





## II. COMMITTEE PROJECTS<sup>4</sup>

#	New or One-Time Projects	
1.	<b><i>Project Title:</i></b> Develop Caseflow Management Curriculum for Judicial Branch Education (New)	<b><i>Priority<sup>5</sup></i></b> 1
		<b><i>Strategic Plan Goal<sup>6</sup></i></b> V
	<p><b><i>Project Summary:</i></b> The committee created and is overseeing a workgroup comprised of judicial officers, court administrators, and caseflow management experts from the National Center for State Courts to design an education curriculum on caseflow management for judicial officers and court staff. Once the curriculum is created, the CJER Advisory Committee will oversee its integration into existing curricula and programmatic offerings.</p> <p><b><i>Status/Timeline:</i></b> Ends December 31, 2025.</p> <p><b><i>Fiscal Impact/Staff Resources:</i></b> CJER contact: Karene Alvarado.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> <i>This project may result in an allocation or distribution of funds to the courts. We will coordinate with Budget Services to ensure their review of relevant materials.</i></p> <p><b><i>Internal/External Stakeholders:</i></b> Not applicable.</p> <p><b><i>AC Collaboration:</i></b> Court Executives Advisory Committee, Data Analytics Advisory Committee, and Trial Court Presiding Judges Advisory Committee.</p>	

<sup>4</sup> All proposed projects for the year must be included on the Annual Agenda. If a project implements policy or is a program, identify it as implementation or a program in the project description and attach the Judicial Council authorization/assignment or prior approved Annual Agenda to this Annual Agenda.

<sup>5</sup> For non-rules and forms projects, select priority level 1 (must be done) or 2 (should be done). For rules and forms proposals, select one of the following priority levels: 1(a) Urgently needed to conform to or accurately reflect the law; 1(b) Council has directed the committee to consider new or amended rules and forms; 1(c) Change is urgently needed to remedy a problem that is causing significant cost or inconvenience to the courts or the public; or 1(d) Proposal is otherwise urgent and necessary, such as a proposal that would mitigate exposure to immediate or severe financial or legal risk. *For each priority level 1 proposal, the advisory body must provide a specific reason why it should be done this year and how it fits within the identified category.* 2(a) Useful, but not necessary, to implement changes in law; 2(b) Responsive to identified concerns or problems; or 2(c) Helpful in otherwise advancing Judicial Council goals and objectives. *If an advisory committee is interested in pursuing any Priority Level 2 proposals, please include justification as to why the proposal should be approved at this time.*

<sup>6</sup> Indicate which goal number of The Strategic Plan for California's Judicial Branch the project most closely aligns.







