



JUDICIAL COUNCIL OF CALIFORNIA

COURT TECHNOLOGY
ADVISORY COMMITTEE

www.courts.ca.gov/ctac.htm
ctac@jud.ca.gov

COURT TECHNOLOGY ADVISORY COMMITTEE

MINUTES OF OPEN MEETING

January 23, 2015
10:00 AM to 11:00 AM
Teleconference

Advisory Body Members Present: Hon. Terence L. Bruiniers, Chair; Hon. Robert B. Freedman, Vice Chair; Hon. Kyle S. Brodie; Mr. Jake Chatters; Mr. Brian Cotta; Hon. Julie R. Culver; Prof. Dorothy J. Glancy; Hon. Sheila F. Hanson; Hon. Samantha P. Jessner; Mr. Robert Oyung; Mr. Pat Patterson; Hon. Alan G. Perkins; Hon. Peter J. Siggins; Mr. Don Willenburg; Mr. David H. Yamasaki; Hon. Theodore C. Zayner

Advisory Body Members Absent: Hon. Jeffrey B. Barton; Hon. Hannah-Beth Jackson; Hon. Louis R. Mauro; Hon. James Mize

Others Present: Hon. James E. Herman; Mr. Curt Soderlund; Mr. Mark Dusman; Ms. Renea Stewart; Ms. Kathy Fink; Ms. Fati Farmanfarmaian; Mr. Patrick O'Donnell; Ms. Tara Lundstrom; Ms. Heather Anderson; Mr. Manny Floresca; Ms. Jackie Woods

OPEN MEETING

Call to Order and Roll Call

The chair called the meeting to order at 10:00 AM, and took roll call.

Approval of Minutes

The advisory body reviewed and approved the minutes of the December 5, 2014, public Court Technology Advisory Committee meeting.

Public Comment

The chair announced there were no public comments received.

DISCUSSION AND ACTION ITEMS (ITEMS 1-6)

Item 1

Opening Remarks and Chair Report

Update: Hon. Terence L. Bruiniers provided updates on the following items. Members received the updated Fresno reports regarding the Remote Video Pilot project. The project extension will be discussed later in the Rules & Policy Subcommittee update. Justice Bruiniers and Judge Freedman attended the NCSC eCourts Conference in Las Vegas December 2014, they shared that although the technology portion wasn't that

expanded past the judicial branches current uses, they found the security data presentations of value given the numerous hacking data breaches today. Lastly, Justice Bruiniers provided a brief update on the Appellate E-Filing project timeline. The Fifth District will go live with ImageSoft the 2nd week of February. The Third District will be the next court. There's no confirmation if another Court of Appeal will go or if the Supreme Court will follow the Third District.

Item 2

Annual Agenda (Action Required)

Action: Justice Bruiniers asked for a motion to approve the 2015 CTAC Annual Agenda to be sent to JCTC for review and approval at their February 9 meeting. CTAC approved the motion to send the 2015 CTAC Annual Agenda to JCTC.

Item 3

Information Security Framework Workstream Report

Update: Mr. Rob Oyung provided an update on the workstream activities. They will complete the review of framework published for Judicial Council IT by March 2015. The draft framework will be published by May 2015. Adoption at other courts is as needed by August 2015. It is not mandatory for the courts to use this framework; if they do no, it will be up to the court to create an individual policy.

Item 4

Data Exchange Workstream Report

Update: Mr. David Yamasaki reported the Data Exchange Workstream is moving forward in its effort to identify those data exchanges that will be for use in the case management systems (CMS) being installed into various courts. This effort includes justice partners and CMS vendors as well as CIOs/CEOs, and judges. The first meeting held in Sacramento on Jan. 27 was with justice partners and vendors to identify exchanges. The next meeting will be in San Francisco on Feb. 2 and include all participants and interested parties. Once the exchanges have been identified the workstream will begin working on both technical and governance tracks.

Item 5

Rules & Policy Subcommittee Report

Update: Hon. Peter J. Siggins reported this subcommittee met on January 15 to review the follow rule proposals. Work continues on the rules modernization project.

Ms. Tara Lundstorm provided an update on the current rules proposals. CTAC is being asked to approve the proposal to amend rule 2.251 to authorize electronic service on

the courts that consent to such service. CTAC is asked to also approve amendment to rule 8.71 to allow courts to continue conducting remote video proceedings in traffic cases after January 1, 2016. This is in conjunction with rule 4.220 being discussed by the JATS subcommittee. CTAC approved motion for both amendments to be forwarded to Rules and Projects Committee (RUPRO).

Mr. Jake Chatters provided an update on the Court Executive Advisory Committee (CEAC) Subcommittee on records management regarding E-Signatures. Expect the first draft by end of March, then to CEAC in April, after CEAC it will be given to other advisory committees for review. Due date is January 2016. This is rule 6.8150 (h). The intent is to focus on courts, but CEAC is looking at outside signatures as well.

Item 6

Joint Appellate Technology Subcommittee Report

Update: Ms. Heather Anderson reported on behalf of Justice Mauro, JATS chair on the proposal to amend rule 4.220 to allow courts to continue conducting remote video proceedings in traffic cases after January 1, 2016. This is in conjunction with the rule 8.71 and CTAC approved both rules to be submitted to RUPRO.

Item 7

Projects Subcommittee Report

Update: Hon. Robert Freedman provided an update on the Projects subcommittee. Focus is on disaster recovery and a next generation hosting survey being developed to get an idea where the courts are most vulnerable. SRL portal demos are being scheduled with Turbo Court and other vendors. Justice Bruiniers and Judge Freedman will attend and if there is value to CTAC, they will arrange a webinar for CTAC members to view demos.

A D J O U R N M E N T

Adjourn to Nonpublic Session.

Approved by the advisory body on March 27, 2015.