



Judicial Council of California

CALIFORNIA'S ACCESS TO VISITATION GRANT PROGRAM FOR ENHANCING RESPONSIBILITY AND OPPORTUNITY FOR NONRESIDENTIAL PARENTS

Grant Application for Fiscal Years 2027–28, 2028–29, and 2029–30

Release Date: September 2026

Grant Application Deadline: Applications must be submitted through [Submit.com](https://submit.com) by 5:00 p.m. (Pacific Time) on Wednesday, October 28, 2026.

Grant Applicant Webinars: To support applicants, two optional informational webinars will be offered:

- **Tuesday, September 22, 2026, from 10:00–11:30 a.m.:** [Register for the September 22 webinar](#)
- **Wednesday, October 7, 2026, from 2:00–3:30 p.m.:** [Register for the October 7 webinar](#)

Application Materials: All required documents, including the Grant Application Instructions and associated forms, are available on the [Access to Visitation website](#) (click on Grant Application Instructions). If you cannot find a document template, please email Jodi at Jodi.Mulligan-Pfile@jud.ca.gov.

CFCC GRANT APPLICATION—FISCAL YEARS 2027–2028 THROUGH 2029–2030

Access to Visitation Grant Application

Judicial Council of California, Center for Families, Children & the Courts invites superior courts to apply for funding for fiscal years 2027–28 through 2029–30. The Access to Visitation Grant Program supports services that help enable noncustodial parents and their children to participate in supervised visitation and exchange services, education programs, and group counseling. This document provides the information needed to prepare and submit a grant application. Terms, conditions, and reporting requirements are available on the [Access to Visitation website](#).

Program Description

Under the federal Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act (PRWORA) of 1996,¹ the Grants for States for Child Access and Visitation Program helps states support and facilitate visitation between noncustodial parents and their children. The Judicial Council applies annually for these federal funds and awards them to superior courts throughout California. The Access to Visitation Grant Program aims to ensure accessible and consistent services statewide for low-income families involved in family court custody and visitation matters.

Amount of Funds Available

Subject to federal funding, approximately \$655,000 is awarded statewide each year. Courts receiving funds must provide a 20 percent (non-federal) match.

Grant Application Eligibility

All California superior courts are eligible to apply. Family law divisions must administer the program, and the applicant court serves as the designated lead agency responsible for project coordination and acting as the primary liaison with Judicial Council program staff. Nonprofit agencies may participate only as part of the court's application. Funding amount eligibility is provided in Attachment C.

Submission Deadline: Submit applications through [Submit.com](#) by Wednesday, October 28, 2026, at 5:00 p.m.

Contact Information: For questions about these instructions or grant applications, contact Jodi Mulligan-Pfile, Access to Visitation Grant Program Coordinator, at (916) 643-8004 or email Jodi.Mulligan-Pfile@jud.ca.gov.

¹Pub. L. 104–193 (Aug. 22, 1996) 110 Stat. 2258.

Table of Contents

CFCC Grant Application—Fiscal Years 2027–2028 Through 2029–2030.....	2
1.0 Introduction.....	4
2.0 Grant Terms and Conditions.....	6
3.0 Grant Application Submission Instructions.....	6
4.0 Evaluation and Review Process for the Grant Applications.....	7
Attachment A.....	10
Attachment B.....	13
Attachment C.....	15

1.0 INTRODUCTION

1.1 Federal Child Access and Visitation Grant Program

On August 22, 1996, the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act (PRWORA) of 1996 (Pub. L. 104–193 (Aug. 22, 1996) 110 Stat. 2258) was signed into law. Since 1997, Congress has authorized \$10 million in block grants, called Grants to States for Child Access and Visitation, to help states establish programs that support and facilitate visitation between noncustodial parents and their children.

The Judicial Council must apply annually to the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, Administration for Children and Families, Office of Child Support Services (formerly the Office of Child Support Enforcement),² under section 469B of the Social Security Act (42 U.S.C. § 669b), for federal Child Access and Visitation Grant Program funds³ and award those funds to California superior courts.

The federal program is a formula grant, with funding allocations based on the number of single-parent households in each state. States must provide a 10 percent match to be eligible. California’s Access to Visitation Grant Program requires an additional 10 percent match, for a total of 20 percent. The additional 10 percent match by the court and/or its subcontractor supports long-term program sustainability.

Under California’s state funding structure, the Access to Visitation Grant is awarded to the superior courts through a competitive application process. Applicants are encouraged to involve multiple courts and/or agencies, with one court designated as the lead applicant. Superior courts may contract with community-based justice partners (i.e., subcontractor agencies) to deliver direct services, but Judicial Council contracts are executed only with the designated superior court. Grant recipient courts must enter into a contract or Memorandum of Understanding with their subcontractor(s).

Each partnering court operates its own stand-alone court-based program under the umbrella of the lead applicant court. The lead court administers and coordinates the project and serves as the primary liaison with Judicial Council program staff. Subcontractor agencies provide direct service with the court.

1.2 Federal and State Program Goals

The federal goal of the Child Access and Visitation Grant Program is to “remove barriers and increase opportunities for biological parents who are not living in the same household as their children to become more involved in their children’s lives.”⁴ Under federal statute, grant funds may support activities such as mediation, counseling, parent education, parenting plan development, visitation enforcement (including monitoring, supervision, and neutral drop-off and pick-up), and development of guidelines for alternative custody arrangements.⁵

²Fam. Code, § 3204(a).

³Fam. Code, § 3204(a).

⁴42 U.S.C. § 669b.

⁵Ibid.

State law limits the use of funds to three types of program areas:⁶

1. Supervised visitation and exchange services;
2. Education about protecting children during family disruption; and
3. Group counseling services for parents and children.

California's Access to Visitation Grant Program goals are to provide supervised visitation, education, and group counseling—regardless of marital status or living status—and to promote healthy relationships between noncustodial parents and their children while ensuring children's health, safety, and welfare.⁷ The program is designed to support noncustodial parents' access and visitation; all other benefits are secondary outcomes.

1.3 Grant Applicant Eligibility

All California superior courts are eligible to apply. Family law divisions must administer the programs. Applicants should collaborate with other courts and agencies in other jurisdictions, with one court designated as the lead administering court. Nonprofit agencies wishing to participate must do so as part of the courts' Access to Visitation Grant application.

1.4 Grant Award Period

Subject to availability of federal funding, selected courts will receive funding for three years (on an annual basis), from April 1, 2027, through March 31, 2030. Contract agreements will be written as single-year contracts, renewable based on available funds.

1.5 Grant Funding Amounts

Funding amounts are based on an [allocation methodology](#) adopted by the Judicial Council. Courts are placed into one of three categories: up to \$45,000, \$60,000, and \$100,000. Two U.S. Census factors determine the category:

- (1) Number of single-parent households in the county.
- (2) Number of individuals below the federal poverty level.⁸

See Attachment C for eligibility details.

1.6 Eligible Grant Recipient of Services (Target Population)

Proposed services must support low-income parents, separated, separating, divorced, or unmarried parents and their children who are participating in custody and visitation proceedings under the Family Code. Grant funds can only be used to serve noncustodial parents.⁹ Funds may

⁶Fam. Code, § 3204(b)(1).

⁷Ibid.

⁸American Community Survey (ACS) 5-Year Estimates, 2020–2024 (U.S. Census Bureau), are used to determine a court's grant funding category. See Attachment C.

⁹Supervised visitation and exchange services are intended to serve the needs of the noncustodial parent and not custodial parents, grandparents, distant relatives, etc. According to the federal goal of the grant program, the Child Access and Visitation Grant Program is intended to increase opportunities for biological parents who are not living in the same household as their children to become involved in their children's lives. The child being in the temporary custody of the grandparent does not mean that the grandparent is the parent—they are seen as temporary custodians. The child still has a noncustodial parent (NCP), and the grant is intended to provide services for the NCP and not the grandparent.

not be used for dependency cases or as part of any dependency proceedings, or for Tribes or Tribal Courts, or to provide off-site or in-home supervised visitation services.

1.7 Midyear Reallocation

The federal Office of Child Support Services requires states to spend their full grant allocations. Unused funds do not carry over to the next fiscal year and revert to the federal government. Judicial Council staff will conduct a midyear reallocation and may redistribute funds among other grantees to ensure full expenditure.

Courts will receive a midyear questionnaire to evaluate court/subcontractor funding needs and determine whether they will use their full allocation. Reallocation decisions require Judicial Council approval.

2.0 GRANT TERMS AND CONDITIONS

2.1 Grant Compliance Requirements

Grant recipients must meet all federal and state grant requirements and comply with the terms and conditions of the standard contract agreement. The recipient court and each subcontractor agency must follow the plan proposed in the grant application unless a modification is approved by the Judicial Council.

Court and subcontractors must submit quarterly statistical reports and bi-annual progress summary reports, following guidelines provided by Judicial Council program staff. Failure to meet grant requirements may result in contract termination and reallocation of funds. **The Judicial Council may decline to award or may terminate funding for programs that do not comply with grant requirements.**

2.2 Standards of Practice for Providers of Supervised Visitation

All supervised visitation and exchange programs funded under this grant must comply with Family Code section 3200.5 and the Uniform Standards of Practice for Providers of Supervised Visitation (Standard 5.20 of the California Standards of Judicial Administration).¹⁰ These standards are available on the [Judicial Council website](#).

3.0 GRANT APPLICATION SUBMISSION INSTRUCTIONS

3.1 How to Apply

Grant applications must be completed through [Submit.com](#), including all required attachments, and be signed by the appropriate authorized court representative.

3.2 Instructions and Deadline for Submission of Grant Applications

Applications must be submitted through Submit.com by 5:00 p.m. on **Wednesday, October 28, 2026**. Please submit your application online through [Submit.com](#). **Late applications will not be accepted.**

¹⁰Fam. Code, § 3202(a).

3.3 Grant Applicant Webinar Sessions

Judicial Council program staff will offer two optional informational webinars for interested applicants:

- Tuesday, September 22, 2026, from 10:00–11:30 a.m.: [Register for the September 22 webinar](#)
- Wednesday, October 7, 2026, from 2:00–3:30 p.m.: [Register for the October 7 webinar](#)

Participants should register using the links provided above. Webinar instructions will be emailed prior to the session. Participants may join via Zoom using a computer, mobile device, or by phone.

Applicants, including community-based partners and co-applicants, are asked to submit questions in advance through the Zoom registration links above. Questions must be received by:

- Monday, September 21, 2026, by 3:00 p.m., for the September 22 webinar; and
- Tuesday, October 6, 2026, by 3:00 p.m., for the October 7 webinar.

Questions should reference the grant application page number and section. Judicial Council staff may paraphrase or consolidate questions. Questions and answers will be emailed to registrants within one week following the webinars.

4.0 EVALUATION AND REVIEW PROCESS FOR THE GRANT APPLICATIONS

4.1 Grant Review Process

Grant funds will be awarded to approve as many requests as possible while ensuring proposed services align with program goals.¹¹ To maintain a fair and unbiased selection process, Judicial Council program staff will convene a Grant Review Group (GRG) to read, score, and evaluate applications according to the established criteria.

GRG results will be submitted to the Family and Juvenile Law Advisory Committee, which will make grant funding recommendations to the Judicial Council. Program staff will not participate in evaluating or scoring applications.

4.2 Grant Application Evaluation Criteria and Scoring

Applications will be reviewed in accordance with criteria outlined in Attachment A and read in full to assess program design and operations. Reviewers will score each application based on responsiveness.

Recommendations will consider more than numeric scores; statewide geographic diversity, including court size and population, will also be taken into account. The Judicial Council makes the final award decisions.

Below is a summary of the scoring system for evaluating grant applications. A total of 105 points (including bonus points) will be scored under this grant application as follows:

1. Grant application narrative section (total of 100 points)

¹¹Fam. Code, § 3204(b)(2).

- a. Strength of Program Services (50 points)
 - b. Strength of Partnerships (20 points)
 - c. Evaluation (20 points)
 - d. Budget (10 points)
2. Bonus points for multiple court and subcontractor collaborations (5 points)
 - a. **Multicourt collaboration:** a lead applicant court partnering with multiple courts and/or counties from other jurisdictions.
 - b. **Multiagency collaboration:** a single applicant court partnering with two or more local service providers.
 - c. Multiagency collaboration does not mean multiple site locations.

4.3 Timeline

A tentative timeline of activities is provided below. All dates are subject to change.

Dates	Grant Activities
September 2026	Release of grant application
September 21 and October 6, 2026, by 3:00 p.m.	Deadline to submit questions for the grant applicant webinars, through the Zoom registration links
September 22 and October 7, 2026	Grant applicant webinars Register for the September 22 webinar or register for the October 7 webinar . Zoom instructions will be sent to registrants.
October 28, 2026	Grant applications must be received through Submit.com by 5:00 p.m.
November–December 2026	Review, selection, and recommendation of grant awards
December 2026	Family and Juvenile Law Advisory Committee meeting
February 2027	Judicial Council meeting
March 2027	Notification of grant awards
March 2027	Request to submit revised court/subcontractor budgets for contract agreements for the fiscal year 2027–28 funding period
April 1, 2027	Grant period begins (fiscal year 2027–28)

4.4 Notification of Grant Awards

The Judicial Council determines the final number and amount of grants awarded to the superior courts. All courts that submit an application will be notified in writing once funding allocations are approved. Courts receiving an award will have the option to accept or decline their grant award.

If a selected court declines its award after Judicial Council approval but before the funding contract is executed, the funds will be distributed to the next-ranking court. If a court declines an award during the Access to Visitation (AV) funding period, the funds will be redistributed

through the midyear reallocation process to courts already approved for AV funding. Redistribution will be based on a needs assessment of all requesting courts, and courts will have an opportunity to submit a justification for any additional funds requested.

Before executing the contract, grant recipient courts must certify that they have secured a subcontractor.

ATTACHMENT A

Access to Visitation Grant Program Grant Application Reviewer Rating and Scoring Sheet Fiscal Years 2027–28 Through 2029–30

Date of Review: _____

Lead Applicant Court: Superior Court of California, County of _____

Funds Requested: _____

GRG Group Number: _____

GRG Reviewer Number: _____

Summary of Possible Scores

Category	Total Possible Score
A. Strength of Program Services	50 points
B. Strength of Partnerships	20 points
C. Evaluation	20 points
D. Budget	10 points
E. Bonus	5 points
Total	105 points

Each category contains questions assigned specific point values. Numeric scores alone do not determine funding recommendations; Judicial Council also considers statewide geographic diversity, including population and court size. The Judicial Council makes all final funding decisions.

Project Narrative Scoring

Question numbers refer to the questions in the Submit.com application form.

Project Narrative	Review Questions	Points
Strength of Program Services (Question 31)	A. Will the program start on time? If not, does the applicant provide a reasonable explanation regarding why?	5 points

Project Narrative	Review Questions	Points
Need for Proposed Services (Questions 32–34)	B. Does the application support the goals of the AV program by increasing noncustodial parents’ access to and visitation with their children? C. Does the application describe a particular need for AV services for the target population? D. Does the application describe any innovative or unique aspects of their program?	20 points
Program Service Delivery (Questions 35–38)	E. Does the application describe how AV services will remove barriers to visitation for families, including: location, eligibility, outreach, and cultural competency?	15 points
Safety and Security Policies/Procedures (Questions 39–42)	F. Does the application describe safety and security policies and procedures for compliance with Standard 5.20 that ensure safeguards are in place for serving clients? G. Does the application describe safety screening measures and other protocols that identify and protect victims of domestic violence in detail?	10 points
Strength of Partnerships (Questions 43, 44)	A. Do the proposed AV services appear to complement existing court-based programs and services? B. Does the application describe the applicant’s planned coordination and partnership with other existing government, community-based, or domestic violence services?	10 points
Experience (Questions 45, 46)	C. Does the application describe the applicant’s background, experience, and training of staff to provide the AV services? If the applicant does not have that expertise, does the applicant describe a plan to obtain the necessary experience and expertise? D. Does the application describe the subcontractor agency’s experience and expertise in providing AV services? If a subcontractor agency does not have that expertise, how does the applicant describe a plan to obtain the necessary experience and expertise?	10 points
Evaluation (Questions 28.5, 28.10, 47)	A. Does the application describe how the applicant will evaluate the AV services to determine client satisfaction and how program effectiveness and efficiency will be measured? B. If the applicant is new, does the application describe how outcomes will be measured and evaluated? If the applicant has received an AV grant in the past, does the application describe how the applicant has made changes in service delivery?	15 points
Sustainability (Questions 49, 50)	C. Does the application describe a sustainability plan that includes the applicant’s other sources of support, and whether the applicant has sought additional sources of funding?	5 points

Project Narrative	Review Questions	Points
Budget (Question 48 and Attachments B1–B3, Questions 51–53)	A. Does the application’s budget show funds allocated to minimize administrative costs and support direct services to clients as an efficient use of funds? B. Does the application describe how the applicant court and subcontractor plan to monitor compliance with statutory and grant requirements?	10 points
Bonus Points (Questions 8–24; multicourt and multiagency collaborations only)	A. Does the application describe multicourt and multiagency collaborations?	5 points
Total Points		105 points

End of Attachment A

ATTACHMENT B

California's Access to Visitation Grant Program Grant Application—Court and Subcontractor Budget Form Fiscal Years 2027–28 Through 2029–30

Use **Attachment B1: Court and Subcontractor Budget Form** (Excel) and **Attachment B, Tables B2 and B3** (Excel), available on the [Access to Visitation website](#). Upload the completed files in Submit.com (Questions 51–53). The tables below show the required format.

Project Budget Form (Required—All Applicants)

This grant is reimbursement-based. Courts must submit monthly invoices for approved allowable expenses incurred. Grant funds will be disbursed monthly once staff has determined that the claims comply with all state and federal grant reporting requirements. The applicant must complete sections B1 through B3 as part of the project budget for this application.

B1: Program Budget

The applicant must include a budget and written budget narrative regarding projected program costs and expenditures. Complete Attachment B1: Court and Subcontractor Budget Form for the court and subcontractor program budget. The budget is the funding allocation plan that outlines how grant funds will be spent during the grant period. The budget request under this application is for the first contract year only (April 1, 2027–March 31, 2028). The applicant must submit a new budget form each fiscal year for purposes of the contract agreement covering the three-year funding cycle.

B2: Summary of Client Services

Please complete the chart below.

AV Program Service Areas	Name of Applicant Court	Subcontractor 1	Subcontractor 2	Subcontractor 3	Total Number of Clients to Be Served	Total Number of Hours of Client Services
Supervised visitation						
Supervised exchange						
Parent education						
Group counseling						
Total						

B3: Summary of Court and Subcontractor Program Budget

Complete the chart below for the court and subcontractor program budget costs and expenditures based on Attachment B1.

AV Program Expenses	AV Funds to Court	AV Funds to Sub 1	AV Funds to Sub 2	AV Funds to Sub 3	Match Court	Match Sub 1	Match Sub 2	Match Sub 3	Totals
Court Salaries		N/A	N/A	N/A		N/A	N/A	N/A	
Court Fringe Benefits		N/A	N/A	N/A					

AV Program Expenses	AV Funds to Court	AV Funds to Sub 1	AV Funds to Sub 2	AV Funds to Sub 3	Match Court	Match Sub 1	Match Sub 2	Match Sub 3	Totals
Subcontractor Hourly Costs (total amount for all positions including fringe benefits)	N/A								
Indirect Costs (total amount for all items)		N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Other Direct Costs (total)									
Travel and Training									
Total Expenses									
Total Program Income	N/A								

ATTACHMENT C

California's Access to Visitation Grant Program Grant Application List of Courts and Grant Eligibility Amount Fiscal Years 2027–28 Through 2029–30

County	Single-Parent Households (Number)	Single-Parent Households (% of Total)	Individuals Below Poverty Level (Number)	Individuals Below Poverty Level (% of Total)	Average % of Total	Rank	Grant Eligibility Amount
Los Angeles	533,836	25.52%	1,326,437	28.63%	27.08%	1	\$100,000
San Diego	144,414	6.90%	328,769	7.10%	7.00%	2	\$100,000
San Bernardino	150,469	7.19%	287,814	6.21%	6.70%	3	\$100,000
Orange	127,785	6.11%	296,883	6.41%	6.26%	4	\$100,000
Riverside	134,864	6.45%	259,442	5.60%	6.02%	5	\$100,000
Sacramento	95,256	4.55%	196,831	4.25%	4.40%	6	\$100,000
Fresno	89,537	4.28%	182,962	3.95%	4.11%	7	\$100,000
Kern	84,099	4.02%	170,301	3.68%	3.85%	8	\$100,000
Alameda	61,959	2.96%	149,429	3.23%	3.09%	9	\$100,000
Santa Clara	67,856	3.24%	132,836	2.87%	3.06%	10	\$100,000
San Joaquin	54,602	2.61%	97,455	2.10%	2.36%	11	\$100,000
Contra Costa	48,267	2.31%	96,639	2.09%	2.20%	12	\$100,000
Tulare	44,383	2.12%	84,619	1.83%	1.97%	13	\$100,000
Ventura	39,265	1.88%	77,301	1.67%	1.77%	14	\$100,000
Stanislaus	36,564	1.75%	74,260	1.60%	1.68%	15	\$100,000
San Francisco	21,239	1.02%	91,125	1.97%	1.49%	16	\$100,000
Monterey	32,913	1.57%	53,589	1.16%	1.37%	17	\$100,000
Santa Barbara	28,129	1.34%	62,400	1.35%	1.35%	18	\$100,000
Merced	27,321	1.31%	54,568	1.18%	1.24%	19	\$100,000
San Mateo	23,578	1.13%	49,359	1.07%	1.10%	20	\$60,000
Solano	25,132	1.20%	44,182	0.95%	1.08%	21	\$60,000
Sonoma	24,858	1.19%	42,583	0.92%	1.05%	22	\$60,000
Imperial	15,886	0.76%	33,819	0.73%	0.74%	23	\$60,000
Butte	12,383	0.59%	39,109	0.84%	0.72%	24	\$60,000
Yolo	10,995	0.53%	35,478	0.77%	0.65%	25	\$60,000
Placer	14,157	0.68%	28,078	0.61%	0.64%	26	\$60,000
Madera	12,904	0.62%	29,624	0.64%	0.63%	27	\$60,000
San Luis Obispo	10,077	0.48%	34,565	0.75%	0.61%	28	\$60,000
Santa Cruz	11,471	0.55%	29,478	0.64%	0.59%	29	\$60,000
Kings	11,842	0.57%	22,320	0.48%	0.52%	30	\$60,000

County	Single-Parent Households (Number)	Single-Parent Households (% of Total)	Individuals Below Poverty Level (Number)	Individuals Below Poverty Level (% of Total)	Average % of Total	Rank	Grant Eligibility Amount
Shasta	11,009	0.53%	23,705	0.51%	0.52%	31	\$60,000
Humboldt	8,517	0.41%	24,587	0.53%	0.47%	32	\$60,000
Marin	9,196	0.44%	21,068	0.45%	0.45%	33	\$60,000
El Dorado	6,428	0.31%	15,285	0.33%	0.32%	34	\$60,000
Yuba	7,292	0.35%	11,800	0.25%	0.30%	35	\$60,000
Sutter	5,861	0.28%	14,145	0.31%	0.29%	36	\$60,000
Mendocino	5,867	0.28%	13,637	0.29%	0.29%	37	\$60,000
Napa	5,492	0.26%	11,184	0.24%	0.25%	38	\$60,000
Lake	4,890	0.23%	11,350	0.25%	0.24%	39	\$45,000
Nevada	4,469	0.21%	11,173	0.24%	0.23%	40	\$45,000
Tehama	4,607	0.22%	10,025	0.22%	0.22%	41	\$45,000
Siskiyou	2,971	0.14%	7,037	0.15%	0.15%	42	\$45,000
San Benito	3,417	0.16%	4,465	0.10%	0.13%	43	\$45,000
Tuolumne	1,986	0.09%	5,384	0.12%	0.11%	44	\$45,000
Calaveras	1,656	0.08%	5,956	0.13%	0.10%	45	\$45,000
Glenn	1,620	0.08%	4,514	0.10%	0.09%	46	\$45,000
Lassen	1,482	0.07%	3,332	0.07%	0.07%	47	\$45,000
Del Norte	1,495	0.07%	3,204	0.07%	0.07%	48	\$45,000
Amador	1,357	0.06%	3,111	0.07%	0.07%	49	\$45,000
Trinity	1,258	0.06%	3,257	0.07%	0.07%	50	\$45,000
Colusa	1,431	0.07%	2,465	0.05%	0.06%	51	\$45,000
Inyo	1,147	0.05%	1,997	0.04%	0.05%	52	\$45,000
Plumas	792	0.04%	2,036	0.04%	0.04%	53	\$45,000
Mariposa	574	0.03%	2,371	0.05%	0.04%	54	\$45,000
Mono	627	0.03%	1,116	0.02%	0.03%	55	\$45,000
Modoc	318	0.02%	1,514	0.03%	0.02%	56	\$45,000
Alpine	43	0.00%	161	0.00%	0.00%	57	\$45,000
Sierra	29	0.00%	114	0.00%	0.00%	58	\$45,000
Totals	2,091,872		4,632,248				

Notes:

Source: American Community Survey (ACS) 5-Year Estimates, 2020–2024 (U.S. Census Bureau).

Table B09002: Own Children Under 18 Years by Family Type and Age. Male householder, no spouse present, with own children under 18 years (B09002_009E); female householder, no spouse present, with own children under 18 years (B09002_015E).

Table S1701: Poverty Status in the Past 12 Months. Population below poverty level (S1701_C02_001E); percent below poverty (S1701_C03_001E).

Single-Parent Households column reflects children living with single parents (not household counts). Shares computed as percent of statewide totals.

ACS 5-year figures are period estimates pooled across 2020–2024. Grant tiers: Ranks 1–19 = \$100,000; Ranks 20–38 = \$60,000; Ranks 39–58 = \$45,000. Row shading groups counties by grant tier; the Grant Eligibility Amount column states each county's tier.