

Appellate Advisory Committee
Annual Agenda—2026–2027
Approved by Rules Committee: October 22, 2026

I. COMMITTEE INFORMATION

Chair: Hon. Allison M. Danner, Associate Justice of the Court of Appeal, Sixth District

Lead Staff: Jeremy T. Varon, Attorney, Legal Services

Advisory Body's Charge/Membership:

[Rule 10.40](#) of the California Rules of Court states the charge of the Appellate Advisory Committee (AAC), which is to make recommendations to the Judicial Council for improving the administration of justice in appellate proceedings and to make proposals on training for justices and appellate support staff to the Center for Judicial Education and Research Advisory Committee. [Rule 10.40\(c\)](#) sets forth the membership positions of the committee. The AAC currently has 20 members. The current committee roster is available on the committee's [webpage](#).

Subgroups of the Advisory Body:

1. Appellate Division Subcommittee
2. Legislation Subcommittee
3. Rules Subcommittee
4. Appellate Record Special Projects Ad Hoc Subcommittee

Advisory Body and Subgroup Meetings Planned for 2026–2027:

- October 2026 (videoconference to review winter cycle proposals)
- February 2027 (in-person to make final recommendations on winter cycle proposals and to review spring cycle proposals)
- July 2027 (videoconference to make final recommendations on spring cycle proposals)
- September 2027 (videoconference to make recommendations on annual agenda)

Subcommittee meetings: One or more teleconference or videoconference meetings of the Rules and Appellate Division subcommittees before each full committee meeting. Legislation Subcommittee to meet as needed to review relevant legislation. Appellate Record Special Projects Ad Hoc Subcommittee to meet as needed to work on rules and forms proposals.

☐ Check here if more than one in-person meeting is approved by the internal committee oversight chair.

II. COMMITTEE PROJECTS

Priority Levels and Branch Goals Key

Priority Levels for Non-Rules/Forms

- 1 – Must be done
- 2 – Should be done

Priority Levels for Rules/Forms Proposals

1a (Legal Compliance) – Proposal urgently needed to conform to or accurately reflect the law.

1b (Council Directive) – Council has directed the committee to consider new or amended rules and forms.

1c (Urgent Remedial Action) – Change is urgently needed to remedy a problem that is causing significant cost or inconvenience to the courts or the public.

1d (Financial/ Legal Risk Mitigation) – Proposal is otherwise urgent and necessary, such as a proposal that would mitigate exposure to immediate or severe financial or legal risk.

2a (Useful Changes in Law) – Useful, but not necessary, to implement changes in law.

2b (Responsive to Concerns) – Responsive to identified concerns or problems.

2c (Helpful Advancing Branch Goals) – Helpful in otherwise advancing Judicial Council goals and objectives

Judicial Branch Strategic Plan–Branch Goals

- I. [Access, Fairness, Diversity, and Inclusion](#)
- II. [Independence and Accountability](#)
- III. [Modernization of Management and Administration](#)
- IV. [Quality of Justice and Service to the Public](#)
- V. [Education for Branchwide Professional Excellence](#)
- VI. [Branchwide Infrastructure for Service Excellence](#)
- VII. [Adequate, Stable, and Predictable Funding for a Fully Functioning Branch](#)

New or One-Time Projects

1. *Project Title:* CEQA Actions: Amend CEQA Rules to Implement New Laws

Priority: 1a

Supported Strategic Plan Branch Goals:

I. Access ☒	II. Independence ☐	III. Modernization ☒	IV. Quality ☐	V. Education ☐	VI. Infrastructure ☐	VII. Funding ☐
--	--	---	---	--	--	--

Project Summary: This is a joint project with the Civil and Small Claims Advisory Committee. Develop rule recommendations as appropriate. [AB 2152](#) (Stats. 2026, ch. 767), [AB 2231](#) (Stats. 2026, ch. 926), [SB 328](#) (Stat. 2026, ch. 785), and [SB 1370](#) (Stats. 2026, ch. 777) add additional project types to the list of projects eligible for judicial streamlining. Two of the bills require the Judicial Council to implement necessary rule amendments by July 1, 2027. Additionally, if [Proposition 45](#) is passed by the voters in November, rule amendments may be needed to implement the portions of the proposition that make additional projects eligible for judicial streamlining. As part of this project, the committee will consider whether the CEQA rules can be changed to reduce the number and extent of amendments required to implement new CEQA legislation.

Status/Timeline: Invitation to comment planned for Winter Cycle, with anticipated effective date of July 1, 2027

Fiscal Impact/Staff Resources: Committee staff, Legal Services

☐ Check this box if this project may result in an allocation or distribution of funds to the courts. *Advisory body staff will coordinate with Budget Services to ensure its review of relevant materials.*

Internal/External Stakeholders: Trial and appellate courts, trial and appellate litigants; all draft proposals will circulate for public comment.

AC Collaboration: Civil and Small Claims Advisory Committee

2. *Project Title:* Clarify Rule 8.104(a)(1)(A)

Priority: 2b

Supported Strategic Plan Branch Goals:

I. Access ☒	II. Independence ☐	III. Modernization ☒	IV. Quality ☒	V. Education ☐	VI. Infrastructure ☐	VII. Funding ☐
--	--	---	--	--	--	--

Project Summary: Consider amendments to rules, like rule 8.104(a)(1)(A), that specify a deadline running from clerk service of the “Notice of Entry” or copy of the judgment to update those provisions to reflect electronic service requirements and practices. This project will take into account the terminology of Code of Civil Procedure sections 1010.6 and 1013b, as well as the conclusions in *Wing Inflatables, Inc v. Certain Underwriters at Lloyd’s* (2025) 112 Cal.App.5th 1108 [order denying motion], and the “single document” rule in *Alan v. American Honda Motor Co., Inc.* (2007) 40 Cal.4th 894, 904.

Status/Timeline: This item was previously included as item 9 on the committee’s approved annual agenda for 2025–2026. After initial subcommittee analysis, the subcommittee deferred the proposal for additional analysis. Invitation to comment planned for Winter Cycle, with anticipated effective date of July 1, 2027.

Fiscal Impact/Staff Resources: Committee staff

☐ Check this box if this project may result in an allocation or distribution of funds to the courts. *Advisory body staff will coordinate with Budget Services to ensure its review of relevant materials.*

Internal/External Stakeholders: Appellate courts, appellate litigants; all draft proposals will circulate for public comment.

AC Collaboration: N/A

3. **Project Title:** Amend Rules on Settled Statements

Priority: 1c

Supported Strategic Plan Branch Goals:

I. Access ☒	II. Independence ☐	III. Modernization ☒	IV. Quality ☒	V. Education ☐	VI. Infrastructure ☐	VII. Funding ☐
--	--	---	--	--	--	--

Project Summary: Consider amending rules and revising forms to clarify the existing process for settled statements. This proposal originated with the Appellate Efficiency Ad Hoc Subcommittee’s work on how to simplify and improve the record preparation process prompted by the suggestions of the Appellate Caseflow Workgroup. This proposal may include changes to civil and family rules and forms. Consider implications of the Supreme Court’s decision in *Family Violence Appellate Project v. Superior Courts of California* (2026) 20 Cal.5th 564 (FVAP) and coordination with the FVAP Working Group.

Status/Timeline: This item was previously included as item 5 on the committee’s approved annual agenda for 2025–2026. After initial subcommittee analysis, the subcommittee deferred the proposal for additional analysis. Invitation to comment planned for Spring Cycle, with anticipated effective date of January 1, 2028. Additional time may be needed based on implications of FVAP.

Fiscal Impact/Staff Resources: Committee staff

☐ Check this box if this project may result in an allocation or distribution of funds to the courts. *Advisory body staff will coordinate with Budget Services to ensure its review of relevant materials.*

Internal/External Stakeholders: Trial and appellate courts, appellate litigants; any proposal will circulate for public comment.

AC Collaboration: Civil and Small Claims Advisory Committee, Family and Juvenile Law Advisory Committee

4. **Project Title: Respondent’s Designation of Reporters’ Transcripts in Code of Civil Procedure section 1294.4 Appeals**

Priority: 2b

Supported Strategic Plan Branch Goals:

I. Access ☒	II. Independence ☐	III. Modernization ☐	IV. Quality ☒	V. Education ☐	VI. Infrastructure ☐	VII. Funding ☐
--	--	--	--	--	--	--

Project Summary: Appeals under Code of Civil Procedure section 1294.4 from an order dismissing or denying a petition to compel arbitration must be decided within 100 days. Under rule 8.713, appellants must file a record designation with the notice of appeal and any reporter’s transcript must be filed within 10 days. However, the rule does not permit respondents to designate any additional reporter’s transcript. This project would consider amending the rule to provide for respondent’s designation and to establish the time for doing so. The project was recommended by a committee member to close a gap in the rule that is reported to have been problematic.

Status/Timeline: This priority 2 project was previously included as project 10 on the committee’s approved annual agenda for 2025–2026. Invitation to comment planned for Spring Cycle, with anticipated effective date of January 1, 2028.

Fiscal Impact/Staff Resources: Committee staff

☐ Check this box if this project may result in an allocation or distribution of funds to the courts. *Advisory body staff will coordinate with Budget Services to ensure its review of relevant materials.*

Internal/External Stakeholders: Appellate courts, appellate litigants; all draft proposals will circulate for public comment.

AC Collaboration: Civil and Small Claims Advisory Committee; Court Executives Advisory Committee; Information Technology Advisory Committee

5. Project Title: Clarify the Format Requirements that Apply to Both Paper and E-Filed Briefs

Priority: 2b

Supported Strategic Plan Branch Goals:

I. Access ☐	II. Independence ☐	III. Modernization ☒	IV. Quality ☒	V. Education ☐	VI. Infrastructure ☐	VII. Funding ☐
---------------------------------------	--	---	--	--	--	--

Project Summary: Consider amending rule 8.204(b) to clarify the formatting requirements that apply to both paper and e-filed briefs. This project was suggested by a committee member.

Status/Timeline: This priority 2 project was previously included as project 13 on the committee’s approved agenda for 2025–2026 but action on the project was deferred. Invitation to comment planned for Spring Cycle, with anticipated effective date of January 1, 2028.

Fiscal Impact/Staff Resources: Committee staff

☐ Check this box if this project may result in an allocation or distribution of funds to the courts. *Advisory body staff will coordinate with Budget Services to ensure its review of relevant materials.*

Internal/External Stakeholders: Trial and appellate courts, appellate litigants; any proposal will circulate for public comment.

AC Collaboration: N/A

6. Project Title: Revise Form JV-822

Priority: 2b

Supported Strategic Plan Branch Goals:

I. Access ☒	II. Independence ☐	III. Modernization ☒	IV. Quality ☒	V. Education ☐	VI. Infrastructure ☐	VII. Funding ☐
--	--	---	--	--	--	--

Project Summary: Consider revising the *Notice of Intent to File Writ Petition and Request for Record to Review Order Designating or Denying Specific Placement of a Dependent Child After Termination of Parental Rights* (form JV-822) to more accurately reflect rule 8.456 of the California Rules of Court. This project was suggested by a staff attorney of a superior court.

Status/Timeline: This priority 2 project was previously included as project 14 on the committee’s approved agenda for 2025–2026 but action on the project was deferred. Invitation to comment planned for Spring Cycle, with anticipated effective date of January 1, 2028.

Fiscal Impact/Staff Resources: Committee staff, Center for Families, Children & the Courts

☐ Check this box if this project may result in an allocation or distribution of funds to the courts. *Advisory body staff will coordinate with Budget Services to ensure its review of relevant materials.*

Internal/External Stakeholders: Trial and appellate courts, appellate litigants; all draft proposals will circulate for public comment.

AC Collaboration: Family and Juvenile Law Advisory Committee

7. **Project Title: Amend the Filing Fee Recipient in Rule 8.100**

Priority: 2b

Supported Strategic Plan Branch Goals:

I. Access ☒	II. Independence ☐	III. Modernization ☒	IV. Quality ☒	V. Education ☐	VI. Infrastructure ☐	VII. Funding ☐
--	--	---	--	--	--	--

Project Summary: Consider amending rule 8.100 of the California Rules of Court to require the fee for filing a notice of appeal be paid to the Court of Appeal instead of the Superior Court. This change was suggested by the California Academy of Appellate Lawyers.

Status/Timeline: This priority 2 project was previously included as project 15 on the committee’s approved agenda for 2025–2026 but action on the project was deferred. Invitation to comment planned for Spring Cycle, with anticipated effective date of January 1, 2028.

Fiscal Impact/Staff Resources: Committee staff

☐ Check this box if this project may result in an allocation or distribution of funds to the courts. *Advisory body staff will coordinate with Budget Services to ensure its review of relevant materials.*

Internal/External Stakeholders: Appellate courts, appellate litigants; superior courts; all draft proposals will circulate for public comment.

AC Collaboration: Court Executives Advisory Committee

8. Project Title: Remove the Requirement to Cite Reporter's Transcript Volume Number in Rule 8.204(a)(1)(C)

Priority: 2b

Supported Strategic Plan Branch Goals:

I. Access ☒	II. Independence ☐	III. Modernization ☒	IV. Quality ☒	V. Education ☐	VI. Infrastructure ☐	VII. Funding ☐
--	--	---	--	--	--	--

Project Summary: Consider amending rule 8.204(a)(1)(C) of the California Rules of Court to allow citations to the reporter's transcript to not include the volume number. This change was suggested by a committee member.

Status/Timeline: Invitation to comment planned for Spring Cycle, with anticipated effective date of January 1, 2028.

Fiscal Impact/Staff Resources: Committee staff

☐ Check this box if this project may result in an allocation or distribution of funds to the courts. *Advisory body staff will coordinate with Budget Services to ensure its review of relevant materials.*

Internal/External Stakeholders: Appellate courts, appellate litigants; all draft proposals will circulate for public comment

AC Collaboration: N/A

9. Project Title: Electronic Exhibits in the Court of Appeal **(PLACEHOLDER)**

Priority: 2b

Supported Strategic Plan Branch Goals:

I. Access ☒	II. Independence ☐	III. Modernization ☒	IV. Quality ☒	V. Education ☐	VI. Infrastructure ☐	VII. Funding ☐
--	--	---	--	--	--	--

Project Summary: Consider what action, if any, such as education, rule adoption or rule amendment, is necessary to clarify requirements for use of an electronic exhibits platform and increase the efficiency of the transmission of exhibits in the Court of Appeal. This change was suggested by the executive officer of one of the courts of appeal. Clarification of the rules with respect to electronic exhibits was also suggested during the Appellate Efficiency Ad Hoc Subcommittee’s work on how to simplify/improve the record preparation process prompted by the suggestions of the Appellate Caseflow Workgroup.

Status/Timeline: Pending finalization of electronic exhibit platform in the Court of Appeal. This priority 2 project was previously approved by the Rules Committee as a placeholder, with a recommendation that work be deferred until finalization of electronic exhibit platform in the Court of Appeal.

Fiscal Impact/Staff Resources: Committee staff

☐ Check this box if this project may result in an allocation or distribution of funds to the courts. *Advisory body staff will coordinate with Budget Services to ensure its review of relevant materials.*

Internal/External Stakeholders: Appellate courts, appellate litigants; all draft proposals will circulate for public comment.

AC Collaboration: Information Technology Advisory Committee

10. Project Title: Amend Rules to Expand Privacy Protections

Priority: 2b

Supported Strategic Plan Branch Goals:

I. Access ☒	II. Independence ☐	III. Modernization ☐	IV. Quality ☒	V. Education ☐	VI. Infrastructure ☐	VII. Funding ☐
--	--	--	--	--	--	--

Project Summary: Consider amending rule 8.90 of the California Rules of Court to expand privacy protections to include all documents filed in the publicly accessible record. This project was suggested by a committee member.

Status/Timeline: This priority 2 project to improve privacy protections is included on the annual agenda as a two-year project, with an anticipated effective date of January 1, 2029.

Fiscal Impact/Staff Resources: Committee staff

☐ Check this box if this project may result in an allocation or distribution of funds to the courts. *Advisory body staff will coordinate with Budget Services to ensure its review of relevant materials.*

Internal/External Stakeholders: Appellate courts, appellate litigants; all draft proposals will circulate for public comment.

AC Collaboration: N/A

11. Project Title: Adopt Rule for Notices of Appeal Following Amended Judgments or Orders

Priority: 2b

Supported Strategic Plan Branch Goals:

I. Access ☒	II. Independence ☐	III. Modernization ☐	IV. Quality ☒	V. Education ☐	VI. Infrastructure ☐	VII. Funding ☐
--	--	--	--	--	--	--

Project Summary: Consider adopting a new rule of court to establish what circumstances require a new notice of appeal following an amended judgment or order. This project was suggested by a committee member.

Status/Timeline: This priority 2 project is included on the annual agenda as a two-year project, with an anticipated effective date of January 1, 2029.

Fiscal Impact/Staff Resources: Committee staff

☐ Check this box if this project may result in an allocation or distribution of funds to the courts. *Advisory body staff will coordinate with Budget Services to ensure its review of relevant materials.*

Internal/External Stakeholders: Trial and appellate courts, appellate litigants; all draft proposals will circulate for public comment.

AC Collaboration: N/A

12. Project Title: Clarify Rule 8.212(a)(1) in Cases Where Appellate Attaches Transcript in Lieu of Deposit

Priority: 2b

Supported Strategic Plan Branch Goals:

I. Access ☒	II. Independence ☐	III. Modernization ☒	IV. Quality ☒	V. Education ☐	VI. Infrastructure ☐	VII. Funding ☐
--	--	---	--	--	--	--

Project Summary: Consider amending rule 8.212(a)(1) of the California Rules of Court to clarify what deadline applies to the appellant's opening brief in cases where the appellant designates a reporter's transcript and appendix and attaches the certified transcript to the designation in lieu of a deposit. This project was suggested by a committee member.

Status/Timeline: This priority 2 project is included on the annual agenda as a two-year project, with an anticipated effective date of January 1, 2029.

Fiscal Impact/Staff Resources: Committee staff

☐ Check this box if this project may result in an allocation or distribution of funds to the courts. *Advisory body staff will coordinate with Budget Services to ensure its review of relevant materials.*

Internal/External Stakeholders: Appellate courts, appellate litigants; all draft proposals will circulate for public comment.

AC Collaboration: N/A

Ongoing Projects and Activities

1. *Project Title:* Improve Rules and Forms

Priority: 1

Supported Strategic Plan Branch Goals:

I. Access ☒	II. Independence ☐	III. Modernization ☒	IV. Quality ☒	V. Education ☐	VI. Infrastructure ☐	VII. Funding ☐
--	--	---	--	--	--	--

Project Summary: Working through the Rules Subcommittee and the Appellate Division Subcommittee, review case law changes that impact appellate courts and appellate procedure and suggestions from committee members, judicial officers, court staff, the bar, and the public concerning appellate rules and forms and appellate administration. Make recommendations to the Judicial Council for necessary changes to appellate rules, standards, and forms (rule 10.21).

Status/Timeline: Ongoing

Fiscal Impact/Staff Resources: Committee staff; potentially others depending on the project.

☐ Check this box if this project may result in an allocation or distribution of funds to the courts. *Advisory body staff will coordinate with Budget Services to ensure its review of relevant materials.*

Internal/External Stakeholders: Depends on the project; all draft proposals circulate for public comment.

AC Collaboration: As appropriate, depending on the project.

2. *Project Title:* Review Pending Legislation

Priority: 1

Supported Strategic Plan Branch Goals:

I. Access ☐	II. Independence ☐	III. Modernization ☒	IV. Quality ☒	V. Education ☐	VI. Infrastructure ☐	VII. Funding ☐
---------------------------------------	--	---	--	--	--	--

Project Summary: *Project Summary:* Working through the Legislative Subcommittee, review pending legislation affecting appellate procedure and court administration and make recommendations to the Legislation Committee as to whether the Judicial Council should support or oppose the legislation (rule 10.34).

Status/Timeline: Ongoing

Fiscal Impact/Staff Resources: Committee staff, Governmental Affairs

☐ Check this box if this project may result in an allocation or distribution of funds to the courts. *Advisory body staff will coordinate with Budget Services to ensure its review of relevant materials.*

Internal/External Stakeholders: California Legislature

AC Collaboration: As appropriate, depending on subject matter and scope of legislation. In the past, the committee has collaborated with the Civil and Small Claims Advisory Committee and the Criminal Law Advisory Committee.

3. **Project Title:** Review Enacted Legislation

Priority: 1

Supported Strategic Plan Branch Goals:

I. Access ☐	II. Independence ☐	III. Modernization ☒	IV. Quality ☒	V. Education ☐	VI. Infrastructure ☐	VII. Funding ☐
---------------------------------------	--	---	--	--	--	--

Project Summary: *Project Summary:* Review enacted legislation that may have an impact on appellate procedure and court administration, and, where appropriate, propose to the Judicial Council rules and forms to implement the legislation or to bring rules and forms into conformity with it.

Status/Timeline: Ongoing

Fiscal Impact/Staff Resources: Committee staff, Governmental Affairs

☐ Check this box if this project may result in an allocation or distribution of funds to the courts. *Advisory body staff will coordinate with Budget Services to ensure its review of relevant materials.*

Internal/External Stakeholders: N/A

AC Collaboration: As appropriate, depending on the legislation.

4. Project Title: Provide Subject-Matter Expertise

Priority: 1

Supported Strategic Plan Branch Goals:

I. Access ☒	II. Independence ☐	III. Modernization ☒	IV. Quality ☒	V. Education ☐	VI. Infrastructure ☐	VII. Funding ☐
--	--	---	--	--	--	--

Project Summary: Serve as subject matter resource for the Judicial Council, its internal committees, other advisory bodies, and Judicial Council staff to support legal work, and to avoid duplication of efforts and contribute to the development of recommendations for council action. Such efforts may include providing appellate procedural expertise and review to working groups, advisory committees, and subcommittees as needed.

Status/Timeline: Ongoing

Fiscal Impact/Staff Resources: Governmental Affairs, Legal Services, Criminal Justice Services, and Center for Families, Children & the Courts

☐ Check this box if this project may result in an allocation or distribution of funds to the courts. *Advisory body staff will coordinate with Budget Services to ensure its review of relevant materials.*

Internal/External Stakeholders: N/A

AC Collaboration: As appropriate, depending on the project for which advice or consultation was requested.

5. Project Title: Rules and Forms: Miscellaneous Technical Changes

Priority: 2a

Supported Strategic Plan Branch Goals:

I. Access ☐	II. Independence ☐	III. Modernization ☒	IV. Quality ☒	V. Education ☐	VI. Infrastructure ☐	VII. Funding ☐
---------------------------------------	--	---	--	--	--	--

Project Summary: Develop rule and form changes as necessary to correct technical errors meeting the criteria of rule 10.22(d)(2): “a nonsubstantive technical change or correction or a minor substantive change that is unlikely to create controversy”

Status/Timeline: Ongoing

Fiscal Impact/Staff Resources: Committee staff

☐ Check this box if this project may result in an allocation or distribution of funds to the courts. *Advisory body staff will coordinate with Budget Services to ensure its review of relevant materials.*

Internal/External Stakeholders: N/A

AC Collaboration: N/A

6. Project Title: Update Judicial Council form content to enhance accessibility

Priority: 2a

Supported Strategic Plan Branch Goals:

I. Access ☒	II. Independence ☐	III. Modernization ☒	IV. Quality ☒	V. Education ☐	VI. Infrastructure ☐	VII. Funding ☐
--	--	---	--	--	--	--

Project Summary: As forms are developed or updated, the committee will continue to enhance accessibility features. Updates may include reordering or rephrasing items to improve useability and revising forms to clarify instructions, headings, or form field descriptions (i.e., labels).

Status/Timeline: Ongoing

Fiscal Impact/Staff Resources: Committee staff

☐ Check this box if this project may result in an allocation or distribution of funds to the courts. *Advisory body staff will coordinate with Budget Services to ensure its review of relevant materials.*

Internal/External Stakeholders: Courts and court users; all draft proposals will circulate for public comment.

AC Collaboration: As appropriate based on the affected forms.

III. LIST OF 2025–2026 PROJECT ACCOMPLISHMENTS

Project Highlights and Achievements

1. *Racial Justice Act: Revise Rules and Forms.* The committee, jointly with the Criminal Law Advisory Committee, recommended amending the California Rules of Court and revising forms to implement new Racial Justice Act legislation and address concerns raised by Court of Appeal staff.
2. *CEQA Actions: Implementation of Streamlining Legislation.* The committee, jointly with the Civil and Small Claims Advisory Committee, recommended amending the California Rules of Court governing the expedited resolution of actions and proceedings brought under the California Environmental Quality Act to implement new legislation.
3. *Appellate Caseflow Workgroup Recommendations Regarding Record Preparation.* The committee recommended amending the California Rules of Court and form changes to improve the appellate record preparation process by establishing a general requirement to use appendixes in civil appeals, clarifying rules and forms for the record designation process, and clarifying the rule on what must be included in the normal felony appellate record.
4. *Amend Rules on Settled Statements.* The committee began work to develop a recommendation for clarifying rule 8.137 of the California Rules of Court.
5. *Clarify Rule 8.140.* The committee recommended amending the Advisory Committee Comment to rule 8.140 of the California Rules of Court to clarify what acts are required or necessary to procure the record.
6. *Form for Court to Issue an Order on an Extension of Time Application in the Appellate Division.* The committee recommended approving a new optional form for courts to use to issue an order on an of extension of time application in misdemeanor, infraction, and limited civil appeals.
7. *Clarify Rule 8.104(a)(1)(A).* The committee began work to develop a recommendation for clarifying rule 8.104(a)(1)(A) of the California Rules of Court.
8. *Amend the File Size Limit for Electronic Filings in the Court of Appeal.* Together with the Information Technology Advisory Committee, the committee recommended amending rule 8.74 of the California Rules of Court to increase the file size limit from 25 megabytes to 100 megabytes in the Court of Appeal.
9. *Review of Pending Legislation.* The committee reviewed and made recommendations regarding council position on bills with potential impacts on appellate proceedings.