Court Facilities Advisory Committee Annual Agenda¹—2026

Approved by Executive and Planning Committee: November 18, 2025

I. COMMITTEE INFORMATION

Chair:	Hon. Brad R. Hill, Administrative Presiding Justice, Court of Appeal, Fifth Appellate District
Lead Staff:	Mr. Tamer Ahmed, Director, Facilities Services Mr. Chris Magnusson, Facilities Supervisor, Facilities Services

Advisory Body's Charge/Membership:

Rule 10.62 of the California Rules of Court states the charge of the Court Facilities Advisory Committee (CFAC), which is to make recommendations to the Judicial Council concerning the judicial branch capital program for the trial and appellate courts.

Rule 10.62(b) sets forth the membership position of the advisory body. The CFAC currently has 18 members. The current advisory body roster is available on the advisory body's webpage.

Subgroups of the Advisory Body²:

- 1. Courthouse Cost Reduction Subcommittee
- 2. Independent Outside Oversight Consultant Subcommittee
- 3. Subcommittee on Courthouse Names

_

¹ The Annual Agenda outlines the work an advisory body will focus on in the coming year or cycle and identifies areas of collaboration with other advisory bodies and Judicial Council staff resources.

² For the definition of "subcommittee" see Cal. Rules of Court, rule 10.30(c); for "working group," see rule 10.70; for "workstream," see rule 10.53(c); and for "education curriculum committee," see rule 10.50(c)(6).

Advisory Body and Subgroup Meetings Planned for 2026 ³
No meetings are scheduled at this time.
*Please note: Historically, the committee has met on an ad hoc basis. This trend will continue within the 2026 calendar year, and the committee/its subcommittees are expected to meet approximately five times.
Check here if in-person meeting is approved by the internal committee oversight chair.

³ Refer to section IV. 2 (Meeting frequency) of the <u>Operating Standards for Judicial Council Advisory Bodies</u> for governance on in-person meetings. Note: Because of the current budget and staffing constraints, advisory body chairs and staff must first consider meeting remotely. The chair of the Executive and Planning Committee is extending the suspension of advisory body in-person meetings for the 2025–2026 annual agenda cycle. If an in-person meeting is needed, the responsible Judicial Council office head must seek approval from their advisory body's internal oversight committee chair. Please see the prioritization memo dated July 1, 2025, for additional details.

II. COMMITTEE PROJECTS

Priority Levels and Branch Goals Key:

Refer to the following key for populating your project priority levels and branch goals. For each Priority Level 1 proposal, the advisory body **must** provide a specific reason why it should be done this year and how it fits within the identified category. If an advisory committee is interested in pursuing any Priority Level 2 proposals, please include justification as to why the proposal should be approved at this time.

Priority Levels for Non-Rules/Forms					
1	Must be done				
2	Should be done				
Priority Levels	for Rules/Forms Proposals				
1a (Legal Compliance)	Proposal urgently needed to conform to or accurately reflect the law.				
1b (Council Directive)	Council has directed the committee to consider new or amended rules and forms.				
1c (Urgent Remedial Action)	Change is urgently needed to remedy a problem that is causing significant cost or inconvenience to the courts or the public.				
1d (Financial/ Legal Risk Mitigation)	Proposal is otherwise urgent and necessary, such as a proposal that would mitigate exposure to immediate or severe financial or legal risk.				
2a (Useful Changes in Law)	Useful, but not necessary, to implement changes in law.				
2b (Responsive to Concerns)	Responsive to identified concerns or problems.				
2c (Helpful Advancing Branch Goals)	Helpful in otherwise advancing Judicial Council goals and objectives.				

Ju	Judicial Branch Strategic Plan–Branch Goals							
I.	Access, Fairness, Diversity, and Inclusion							
II.	Independence and Accountability							
III.	Modernization of Management and Administration							
IV.	Quality of Justice and Service to the Public							
V.	Education for Branchwide Professional Excellence							
VI.	Branchwide Infrastructure for Service Excellence							
VII.	Adequate, Stable, and Predictable Funding for a Fully Functioning Branch							

#	New or One-Ti	ime Projects						
1.			ear Infrastructure Pla Fiscal Year (FY) 202		e Concept, and Cap	oital-	Priority: 1	
	Supported Strateg	gic Plan Branch Goal	's:					
	I Access □	II Independence □	III Modernization □	IV <i>Quality</i> □	V Education □	VI Infrastruc ⊠	ture	VII Funding □
	change proposals outlying fiscal year	(COBCPs) for FY 202 ars; the BCC captures	ial Branch Five-Year 127—28. The five-year p the same proposed cap he phases of the projec	lan forms the basis oital-outlay funding	for capital project f from fiscal years 20	unding reques	ts for the up	coming and
	to proceed as budg	get change proposals.	ial Branch Budget Cor Also, a recommendation Tornia Department of F	on will be submitte				
			for the May 2026 JBB early August 2026 to		re-year plan and CO	BCPs are prop	osed for the	July 2026
		aff Resources: Coordings, Budget Services, and	nation through lead sta d Legal Services.	iff to the committee	e with input from the	Judicial Cour	ncil's offices	s of
		if this project may result view of relevant materia.	t in an allocation or distr ls.	ibution of funds to th	ne courts. Advisory boo	dy staff will coo	rdinate with	Budget Services
	Internal/External	! Stakeholders: Trial a	and appellate courts; ju	stice partners; DO	F; Legislature; and C	Office of Gove	rnor.	
	AC Collaboration	: Judicial Branch Bud	lget Committee and Ex	xecutive and Planni	ng Committee.			

#	New or One-T	ime Projects						
2. Project Title: Update to the California Trial Court Facilities Standards Prior						Priority	Priority: 1	
	Supported Strate	gic Plan Branch Goal	s:					
	I Access □	II Independence □	III Modernization □	IV Quality □	V Education □	VI Infrastructure ⊠	VII Funding □	
	as they reflect be and secure conter facilities standard Status/Timeline: code and other co	curity requirements for st practices and success imporary court facilities is from the latest 2023 Judicial Council Facil	the design of new coustill solutions as the base. A recommendation version. Ities Services proposes to the facilities stand	art facilities in the sasis for design and will be submitted for story and said the facility of the facility o	tate of California. Uconstruction of functor Judicial Council collisies standards on a factorial collisies standards on a factorial collisies.	the minimum space and pdated facilities standa tional, durable, maintai onsideration to adopt the three-year cycle to inco ewed by the CFAC in 2	rds are necessary, nable, efficient, ne updated orporate building	
		aff Resources: Coordings, Budget Services, and		aff to the committee	e with input from the	e Judicial Council's off	ices of	
		if this project may resul- view of relevant materia		ribution of funds to the	ne courts. Advisory boo	dy staff will coordinate w	ith Budget Services	
	Internal/Externa	al Stakeholders: Trial o	courts; justice partners	; DOF; and State P	ublic Works Board (SPWB).		
	AC Collaboration Committee.	n: Information Techno	logy Advisory Comm	ittee, Court Securit	y Advisory Committ	tee, and Executive and	Planning	

#	New or One-T	ime Projects					
3.	Project Title: Co	urt Capital-Outlay Pi	ojects Reassessment	(FY 2026–27 Project	Start if Funding Made	Available) Pri	ority: 1
	Supported Strates	gic Plan Branch Goal	s:				
	I Access □	II Independence □	$egin{array}{c} ext{III} \ ext{\it Modernization} \ \Box \end{array}$	IV Quality □	V Education □	VI Infrastructure ⊠	VII Funding □
	Project Summary	: Review of the reasse	ssment of all court cap	pital-outlay project	s, which will include	: :	
	2. Updates to 3. Developm The Judicial Council pudicial funding is and plans developidentify infrastructural funding in prioritization met. Status/Timeline: (FY 2026–27) to 2015.	Completion of the reas	essments (FCAs), the ewide list of courthous twas in 2019, and it is ent capital projects for segin the reassessment me funding of \$9 mill or FCAs) to reassess a conents requiring immediate cycle. FCAs are the basessessment is targeted for the sessessment in the sessessment in the sessessment in the sessessment is targeted for the sessessment in the sessessment is targeted for the sessessment in the sess	current prioritization is capital-outlay prosesson a 10-year cycle funding. The Judicial Countion over two fiscal and all courthouse capital and attended attended at the Facility of 2029, contingential courting and attended at the facility of 2029, contingential courting and attended at the facility of 2029, contingential courting at the facility of 2029, contingential courting at the facility of 2029, contingential courting at the facility of the facility of 2029, contingential courting at the facility of the facility	cil has approved a F years (\$6 million fo al-outlay projects. Fo blacement based on t y Condition Index, v	ent effort. Each reary 2026–27 budget r consultants' assest CAs of court-occup their useful life expendich is an integral corized in the Budge	change proposal for ssment of court needs pied facilities—ectancy, projecting component of the
<i>Fiscal Impact/Staff Resources:</i> Coordination through lead staff to the committee with input from the Judicial Council's offices of Facilities Services, Budget Services, and Legal Services.						s offices of	
		if this project may result view of relevant material		ribution of funds to th	ne courts. Advisory boo	dy staff will coordind	ate with Budget Services
	Internal/External Governor.	<i>l Stakeholders:</i> Trial a	nd appellate courts; lo	ocal county governi	ments; justice partne	rs; DOF; Legislatu	re; and Office of
	AC Collaboration	n: Judicial Branch Bud	get Committee and Ex	xecutive and Planni	ing Committee.		

#	Ongoing Proj	ects and Activitie	s								
1.	Project Title: Ju	Project Title: Judicial Branch Courthouse Construction Projects Priority: 1									
	Supported Strate	gic Plan Branch Goal	ls:								
	I Access □	II Independence □	III Modernization □	IV <i>Quality</i> □	V Education □	VI Infrastructure ⊠	VII Funding □				
			Council-approved new ecommendations for Ju								
	Status/Timeline:	Ongoing.									
	Fiscal Impact/Staff Resources: Coordination through lead staff to the committee with input from the Judicial Council's offices of Facilities Services, Budget Services, and Legal Services.										
			t in an allocation or distr	ibution of funds to th	he courts.						
		Internal/External Stakeholders: Trial and appellate courts; justice partners; DOF; and SPWB.AC Collaboration: Judicial Branch Budget Committee and Courthouse Cost Reduction Subcommittee.									
2.	Project Title: Re	commendations of the	e Independent Oversi	ight Consultant (I	(OC)	Priorit	y: 1				
	Supported Strategic Plan Branch Goals:										
	I Access □	II Independence □	III Modernization □	IV <i>Quality</i> □	V Education □	VI Infrastructure ⊠	VII Funding □				
	Project Summary: Review and monitor implementation of IOC recommendations.										
	Status/Timeline:	Status/Timeline: Ongoing.									
	Fiscal Impact/St	aff Resources: Coordi	nation through lead sta	aff to the committee	e with input from the	· Judicial Council's of	ices of				
	<i>Fiscal Impact/Staff Resources:</i> Coordination through lead staff to the committee with input from the Judicial Council's offices of Facilities Services, Budget Services, and Legal Services.										
			d Legal Services. t in an allocation or distr		_						

#	Ongoing Projects and Activities						
	Internal/External	Stakeholders: Trial a	and appellate courts an	d justice partners.			
	AC Collaboration	: Independent Outside	e Oversight Consultan	t Subcommittee.			
3.	Project Title: Courthouse Construction Project Cost Reductions Priority: 1						
	Supported Strateg	gic Plan Branch Goal	s:				
	I Access □	II Independence □	III Modernization □	IV <i>Quality</i> □	$egin{array}{c} {\sf V} \\ {\it Education} \\ \Box \end{array}$	VI Infrastructure ⊠	VII Funding □
	Project Summary consideration.	: Oversight of reduction	ons to courthouse proj	ect costs. Submit re	ecommendations as r	needed for Judicial	Council
	Status/Timeline: (Ongoing.					
	Facilities Services Check this box i Internal/External	pact/Staff Resources: Coordination through lead staff to the committee with input from the Judicial Council's offices services, Budget Services, and Legal Services. This box if this project may result in an allocation or distribution of funds to the courts. **External Stakeholders:* Trial and appellate courts; justice partners; DOF; and SPWB. **Oration:* Courthouse Cost Reduction Subcommittee.					s offices of
4.	Project Title: Jud	icial Branch Capital	Program Funding			Pric	ority: 1
	Supported Strateg	gic Plan Branch Goal	s:			·	
	I Access □	II Independence □	III Modernization □	IV <i>Quality</i> □	$egin{array}{c} V \ \textit{Education} \ \Box \end{array}$	VI Infrastructure ⊠	VII Funding □
	3	or the Judicial Branch	Judicial Council and i Capital Program. Sub		\mathbf{c}		Planning Committee to ideration.

#	Ongoing Proje	ects and Activities	;						
Fiscal Impact/Staff Resources: Coordination through lead staff to the committee with input from the Judicial Council's offices of Facilities Services, Budget Services, Legal Services, and Governmental Affairs.							ices of		
Check this box if this project may result in an allocation or distribution of funds to the courts. *Internal/External Stakeholders:* Trial and appellate courts; justice partners; DOF; Legislature; and Office of Gove						Office of Governor.			
	AC Collaboration	: Judicial Branch Bud	get Committee and Ex	secutive and Planni	ng Committee.				
5.	Project Title: Add Modifications	ditional Funding for	Existing Courthouse	Operations, Main	tenance, and Facili	ty Priority	: 1		
	Supported Strategic Plan Branch Goals:								
	I Access □	II Independence □	III Modernization □	IV <i>Quality</i> □	V Education □	VI Infrastructure ⊠	VII Funding □		
		Coordinate with the g for existing courthou			•	visory Committee (TC)	FMAC) to seek		
	Status/Timeline:	Ongoing.							
<i>Fiscal Impact/Staff Resources:</i> Coordination through lead staff to the committee with input from the Judicial Council's offices of Facilities Services, Budget Services, and Legal Services.						ices of			
		if this project may result I Stakeholders: Trial a				Office of Governor.			
	AC Collaboration	: Judicial Branch Bud	get Committee and Tr	ial Court Facility M	Modification Advisor	y Committee.			

#	Ongoing Proje	ects and Activities	6				
6.	Project Title: Courthouse of the Future Priority: 1						
	Supported Strates	gic Plan Branch Goal	s:				
	I Access □	II Independence □	III Modernization □	IV <i>Quality</i> □	V Education □	VI Infrastructure ⊠	VII Funding □
						essons learned, the hyl Judicial Council consi	
	Status/Timeline:	Ongoing.					
	<i>Fiscal Impact/Staff Resources:</i> Coordination through lead staff to the committee with input from the Judicial Council's offices of Facilities Services, Budget Services, and Legal Services.						
	Check this box if this project may result in an allocation or distribution of funds to the courts.						
	Internal/External	! Stakeholders: Trial a	nd appellate courts; ju	stice partners; DO	F; Legislature; and O	Office of Governor.	
		a: Technology Commi Reduction Subcommit	-	nology Advisory C	Committee, Data Ana	lytics Advisory Comm	ittee, and

III. LIST OF 2025 PROJECT ACCOMPLISHMENTS

#	Project Highlights and Achievements
1.	Ongoing: Review of Judicial Council-approved new courthouse construction and renovation projects in relation to available construction program budget and recommend how to proceed.
2.	Ongoing: Review and monitor implementation of IOC recommendations.
3.	Ongoing: Oversight of reductions to courthouse project costs.
4.	Ongoing: Coordinate with the Judicial Council and its Judicial Branch Budget Committee and Executive and Planning Committee to provide funding for the Judicial Branch Capital Program.
5.	Ongoing: Coordinate with the Judicial Council and its TCFMAC to seek additional funding for existing courthouse operations, maintenance, and facility modifications.
6.	Ongoing: Review how the courthouse of the future will implement efficiencies including the hybrid courtroom and Digital Court and applicability to future capital projects.
7.	Completed: On March 12, 2025, and for the Monterey–New Fort Ord Courthouse project, the CFAC reviewed and approved the project's performance criteria for submission to the SPWB and to proceed with a Request for Qualifications for Design-Build Entity (DBE) and a Request for Proposal for DBE.
8.	Completed: On March 12, 2025, the CFAC reviewed projects proposed in a draft <i>Judicial Branch Five-Year Infrastructure Plan</i> for FY 2026–27 and approved a BCC capturing the same proposed capital-outlay funding from fiscal years 2026–27 through 2030–31. Subsequently, the BCC was submitted to the JBBC for review at its meetings in April and May 2025, in the context of all judicial branch BCCs under consideration to proceed as budget change proposals.
9.	Completed: On March 12, 2025, the CFAC directed cost reductions of the Kern–New East County Courthouse and Orange–New Orange County Collaborative Courthouse projects by the end of 2025, aimed at making smaller courthouse projects (in the range of 1–4 courtrooms) more cost-effective on a cost-per-square-foot basis to improve the likelihood of funding.

| Project Highlights and Achievements

- 10. Completed: On May 23, 2025, the CFAC reviewed and approved the draft *Judicial Branch Five-Year Infrastructure Plan* and COBCPs for FY 2026–27 for submission to the Judicial Council and DOF. Also, a reduction of the San Joaquin–New Tracy Courthouse project's building size from 28,000 gross square feet (GSF) to approximately 23,000 GSF was approved for cost-savings. This five-year plan forms the basis for the capital project funding requests for the upcoming and outlying fiscal years, and the COBCPs reflect funding requested for the phases of the projects in year 1 (FY 2026–27) of the plan. Subsequently, the five-year plan and COBCPs were proposed to the council, approved on July 18, 2025, and submitted to the DOF on August 4, 2025.
- 11. Completed: On September 22, 2025, the CFAC's Courthouse Cost Reduction Subcommittee reviewed and approved the reduced building sizes and reduced costs for the Kern–New East County Courthouse and Orange–New Orange County Collaborative Courthouse projects to proceed to the CFAC for review.
- 12. Completed: On September 25, 2025, the CFAC reviewed and approved the reduced building sizes and reduced costs for the Kern–New East County Courthouse and Orange–New Orange County Collaborative Courthouse projects. Subsequently, project budget documents were updated, including the *Judicial Branch Five-Year Infrastructure Plan for Fiscal Year 2026–27*, for submission to the DOF.