<u>Data Analytics Advisory Committee</u> Annual Agenda¹—2023 Approved by Executive and Planning Committee: [Date]

I. COMMITTEE INFORMATION

Chair:	Hon. Joyce D. Hinrichs, Judge, Superior Court of Humboldt County	
Lead Staff:	Leah Rose-Goodwin, Manager, Business Management Services; Kristin Greenaway, Supervising Research Analyst, Business Management Services; Nicholas Armstrong, Senior Research Analyst, Business Management Services	

Committee's Charge/Membership:

Rule <u>10.68</u> of the California Rules of Court states the charge of the Data Analytics Advisory Committee, which is to make recommendations to the Judicial Council regarding the collection, use, and sharing of judicial branch data and information to inform decision-making, promote transparency, and improve the administration of justice while ensuring the security of nonpublic data and data sources.

In addition to the duties described in rule 10.68, the committee must:

- (1) Develop and recommend policies, or revisions to existing policies, concerning standards and measures to use in collecting, analyzing and sharing data and information that will advance the goals of increased access to justice, greater transparency and accountability, and enhanced delivery of services to the public.
- (2) Develop and recommend performance measures, studies, and methodologies to measure and report on court administration, practices, and procedures, including workload assessments; and
- (3) Identify, analyze, and report on emerging issues related to branch data and information, including usage of data and information to support branch projects and initiatives.

Rule <u>10.68</u> sets forth the membership position of the committee. The Data Analytics Advisory Committee currently has 11 members. The current committee roster is available on the committee's web page.

¹ The annual agenda outlines the work a committee will focus on in the coming year and identifies areas of collaboration with other advisory bodies and the Judicial Council staff resources.

Subcommittees/Working Groups ² : None
Meetings Planned for [YEAR(S)] ³ (Advisory body and all subcommittees and working groups)
Dates TBD
January 2023: Teleconference
April 2023: Teleconference
July 2023: Teleconference
October 2023: In-person
Check here if exception to policy is granted by Executive Office or rule of court.

² California Rules of Court, rule 10.30 (c) allows an advisory body to form subgroups, composed entirely of current members of the advisory body, to carry out the body's duties, subject to available resources, with the approval of its oversight committee.

³ Refer to *Operating Standards for Judicial Council Advisory Bodies* for governance on in-person meetings.

II. COMMITTEE PROJECTS

1. Project Title: Workload Studies (Resource Assessment Study (RAS) and Judicial) Project Summary⁷: In October 2013, the Workload Assessment Advisory Committee approved a motion stating that the workload studies (both staff and judicial) should be updated every five years, though not concurrently so that they continue to accurately represent staff and judicial workload. The Resource Assessment Study (RAS) is used to update the caseweights and other model parameters that are needed to estimate workload-based need for the staff in the trial courts. The RAS is used in conjunction with the Workload Formula (WF) to allocate funding to the trial courts. The Judicial Workload Study is used to update the caseweights and other model parameters that that are needed to estimate the number of judgeships needed in the trial courts. The committee's work in the coming year will be to expand its own expertise of the workload studies (RAS and Judicial); to review the workload model parameters and model inputs and consider options for how the branch may measure workload going forward considering both short-term effects of the pandemic and how they may potentially affect long-term measurement models; and provide educational

sessions about the workload models to the Court Executive Advisory Committee (CEAC) and Trial Court Presiding Judges Advisory Committee (TCPJAC). The committee will also periodically revisit the models to consider whether new workload associated with court

Status/Timeline: Ongoing.

Fiscal Impact/Resources: Completion of this project will be accomplished with 1.0 FTE Senior Analyst and .50 of Supervising Analyst for a period of 1 year (existing resources).

⁴ All proposed projects for the year must be included on the Annual Agenda. If a project implements policy or is a program, identify it as *implementation* or *a program* in the project description and attach the Judicial Council authorization/assignment or prior approved Annual Agenda to this Annual Agenda.

filings should be captured as an interim adjustment to the models.

⁵ For non-rules and forms projects, select priority level 1 (must be done) or 2 (should be done). For rules and forms proposals, select one of the following priority levels: 1(a) Urgently needed to conform to the law; 1(b) Urgently needed to respond to a recent change in the law; 1(c) Adoption or amendment of rules or forms by a specified date required by statute or council decision; 1(d) Provides significant cost savings and efficiencies, generates significant revenue, or avoids a significant loss of revenue; 1(e) Urgently needed to remedy a problem that is causing significant cost or inconvenience to the courts or the public; 1(f) Otherwise urgent and necessary, such as a proposal that would mitigate exposure to immediate or severe financial or legal risk; 2(a) Useful, but not necessary, to implement statutory changes; 2(b) Helpful in otherwise advancing Judicial Council goals and objectives.

⁶ Indicate which goal number of The Strategic Plan for California's Judicial Branch the project most closely aligns.

⁷ A key objective is a strategic aim, purpose, or "end of action" to be achieved for the coming year.

#	New or One-Time Projects ⁴ [Group projects by priority number.]	
	This project may result in an allocation or distribution of funds to the courts. We will coordinate with Budget Services to ensure their review of relevant materials.	
	Internal/External Stakeholders: Trial Courts.	
	AC Collaboration: Criminal Law Advisory Committee; Civil and Small Claims Advisory Committee; Trial Court Budget Advisory Law/Juvenile Advisory Committee; Judicial Branch Budget Committee	Committee; Family
2.	Project Title: Operational Metrics Review	Priority ⁸ I
		Strategic Plan Goal ⁹ III
	Project Summary ¹⁰ : In connection with the required reporting per SB 154, the committee should review existing standards and of judicial administration and consider whether existing standards should be updated or modified or if new standards should be a Any new, updated, or modified metrics should be relevant and meaningful to court operations and further progress efficient and caseflow management. The committee will need to review past work on standards and measures and may want to consult with the Center for State Courts or other entities on these standards.	
	Status/Timeline: Ongoing; the committee should work to develop a operational metrics curriculum for court lead meetings of court leaders to present on this topic; the committee should summarize its work on this item in an in Judicial Council.	
	<i>Fiscal Impact/Resources:</i> Completion of this project will be accomplished with 1.0 FTE Senior Analyst and .50 a period of 1 year (existing resources).	of Supervising Analyst for
	☐ This project may result in an allocation or distribution of funds to the courts. We will coordinate with Budget Services relevant materials.	to ensure their review of
	Internal/External Stakeholders: Trial Courts, Courts of Appeal/Supreme Court; Judicial Council, National Cen	iter for State Courts.

⁸ For non-rules and forms projects, select priority level 1 (must be done) or 2 (should be done). For rules and forms proposals, select one of the following priority levels: 1(a) Urgently needed to conform to the law; 1(b) Urgently needed to respond to a recent change in the law; 1(c) Adoption or amendment of rules or forms by a specified date required by statute or council decision; 1(d) Provides significant cost savings and efficiencies, generates significant revenue, or avoids a significant loss of revenue; 1(e) Urgently needed to remedy a problem that is causing significant cost or inconvenience to the courts or the public; 1(f) Otherwise urgent and necessary, such as a proposal that would mitigate exposure to immediate or severe financial or legal risk; 2(a) Useful, but not necessary, to implement statutory changes; 2(b) Helpful in otherwise advancing Judicial Council goals and objectives.

⁹ Indicate which goal number of The Strategic Plan for California's Judicial Branch the project most closely aligns.

¹⁰ A key objective is a strategic aim, purpose, or "end of action" to be achieved for the coming year.

#	New or One-Time Projects ⁴ [Group projects by priority number.]	
	AC Collaboration: Administrative Presiding Judges Advisory Committee; Criminal Law Advisory Committee; Civil and Small Court Budget Advisory Committee; Family Law/Juvenile Advisory Committee; Judicial Branch Budget Committee; CJER.	laims Advisory Committee; Trial
3.	Project Title: Branchwide Data Analytics Governance and Policy Development	Priority ¹¹ I
		Strategic Plan Goal ¹² III
	Project Summary ⁷ : As part of branchwide efforts to use technology to innovate and increase access to justice and in recognition of the critical importance of data-driven decision-making, the committee will work towards developing agreed upon data standards and principles that address (1) data quality, (2) how we access, use and share data, and (3) data security. The committee will review the work completed by the Data Analytics Workstream to develop Data Governance policy concepts and will consider developing or finalizing one or more policy proposals for Judicial Council review and approval. The committee will also develop a workplan for additional policy development. Additionally, an important early step in this process is educating branch leadership on the concept of data analytics and the data analytics strategy for the branch. To that end, JCC staff, with guidance and support of the committee, will propose an approach to develop and deliver data educational sessions on data analytics policies and concepts for court leadership. Status/Timeline: Ongoing; the committee should work to develop a data analytics governance and policy curriculum for court leaders and utilize statewide meetings of court leaders to present on this topic; at the conclusion of this year, the committee will prepare a roadmap for data analytics governance policy and make a report to the Judicial Council on its efforts in this area	
	<i>Fiscal Impact/Resources:</i> Completion of this project will be accomplished with .25 FTE Manager, .25 Supervis .25 Senior Analyst throughout the year.	sing Research Analyst, and
	This project may result in an allocation or distribution of funds to the courts. We will coordinate with Budget Service relevant materials.	s to ensure their review of
	Internal/External Stakeholders: Information Technology, Legal, Education.	
	AC Collaboration: TBD/As needed.	

¹¹ For non-rules and forms projects, select priority level 1 (must be done) or 2 (should be done). For rules and forms proposals, select one of the following priority levels: 1(a) Urgently needed to conform to the law; 1(b) Urgently needed to respond to a recent change in the law; 1(c) Adoption or amendment of rules or forms by a specified date required by statute or council decision; 1(d) Provides significant cost savings and efficiencies, generates significant revenue, or avoids a significant loss of revenue; 1(e) Urgently needed to remedy a problem that is causing significant cost or inconvenience to the courts or the public; 1(f) Otherwise urgent and necessary, such as a proposal that would mitigate exposure to immediate or severe financial or legal risk; 2(a) Useful, but not necessary, to implement statutory changes; 2(b) Helpful in otherwise advancing Judicial Council goals and objectives.

¹² Indicate which goal number of The Strategic Plan for California's Judicial Branch the project most closely aligns.

#	New or One-Time Projects ⁴ [Group projects by priority number.]

4.	Project Title: Trial Court Operational Metrics Annual Report (SB 154)	Priority ¹³ I
		Strategic Plan Goal ¹⁴ III
	Project Summary ⁷ : As required by budget bill language, the Judicial Council will publish an annual report by Fe the Legislature on the operations of each trial court with various operational and budgetary metrics, including budisposition and case clearance rates by case type, backlogs by case type, court hours of operations including publication vacancy rates by classification, fund balance detail from the prior fiscal year, the calculated funding level of each funding actually provided to each court, and the funding level of each trial court as measured by the Judicial Conformula. The committee will review the metrics and measures that are included in the year one report and provide and quality of said data. The committee may propose additional metrics and measures for years two and ongoing	at are not limited to, time to blic counter hours, staff h court and the percent of uncil—approved workload de context for data reported
	Status/Timeline: The year one report will be completed February 1, 2023.	
	<i>Fiscal Impact/Resources:</i> Completion of this project will be accomplished with .25 FTE Manager/.50 FTE Senion six months.	or Analyst for a period of
	☐ This project may result in an allocation or distribution of funds to the courts. We will coordinate with Budget Services relevant materials.	to ensure their review of
	Internal/External Stakeholders: Legislature	
	AC Collaboration: TBD/As needed	

¹³ For non-rules and forms projects, select priority level 1 (must be done) or 2 (should be done). For rules and forms proposals, select one of the following priority levels: 1(a) Urgently needed to conform to the law; 1(b) Urgently needed to respond to a recent change in the law; 1(c) Adoption or amendment of rules or forms by a specified date required by statute or council decision; 1(d) Provides significant cost savings and efficiencies, generates significant revenue, or avoids a significant loss of revenue; 1(e) Urgently needed to remedy a problem that is causing significant cost or inconvenience to the courts or the public; 1(f) Otherwise urgent and necessary, such as a proposal that would mitigate exposure to immediate or severe financial or legal risk; 2(a) Useful, but not necessary, to implement statutory changes; 2(b) Helpful in otherwise advancing Judicial Council goals and objectives.

¹⁴ Indicate which goal number of The Strategic Plan for California's Judicial Branch the project most closely aligns.

5.	Project Title: Branchwide Data Collection	Priority ⁵ I
		Strategic Plan Goal ⁶ III, IV
	Project Summary ⁷ : The Judicial Council is required to survey the business of the courts. Branch data collection leaders of trends and to make business decisions based on data. The committee should review and make policy statewide data collection, including trial court data collection via the Judicial Branch Statistical Information Systems	recommendations on

	This project may result in an allocation or distribution of funds to the courts. We will coordinate with Budget Services to relevant materials.	o ensure their review of
	Internal/External Stakeholders: Trial and appellate courts	
	AC Collaboration: JBSIS Subcommittee of CEAC; others TBD	
6.	Project Title: Branchwide Data Analytics Education and Building a Data Analytics Community	Priority ⁵ I
		Strategic Plan Goal ⁶ V
	 Project Summary⁷: As part of its efforts to expand data analytics capacity, the committee should identify branchw opportunities for judges, justices, and court staff to become more conversant in data collection and usage. The command support efforts to foster a branchwide data analytics community. Status/Timeline: Ongoing; the committee should work to develop a data analytics curriculum for court leaders and meetings of court leaders to present on topics of general interest and to determine areas of need; the committee should contain the committee of the committee should be a data focus in its caseflow management course. At year, the committee will prepare a report summarizing activities in this area. 	mmittee should identify ad utilize statewide sould liaison with the
	Fiscal Impact/Resources: TBD; this project will draw on existing resources in the Office of Court Research, incluand .50 FTE senior analysts	uding 0.10 FTE manager
	☐ This project may result in an allocation or distribution of funds to the courts. We will coordinate with Budget Services to relevant materials.	o ensure their review of
	Internal/External Stakeholders: Trial and appellate courts	
	AC Collaboration: CJER, CEAC, TCPJAC	

	Ongoing Projects and Activities ⁴ [Group projects by priority number.]	
1.	Project Title: Report on Standards and Measures (Gov. Code § 77001.5)	Priority ⁵ I
		Strategic Plan Goal ⁶ II

	Ongoing Projects and Activities ⁴ [Group projects by priority number.]	
	Project Summary ⁷ : Government Code section 77001.5 requires the Judicial Council to report to the Legislature annually on judicial administration standards and measures. This reporting requirement carries over from the Workload Assessment Advisory Committee.	
	Status/Timeline: The report will be completed November 1, 2023.	
	Fiscal Impact/Resources: Completion of this project will be accomplished with .25 FTE Senior Analyst/Analyst for a period of three months.	
	This project may result in an allocation or distribution of funds to the courts. We will coordinate with Budget Services to ensure their review of relevant materials.	
	Internal/External Stakeholders: Legislature	
	AC Collaboration: TBD/As needed	
2.	Project Title: Judicial Needs Assessment Report (Gov. Code § 61614(c)(1))	Priority ⁵ I
		Strategic Plan Goal ⁶ III
	Project Summary ⁷ : Government Code section 61614(c)(1) requires the Judicial Council to prepare biennial upd Assessment in even-numbered years. The needs assessment is used as the basis for Budget Change Proposals for subordinate judicial officer conversion requests, and to seek authorization for additional judgeships. The most recent November 2022 to reflect the most current workload measures based on most recent Judicial Workload Study (2)	r new judgeships, ecent report was issued in
	Status/Timeline: The report will be completed November 1, 2024.	
	Fiscal Impact/Resources: Completion of this review requires 0.25 FTE of an analyst (existing position) for a fo	ur-month period of time.
	☐ This project may result in an allocation or distribution of funds to the courts. We will coordinate with Budget Serv relevant materials.	ices to ensure their review of
	Internal/External Stakeholders: Trial Courts, Legislature	
	AC Collaboration: TRD/As needed	

III. LIST OF [PREVIOUS YEAR] PROJECT ACCOMPLISHMENTS

[Provide highlights and achievements of completed projects that were included in the [Previous Year] Annual Agenda.]

#	Project Highlights and Achievements [Provide brief, broad outcome(s) and completed date.]
1.	N/A
2.	
3.	
4.	
5.	