Example of an Expert Direct (Child Welfare Case)

<u>Introduction</u>:

- Please tell us your name.
- What is your profession?
- What kind of doctor are you?
- What is a pediatric neurologist?

Foreshadow:

- Why are you here today?
- Are you prepared to give that opinion?
- Before I ask you for that, let's discuss your qualifications to give such an opinion. (This is a Head Note)

Qualify the Expert:

- Please tell us what education you have had.
- What type of specific training have you had in pediatrics?
- What type of specific training have you had in neurology?
- Do you hold any licenses? What licenses?
- Do you hold any certifications or specializations?
 - Please explain what that means.
- What is your experience in medicine generally?
- What is your experience in pediatric neurology specifically?
 - Fellowship? Internships?
- Doctor, please tell us what teaching experience you have.
- Have you ever been published?
 - Please explain.

- Were those publications "peer reviewed?"
- Please explain what "peer review" means.
- Have you ever testified as an expert witness before?
 - How many times?
 - What type of expert were you?
 - What percentage of that testimony was for plaintiff/defendant?
- Hiring and compensation (Maybe)

<u>Tender the expert (This is not required under CA rules, but its persuasive—think of appeal)</u>

- Your honor, I tender Dr. Carlsbad as an expert in the fields of medicine and pediatric neurology.
 - "Tender Specificity" can be persuasive; Carlsbad is more than just a Doctor
 - Court asks for objection
 - Opposing counsel may voir dire
 - Voir dire limited to foundation, not conclusions
 - Tendering is not required in CA courts, but it helps clarify for everyone.
 - Could be persuasive to a jury
 - But you can ask your opinion questions without tendering.

Opinion

- Do you have an opinion as to the cause of the injuries to Ravi Kundalina?
- What is that opinion?
- Do you hold this opinion to a reasonable degree of medical certainty?
 - Why?

Factual Basis

- What information did you use to reach this conclusion?
- Why?

• Is this typical/generally accepted?

<u>Identify/Qualify the Process (only need to qualify if process is novel)</u>

- What scientific process did you use to reach your conclusions?
- Why?
- Is this typical/generally accepted?
 - If not, qualify the process (why does it work?)

Persuasive Opinion

- Why did you conclude as you did?
- Please explain.
- Please demonstrate.
 - Please show us using the diagram/exhibit.

Attack the Other Side's Expert

- What about Dr. Diaz's opinion?
 - Read it to the witness
- *Is that opinion correct?*
- Why is Dr. Diaz wrong?
- Why is his opinion flawed?