

CHILD'S NAME:	CASE NUMBER:
---------------	--------------

SIX-MONTH PERMANENCY ATTACHMENT: REUNIFICATION SERVICES CONTINUED
(Welf. & Inst. Code, § 366.21(e))

1. By a preponderance of the evidence, the return of the child to their parent or legal guardian would create a substantial risk of detriment to the safety, protection, or physical or emotional well-being of the child. The factual basis for this conclusion is stated on the record.

Placement

2. **The child's out-of-home placement is necessary.**
3. **The child's current placement is appropriate.**
4. For a child placed in a short-term residential therapeutic program or community treatment facility, the court has considered the evidence and documentation submitted under Welf. & Inst. Code, § 366.1(l) when determining the continuing necessity for and appropriateness of the placement.
5. **The child's current placement is not appropriate.** The county agency must locate an appropriate placement for the child.
- a. The matter is continued to the date and time indicated in form JV-430, item 28, for a written oral report by the county agency on the progress made in locating an appropriate placement.
- b. Other (*specify*):
6. The child has left their placement, and their whereabouts are unknown. Out-of-home placement continues to be necessary. The placement was was not appropriate. The county agency has has not made reasonable efforts to locate the child.
7. The child is currently detained in juvenile hall. Out-of-home placement continues to be necessary. The placement was was not appropriate.
8. There has been a change in the child's placement, and the child is an Indian child or there is reason to know that the child is an Indian child. Currently (*choose one*),
- a. the child is placed with a member of the child's extended family as defined by 25 U.S.C. § 1903; or
- b. a diligent search was made for a placement with a member of the child's extended family, the efforts are documented in detail in the record, and the child is placed in a foster home licensed, approved, or specified by the Indian child's tribe; or
- c. a diligent search was made for a placement with a member of the child's extended family or in a foster home licensed, approved, or specified by the Indian child's tribe; the efforts are documented in detail in the record; and the child is placed in an Indian foster home licensed or approved by an authorized non-Indian licensing authority; or
- d. a diligent search was made for a placement with a member of the child's extended family, in a foster home licensed, approved, or specified by the Indian child's tribe, or in an Indian foster home licensed or approved by an authorized non-Indian licensing authority; the efforts are documented in detail in the record; and the child is placed in an institution for children approved by an Indian tribe or operated by an Indian organization that has a program suitable to meet the Indian child's needs; or
- e. the child is placed in accordance with the preferences established by the tribe; or
- f. the court finds by clear and convincing evidence that there is good cause to depart from the placement preferences based on the reasons set out in the record.
9. **The child is placed outside the state of California, and that out-of-state placement**
- a. continues to be the most appropriate placement for the child and is in the best interest of the child.
- b. is no longer the most appropriate placement for the child and is not in the best interest of the child. The matter is continued to the date and time indicated in form JV-430, item 28, for a written oral report by the county agency on the progress made toward
- (1) returning the child to California and locating an appropriate placement within California.
- (2) locating an out-of-state placement that is the most appropriate placement for the child and in the best interest of the child.
- (3) Other (*specify*):

CHILD'S NAME:	CASE NUMBER:
---------------	--------------

Reunification services

10. The child is an Indian child or there is reason to know that the child is an Indian child, and as set out in detail in the record,
- a. affirmative, active, thorough, and timely efforts have have not been made to provide remedial services and rehabilitative programs designed to prevent the breakup of the Indian family;
 - b. these efforts did did not include assisting the parent(s) or Indian custodian through the steps of the case plan and with accessing or developing the resources necessary to satisfy the case plan;
 - c. to the maximum extent possible, the efforts were were not provided in a manner consistent with the prevailing social and cultural conditions and way of life of the child's tribe;
 - d. these efforts and the case plan have have not been conducted and developed to the maximum extent possible in partnership with the Indian child, the parents, extended family members, Indian custodians, and the tribe and utilized the available resources of the Indian child's extended family, tribe, tribal and other Indian social service agencies, and individual Indian caregiver service providers; and
 - e. the active efforts have proved successful unsuccessful.

11. **Reunification services continued: Child under age of three at time of removal or member of sibling group**

- a. The child was under the age of three years on the date of the initial removal from the home.
- b. The child and the child's siblings listed below form a sibling group in which one child in the sibling group was under the age of three years at the time of the initial removal, and all children in the sibling group were removed from parental custody at the same time and are placed together.
 - (1) (name):
 - (2) (name):
 - (3) (name):
 - (4) (name):
 - (5) (name):
 - (6) (name):
- c. Services are continued as described in item 12; or
- d. The court finds by clear and convincing evidence that the parent or legal guardian failed to participate regularly and make substantive progress in a court-ordered treatment plan, but reunification services are continued because
 - (1) having considered the relevant evidence, including
 - (a) whether there has been significant progress in resolving the problems that led to the removal;
 - (b) whether the capacity and ability to complete the objectives of the treatment plan and to provide for the child's safety, protection, physical and emotional health, and special needs has been demonstrated; and
 - (c) whether there has been consistent and regular contact and visitation with the child;
 the court finds there is a substantial probability that the child may be returned to the

<input type="checkbox"/> mother	<input type="checkbox"/> biological father	<input type="checkbox"/> Indian custodian
<input type="checkbox"/> presumed father	<input type="checkbox"/> legal guardian	<input type="checkbox"/> Other (specify):
<input type="checkbox"/> Other (specify):		

 within six months of the date of this hearing or within 12 months of the date the child entered foster care, whichever is sooner.
 - (2) Reasonable services have not been provided to the

<input type="checkbox"/> mother	<input type="checkbox"/> biological father	<input type="checkbox"/> Indian custodian
<input type="checkbox"/> presumed father	<input type="checkbox"/> legal guardian	<input type="checkbox"/> Other (specify):
<input type="checkbox"/> Other (specify):		

CHILD'S NAME:	CASE NUMBER:
---------------	--------------

12. Reunification services are continued for the

- mother biological father Indian custodian
 presumed father legal guardian Other (*specify*):
 Other (*specify*):
 a. as previously ordered.
 b. as modified
 (1) on the record.
 (2) in the case plan.

13. **The likely date** by which the child may be returned to and safely maintained in the home or placed for adoption, tribal customary adoption in the case of an Indian child, legal guardianship, placed with a fit and willing relative or in another planned permanent living arrangement is (*date*):

Important individuals

14. **The child is 10 years of age or older and has been in out-of-home placement for six months or longer.**
- a. The county agency has made efforts to identify individuals who are important to the child and to maintain the child's relationship with those individuals, consistent with the child's best interest.
- b. The county agency has not made efforts to identify individuals who are important to the child and to maintain the child's relationship with those individuals, consistent with the child's best interest.
- c. To identify individuals who are important to the child and to maintain the child's relationships with those individuals, the county agency must provide the services
- (1) as stated on the record.
- (2) as follows:

Health

15. The mother biological father Indian custodian
 presumed father legal guardian Other (*specify*):
 Other (*specify*):
 is unable unwilling unavailable to make decisions regarding the child's needs for medical, surgical, dental, or other remedial care, and the right to make these decisions is suspended under Welf. & Inst. Code, § 369 and vested with the county agency.

Advisement

16. The court informed all parties present at the time of the hearing and further advises all parties that if the child is not returned to the home at the permanency hearing set on a date within 12 months from the date the child entered foster care, the case may be referred to a selection and implementation hearing under Welf. & Inst. Code, § 366.26 **that may result in the termination of parental rights and adoption of the child and other members of the sibling group or, in the case of an Indian child for whom tribal customary adoption under Welf. & Inst. Code, § 366.24 is selected as the permanent plan, modification of parental rights and the adoption of the child and other members of the sibling group.**

Twelve-month permanency hearing date:

