



JUDICIAL COUNCIL OF CALIFORNIA

www.courts.ca.gov/daac.htm
research@jud.ca.gov

DATA ANALYTICS ADVISORY COMMITTEE

MINUTES OF OPEN MEETING

November 7, 2022

12:00 PM – 1:30 PM

Electronic

**Advisory Body
Members Present:**

Hon. Joyce D. Hinrichs; Hon. Judith D. McConnell; Hon. Thomas Kuhnle; Ms. Nocona Soboleski; Mr. David Yamasaki; Mr. Darrel E. Parker; Mr. Sharif Elmallah; Dr. Bryan Borys; Mr. Christopher Roman; Mr. Darren Dang; Mr. Travis Trapp

**Advisory Body
Members Absent:**

None

Others Present:

Mr. Robert Oyung; Ms. Leah Rose-Goodwin; Ms. Kristin Greenaway

OPEN MEETING

Call to Order and Roll Call

Ms. Kristin Greenaway took roll call, and the chair called the meeting to order at 12:10 p.m.

Approval of Minutes

Not applicable. First open meeting of committee.

DISCUSSION AND ACTION ITEMS (ITEMS 1-2)

Item 1

Annual Agenda

Development of DAAC Annual Agenda

Update:

The committee discussed potential items to be included in the Annual Agenda of the Data Analytics Advisory Committee.

This brainstorming session centered around the following 4 items:

- 1) Educational sessions for DAAC (including topics from the Data Analytics Workstream and the workload studies)
- 2) The continuation of the Workload Assessment Advisory Committee (WAAC) responsibilities
 - a) Legislatively mandated reports that fell under WAAC purview.
 - b) Workload Studies
 - i) Resource Assessment Study (RAS)
 - ii) Judicial Workload
- 3) The continuation of data governance policies started by the Data Analytics Workstream.
 - a) Develop policies regarding data governance in the courts

- b) Educational sessions.
- 4) Continue building a data community (includes training and data summits, tools/tech, pilots).
 - a) How data analytics is used throughout the State in different courts and how specific data analytic models can be used in courts not already utilizing data; reach out to the National Center for State Courts (NCSC) for a national perspective.
 - b) Help build data programs locally and increase data capacity across the courts. Tie into educational sessions for groups outside the committee (e.g., judicial officers and/or court staff) topics could include case flow management, workload models, data governance, etc.

Item 2

SB 154 Report (Action Required)

Recommend Approval of Trial Court Operation Metrics Year 1 Report

Update:

- 1) JC staff explained that the 2022 Budget Act (SB 154) included mandatory operational metrics to be reported to the legislature. These operational metrics “include, but are not limited to, all of the following: time to disposition and case clearance rates by case type, backlogs by case type, court hours of operations including public counter hours, staff vacancy rates by classification, fund balance detail from the prior fiscal year, calculated funding level of each court and the percent of funding actually provided to each court, and funding level of each trial court as measured by the Judicial Council-approved workload formula.”
- 2) JC staff also provided background from a group of CEOs that gave feedback on court metrics during the pandemic. This information and feedback formed the basis of the recommendation to include currently available data for the report and then iterate moving forward for subsequent reports.
- 3) Because there is no annual agenda set for DAAC yet, E&P authorized DAAC’s ability to sponsor the report.
- 4) JC staff stated the report will give some qualitative context to some of the responsive data and specific footnotes highlighted by the committee (e.g., portal courts not providing time to disposition data, non-certified disposition data used in COVID backlog reporting should not be compared to data in this report).
- 5) Staff Recommendation: DAAC should report on the metrics outlined in the November 7, 2022, memo for the SB 154 report due February 1, 2023. Additionally, DAAC should formally add this item to its annual agenda for the coming year and immediately commence work on considering potential additional metrics for years 2 and ongoing.

Action:

Judge Kuhnle moved to adopt recommendation. Mr. Darrel E. Parker Second. Committee unanimously approved.

A D J O U R N M E N T

There being no further business, the meeting was adjourned at 1:15 p.m..

Approved by the advisory body on enter date.