



JUDICIAL COUNCIL OF CALIFORNIA

EXECUTIVE AND
PLANNING COMMITTEE

www.courts.ca.gov/epmeetings.htm
executiveandplanning@jud.ca.gov

EXECUTIVE AND PLANNING COMMITTEE

OPEN MEETING AGENDA

Open to the Public (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 10.75(c)(1))

THIS MEETING IS BEING RECORDED

Date: March 2, 2017
Time: 12:10 to 1:10 p.m.
Public Call-In Number 877-820-7831; passcode 846-8947 (listen only)

Meeting materials will be posted on the advisory body web page on the California Courts website at least three business days before the meeting.

Agenda items are numbered for identification purposes only and will not necessarily be considered in the indicated order.

I. OPEN MEETING (CAL. RULES OF COURT, RULE 10.75(C)(1))

Call to Order and Roll Call

Approval of Minutes

Approve minutes of the December 21, 2016 Executive and Planning Committee meeting, January 18, 2017, Executive and Planning Committee action by email, and January 31, 2017 Executive and Planning Committee closed meeting.

II. PUBLIC COMMENT (CAL. RULES OF COURT, RULE 10.75(K)(2))

Written Comment

In accordance with California Rules of Court, rule 10.75(k)(1), written comments pertaining to any agenda item of a regularly noticed open meeting can be submitted up to one complete business day before the meeting. For this specific meeting, comments should be e-mailed to executiveandplanning@jud.ca.gov or mailed or delivered to Judicial Council of California, 2860 Gateway Oaks Drive, Suite 400, Sacramento, California, 95833, Attention: Donna Ignacio Only written comments received by 12:10 p.m. on Wednesday, March 1, 2017, will be provided to committee members prior to the start of the meeting.

III. DISCUSSION AND POSSIBLE ACTION ITEMS

Item 1

Subordinate Judicial Officer Conversion – Request from the Superior Court of Santa Clara County (Action Required)

Review request from the Superior Court of Santa Clara County to eliminate five subordinate judicial officer positions.

Presenters: Ms. Leah Rose-Goodwin and Mr. David Smith

Item 2

Agenda Setting for the March 23-24 Judicial Council Meeting (Action Required)

Presenters: Various

IV. ADJOURNMENT

Adjourn



JUDICIAL COUNCIL OF CALIFORNIA

EXECUTIVE AND
PLANNING COMMITTEE

www.courts.ca.gov/epmeetings.htm
executiveandplanning@jud.ca.gov

EXECUTIVE AND PLANNING COMMITTEE

MINUTES OF OPEN MEETING WITH CLOSED SESSION

Wednesday, December 21, 2016

12:10 to 1:40 p.m.

Teleconference

Committee Members Present: Justice Douglas P. Miller (Chair), Judge Marla O. Anderson (Vice Chair); Judges Jeffrey B. Barton, Daniel J. Buckley, and Samuel K. Feng, ; and Mr. Richard D. Feldstein

Committee Members Absent: Judge David M. Rubin, Judge Gary Nadler and Ms. Donna D. Melby

Other Attendees: Judge Jonathan B. Conklin, Mr. Jake Chatters, and Mr. David Yamasaki

Committee Staff Present: Ms. Jody Patel and Ms. Amber Barnett

Staff Present: Mr. Cliff Alumno, Ms. Heather Anderson, Ms. Karene Alvarado, Mr. Patrick Ballard, Ms. Suzanne Blihovde, Ms. Roma Cheadle, Mr. Robert Cabral, Ms. Shelly Curran, Ms. Kimberly DaSilva, Ms. Cristina Foti, Mr. Martin Hoshino, Ms. Donna Ignacio, Ms. Tracy Kenny, Mr. Doug Kauffroath, Mr. Bob Lowney, Mr. Patrick O'Donnell, Ms. Claudia Ortega, Mr. Grant Parks, Ms. Leah Rose-Goodwin, Ms. Brandy Sanborn, Ms. Christy Simons, Mr. David Smith, Ms. Laura Speed, Mr. Zlatko Theodorovic, Ms. Millicent Tidwell, Mr. John Wordlaw, and Ms. Josely Yangco-Frona

OPEN MEETING

Call to Order and Roll Call

The chair called the meeting to order at 12:10 p.m. and committee staff took roll call.

Approval of Minutes

The committee voted to approve the following minutes:

- November 17, 2016, Executive and Planning Committee meeting
- November 22, 2016, Executive and Planning Committee action by email
- December 6, 2016, Executive and Planning Committee action by email
- December 7, 2016, Executive and Planning Committee action by email

DISCUSSION AND ACTION ITEMS

Item 1

Subordinate Judicial Officer Conversion – Request from the Superior Court of Orange County (Action Required)

Review request from the Superior Court of Orange County for a temporary exception to the conversion of three vacant subordinate judicial officer positions to judgeships.

Action: *The committee approved the request from the Superior Court of Orange to temporary except the conversion of three vacant subordinate judicial officer positions to judgeships.*

Item 2

Agenda Setting for the January 19-20 Judicial Council Meeting (Action Required)

Review draft reports and set the agenda for the Judicial Council meeting in January.

Action: *The committee reviewed draft reports and set the agenda for the Judicial Council meeting in January, which is a one-day meeting on January 19.*

Item 3

2017 Annual Agenda: Trial Court Presiding Judges Advisory Committee (Action Required)

Review draft annual agenda of the Trial Court Presiding Judges Advisory Committee.

Action: *The committee approved the draft annual agenda of the Trial Court Presiding Judges Advisory Committee.*

Item 4

2017 Annual Agenda: Court Executives Advisory Committee (Action Required)

Review draft annual agenda of the Court Executive Advisory Committee.

Action: *The committee approved the draft annual agenda of the Court Executives Advisory Committee.*

Item 5

2017 Annual Agenda: Trial Court Budget Advisory Committee (Action Required)

Review draft annual agenda of the Trial Court Budget Advisory Committee

Action: *The committee approved the draft annual agenda of the Trial Court Budget Advisory Committee.*

A D J O U R N M E N T

There being no further open meeting business, the meeting was adjourned at 12:40 p.m.

C L O S E D S E S S I O N

Item 1

Pursuant to California Rules of Court, rule 10.75(d)(6)

Non-final audit reports

Review available non-final audit report and continue to set agenda for the Judicial Council meeting in January.

Action: The committee reviewed the non-final audit report and approved it for placement on the January Judicial Council meeting business agenda.

Item 2

Pursuant to California Rules of Court, rule 10.75(d)(1)

Upcoming Vacancy on the Judicial Council

Discuss upcoming vacancy on Judicial Council and develop recommendations to be sent to the Chief Justice.

Action: The committee reviewed materials and developed a recommendation to be sent to the Chief Justice regarding the upcoming vacancy on the Judicial Council.

Adjourned closed session at 12:55 p.m.

Approved by the advisory body on _____.



JUDICIAL COUNCIL
OF CALIFORNIA

EXECUTIVE AND
PLANNING COMMITTEE

www.courts.ca.gov/epmeetings.htm
executiveandplanning@jud.ca.gov

Minutes of Action by E-mail Between Meetings for
Executive and Planning Committee

E-mail Proposal

As part of the agenda setting for Judicial Council meetings, the Executive and Planning Committee was asked to review the report for new consent item Criminal Law: Judicial Council Appointment to the Selecting Committee for the Assembly Bill 2013 Misdemeanor Pilot Program for approval to be included on the January 19, Judicial Council business meeting agenda.

Notice

On January 17, 2017, a notice was posted advising that the Executive and Planning Committee was proposing to act by email between meetings under California Rules of Court, rule 10.75(o)(1)(B).

Action Taken

Majority of members voted to approve the new item for the consent agenda of the January 19 Judicial Council business meeting.

Approved by the advisory body on _____.



JUDICIAL COUNCIL
OF CALIFORNIA

EXECUTIVE AND
PLANNING COMMITTEE

www.courts.ca.gov/epmeetings.htm
executiveandplanning@jud.ca.gov

EXECUTIVE AND PLANNING COMMITTEE

MINUTES OF CLOSED MEETING

Tuesday, January 31, 2017

12:00 to 1:00 p.m.

Teleconference

Advisory Body Members Present: Justice Douglas P. Miller (Chair); Judge Marla O. Anderson, (Vice Chair); Justice Harry E. Hull, Jr., Judges Jeffrey B. Barton, Daniel J. Buckley, Samuel K. Feng, Gary Nadler, and David M. Rubin; Ms. Donna D. Melby and Mr. Richard D. Feldstein

Committee Staff Present: Ms. Jody Patel

CLOSED SESSION

Call to Order and Roll Call

The chair called the meeting to order at 12:00 p.m. and committee staff took roll call.

Item 1

Pursuant to California Rules of Court, rule 10.75 (d)(1)

Recommendation for Advisory Body Appointment

Review materials and develop recommendations to be sent to the Chief Justice regarding out-of-cycle appointments to advisory bodies.

Action: The committee developed recommendations for submission to the Chief Justice.

Item 2

Pursuant to California Rules of Court, rule 10.75(d)(1)

Recommendation for Advisory Body Appointment

Review materials and develop recommendations to be sent to the committee chair regarding subcommittee appointments.

Action: The committee developed a recommendation for submission to the committee chair.

ADJOURNMENT

There being no further business, the meeting was adjourned at 12:50 p.m.

Approved by the advisory body on _____.



JUDICIAL COUNCIL OF CALIFORNIA

455 Golden Gate Avenue • San Francisco, California 94102-3688
Telephone 415-865-4200 • Fax 415-865-4205 • TDD 415-865-4272

MEMORANDUM

Date

February 15, 2017

Action Requested

Approve Staff Recommendation

To

Members of the Executive and Planning
Committee

Deadline

March 2, 2017

From

Judicial Council Staff
Leah Rose-Goodwin, Manager
David Smith, Senior Research Analyst
Office of Court Research, Court Operations
Services

Contact

David Smith
415-865-7696 phone
david.smith@jud.ca.gov

Subject

Request for the Elimination of Five
Subordinate Judicial Officer Positions in the
Superior Court of Santa Clara County

Executive Summary

Court Operations Services staff recommend that the Judicial Council's Executive and Planning Committee (E&P) confirm the request from the Superior Court of Santa Clara County for the reduction in the number of authorized subordinate judicial officer (SJO) positions in the court from the current 10.0 positions to 5.0 positions. The Superior Court of Santa Clara County has indicated that its request is the result of a significant reduction in the financial resources that are available to the court. This reduction in financial resources is projected to last into the foreseeable future and on that basis the court is requesting a permanent reduction in these positions.

Recommendation

Court Operations Services staff recommend that E&P confirm the request from the Superior Court of Santa Clara County for a permanent reduction in the number of authorized SJO

positions in the court from the current 10.0 positions to a total of 5.0 positions. Since these positions are currently vacant the reduction would occur on the day of E&P's decision in this matter.

Previous Council Action

In 2007, the Judicial Council approved a methodology for evaluating the amount of workload appropriate to SJOs relative to the number of SJOs working in the courts. This work was used to identify SJO positions that were eligible for conversion to judgeships, as codified in Government Code section 69615(c)(1)(A). In addition, the council delegated authority to E&P for many of the administrative functions concerning subordinate judicial officers, including confirming requests for the conversion of SJO positions and approving changes to the number of SJO full-time equivalents (FTE) based on workload measures.¹

Rationale for Recommendation

Currently the Santa Clara Superior Court has ten authorized SJO positions, five of which are filled and five of which are vacant. The court indicates that it is requesting the reduction of five SJO positions because it has sustained a significant reduction in the financial resources that are available to it. The court reports that its projections indicate that this financial shortfall is expected to extend into the foreseeable future and on that basis the court is seeking a permanent reduction of the five SJO positions in question. Approving this request is within the scope of the Judicial Council's responsibilities under Government Code section 71622(a), which delegated authority to E&P for review and approval of courts' requests to permanently adjust the workload or number of SJOs serving in a court.²

Comments, Alternatives Considered, and Policy Implications

This proposal, which complies with council policy, was not circulated for comment.

Implementation Requirements, Costs, and Operational Impacts

If the reduction in SJO positions is granted by E&P, the court would incur no new costs. Further, the court is not currently eligible for the conversion of SJO positions to judgeships based on the

¹ See Judicial Council of Cal., *Update of the Judicial Workload Assessment and New Methodology for Selecting Courts with Subordinate Judicial Officers for Conversion to Judgeships* (Feb. 14, 2007), available at www.courts.ca.gov/documents/022307item9.pdf, and the update of this report and SJO allocation list (Table 2) (Aug. 11, 2015) at www.courts.ca.gov/documents/jc-20150821-itemL.pdf.

² Judicial Council of Cal., mins. (Feb. 23, 2007), Item 10, *Subordinate Judicial Officers: Policy for Approval of Number of Subordinate Judicial Officers in Trial Courts*, www.courts.ca.gov/documents/min0207.pdf.

updated judicial workload model.³ On that basis, the costs and operational impact are projected to be minimal.

Attachments

1. Attachment A: June 27, 2016, Letter from Presiding Judge Patricia M. Lucas and Court Executive Officer Rebecca J. Fleming to Justice Douglas Miller, Chair, Executive and Planning Committee, Regarding a Request for the Permanent Reduction of SJO Positions in the Santa Clara Superior Court.

³ See Judicial Council of Cal., *Subordinate Judicial Officers: Update of Conversions Using More Current Workload Data* (Aug. 11, 2015) (Attachment B), www.courts.ca.gov/documents/jc-20150821-itemL.pdf.

Superior Court of California
County of Santa Clara

191 North First Street
San José, California 95113
(408) 882-2700

Chambers of
HON. PATRICIA M. LUCAS, Presiding Judge



January 30, 2017

Hon. Douglas P. Miller, Chair
c/o Jody Patel, Chief of Staff
Executive and Planning Committee
Judicial Council of California
455 Golden Gate Avenue, Fifth Floor
San Francisco, CA 94102-3688

Dear Justice Miller:

Santa Clara Superior Court submits this letter to request a reduction in the number of authorized subordinate judicial officer (SJO) positions from ten (10) positions to five (5). Santa Clara Superior Court has not filled the 5 vacant positions requested for deletion as a result of the significantly reduced financial resources available to our Court now and in the foreseeable future.

Thank you for your consideration of this request. If you or staff have any questions, please contact Rebecca Fleming at 408-882-2714.

A handwritten signature in blue ink that reads "Patricia M. Lucas".

Hon. Patricia M. Lucas
Presiding Judge

A handwritten signature in blue ink that reads "Rebecca J. Fleming".

Rebecca J. Fleming
Court Executive Officer

cc: Hon. Deborah A. Ryan, Assistant Presiding Judge
Martin Hoshino, Administrative Director of the Courts, Judicial Council
Jody Patel, Chief of Staff, Judicial Council
Leah Rose Goodwin, Manager, Office of Court Research



Judicial Council of California

455 Golden Gate Ave.
San Francisco, CA
94102-3688

Meeting Agenda

Judicial Council

*Open to the Public Unless Indicated as Closed
(Cal. Rules of Court, rule 10.6(a))*

Friday, March 24, 2017

8:30 AM

San Francisco

CLOSED SESSION (RULE 10.6(B))—PLANNING, PERSONNEL, AND DISCUSSION PROTECTED BY THE ATTORNEY-CLIENT PRIVILEGE

Session 8:30–9:10 a.m.

Transitional Break 9:10–9:20 a.m.

OPEN SESSION (RULE 10.6(A)) — MEETING AGENDA

Session 9:20 a.m.–1:15 p.m.

Call to Order

Public Comment

30 minutes

The Judicial Council welcomes public comment on general matters of judicial administration and on specific agenda items, as it can enhance the council's understanding of the issues coming before it.

Please see our public comment procedures.

- 1) Submit advance requests to speak by 4:00 p.m., Tuesday, March 21.
- 2) Submit written comments for this meeting by 1:00 p.m. on Wednesday, March 22.

Contact information for advance requests to speak, written comments, and questions:

E-mail: judicialcouncil@jud.ca.gov

Postal mail or delivery in person:

Judicial Council of California

455 Golden Gate Avenue

San Francisco, California 94102-3688

Attention: Donna Ignacio

Break 9:50–10:00 a.m.

Approval of Minutes*5 minutes***17-041 Minutes of the January 19, 2017, Judicial Council meeting.****Chief Justice's Report***10 minutes***Administrative Director's Report***10 minutes***17-042 Administrative Director's Report****Judicial Council Committee Presentations***40 minutes***[17-043](#) Judicial Council Committee Reports****Summary:**

Executive and Planning Committee
Hon. Douglas P. Miller, Chair
Policy Coordination and Liaison Committee
Hon. Kenneth K. So, Chair
Rules and Projects Committee
Hon. Harry E. Hull, Jr., Chair
Judicial Council Technology Committee
Hon. Marsha G. Slough, Chair
Judicial Branch Budget Committee
Hon. David M. Rubin, Chair

Judicial Council Members' Liaison Reports*30 minutes***17-044 Judicial Council Members' Liaison Reports****Summary:**

Judicial Council Members report on their visits to the Superior Courts of California.

CONSENT AGENDA

A council member who wishes to request that any item be moved from the Consent Agenda to the Discussion Agenda is asked to please notify Roma Cheadle at 415-865-7640 at least 48 hours before the meeting.

[17-048](#) Judicial Council Report to the Legislature: Trial Court Interpreters Program Expenditure Report for Fiscal Year 2015-2016 (Action Required)**Summary:**

The Judicial Council's Court Interpreters Program, Court Operations Services,

recommends approving the annual report on trial court interpreter expenditures for submission to the Legislature and the Department of Finance. This report is required by the Budget Act of 2015.

[17-051](#)**Court Facilities: Exchange of Equity in Imperial Court Facilities (Action Required)****Summary:**

The Facilities Policies Working Group recommends authorizing and approving the permanent disposition to Imperial County of state equity in two small, permanently closed court facilities in El Centro, California, to (1) resolve a dispute connected with the courthouse transfer process, (2) eliminate the Judicial Council's continuing liability in holding permanently closed court facilities, and (3) realize the value of these assets in fair market value (FMV) dispositions. The two court facilities—the Juvenile Court and the Jail Court—were permanently closed by the Superior Court of California, County of Imperial, in 2013, and are unsuitable to the judicial branch's needs. The superior court fully supports the transfer of these closed court facilities to Imperial County.

[17-052](#)**Judicial Branch Education: Report to the Legislature on Compliance With Welfare and Institutions Code Section 304.7 (Action Required)****Summary:**

The Judicial Council's Center for Judicial Education and Research recommends that the council review and approve the attached report, to be submitted to the Legislature, on compliance by judges, commissioners, and referees with the education requirements of Welfare and Institutions Code section 304.7. Section 304.7(c) requires the council to submit the report annually.

[17-053](#)**Jury Instructions: Additions and Revisions to Criminal Jury Instructions (Action Required)****Summary:**

The Advisory Committee on Criminal Jury Instructions recommends approval of the proposed revisions and additions to the *Judicial Council of California Criminal Jury Instructions (CALCRIM)*. These changes will keep CALCRIM current with statutory and case authority.

[17-055](#)**Appellate Procedure: Expedited Review of Certain Orders Denying Motions to Compel Arbitration (Action Required)****Summary:**

Recent legislation requires the Court of Appeal to issue its decision in cases involving the review of certain orders denying motions to compel arbitration no later than 100 days after the notice of appeal is filed. The legislation also requires the Judicial Council to adopt rules to implement this requirement and to establish a shortened notice of appeal period in these cases. These proposed rules are intended to fulfill this legislative obligation.

[17-056](#)**Rules and Forms: Miscellaneous Technical Changes (Action Required)****Summary:**

Various Judicial Council advisory committees, members of the public, and Judicial Council staff have identified errors in the California Rules of Court and Judicial Council forms resulting from typographical errors, and changes

resulting from legislation and previous rule amendments and form revisions. Judicial Council staff recommends making the necessary corrections to avoid confusing court users, clerks, and judicial officers.

[17-057](#)**Civil Practice and Procedure: Adjustment of Maximum Amount of Imputed Liability of Parent or Guardian for Tort of a Minor (Action Required)****Summary:**

Judicial Council staff recommends that the Judicial Council amend Appendix B of the California Rules of Court to reflect the biannual adjustments to the dollar amounts of the maximum amount of liability of parents or guardians to be imputed for the torts of a minor under Civil Code section 1714.1 and direct that staff publish the adjusted amounts.

[17-059](#)**Child Support: Midyear Funding Reallocation for Fiscal Year 2016-2017 and Base Funding Allocation for Fiscal Year 2017-2018 for the Child Support Commissioner and Family Law Facilitator Program (Action Required)****Summary:**

The Family and Juvenile Law Advisory Committee recommends approving the reallocation of funding for the Child Support Commissioner and Family Law Facilitator Program for the remainder of fiscal year (FY) 2016-2017 and the allocation of funding for this same program for FY 2017-2018, as required by Assembly Bill 1058 (Stats. 1996, ch. 957). The funds are provided through a cooperative agreement between the California Department of Child Support Services (DCSS) and the Judicial Council. At midyear, under an established procedure described in the standard agreement with each superior court, the Judicial Council redistributes to courts with a documented need for additional funds any available funds from courts that are projected not to spend their full grants that year, up to the amount of funds available through the contract with DCSS. The courts are also offered an option to use local court funds up to an approved amount to draw down, or qualify for, federal matching funds.

[17-061](#)**Trial Courts: Children's Waiting Room Policy (Action Required)****Summary:**

The Judicial Council revised its *Children's Waiting Room (CWR) Distribution and Fund Balance Policy* on June 26, 2015, placing a cap on the amount of fund balance that courts can accumulate. Courts with fund balances that exceed the cap are required to return the amount above the cap to the Trial Court Trust Fund by the end of the fiscal year, unless the council approves a court's request for a cap adjustment. The Trial Court Budget Advisory committee recommends that the Judicial Council approve revisions to the *Children's Waiting Room (CWR) Distribution and Fund Balance Policy* to extend the review and adjustment of Children's Waiting Room fund balances from an annual to a biennial schedule.

[17-065](#)**Family Law: Technical Changes to Summary Dissolution Forms (Action Required)****Summary:**

The Family and Juvenile Law Advisory Committee recommends technical

revisions to two family law summary dissolution forms. The technical changes are mandated by Family Code section 2400 to reflect an increase in the cost of living based on changes to the California Consumer Price Index.

Break 11:35–11:50 a.m.

DISCUSSION AGENDA

[17-040](#)

Judicial Branch: Court Innovations Grant Program Award Recommendations (Action Required)

Summary:

After reviewing 118 grant applications from 42 trial and appellate courts that participated in the Court Innovations Grant Program, the Judicial Branch Budget Committee recommends awarding 53 grants totaling \$23.5 million to 29 courts involving 39 jurisdictions. These grants will fund exciting advancements testing new approaches for making courts more accessible, easier to use, less costly, and better able to facilitate positive outcomes. In accordance with category limitations contained in the Budget Act of 2016, \$11.3 million will be allocated to collaborative court programs; \$7.5 million to self-help, family and juvenile court programs; \$4.7 million to other efficiencies across all types of court programs, and \$625,000 to the Judicial Council for grant program administration. Additionally, three to four percent of the funding in each category will be retained as a contingency for unforeseen costs courts encounter over the three-year grant period. The grant period begins July 1, 2017, and ends June 30, 2020.

Speakers:

Hon. David M. Rubin, Chair, Judicial Branch Budget Committee

45 minutes

[17-050](#)

Judicial Branch Administration: Tactical Plan for Technology 2017-2018 (Action Required)

Summary:

The Tactical Plan Update Workstream of the Information Technology Advisory Committee recommends adopting the updated *Tactical Plan for Technology, 2017-2018*. The updated plan is the result of analysis of branch business drivers, evaluation of existing initiatives, incorporation of new initiatives, and subsequent refinement following circulation for branch and public comment.

Speakers:

Hon. Sheila F. Hanson, Chair, Information Technology Advisory Committee

Hon. Terence L. Bruiniers, Executive Sponsor, Tactical Plan Update Workstream

Mr. Robert Oyung, Information Technology

25 minutes

[17-062](#)

Immigration Resources Workgroup: California Courts Connecting Immigrants to Critical Immigration Resources (There are no materials for this item. No action required.)

Summary:

The Immigration Resources Workgroup will present the Judicial Council with the results of its effort to connect immigrants with critical immigration resources. Its work includes a redesigned website that provides general

informational resources on immigration issues on the California Court's self-help website and a Frequently Asked Questions document.

Speakers:

Hon. Dalila Corral Lyons, Co-chair, Immigration Resources Workgroup
Hon. Samuel K. Feng, Co-chair, Immigration Resources Workgroup
Ms. Millicent Tidwell, Chief Operating Officer
Ms. Tara Lundstrom, Criminal Justice Services

15 minutes

INFORMATION ONLY ITEMS (NO ACTION REQUIRED)

[17-047](#)

Trial Courts: Quarterly Investment Report for Fourth Quarter of 2016

Summary:

This *Trial Courts: Quarterly Investment Report for Fourth Quarter of 2016* covers the period of October 1, 2016, through December 31, 2016, and provides the financial results for the funds invested by the Judicial Council on behalf of the trial courts as part of the judicial branch treasury program. The report is submitted under agenda item 10, Resolutions Regarding Investment Activities for the Trial Courts, approved by the Judicial Council on February 27, 2004.

[17-058](#)

Court Facilities: Trial Court Facility Modification Quarterly Activity Report for Quarter 2 of FY 2016-17

Summary:

The Trial Court Facility Modification Advisory Committee (TCFMAC) has completed its facility modification funding for the second quarter of fiscal year 2016- 2017. In compliance with the *Trial Court Facility Modifications Policy*, the advisory body is submitting its *Trial Court Facility Modification Quarterly Activity Report: Quarter 2, Fiscal Year 2016- 2017* as information for the council. This report summarizes the activities of the TCFMAC from October 1, 2016, to December 31 , 2016.

Circulating Orders

17-045 Circulating Orders since the last business meeting.

Appointment Orders

17-046 Appointment Orders since the last business meeting.

Adjournment (approx. 1:15 p.m.)