

# Hudicial Council of California

ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICE OF THE COURTS

#### OFFICE OF GOVERNMENTAL AFFAIRS

770 L Street, Suite 700 • Sacramento, California 95814-3393 Telephone 916-323-3121 • Fax 916-323-4347 • TDD 415-865-4272

RONALD M. GEORGE Chief Justice of California Chair of the Judicial Council

WILLIAM C. VICKREY Administrative Director of the Courts

RONALD G. OVERHOLT Chief Deputy Director

KATHLEEN T. HOWARD Director, Office of Governmental Affairs

March 28, 2006

Hon. Dave Jones, Chair Assembly Judiciary Committee State Capitol, Room 3126 Sacramento, California 95814

AB 2455 (Nakanishi), as amended March 27, 2006 - Support

Hearing: Assembly Judiciary Committee – April 4, 2006

## Dear Assembly Member Jones:

The Judicial Council is pleased to support AB 2455, which, among other things, revises the provisions governing claims against defendant guarantors by amending Code of Civil Procedure (CCP) section 116.220 to increase the limit for cash deposit demands brought in small claims court by natural persons from \$4,000 to \$7,500. The Judicial Council supports this change because it is consistent with the recent legislation that raised the jurisdiction of small claims court actions brought by natural persons from \$5,000 to \$7,500 (AB 1459 (Canciamilla), Stats. 2005, ch. 618 and SB 422 (Simitian) Stats. 2005, ch. 600), and it should provide more equitable relief for consumers through a much simpler and less expensive legal process.

Under current law, the jurisdiction of the small claims court includes claims against defendant guarantors who do not charge a fee if the demand does not exceed \$2,500. (CCP section 116.220(c).) The small claims act also authorizes claims against defendant guarantors up to \$4,000 if the defendant guarantor charges a fee for its services or the defendant guarantor is the Registrar of the Contractors State License Board. (Id.) The Judicial Council is unable to identify any legal or practical reason why claims against defendant guarantors should be treated differently than other actions allowed in small claims court. Ideally, the council believes that the existing \$2,500 and \$4,000 caps should be removed and that actions against all defendant guarantors should be allowed in small claims court up to the jurisdictional limits that apply in other cases. However, the council also believes that the more limited change being proposed in

Hon. Dave Jones, Chair March 28, 2006 Page 2

AB 2455 is a good first step that should at least minimize confusion in this area and make it easier for small claims litigants, small claims advisors, and the courts.

For these reasons, the Judicial Council supports AB 2455. Please feel free to contact me if you have any questions.

Sincerely,

Daniel A. Pone Senior Attorney

### DP/lb

cc: Members, Assembly Judiciary Committee

Mr. Mike Brown, Contractors State License Board

Ms. Sue Blake, Director of Legislation, Office of Planning and Research

Mr. Eric Csizmar, Deputy Legislative Secretary, Office of the Governor

Ms. Leora Gershenzon, Staff Counsel, Assembly Judiciary Committee



# Hudicial Council of California

ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICE OF THE COURTS

#### OFFICE OF GOVERNMENTAL AFFAIRS

770 L Street, Suite 700 • Sacramento, California 95814-3393 Telephone 916-323-3121 • Fax 916-323-4347 • TDD 415-865-4272

RONALD M. GEORGE Chief Justice of California Chair of the Judicial Council

WILLIAM C. VICKREY Administrative Director of the Courts

RONALD G. OVERHOLT Chief Deputy Director

KATHLEEN T. HOWARD Director, Office of Governmental Affairs

March 28, 2006

Hon, Alan Nakanishi California State Assembly State Capitol, Room 5175 Sacramento, California 95814

AB 2455 (Nakanishi), as amended March 27, 2006 - Support

Hearing: Assembly Judiciary Committee – April 4, 2006

### Dear Assembly Member Nakanishi:

The Judicial Council is pleased to support AB 2455, which, among other things, revises the provisions governing claims against defendant guarantors by amending Code of Civil Procedure (CCP) section 116.220 to increase the limit for cash deposit demands brought in small claims court by natural persons from \$4,000 to \$7,500. The Judicial Council supports this change because it is consistent with the recent legislation that raised the jurisdiction of small claims court actions brought by natural persons from \$5,000 to \$7,500 (AB 1459 (Canciamilla), Stats. 2005, ch. 618 and SB 422 (Simitian) Stats. 2005, ch. 600), and it should provide more equitable relief for consumers through a much simpler and less expensive legal process.

Under current law, the jurisdiction of the small claims court includes claims against defendant guarantors who do not charge a fee if the demand does not exceed \$2,500. (CCP section 116.220(c).) The small claims act also authorizes claims against defendant guarantors up to \$4,000 if the defendant guarantor charges a fee for its services or the defendant guarantor is the Registrar of the Contractors State License Board. (Id.) The Judicial Council is unable to identify any legal or practical reason why claims against defendant guarantors should be treated differently than other actions allowed in small claims court. Ideally, the council believes that the existing \$2,500 and \$4,000 caps should be removed and that actions against all defendant guarantors should be allowed in small claims court up to the jurisdictional limits that apply in other cases. However, the council also believes that the more limited change being proposed in

Hon. Alan Nakanishi March 28, 2006 Page 2

AB 2455 is a good first step that should at least minimize confusion in this area and make it easier for small claims litigants, small claims advisors, and the courts.

For these reasons, the Judicial Council supports AB 2455. Please feel free to contact me if you have any questions.

Sincerely,

Daniel A. Pone Senior Attorney

DP/lb

cc: Ms. Sue Blake, Assistant Office of Planning and Research

Mr. Eric Csizmar, Deputy Legislative Secretary, Office of the Governor Ms. Leora Gershenzon, Staff Counsel, Assembly Judiciary Committee



# Hudicial Council of California

ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICE OF THE COURTS

OFFICE OF GOVERNMENTAL AFFAIRS

770 L Street, Suite 700 \* Sacramento, California 95814-3393 Telephone 916-323-3121 • Fax 916-323-4347 • TDD 415-865-4272

RONALD M. GEORGE Chief Justice of California Chair of the Judicial Council

WILLIAM C. VICKREY Administrative Director of the Courts

RONALD G. OVERHOLT Chief Deputy Director

KATHLEEN T. HOWARD Director, Office of Governmental Affairs

May 18, 2006

Hon. Joseph L. Dunn, Chair Senate Judiciary Committee State Capitol, Room 2080 Sacramento, California 95814

AB 2455 (Nakanishi), as amended March 27, 2006 - Support

Hearing: Senate Judiciary Committee – June 13, 2006

### Dear Senator Dunn:

The Judicial Council is pleased to support AB 2455, which, among other things, revises the provisions governing claims against defendant guarantors by amending Code of Civil Procedure (CCP) section 116.220 to increase the limit for cash deposit demands brought in small claims court by natural persons from \$4,000 to \$7,500. The Judicial Council supports this change because it is consistent with the recent legislation that raised the jurisdiction of small claims court actions brought by natural persons from \$5,000 to \$7,500 (AB 1459 (Canciamilla), Stats. 2005, ch. 618 and SB 422 (Simitian) Stats. 2005, ch. 600), and it should provide more equitable relief for consumers through a much simpler and less expensive legal process.

Under current law, the jurisdiction of the small claims court includes claims against defendant guarantors who do not charge a fee if the demand does not exceed \$2,500. (CCP section 116.220(c).) The small claims act also authorizes claims against defendant guarantors up to \$4,000 if the defendant guarantor charges a fee for its services or the defendant guarantor is the Registrar of the Contractors State License Board. (Id.) The Judicial Council is unable to identify any legal or practical reason why claims against defendant guarantors should be treated differently than other actions allowed in small claims court. Ideally, the council believes that the existing \$2,500 and \$4,000 caps should be removed and that actions against all defendant guarantors should be allowed in small claims court up to the jurisdictional limits that apply in other cases. However, the council also believes that the more limited change being proposed in

Hon. Joseph L. Dunn, Chair May 18, 2006 Page 2

AB 2455 is a good first step that should at least minimize confusion in this area and make it easier for small claims litigants, small claims advisors, and the courts.

For these reasons, the Judicial Council supports AB 2455. Please feel free to contact me if you have any questions.

Sincerely,

Daniel A. Pone Senior Attorney

### DP/rn

cc: Members, Senate Judiciary Committee

Hon. Alan Nakanishi, Member of the Assembly

Mr. Mike Brown, Contractors State License Board

Ms. Sue Blake, Director of Legislation, Office of Planning and Research

Mr. Eric Csizmar, Deputy Legislative Secretary, Office of the Governor

Ms. Alexandra Montgomery, Staff Counsel, Senate Judiciary Committee



# Judicial Council of California

ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICE OF THE COURTS

#### OFFICE OF GOVERNMENTAL AFFAIRS

770 L Street, Suite 700 • Sacramento, California 95814-3393 Telephone 916-323-3121 • Fax 916-323-4347 • TDD 415-865-4272

RONALD M. GEORGE Chief Justice of California Chair of the Judicial Council

WILLIAM C. VICKREY

Administrative Director of the Courts

RONALD G. OVERHOLT Chief Deputy Director

KATHLEEN T. HOWARD Director, Office of Governmental Affairs

August 16, 2006

Hon. Arnold Schwarzenegger Governor of California State Capitol, First Floor Sacramento, California 95814

Subject: AB 2455 (Nakanishi) - Request for Signature

Dear Governor Schwarzenegger:

The Judicial Council is pleased to support AB 2455 which, among other things, revises the provisions governing claims against defendant guarantors by amending Code of Civil Procedure (CCP) section 116.220 to increase the limit for cash deposit demands brought in small claims court by natural persons from \$4,000 to \$7,500. The Judicial Council supports this change because it is consistent with the recent legislation that raised the jurisdiction of small claims court actions brought by natural persons from \$5,000 to \$7,500 (AB 1459 (Canciamilla), Stats. 2005, ch. 618 and SB 422 (Simitian) Stats. 2005, ch. 600), and it should provide more equitable relief for consumers through a much simpler and less expensive legal process.

Current law governing actions against defendant guarantors is somewhat complicated due to differing caps that have been placed on the claims that may be brought in small claims court. AB 2455 is a good first step that should at least minimize confusion in this area and make it easier for small claims litigants, small claims advisors, and the courts.

Hon. Arnold Schwarzenegger August 16, 2006 Page 2

For these reasons, the Judicial Council respectfully requests your signature on AB 2455.

Sincerely,

Daniel A. Pone Senior Attorney

### DP/rn

cc: Hon. Alan Nakanishi, Member of the Assembly

Ms. Sue Blake, Director of Legislation, Office of Planning and Research Mr. Eric Csizmar, Deputy Legislative Secretary, Office of the Governor

Mr. Mike Brown, Contractors State License Board