquin	\$ 719,254.20	\$ 50,000.00	\$ 33,000.00	\$ 17,000.00	\$ 769,254.00	\$ 752,254.00
San Luis Obispo	\$ 220,724.51	\$ 127,093.21	\$ 83,882.00	\$ 43,211.69	\$ 347,818.00	\$ 304,607.00
San Mateo	\$ 372,834.58	\$ 214,678.21	\$ 141,688.00	\$ 72,990.59	\$ 587,513.00	\$ 514,523.00
Santa Barbara	\$ 458,012.27	\$ 149,724.00	\$ 98,818.00	\$ 50,906.16	\$ 607,736.00	\$ 556,830.00
Santa Clara	\$ 1,697,086.90	\$ 977,182.92	\$ 644,941.00	\$ 332,242.19	\$ 2,674,270.00	\$ 2,342,028.00
Santa Cruz	\$ 186,630.66	\$ 36,000.00	\$ 23,760.00	\$ 12,240.00	\$ 222,631.00	\$ 210,391.00
Shasta	\$ 417,575.00	\$ 205,874.00	\$ 135,877.00	\$ 69,997.16	\$ 623,449.00	\$ 553,452.00
Sierra	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Siskiyou	\$ 124,719.60	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 124,720.00	\$ 124,720.00
Solano	\$ 493,536.55	\$ 95,481.00	\$ 63,017.00	\$ 32,463.54	\$ 589,018.00	\$ 556,554.00
Sonoma	\$ 477,252.68	\$ 221,104.00	\$ 145,929.00	\$ 75,175.36	\$ 698,357.00	\$ 623,182.00
Stanislaus	\$ 737,802.30	\$ 260,000.00	\$ 171,600.00	\$ 88,400.00	\$ 997,802.00	\$ 909,402.00
Sutter	\$ 192,235.00	\$ 63,487.00	\$ 41,901.00	\$ 21,585.58	\$ 255,722.00	\$ 234,136.00
Tehama	\$ 98,961.45	\$ 56,982.02	\$ 37,608.00	\$ 19,373.89	\$ 155,943.00	\$ 136,569.00
Trinity	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Tulare	\$ 534,195.04	\$ 68,348.00	\$ 45,110.00	\$ 23,238.32	\$ 602,543.00	\$ 579,305.00
Tuolumne	\$ 158,566.00	\$ 78,346.00	\$ 51,708.00	\$ 26,637.64	\$ 236,912.00	\$ 210,274.00
Ventura	\$ 555,210.95	\$ 106,527.00	\$ 70,308.00	\$ 36,219.18	\$ 661,738.00	\$ 625,519.00
Yolo	\$ 199,701.60	\$ 33,000.00	\$ 21,780.00	\$ 11,220.00	\$ 232,702.00	\$ 221,482.00
Yuba	\$ 203,149.00	\$ 50,000.00	\$ 33,000.00	\$ 17,000.00	\$ 253,149.00	\$ 236,149.00
Total	\$ 31,616,936.00	\$ 13,038,953.00	\$ 8,605,713.00	\$ 4,433,244.02	\$ 44,655,891.00	\$ 40,222,652.00

CSC Base Funds \$ 31,616,936.00
CSC Federal Drawdown \$ 13,038,953.00
Total Funding Allocated \$ 44,655,889.00

Attachment D
Family Law Facilitator Program Allocation, FY 2020-2021

FLF Court	Beginning Base Funding Allocation	Beginning Federal Drawdown Option	Federal Share 66% (Column F * .66)	Court Share 34% (Column F * .34)	Total Allocation (E +F)	Contract Amount (E + G)
Alameda	\$ 362,939.00	\$ 247,743.00	\$ 163,510.38	\$ 84,232.62	\$ 610,682.00	\$ 526,449.38
Alpine			\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Amador	\$ 46,885.00	\$ 4,701.00	\$ 3,102.66	\$ 1,598.34	\$ 51,586.00	\$ 49,987.66
Butte	\$ 101,754.00	\$ 61,250.00	\$ 40,425.00	\$ 20,825.00	\$ 163,004.00	\$ 142,179.00
Calaveras	\$ 70,655.00	\$ 8,000.00	\$ 5,280.00	\$ 2,720.00	\$ 78,655.00	\$ 75,935.00
Colusa	\$ 35,600.00	\$ 8,900.00	\$ 5,874.00	\$ 3,026.00	\$ 44,500.00	\$ 41,474.00
Contra Costa	\$ 345,518.00	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 345,518.00	\$ 345,518.00
Del Norte	\$ 50,002.00	\$ 5,971.00	\$ 3,940.86	\$ 2,030.14	\$ 55,973.00	\$ 53,942.86
El Dorado	\$ 106,037.00	\$ 50,384.00	\$ 33,253.44	\$ 17,130.56	\$ 156,421.00	\$ 139,290.44
Fresno	\$ 394,558.00	\$ 186,596.00	\$ 123,153.36	\$ 63,442.64	\$ 581,154.00	\$ 517,711.36
Glenn	\$ 75,808.00	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 75,808.00	\$ 75,808.00
Humboldt	\$ 89,185.00	\$ 9,774.00	\$ 6,450.84	\$ 3,323.16	\$ 98,959.00	\$ 95,635.84
Imperial	\$ 52,865.00	\$ 36,086.00	\$ 23,816.76	\$ 12,269.24	\$ 88,951.00	\$ 76,681.76
Inyo	\$ 57,185.00	\$ 27,171.00	\$ 17,932.86	\$ 9,238.14	\$ 84,356.00	\$ 75,117.86
Kern	\$ 355,141.00	\$ 200,000.00	\$ 132,000.00	\$ 68,000.00	\$ 555,141.00	\$ 487,141.00
Kings	\$ 58,493.00	\$ 32,000.00	\$ 21,120.00	\$ 10,880.00	\$ 90,493.00	\$ 79,613.00
Lake	\$ 57,569.00	\$ 26,836.00	\$ 17,711.76	\$ 9,124.24	\$ 84,405.00	\$ 75,280.76
Lassen	\$ 65,000.00	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 65,000.00	\$ 65,000.00
Los Angeles	\$ 1,890,029.00	\$ 803,431.00	\$ 530,264.46	\$ 273,166.54	\$ 2,693,460.00	\$ 2,420,293.46
Madera	\$ 80,794.00	\$ 25,383.00	\$ 16,752.78	\$ 8,630.22	\$ 106,177.00	\$ 97,546.78
Marin	\$ 136,581.00	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 136,581.00	\$ 136,581.00
Mariposa	\$ 45,390.00	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 45,390.00	\$ 45,390.00
Mendocino	\$ 60,462.00	\$ 30,000.00	\$ 19,800.00	\$ 10,200.00	\$ 90,462.00	\$ 80,262.00
Merced	\$ 98,847.00	\$ 67,473.00	\$ 44,532.18	\$ 22,940.82	\$ 166,320.00	\$ 143,379.18
Modoc	\$ 70,941.00	\$ 1,247.00	\$ 823.02	\$ 423.98	\$ 72,188.00	\$ 71,764.02
Mono	\$ 48,246.00	\$ 1,350.00	\$ 891.00	\$ 459.00	\$ 49,596.00	\$ 49,137.00
Monterey	\$ 120,688.00	\$ 57,179.00	\$ 37,738.14	\$ 19,440.86	\$ 177,867.00	\$ 158,426.14
Napa	\$ 61,820.00	\$ 40,000.00	\$ 26,400.00	\$ 13,600.00	\$ 101,820.00	\$ 88,220.00
Nevada	\$ 116,010.00	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 116,010.00	\$ 116,010.00
Orange	\$ 537,209.00	\$ 114,738.00	\$ 75,727.08	\$ 39,010.92	\$ 651,947.00	\$ 612,936.08
Placer	\$ 89,626.00	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 89,626.00	\$ 89,626.00
Plumas	\$ 55,827.00	\$ 7,803.00	\$ 5,149.98	\$ 2,653.02	\$ 63,630.00	\$ 60,976.98
Riverside	\$ 665,441.00	\$ 218,500.00	\$ 144,210.00	\$ 74,290.00	\$ 883,941.00	\$ 809,651.00
Sacramento	\$ 309,597.00	\$ 211,331.00	\$ 139,478.46	\$ 71,852.54	\$ 520,928.00	\$ 449,075.46
San Benito	\$ 60,289.00	\$ 29,151.00	\$ 19,239.66	\$ 9,911.34	\$ 89,440.00	\$ 79,528.66
San Bernardino	\$ 459,342.00	\$ 313,548.00	\$ 206,941.68	\$ 106,606.32	\$ 772,890.00	\$ 666,283.68
San Diego	\$ 605,937.00	\$ 253,614.00	\$ 167,385.24	\$ 86,228.76	\$ 859,551.00	\$ 773,322.24
San Francisco	\$ 245,257.00	\$ 113,795.00	\$ 75,104.70	\$ 38,690.30	\$ 359,052.00	\$ 320,361.70
San Joaquin	\$ 214,154.00	\$ 78,238.00	\$ 51,637.08	\$ 26,600.92	\$ 292,392.00	\$ 265,791.08
San Luis Obispo	\$ 67,010.00	\$ 32,246.00	\$ 21,282.36	\$ 10,963.64	\$ 99,256.00	\$ 88,292.36
San Mateo	\$ 126,800.00	\$ 86,554.00	\$ 57,125.64	\$ 29,428.36	\$ 213,354.00	\$ 183,925.64
Santa Barbara	\$ 170,705.00	\$ 77,323.00	\$ 51,033.18	\$ 26,289.82	\$ 248,028.00	\$ 221,738.18
Santa Clara	\$ 445,545.00	\$ 210,712.00	\$ 139,069.92	\$ 71,642.08	\$ 656,257.00	\$ 584,614.92
Santa Cruz	\$ 74,335.00	\$ 43,000.00	\$ 28,380.00	\$ 14,620.00	\$ 117,335.00	\$ 102,715.00
Shasta	\$ 185,447.00	\$ 111,913.00	\$ 73,862.58	\$ 38,050.42	\$ 297,360.00	\$ 259,309.58
Sierra	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Siskiyou	\$ 74,650.00	\$ 35,000.00	\$ 23,100.00	\$ 11,900.00	\$ 109,650.00	\$ 97,750.00
Solano	\$ 129,070.00	\$ 39,710.00	\$ 26,208.60	\$ 13,501.40	\$ 168,780.00	\$ 155,278.60
Sonoma	\$ 138,141.00	\$ 65,519.00	\$ 43,242.54	\$ 22,276.46	\$ 203,660.00	\$ 181,383.54
Stanislaus	\$ 219,062.00	\$ 120,000.00	\$ 79,200.00	\$ 40,800.00	\$ 339,062.00	\$ 298,262.00
Sutter	\$ 66,292.00	\$ 31,409.00	\$ 20,729.94	\$ 10,679.06	\$ 97,701.00	\$ 87,021.94
Tehama	\$ 27,294.00	\$ 3,535.00	\$ 2,333.10	\$ 1,201.90	\$ 30,829.00	\$ 29,627.10
Trinity	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Tulare	\$ 307,882.00	\$ 132,293.00	\$ 87,313.38	\$ 44,979.62	\$ 440,175.00	\$ 395,195.38
Tuolumne	\$ 64,534.00	\$ 30,084.00	\$ 19,855.44	\$ 10,228.56	\$ 94,618.00	\$ 84,389.44
Ventura	\$ 252,718.00	\$ 77,864.00	\$ 51,390.24	\$ 26,473.76	\$ 330,582.00	\$ 304,108.24
Yolo	\$ 76,604.00	\$ 35,377.00	\$ 23,348.82	\$ 12,028.18	\$ 111,981.00	\$ 99,952.82
Yuba	\$ 65,856.00	\$ 44,953.00	\$ 29,668.98	\$ 15,284.02	\$ 110,809.00	\$ 95,524.98
Total	\$ 10,789,626.00	\$ 4,449,685.00	\$ 2,936,792.10	\$ 1,512,892.90	\$ 15,239,311.00	\$ 13,726,418.10

FLF Base Funds \$ 10,789,626.00
 FLF Federal Drawdown \$ 4,449,685.00
 Total \$ 15,239,311.00