

Collaborative Justice Courts Advisory Committee
Annual Agenda—2017
Approved by E&P:

I. ADVISORY BODY INFORMATION

Chair:	Hon. Richard Vlavianos (chair), Judge, Superior Court of California, County of San Joaquin Hon. Rogelio Flores (vice-chair), Judge, Superior Court of California, County of Santa Barbara
Staff:	Ms. Nancy Taylor, Center for Families, Children & the Courts, and Ms. Francine Byrne, Criminal Justice Services
<p>Advisory Body’s Charge: Rule 10.56 of the California Rules of Court charges the Collaborative Justice Courts Advisory Committee to “make recommendations to the Judicial Council on criteria for identifying and evaluating collaborative justice courts and for improving the processing of cases in these courts, which include drug courts, domestic violence courts, youth courts, and other collaborative justice courts. Those recommendations include ‘best practices’ guidelines and methods for collecting data to evaluate the long-term effectiveness of collaborative justice courts.”</p> <p>Additional Duties included under Rule 10.56:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Assess and measure success and effectiveness of local collaborative justice courts; 2. Identify and disseminate to trial courts locally generated best practices; 3. Recommend minimum judicial education standards and educational activities to support those standards to the Governing Committee of the Center for Judicial Education and Research; 4. Advise the council of potential funding sources; 5. Make recommendations regarding grant funding programs that are administered by the Administrative Office of the Courts for drug courts and other treatment courts; and 6. Recommend appropriate outreach activities needed to support collaborative justice courts. 	
<p>Advisory Body’s Membership:</p> <p>The committee currently has 24 members (nine judicial officers, two court administrators, one district attorney, one criminal defense attorney, one law enforcement officer, one treatment court coordinator, one probation officer, one treatment provider, one treatment court graduate, one representative from the mental health field, one social services representative, one non-profit community organization representative, and three public members).</p>	

Subgroups/Working Groups:¹

Veterans Courts and Military Families Subcommittee

Juvenile Collaborative Justice Courts Subcommittee

Policy Subcommittee

Mental Health Subcommittee

Advisory Body's Key Objectives for 2017:

1. Support local court efforts to increase effectiveness and efficiency of case processing for high risk/high needs cases by identifying and disseminating local court practices that apply collaborative justice to a broad range of high risk/high needs case types in both criminal and noncriminal cases;
2. Support local court efforts to assess evidence based practices in local collaborative justice courts as core functions in court operations that address high risk/high needs cases through statewide evaluations of mental health courts, youth courts, and reentry courts;
3. Provide collaborative justice related expertise and support collaboration among justice system partners at the state and local levels through inter-branch efforts in areas such as parolee reentry courts, veterans courts and military families, and juvenile collaborative justice courts;
4. Identify potential funding and advise the Judicial Council regarding funding to sustain local collaborative justice courts during challenging times, including funding for juvenile and family collaborative courts, funding for family reunification in collaborative courts, realigned drug court funding, recidivism reduction funding, fiscal impacts of Proposition 47, federal funding for collaborative courts, and funding through the Mental Health Services Act;
5. Identify non-criminal issues that impact case outcomes in criminal and other collaborative courts, such as child support, child custody, juvenile court issues, and access to treatment and rehabilitative services through the Affordable Care Act and realigned treatment programs; and
6. Recommend and provide multi-disciplinary education that addresses the changing role of collaborative justice and application of collaborative justice principles across a broad range of case types, including elder law, family law, and mental health law.
7. Support efforts to address expungement of records, release of DMV holds resulting from outstanding fines/fees or child support cases, implementation of 1170.9, and other relief granted through collaborative courts.

¹ California Rules of Court, rule 10.30 (c) allows an advisory body to form subgroups, composed entirely of current members of the advisory body, to carry out the body's duties, subject to available resources, with the approval of its oversight committee.

ADVISORY BODY PROJECTS

#	Project ²	Priority ³	Specifications	Completion Date/Status	Describe End Product/ Outcome of Activity
1.	<p>Make recommendations to, and carry out the directives of the Judicial Council regarding allocations and administration of the Collaborative Justice Substance Abuse Focus Grant, a legislatively mandated grant, distributing funds from the State budget that are earmarked for collaborative and drug court projects and are available to support local collaborative justice and drug courts throughout California, as well as supplementing dependency drug courts with federal funding from the Court Improvement Project.</p> <p>a. Report to the Judicial Council on grant activities from fiscal year 2016-17.</p> <p>b. Recommend to the Judicial Council grant</p>	1	<p>Judicial Council Direction: <i>Strategic Plan:</i> Goal IV: Quality of Justice and Service to the Public</p> <p><i>Operational Plan:</i> Objective 1. Foster excellence in public service to ensure that all court users receive satisfactory services and outcomes.</p> <p>Origin of Project: Legislative mandate reviewed annually by Judicial Council. The Substance Abuse Focus Grant was initiated in FY 2000-01. Current year funding has been established through the Budget Act of 2014 (Stats. 2014, ch. 21; § 45.55.020, item 0250-101-0001).</p> <p>Resources: External legislatively earmarked funding for drug court implementation provides needed resources for committee activities for this project.</p>	<p>On-going/ annual dates below:</p> <p>1 a. <i>Completion Date:</i> December 31, 2017</p> <p>1b. <i>Completion Date:</i> December 31, 2017</p> <p>1c. <i>Completion Date:</i> October 31, 2017</p> <p>1d. <i>Completion Date:</i> December 31, 2017</p>	Allocation of grant funds to local courts

² All proposed projects for the year must be included on the Annual Agenda. If a project implements policy or is a program, identify it as *implementation* or a *program* in the project description and attach the Judicial Council authorization/assignment or prior approved Annual Agenda to this Annual Agenda.

³ For non-rules and forms projects, select priority level 1 (must be done) or 2 (should be done). For rules and forms proposals, select one of the following priority levels: 1(a) Urgently needed to conform to the law; 1(b) Urgently needed to respond to a recent change in the law; 1(c) Adoption or amendment of rules or forms by a specified date required by statute or council decision; 1(d) Provides significant cost savings and efficiencies, generates significant revenue, or avoids a significant loss of revenue; 1(e) Urgently needed to remedy a problem that is causing significant cost or inconvenience to the courts or the public; 1(f) Otherwise urgent and necessary, such as a proposal that would mitigate exposure to immediate or severe financial or legal risk; 2(a) Useful, but not necessary, to implement statutory changes; 2(b) Helpful in otherwise advancing Judicial Council goals and objectives.

#	Project ²	Priority ³	Specifications	Completion Date/Status	Describe End Product/ Outcome of Activity
	<p>allocations to local courts based on allocation method approved by the Judicial Council in FY14-15.</p> <p>c. Review biannual reports regarding funding distribution, invoicing, and deliverables reports from local courts.</p> <p>d. Recommend methods of allocation and grants administration for next annual funding cycle using Judicial Council approved allocation methodology</p>		<p>To ensure that there is no duplication of effort and no new workload or fiscal burdens placed on trial courts or the Branch by these projects, the following offices and advisory bodies will be consulted: Fiscal Services Office, Trial Court Presiding Judges and Court Executives Advisory Committees, Trial Court Budget Advisory Committee.</p> <p>Key Objective Supported: 4</p>		
2.	<p>Assist local courts, upon their request, to obtain funding and other assistance such as developing intern and mentor programs for local collaborative court projects.</p> <p>a. Identifying funding in collaboration with the</p>	1	<p>Judicial Council Direction: <i>Strategic Plan:</i> Goal III: Modernization of Management and Administration</p> <p><i>Operational Plan:</i> Objective 2. Evaluate and improve management techniques, allocation of funds, internal operations, and services; support the sharing of effective management practices</p>	<p>On-going/annual dates below:</p> <p>2a. <i>Completion Date:</i> September 30, 2017</p> <p>2b. <i>Completion Date:</i> September 30, 2017</p>	<p>Local courts will be provided access to a variety of funding sources, such as reentry and recidivism reduction grants that are allocated through the Judicial Council, and assistance from Judicial Council staff,</p>

#	Project ²	Priority ³	Specifications	Completion Date/Status	Describe End Product/ Outcome of Activity
	<p>California Department of Corrections and Rehabilitation, California Office of Traffic Safety, Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration, Office of Juvenile Justice and Delinquency Prevention, Juvenile Court Improvement Program, and the Bureau of Justice Assistance to support existing and planned collaborative courts.</p> <p>b. Assist local courts in identifying appropriate federal grant opportunities and preparing applications for funding of collaborative courts through the federal funding cycle.</p> <p>c. Share findings from collaborative court outcome and cost studies as well as compiled reports and studies from local collaborative courts with collaborative court coordinators in quarterly</p>		<p>branch wide.</p> <p><i>Strategic Plan:</i> Goal I: Access, Fairness, & Diversity</p> <p><i>Operational Plan:</i></p> <p>Objective 2. Identify and eliminate barriers to court access at all levels of service; ensure interactions with the court are understandable, convenient, and perceived as fair.</p> <p><i>Strategic Plan:</i> Goal IV: Quality of Justice and Service to the Public</p> <p><i>Operational Plan:</i> Objective 1. Foster excellence in public service to ensure that all court users receive satisfactory services and outcomes.</p> <p><i>Strategic Plan:</i> Goal V: Education for Branchwide Professional Excellence</p> <p><i>Operational Plan:</i> Objective 1. Provide relevant and accessible education and professional development opportunities for all judicial officers (including court-appointed temporary judges) and court staff.</p> <p>Origin of Project: Requested by local courts and justice system partners, including</p>	<p>2c. <i>Completion Date:</i> December 31, 2017</p> <p>2d. <i>Completion date:</i> December 31, 2017</p>	<p>national technical assistance providers, and mentor courts to implement mentor and intern programs.</p>

<p>meetings to assist local courts in seeking local, federal, and private funding.</p> <p>d. Share effective practices, at the request of local courts, among courts that use intern programs involving law schools or graduate schools for human services professionals or mentor programs involving program graduates or support persons, such as veterans, to improve outcomes for collaborative court participants.</p> <p>e. Assist local collaborative courts, with initial focus on veterans and mental health courts, by providing resources and materials in an accessible and user-focused online format.</p> <p>f. Assist development of technical assistance projects to support local collaborative courts, with initial focus on veterans and mental health courts, to assist case processing.</p>		<p>the California Association of Collaborative Courts, California Association of Youth Courts, and Homeless Court Network; approved by the Executive & Planning Committee for the committee's 2014 Annual Agenda; recommended by committee members to fulfill the following mandates: SB 318; AB109, the Budget Act; and Mental Health Services Act, President's Office of National Drug Control Policy reporting duties; California Endowment and Department of Justice/Bureau of Justice Assistance funding.</p> <p>Resources: External funding for projects through the Mental Health Services Act, the California Endowment, and the California Department of Corrections and Rehabilitation provides all resources required for committee activities.</p> <p>Key Objective Supported: 1 and 2</p>		
--	--	--	--	--

#	Project ²	Priority ³	Specifications	Completion Date/Status	Describe End Product/ Outcome of Activity
3.	<p>Continue to collaborate with Center for Judicial Education and Research (CJER) and the CJER Governing Committee to make recommendations for judicial and multidisciplinary education curricula in the area of collaborative justice; to assist in implementing the recommendations, at the request of the CJER Governing Committee or relevant education curriculum committees; to provide guidance to committee staff about preparation of educational toolkits and job aids, development and review of content, and identification of faculty for delivery of multidisciplinary programs for local collaborative court teams that address effective practices and cost efficient collaborative</p>	1	<p>Judicial Council Direction: <i>Strategic Plan:</i> Goal III: Modernization of management and administration</p> <p><i>Operational Plan:</i> Objective 2. Evaluate and improve management techniques, allocation of funds, internal operations, and services; support the sharing of effective management practices branch wide.</p> <p>Origin of Project: Approved by the Executive & Planning Committee for the committee's 2014 Annual Agenda; recommended by committee members to fulfill the following mandates: Mental Health Services Act, and the Budget Act.</p> <p>Resources: External funding through the Mental Health Services Act, the California Endowment, and the California Department of Corrections and Rehabilitation provides needed resources for these committee activities.</p>	<p>On-going/annual dates below: 3a. <i>Completion Date:</i> June 30, 2017 3b. <i>Completion Date:</i> December 31, 2017</p>	<p>Summary of recommendations, multidisciplinary education programs, and educational materials will be submitted to the Judicial Council by June 30, 2018.</p>

#	Project ²	Priority ³	Specifications	Completion Date/Status	Describe End Product/ Outcome of Activity
	<p>justice models for high risk/high needs cases, focusing on cases involving mental health, veterans and military families, truancy, reentry, and human trafficking, as well as the impact on collaborative courts of policy changes such as expungement and release of DMV holds, resolution of child support issues, family reunification, Proposition 47, Diversion options, the Affordable Care Act and realignment of funding for treatment and services.</p> <p>a. Continue to confer with relevant CJER judicial education planning committees in criminal law and mental health, and juvenile and family law to address mental health, reentry, human trafficking, veterans' issues, and truancy.</p>		<p>To ensure that there is no duplication of effort and no new workload or fiscal burdens placed on trial courts or the Branch by these projects, the following offices and advisory bodies will be consulted: Center for Judicial Education and Research, Office of Governmental Affairs, Family and Juvenile Law Advisory Committee, Criminal Law Advisory Committee, and Trial Court Presiding Judges and Court Executives Advisory Committees.</p> <p>Key Objectives Supported: 1, 5</p>		

#	Project ²	Priority ³	Specifications	Completion Date/Status	Describe End Product/ Outcome of Activity
	<p>b. Continue to confer with the CJER Governing Committee and relevant judicial education planning committees, and provide guidance to committee staff regarding training activities developed in collaboration with justice system partners, including but not limited to the California Association of Collaborative Courts (CACC), National Association of Drug Court Professionals (NADCP), the California Association of Youth Courts (CAYC), the California Department of Corrections (CDCR) and Rehabilitation, the Department of Veterans Affairs, California Judges Association (CJA), and the American Bar Association (ABA) for training programs offered at quarterly or annual meetings and trainings for members of</p>				

#	Project ²	Priority ³	Specifications	Completion Date/Status	Describe End Product/ Outcome of Activity
	<p>the judiciary, collaborative court coordinators and court teams that identify emerging models, such as veterans and military families, trauma informed services, effective and evidenced-based practice, practice standards and peer review, reunification courts, elder courts, reentry courts, truancy courts, and collaborative courts that address human trafficking, such as girls' courts.</p>				
4	<p>Upon request, identify methods to assist local courts in improving case outcomes and implementing policy changes including juvenile and adult mental health, Proposition 47, diversion, pretrial supervision, reentry, family reunification, juvenile competency, child support, veterans issues, sealing of</p>	1	<p>Judicial Council Direction: <i>Strategic Plan:</i> Goal IV: Quality of Justice and Service to the Public <i>Operational Plan:</i> Objective 1. Foster excellence in public service to ensure that all court users receive satisfactory services and outcomes. Origin of Project: Approved by the Executive &</p>	<p>On-going/ annual dates below: 4a. <i>Completion Date:</i> December 31, 2017 4b. <i>Completion Date:</i> December 31, 2017</p>	<p>Summary of programs and activities to identify case outcomes and evidence based approaches to be submitted to the Judicial Council by June 30, 2018.</p>

<p>records, and human trafficking through the broad application of evidence-based collaborative justice principles and practices across a variety of case types including local adult and juvenile reentry courts, homeless and veterans courts, elder courts, adult and juvenile mental health courts, courts that address human trafficking, dependency and delinquency drug courts, youth and truancy courts, and DUI courts.</p> <p>a. Provide guidance and act as subject matter experts for the dissemination of resources for judicial officers and court staff in the area of mental health, veterans and military families, human trafficking, and collaborative courts addressing adult, juvenile, probate, and family cases.</p> <p>b. Provide guidance and</p>	<p>Planning Committee for the committee’s 2014 Annual Agenda; recommended by committee members to fulfill the following mandates: SB318; AB109, the Budget Act; Proposition 47; and Mental Health Services Act.</p> <p>Resources: External funding from the California Endowment and Mental Health Services Act was obtained to support all committee activities for these projects.</p> <p>To ensure that there is no duplication of effort and no new workload or fiscal burdens placed on trial courts or the Branch by these projects, the following offices and advisory bodies will be consulted: Office of Communications, Center for Judicial Education Research, Probate and Mental Health Advisory Committee, Criminal Law Advisory Committee, Family and Juvenile Law Advisory Committee, and Mental Health Issues Implementation Task Force.</p>		
--	--	--	--

#	Project ²	Priority ³	Specifications	Completion Date/Status	Describe End Product/ Outcome of Activity
	<p>act as subject matter experts to advise committee staff regarding a youth court study, studies of adult and juvenile mental health courts, a girls' court study, veterans court studies, and reentry court studies including approaches for improving outcomes, by addressing family reunification, child support, housing, trauma, and mental health.</p>		<p>Key Objective Supported: 1, 2, 3, 5</p>		
5	<p>Identify policy changes and inform courts and judicial officers of the impacts of changing policies in areas such as Proposition 47, recidivism reduction, realigned funding and services, veterans and military families, DUI, and the Affordable Care Act that impact core collaborative justice areas of criminal, juvenile, family and probate,</p>	1	<p>Judicial Council Direction: <i>Strategic Plan:</i> Goal III: Modernization of management and administration <i>Operational Plan:</i> Objective 2. Evaluate and improve management techniques, allocation of funds, internal operations, and services; support the sharing of effective management practices branch</p>	<p>On-going through December 31, 2017</p>	<p>Summary of identified policies, assistance provided and recommendations to help local courts provided to the Judicial Council by June 30, 2018.</p>

	<p>mental health, and drug and alcohol related cases and provide new treatment services and funding opportunities.</p> <p>a. Track and review proposed legislation regarding court involved veterans and military families</p> <p>b. Work toward implementing legislation involving court involved veterans and military families</p>	<p>wide.</p> <p>Origin of Project: Recommended by committee members to fulfill the following mandates: Mental Health Services Act; Proposition 47; and the Budget Act.</p> <p>Resources: External funding through the Mental Health Services Act, the California Endowment, and the California Department of Corrections and Rehabilitation provides all resources needed by the committee for these activities.</p> <p>To ensure that there is no duplication of effort and no new workload or fiscal burdens placed on trial courts or the Branch by these projects, the following offices and advisory bodies will be consulted: Center for Judicial Education and Research, Office of Governmental Affairs, Family and Juvenile Law Advisory Committee, Criminal Law Advisory Committee, Probate and Mental Health Advisory Committee, and Trial Court Presiding Judges and Court</p>		
--	---	---	--	--

#	Project ²	Priority ³	Specifications	Completion Date/Status	Describe End Product/ Outcome of Activity
			<p>Executives Advisory Committees.</p> <p>Key Objectives Supported: 1, 5</p>		
6	<p>Continue to consult with CJER and the CJER Governing Committee or relevant judicial education curriculum committees, and make recommendations for judicial education and court assistance materials in the areas of court-involved military personnel and veterans, the needs of military families, and veterans courts, including implementation of the optional Judicial Council form to identify military status effective as of January 1, 2014; at the request of the CJER Governing Committee or relevant judicial education planning committees, provide guidance to committee staff during each stage of</p>	1	<p>Judicial Council Direction: <i>Strategic Plan:</i> Goal IV: Quality of Justice and Service to the Public <i>Operational Plan:</i> Objective 1. Foster excellence in public service to ensure that all court users receive satisfactory services and outcomes.</p> <p>Origin of Project: Project originated at the request of an Appellate Court Justice who served on the Judicial Council Task Force for Criminal Justice Collaboration on Mental Health Issues and members of the Mental Health Issues Implementation Task Force, and local courts. Project was recommended by committee members to assist courts in responding to mandates of PC 1170.9.</p> <p>Resources: Federal Department of Justice/Bureau of Justice Assistance</p>	<p>Ongoing/annual dates below:</p> <p>6a. <i>Completion Date:</i> December 31, 2017</p> <p>6b. <i>Completion Date:</i> December 31, 2017</p> <p>6c. <i>Completion Date:</i> December 31, 2017</p> <p>6d. <i>Completion Date:</i> December 31, 2017</p>	<p>Summary of recommendations and activities will be submitted to the Judicial Council by June 30, 2018.</p>

#	Project ²	Priority ³	Specifications	Completion Date/Status	Describe End Product/ Outcome of Activity
	<p>content development, dissemination, and review.</p> <p>a. Make recommendations regarding use of judicial education job aids in judicial education programs, including “Veterans in Criminal Court: A decision map of Penal Code 1170.9” to assist veterans courts, homeless courts, and others in the court system to implement PC1170.9.</p> <p>b. Make recommendations for implementing broad use of the form MIL-100, <i>Notification of Military Status</i>, to assist the courts in the identification of veterans involved in cases within the court system, with modifications developed in accord</p>		<p>funding was obtained to support all committee activities related to the project.</p> <p>To ensure that there is no duplication of effort and no new workload or fiscal burdens placed on trial courts or the Branch by these projects, the following offices and advisory bodies will be consulted: Criminal Law Advisory Committee, Family and Juvenile Law Advisory Committee, and Mental Health Issues Implementation Task Force; Office of Communications, and CJER.</p> <p>Key Objective Supported: 3, 5</p>		

	<p>with policy changes.</p> <p>c. Identify educational materials and, at the request of relevant committees, serve as subject matter experts for preparation of toolkit for areas pertaining to military families and veterans courts, such as implementation of PC 1170.9, PC1001.3, PC1001.8, military sexual trauma, family reunification issues, trauma informed services and court programs, and reentry issues for incarcerated veterans by providing guidance to committee staff during each stage of content development, dissemination, and review.</p> <p>d. At the request of the CJER Governing Committee or relevant judicial education educational programs, identification of faculty, and review</p>				
--	--	--	--	--	--

	<p>of content linked with CJER functions, such as Military Families and Veterans Court programs at the Juvenile Law Institute and Family Law Institute by providing guidance to committee staff during each stage of content development, dissemination, and review.</p> <p>e. Provide advisement in review and analyze the recommendations from the 2016 survey of Veteran's Treatment Courts related to domestic violence and batterers' treatment programs</p> <p>f. Assist in improvement of the user-experience of the website for veterans and military families</p>				
--	--	--	--	--	--

<p>g. Provide advisement and review to ensure an up to date and publically accessible roster of Veterans Treatment Courts is maintained.</p> <p>h. In coordination with the California State Bar, the Department of Veterans Administration, county bar organizations, researchers, veterans groups and homeless groups follow trends and developments regarding court involved veterans and military families.</p> <p>i. Collaborate with CDCR on identifying and maintaining statistics on the number of inmates who are veterans and re-entering society.</p> <p>j. Research best practices for addressing the needs of women veterans and military families(i.e., domestic violence)in our courts to improve treatment and case processing</p> <p>k. Research best practices for addressing the needs of women veterans and military families(i.e., domestic violence)in our courts to improve treatment and case processing</p>				
--	--	--	--	--

7	<p>Identify priority policy issues and best practices regarding juvenile collaborative justice courts in areas such as juvenile mental health courts, truancy, youth courts, trafficking, girls' court, and delinquency and dependency drug court; continue to assist in effort to address juvenile competency through legislation and implementation of policy changes in this area.</p> <p>a. To enrich recommendations to the council and avoid duplication of effort, members of the committee will collaborate with members of the Family and Juvenile Law Advisory Committee, to consider developing recommendations to the Judicial Council to committee staff to develop content, and follow up activities for the Youth</p>	<p><i>Judicial Council Direction:</i> <i>Strategic Plan:</i> Goal IV: Quality of Justice and Service to the Public</p> <p><i>Operational Plan:</i> Objective 1: Foster excellence in public service to ensure that all court users receive satisfactory services and outcomes.</p> <p>Origin of Project: Approved by the Executive & Planning Committee for the committee's 2014 Annual Agenda; Recommended by committee members to fulfill the following mandates: 2013-14 Budget Act; and Mental Health Services Act.</p> <p>Resources: Funding from the Mental Health Services Act will be used to support committee activities related to this project.</p> <p>To ensure that there is no duplication of effort and no new workload or fiscal burdens placed on trial courts or the Branch by these projects, the following offices and advisory bodies will be consulted: Criminal Law Advisory</p>	<p>June 30, 2017/ in process/ project dates below</p> <p>7a. <i>Completion Date:</i> December 31, 2017</p> <p>7b. <i>Completion Date:</i> December 31, 2017</p> <p>7c. <i>Completion Date:</i> December 31, 2018</p> <p>7d. <i>Completion Date:</i> December 31, 2017.</p> <p>7e. <i>Completion Date:</i> December 31, 2017.</p> <p>7f. <i>Completion Date:</i> October 31, 2017.</p> <p>7g. <i>Completion Date:</i> December 31, 2017</p>	<p>Summary of identified policy issues and best practices will be submitted to the Judicial Council by June 30, 2018.</p>
---	--	---	--	---

	<p>Summit in partnership with the California Association of Youth Courts.</p> <p>b. Continue to provide subject matter expertise and guidance to committee staff in developing and maintaining updates of briefing papers on evidence-based practices on assessments, girls' court, and human trafficking, including a briefing on juvenile collaborative court models.</p> <p>c. Provide subject matter expertise and guidance and coordinate with staff to other groups in the area of human trafficking, which will include the development of briefing papers on evidence based practices, bench cards for judicial officers, sample scripts, a description of validated assessment and screening tools for</p>	<p>Committee, Family and Juvenile Law Advisory Committee, and Mental Health Issues Implementation Task Force; Office of Communications, and CJER.</p>	<p>7c. This toolkit was completed in November 2016 and is currently under internal review.</p>	<p>7b. Subject matter expertise has been provided to committee staff. Briefings and best practices on assessments have been included in the judicial officer toolkit on human trafficking.</p> <p>7c. This product is complete and is currently under internal review. The toolkit should be ready for submission to the Judicial Council by June 2017.</p>
--	---	---	--	---

<p>trafficking victims, a discussion of girls' courts and specialized human trafficking courts, and the creation of a judicial officer toolkit. This includes an evaluation of the Los Angeles STAR Court.</p> <p>d. Assist in the Branch coordination of efforts to support work done by the Child Welfare Council's Commercial Sexual Exploitation of Children (CSEC) Action Team and other Branch entities, including the CJER Governing Committee and the Violence Against Women Education Project (VAWEP) Planning Committee, in the area of human trafficking</p> <p>The Human Trafficking and State Courts Collaborative, funded by the State Justice Institute, has agreed to provide technical support for these projects and to the Judicial Branch in the area of human trafficking.</p>				
---	--	--	--	--

#	Project ²	Priority ³	Specifications	Completion Date/Status	Describe End Product/ Outcome of Activity
	<p>The Collaborative Justice Courts Advisory Committee, through the Juvenile Subcommittee, will oversee follow-up work from the Human Trafficking and State Courts Collaborative Summit and Technical Assistance Project, including a Human Trafficking Summit to be held in conjunction with Beyond the Bench 2017.</p> <p>e. Assist in Branch coordination efforts to address permanency for children in foster care by providing subject matter expertise and guidance and coordinate with the Permanency Committee of the Child Welfare Council to promote and expand the use of Dependency Drug Courts as a best practice model. The work will include developing literature and data, improving data collection efforts, and increasing outreach efforts</p>				

<p>to courts that do not currently use Dependency Drug Courts. Continue to coordinate efforts with the Family and Juvenile Law Advisory Committee and the CJER Governing Committees, as well as relevant education and curriculum committees and to partner with Children and Family Futures, the federal technical assistance provider in this subject area, which has agreed to provide technical support for this project. Continue support of the child welfare system and dependency drug courts by exploring the issue of women in prison and the impact of parental incarceration on children.</p> <p>f. Support completion of the legislative proposal process for proposed juvenile competency legislation, in coordination with the Office of Governmental Affairs, and assist in implementation of any legislative changes, as well as assisting courts in identifying and implementing effective practices in juvenile</p>				
--	--	--	--	--

#	Project ²	Priority ³	Specifications	Completion Date/Status	Describe End Product/ Outcome of Activity
	<p>competency and juvenile mental health courts.</p> <p>g. Support juvenile collaborative court judges by creating a data dashboard of offenders and court participant demographics and other data points for each county.</p>				
8	<p>Review the following recommendations from the Mental Health Issues Implementation Task Force, that were identified as within Judicial Council purview and in need of continuing work for implementation, but which are not shared with another Advisory Committee to develop a project plan of next steps in implementation as regards collaborative justice:</p> <p>1, 13, 14, 15, 16, 22, 28, 38, 84, 94, 114, 115, 128,130,131,132</p>	1	<p><i>Judicial Council Direction:</i> <i>Strategic Plan:</i> Goal IV: Quality of Justice and Service to the Public</p> <p><i>Operational Plan:</i> Objective 1: Foster excellence in public service to ensure that all court users receive satisfactory services and outcomes.</p> <p>Origin of Project: Judicial Council meeting- December 2015, at which the final report of the Mental Health Issues Implementation Task Force</p>	Project plans developed and implementation begun by September 1, 2017.	Project plan to be developed and implementation initiated with summary provided to Judicial Council by June 30, 2018.

<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Serve as lead committee to develop and initiate a plan to implement the following recommendations, in coordination with Advisory Committees that are also assigned to review and work on the recommendations: 18, 37, 55, 56, 57, 58, 59, 61, 62, 64, 66, 67, 71, 72, 76, 80, 96, 97, 99, 101, 102, 103, 113, 118-attorney training portion, 122 • Partner with Advisory Committee serving as lead in developing a plan and implementing the following recommendations, or if out of purview, referring to partner agencies 17, 21, 22, 23, 27, 35, 36, 93, 95, 105, 107,108,109, 110,111,115,116,117,118- judicial officer training portion,124 	<p>was submitted and Council indicated that Advisory committees would implement follow-up work; Recommended by committee members to fulfill the following mandates: 2013-14 Budget Act; and Mental Health Services Act.</p> <p>Resources: Funding from the Mental Health Services Act will be used to support committee activities related to this project.</p> <p>To ensure that there is no duplication of effort and no new workload or fiscal burdens placed on trial courts or the Branch by these projects, the following offices and advisory bodies will be consulted: Criminal Law Advisory Committee, Family and Juvenile Law Advisory Committee, Access and Fairness Advisory Committee; and Probate and Mental Health Advisory Committee; Office of Communications, and CJER.</p>		
---	---	--	--

- The recommendations may be found at the following link:
<http://www.courts.ca.gov/documents/MHIITF-Final-Report.pdf>
- Continue the work of the MHIITF to assist staff in the Office of Governmental Affairs by weighing in on legislative proposals involving mental health issues
- Examine the need for litigants with mental illness to have a support person present during court appearances, drafting a Rule of Court or proposed legislation for Judicial Council consideration, as appropriate.
- Work with interested local courts to implement Laura's Law, allowing the option for court-ordered assisted outpatient treatment, including medication, for individuals with severe mental illness.

#	Project ²	Priority ³	Specifications	Completion Date/Status	Describe End Product/ Outcome of Activity
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Assist in identifying emerging issues and needs for litigants with mental illness, by establishing definitions, incidence, case processing and outcomes of cases involving litigants with mental illness, as well as accommodation needs, confidentiality, and effective practices models, such as collaborative court models, case protocols, and court security/ communication strategies 				

#	Project ²	Priority ³	Specifications	Completion Date/Status	Describe End Product/ Outcome of Activity
9	Develop a plan to identify and address mental health issues through application of collaborative court principles in noncriminal case types, including civil, probate, family, and juvenile.	1	<p><i>Judicial Council Direction: Strategic Plan: Goal IV: Quality of Justice and Service to the Public</i></p> <p><i>Operational Plan: Objective 1: Foster excellence in public service to ensure that all court users receive satisfactory services and outcomes.</i></p> <p>Origin of Project: December 2015 Judicial Council meeting at which the final report of the Mental Health Issues Implementation Task Force was submitted and the council indicated that advisory committees would implement follow-up work and identified noncriminal case types as part of the ongoing work regarding cases involving mental health issues; Recommended by committee members to fulfill the following mandates: 2013-14 Budget Act; and Mental Health Services Act.</p> <p>Resources: Funding from the Mental Health Services Act will be used to support committee activities related to this project.</p>	Initial plan developed: December 31, 2017	Initial plan to be developed with summary provided to Judicial Council by June 30, 2018.

#	Project ²	Priority ³	Specifications	Completion Date/Status	Describe End Product/ Outcome of Activity
			<p>To ensure that there is no duplication of effort and no new workload or fiscal burdens placed on trial courts or the Branch by these projects, the following offices and advisory bodies will be consulted: Access and Fairness Advisory Committee, Family and Juvenile Law Advisory Committee, and Probate and Mental Health Advisory Committee; Office of Communications, and CJER.</p>		

II. STATUS OF 2015 PROJECTS:

#	Project	Completion Date/Status
1	<p>Make recommendations to, and carry out the directives of, the Judicial Council regarding allocations and administration of the Collaborative Justice Substance Abuse Focus Grant, a legislatively mandated grant, distributing funds from the State budget that are earmarked for collaborative and drug court projects, and are available to local collaborative justice and drug courts throughout California.</p>	<p>Status: Complete, December 31, 2016. On recommendation of the Collaborative Justice Courts Advisory Committee, the Judicial Council allocated funding to local collaborative courts located in 50 jurisdictions. Courts received \$1.16 million of Substance Abuse Focus Grants with an additional \$75,000 in supplemental grants to 17 Dependency Drug Courts allocated through federal Court Improvement Plan funding.</p>
2	<p>Assist local courts, upon their request, to obtain funding and other assistance such as developing intern and mentor programs for local collaborative court projects.</p>	<p>Status: Substantially complete and ongoing, December 31, 2016. Courts are informed of funding opportunities and provided assistance with applications on request. Information about funding is provided at all education programs, as well as through meetings with collaborative court coordinators and email notices to courts. Currently there are two students involved in projects in the areas of youth courts and Keeping Kids in School project. Visits to mentor courts and education programs that concern the use of mentors, especially in veterans' courts were provided through Beyond the Bench programming and at the veterans' court education program.</p>

3	<p>Collaborate with CJER and the CJER Governing Committee to make recommendations for judicial and multidisciplinary education curricula in the area of collaborative justice; to assist in implementing the recommendations, at the request of the CJER Governing Committee or relevant education curriculum committees, provide guidance to committee staff about preparation of educational toolkits and job aids, development and review of content, and identification of faculty for delivery of multidisciplinary programs for local collaborative court teams that address effective practices and cost efficient collaborative justice as the impact of policy changes such as the Affordable Care Act and realignment of funding for treatment and services on collaborative courts.</p>	<p>Status: Substantially complete and ongoing, December 31, 2016. Multidisciplinary education programs were held in the areas of community justice and reentry, human trafficking, youth courts, veterans’ courts, and mental health with toolkits prepared and posted on veterans issues, mental health issues, and human trafficking.</p>
4	<p>Identify methods to assist local courts, at their request, in improving case outcomes through the broad application of evidence-based collaborative justice principles and practices across a variety of case types including local adult and juvenile reentry courts, homeless and veterans courts, elder courts, adult and juvenile mental health courts, dependency and delinquency drug courts, youth and truancy courts, and DUI courts in order to develop recommendations to the Judicial Council.</p>	<p>Status: Completed, December 31, 2016. Provided guidance and acted as subject matter experts for the youth court roundtables, veterans’ court summit, and best practices education program at the California Association of Collaborative Courts statewide conference.</p>
5	<p>Identify policy changes and inform courts and judicial officers of the impacts of changing policies in areas such as realigned funding and services and the Affordable Care Act, Proposition 47, and recidivism reduction that impact core collaborative justice areas of criminal, juvenile, family and probate, mental health, and drug and alcohol related cases and provide new treatment services and funding opportunities</p>	<p>Status: Substantially Complete and ongoing, December 31, 2016. Multidisciplinary education was provided in these areas at the statewide California Association of Collaborative Courts program, the statewide Veterans Court training, the Youth Court Summit, and meetings of the Child Welfare Council that concerned Dependency Drug Courts.</p>

<p>6</p>	<p>Continue to consult with CJER and the CJER Governing Committee or relevant judicial education curriculum committees, and make recommendations for judicial education and court assistance materials in the areas of court- involved military personnel and veterans, the needs of military families, and veterans courts, including implementation of the optional Judicial Council form to identify military status effective as of January 1, 2014; at the request of the CJER Governing Committee or relevant judicial education planning committees, provide guidance to committee staff during each stage of content development, dissemination, and review.</p>	<p>Status: Substantially Complete and ongoing, December 31, 2016. The revised MIL100 form was adopted to identify military status for use in all case types, with consideration of use in cases subject to PC1170.9. Educational programs were prepared in conjunction with the Family Law Institute, Juvenile Law Institute, and programs addressing collaborative courts in the criminal justice system, including veterans, homeless, and reentry courts. A veterans’ court summit was held to assist in developing local veterans’ courts.</p>
<p>7</p>	<p>Identify priority policy issues and best practices regarding juvenile collaborative justice courts in areas such as truancy, youth courts, trafficking, girls’ court, and delinquency and dependency drug court; propose to the Executive and Planning Committee and the Rules and Projects Committee that a joint working group be established with the Family and Juvenile Law Advisory Committee and Mental Health Issues Implementation Task Force to address juvenile competency.</p>	<p>Status: Substantially Complete and ongoing, December 31, 2016. The committee participated in development of a legislative proposal regarding juvenile competency that was approved by the Judicial Council. The Child Welfare Council continued to support Dependency Drug Courts as an effective practice.</p>

III. Subgroups/Working Groups - Detail

Subgroups/Working Groups:

Subgroup or working group name: Policy Subcommittee

Purpose of subgroup or working group: To provide recommendations to the Collaborative Justice Courts Advisory Committee regarding legislation and other policy matters, including rules and forms.

Number of advisory body members on the subgroup or working group: 10

Number and description of additional members (not on this advisory body): n/a

Date formed: June 2015

Number of meetings or how often the subgroup or working group meets: Quarterly conference calls

Ongoing or date work is expected to be completed: On-going

Subgroup or working group name: Veterans' Court and Military Families Subcommittee

Purpose of subgroup or working group: To provide recommendations to the Collaborative Justice Courts Advisory Committee regarding veterans and military families in the courts. To identify and disseminate best practices regarding court responses to veterans and military families in the court system primarily focused on high risk/high needs cases, including veterans' courts, child support and family safety/reunification issues, and responses to legislative changes and mandates.

Number of advisory body members on the subgroup or working group: 4

Number and description of additional members (not on this advisory body): n/a

Date formed: November 2013

Number of meetings or how often the subgroup or working group meets: Quarterly conference calls

Ongoing or date work is expected to be completed: On-going

Subgroup or working group name: Juvenile Collaborative Justice Courts Subcommittee

Purpose of subgroup or working group: To provide recommendations to the Collaborative Justice Courts Advisory Committee regarding collaborative justice courts that address the needs of children and families, with a focus on juvenile collaborative justice courts addressing high risk/high needs cases.

Number of advisory body members on the subgroup or working group: 6

Number and description of additional members (not on this advisory body): n/a

Date formed: March 2012

Number of meetings or how often the subgroup or working group meets: One annual in-person meeting held in conjunction with either the Youth Court Summit or the Collaborative Justice Courts Advisory Committee's in person meeting. Bimonthly conference calls.

Ongoing or date work is expected to be completed: On-going. The Youth Court Summit is an annual event. Bi-monthly meetings are held during the planning of the event and one in-person board meeting annually. There will also be a strategic planning in-person meeting this spring 2017 to brainstorm a strategic plan for the California Association of Youth Courts, Inc.

Subgroup or working group name: Mental Health Subcommittee

Purpose of subgroup or working group: To respond to the directive of the Judicial Council to ensure that the recommendations for on-going work related to the Mental Health Issues Implementation Task Force is addressed.

Number of advisory body members on the subgroup or working group: approximately 6

*Number and description of additional members (not on this advisory body):*n/a

Date formed: March 2016

Number of meetings or how often the subgroup or working group meets: The work of this subcommittee will be conducted via conference calls or in conjunction with meetings of the CJCAC.

Ongoing or date work is expected to be completed: On-going