



JUDICIAL COUNCIL OF CALIFORNIA

455 Golden Gate Avenue · San Francisco, California 94102-3688

www.courts.ca.gov

REPORT TO THE JUDICIAL COUNCIL

For business meeting on January 19, 2017

Title	Agenda Item Type
Criminal Law: Judicial Council Appointment to the Selecting Committee for the Assembly Bill 2013 Misdemeanor Pilot Program	Action Required
Rules, Forms, Standards, or Statutes Affected	Effective Date
None	February 1, 2017
Recommended by	Date of Report
Executive and Planning Committee Hon. Douglas P. Miller, Chair	January 17, 2017
	Contact
	Amber Barnett, 916-263-1398 amber.barnett@jud.ca.gov
	Adrienne Toomey, 415-865-7977 adrienne.toomey@jud.ca.gov

Executive Summary

The Executive and Planning Committee recommends appointing Judge Burt Pines (Ret.), Superior Court of Los Angeles County, to serve on a three-member selecting committee to select the counties to participate in the Assembly Bill 2013 Misdemeanor Pilot Program, which was established by recently enacted AB 2013. The three-year pilot project will be active in three counties and will require a court, on request of an out-of-custody misdemeanor defendant, to make a probable cause finding at arraignment.

Recommendation

The Executive and Planning Committee recommends that the Judicial Council appoint Judge Burt Pines (Ret.), Superior Court of Los Angeles County, to serve on a three-member selecting committee to select the counties for the Assembly Bill 2013 Misdemeanor Pilot Program, effective February 1, 2017.

Previous Council Action

Because this pilot program is new, the Judicial Council has not acted previously on this matter.

Rationale for Recommendation

Penal Code section 991.5 describes the mission, responsibilities, and membership of the pilot project.

(a) On or before July 1, 2017, three counties shall be selected to participate in a three-year pilot project that would require a court, upon request by the defendant in the case of a defendant charged with a misdemeanor who is not in custody, to make a finding at the arraignment as to whether probable cause exists to believe that a public offense has been committed and that the defendant is guilty thereof.

(b) The pilot counties shall be selected by a three-member committee. One member of the committee shall be selected by the California Public Defenders Association, one member of the committee shall be selected by the California District Attorneys Association, and one member of the committee shall be selected by the Judicial Council. The committee shall be convened by the California Public Defenders Association and the California District Attorneys Association. The committee shall select one small county, one medium county, and one large county to participate in the pilot project. The committee shall consult with the relevant local officials in the eligible counties in making its selections. A county selected for the pilot project shall have a county public defender's office.

(Pen. Code, § 991.5(a)–(b).)

Comments, Alternatives Considered, and Policy Implications

Public comment was not solicited on this recommendation.

Implementation Requirements, Costs, and Operational Impacts

Appointing a judge to the three-member committee will have no operational impacts and represents no added costs.

Attachments and Links

1. Attachment A: Penal Code Section 991.5

Attachment A

Penal Code Section 991.5.

(a) On or before July 1, 2017, three counties shall be selected to participate in a three-year pilot project that would require a court, upon request by the defendant in the case of a defendant charged with a misdemeanor who is not in custody, to make a finding at the arraignment as to whether probable cause exists to believe that a public offense has been committed and that the defendant is guilty thereof.

(b) The pilot counties shall be selected by a three-member committee. One member of the committee shall be selected by the California Public Defenders Association, one member of the committee shall be selected by the California District Attorneys Association, and one member of the committee shall be selected by the Judicial Council. The committee shall be convened by the California Public Defenders Association and the California District Attorneys Association. The committee shall select one small county, one medium county, and one large county to participate in the pilot project. The committee shall consult with the relevant local officials in the eligible counties in making its selections. A county selected for the pilot project shall have a county public defender's office. For purposes of this section, the following terms have the following meanings:

(1) A "small county" means a county with a population of not less than two hundred fifty thousand (250,000) residents and not more than seven hundred fifty thousand (750,000) residents.

(2) A "medium county" means a county with a population of not less than seven hundred fifty thousand one (750,001) and not more than two million six hundred thousand (2,600,000) residents.

(3) A "large county" means a county with a population of not less than two million six hundred thousand one (2,600,001) residents.

(c) The following arraignment procedure shall apply in the pilot project counties:

(1) When the defendant is out of custody at the time he or she appears before the magistrate for arraignment and the public offense is a misdemeanor to which the defendant has pleaded not guilty, the magistrate, on motion of counsel for the defendant or the defendant, shall determine whether there is probable cause to believe that a public offense has been committed and that the defendant is guilty thereof.

(2) The determination of probable cause shall be made immediately, unless the court grants a continuance for good cause not to exceed 15 court days.

(3) In determining the existence of probable cause, the magistrate shall consider any warrant of arrest with supporting affidavits, and the sworn complaint together with any documents or reports incorporated by reference thereto, which, if based on information and belief, state the basis for that information, or any other documents of similar reliability.

(4) If, after examining these documents, the court determines that there exists probable cause to believe that the defendant has committed the offense charged in the complaint, it shall maintain the trial date already calendared for the defendant.

(5) If the court determines that no probable cause exists, it shall dismiss the complaint and discharge the defendant.

(6) The prosecution may refile the complaint within 15 days of the dismissal of a complaint pursuant to this section.

(7) A second dismissal pursuant to this section is a bar to any other prosecution for the same offense.

(d) (1) No later than July 1, 2020, the Department of Justice shall provide information to the Assembly Committee on Budget, the Senate Committee on Budget and Fiscal Review, and the appropriate policy committees of the Legislature regarding the implementation of this section, including, but not limited to, the number of instances that a prompt probable cause determination made to an Out of Custody defendant facing a misdemeanor charge resulted in the defendant's early dismissal.

(2) A report submitted pursuant to paragraph (1) shall be submitted in compliance with Section 9795 of the Government Code.

(e) This section shall become inoperative on July 1, 2020, and, as of January 1, 2021, is repealed, unless a later enacted statute, that becomes operative on or before January 1, 2021, deletes or extends the dates on which it becomes inoperative and is repealed.