



JUDICIAL COUNCIL OF CALIFORNIA

COURT FACILITIES
ADVISORY COMMITTEE

www.courts.ca.gov/cfac.htm
cfac@jud.ca.gov

COURT FACILITIES ADVISORY COMMITTEE

MINUTES OF OPEN SESSION OF MEETING

July 19, 2017

10:30 AM – 12:30 PM – Open Session

Judicial Council of California – San Francisco Office

**Advisory Body
Members Present:**

Hon. Brad R. Hill, Chair
Hon. Patricia M. Lucas, Vice-Chair
Hon. Donald Cole Byrd
Hon. Keith D. Davis
Ms. Melissa Fowler-Bradley
Hon. William F. Highberger
Hon. Steven E. Jahr (Ret.)
Hon. Jeffrey W. Johnson
Hon. Laura J. Masunaga (by phone)
Mr. Stephen Nash
Hon. David Edwin Power (Ret.) (by phone)
Ms. Linda Romero Soles
Mr. Kevin Stinson (by phone)

**Advisory Body
Members Absent:**

Mr. Anthony P. Capozzi
Mr. Stephan Castellanos, FAIA
Hon. Robert D. Foiles
Hon. Gary R. Orozco
Mr. Larry Spikes
Hon. Robert J. Trentacosta
Mr. Thomas J. Warwick, Jr.
Mr. Val Toppenberg

Others Present:

The following Judicial Council staff/others were present:

Hon. Kevin R. Culhane, Presiding Judge, Superior Court of Sacramento County
Hon. David De Alba, Assistant Presiding Judge, Superior Court of Sacramento County
Hon. Lloyd G. Connelly (Ret.), Interim Court Executive Officer, Superior Court of Sacramento County
Hon. Robert C. Hight (Ret.), Judge, Superior Court of Sacramento County
Hon. Jennifer K. Rockwell, Judge, Superior Court of Sacramento County
Hon. Arthur G. Scotland (Ret.), Administrative Presiding Justice, Court of Appeal, Third Appellate District
Mr. James L. Tully, Principal, NBBJ
Mr. Dale Alberda, AIA, Design Principal, NBBJ
Mr. Jason T. Miller, AIA, Senior Associate/Project Architect, NBBJ
Mr. Charles (Chuck) Short, President, CTS Business Solutions, LLC
Mr. Kevin J. Lane, Clerk/Administrator, Court of Appeal, Fourth Appellate District (by phone)
Mr. Mike Courtney, Director, Facilities Services
Ms. S. Pearl Freeman, AIA, Manager, Facilities Services
Ms. Lisa Hinton, Project Manager, Facilities Services
Mr. Chris Magnusson, Senior Facilities Analyst, Facilities Services
Ms. Pella McCormick, Deputy Director, Facilities Services
Ms. Kristine Metzker, Planner Manager, Facilities Services
Mr. Loren (Mike) C. Smith, Project Manager, Facilities Services
Ms. Millicent Tidwell, Chief Operating Officer, Operations and Programs Division

OPEN SESSION OF MEETING

Call to Order, Opening Remarks, and Approval of Meeting Minutes

The chair called the open session of the meeting to order at 10:30 AM and opening remarks were made. The committee voted unanimously (with the abstention of all members absent from the May 17, 2017, meeting and the exceptions of judges Donald Cole Byrd and William F. Highberger, as Ex-Officio, non-voting members, and of the members who were absent as shown above) to approve the minutes from its meeting held on May 17, 2017.

OPEN SESSION – DISCUSSION AND ACTION ITEMS

Item 1**Five-Year Infrastructure Plan for Fiscal Year 2018–2019**

Mr. Mike Courtney, director of the Judicial Council’s Facilities Services, presented an update on the status of the five-year plan’s preparation, indicating that a draft of the five-year plan will be presented to the advisory committee by e-mail for vote to recommend it be submitted to the Judicial Council for adoption. At the conclusion of Mr. Courtney’s presentation, the advisory committee took no action.

Item 2**Sacramento County—New Sacramento Criminal Courthouse: 100 Percent Schematic Design Review**

Mr. Loren (Mike) C. Smith, Judicial Council Project Manager, introduced the project team for the New Sacramento Criminal Courthouse: from the Superior Court of Sacramento County, Presiding Judge Kevin R. Culhane, Assistant Presiding Judge David De Alba, Judge Jennifer K. Rockwell, Retired Judge Robert C. Hight, and Retired Judge Lloyd G. Connelly, Interim Court Executive Officer; from the Court of Appeal, Third Appellate District, Retired Administrative Presiding Justice, Arthur G. Scotland; from NBBJ, Mr. James L. Tully, Principal, Mr. Dale Alberda, Design Principal, and Mr. Jason T. Miller, Senior Associate/Project Architect; and courts planner from CTS Business Solutions, LLC, Mr. Charles (Chuck) Short, President.

Mr. Smith, Mr. Tully, and Mr. Alberda presented the project’s 100 percent schematic design plans and drawings consistent with the PowerPoint slides included in the project materials that were posted on line for public viewing in advance of the meeting and available at www.courts.ca.gov/documents/cfac-20170719-materials.pdf. Mr. Smith presented the project’s background, space program compliance, site design, deviations from standards, cost reduction measures and budget, and next steps. Mr. Alberda presented the building’s design and courtroom layouts. Mr. Tully presented the security and building systems and the sustainability approach. In addition, the following comments were made:

- the cost estimate at 50 percent Schematic Design was reconciled through a number of cost reduction measures and as shown in PowerPoint slide No. 51 (see link above). These measures reduced the project budget by \$14.6 million, resulting in a delta of \$17.1 million from the current authorized construction budget of \$307.3 million to the 100-percent-Schematic-Design cost estimate of \$324.4 million;
- the courtyard cannot be entered from the courthouse building. Its users must exit the building to access it, and then to return back into the building, they must pass through security screening;

- the covering of the courtyard is proposed as a trellis-like structure that would be open to the sky above;
- ballistic glazing would be applied to all chambers including all upper-floor locations;
- no vehicular ingress or egress is planned on the south part of the site because light rail trains currently operating along H Street block site access when stopped in the street. Furthermore, the local transit authority has proposed the installation of an additional track within H Street to increase the number of light rail trains running within the downtown area;
- the project is tracking to meet its required LEED Silver certification and aspiring to meet LEED Gold certification within the current project budget;
- as a cost-savings measure, and because the central holding area had been relocated back to the Ground floor, one in-custody elevator was eliminated. In an earlier iteration of the design, this elevator, referred to as a jump elevator, had been planned to run only from the Ground-floor sallyport area to the then Third-floor central holding area. The relocation of the central holding area including elevator reduction was supported by the county sheriff; and
- in order to verify the correct number of holding cells and rated capacity for occupancy/seating within those cells prior to completion of 50 percent Design Development drawings, the holding core examples (i.e., typical holding cores A, B, and C) in the June 2015 *Catalog of Courtroom Layouts for California Trial Courts* should be compared to the layout shown between courtrooms on floors 7–16 in the 100 percent Schematic Design drawings.

Action: The advisory committee—with the exception of judges Donald Cole Byrd and William F. Highberger, as an Ex-Officio, non-voting members, and the members who were absent as shown above—voted unanimously to approve the following motion:

1. The 100 percent schematic design report is accepted, and the project move forward into design development of the preliminary plans phase.

ADJOURNMENT TO EDUCATION SESSION (CLOSED TO PUBLIC) AND ADJOURNMENT

There being no further business, the open session of the meeting was adjourned at 12:30 PM, and the advisory committee moved to the education session of the meeting. The education session of the meeting—which was closed to the public and not subject to Cal. Rules of Court, Rule 10.75—was adjourned at 2:00 PM.

Approved by the advisory body on September 7, 2017.