Judicial Council of California · Administrative Office of the Courts

455 Golden Gate Avenue · San Francisco, California 94102-3688

www.courts.ca.gov

REPORT TO THE JUDICIAL COUNCIL

For business meeting on February 28, 2012

Title
Judicial Branch Report to the Legislature: Receipts and Expenditures From Local Courthouse Construction Funds

Rules, Forms, Standards, or Statutes Affected
None

Recommended by
Administrative Office of the Courts
Lee Willoughby, Director
Gisele Corrie, Manager
Office of Court Construction and Management

Agenda Item Type
Action Required

Effective Date
N/A

Date of Report
January 20, 2012

Contact
Gisele Corrie, 916-263-1687
gisele.corrie@jud.ca.gov

Executive Summary

The Office of Court Construction and Management of the Administrative Office of the Courts recommends that the Judicial Council approve *Receipts and Expenditures from Local Courthouse Construction Funds: Report to the Budget and Fiscal Committees of the Legislature* for submission to the budget and fiscal committees of the Legislature. The report provides information for the reporting period of July 1, 2010, through June 30, 2011, regarding receipts and expenditures from local courthouse construction funds, as reported by each county. The annual submission of this report is required under Government Code section 70403(d).

Recommendation

The Office of Court Construction and Management of the Administrative Office of the Courts (AOC) recommends that the Judicial Council:

1. Approve the annual Judicial Council report for the period of July 1, 2010, to June 30, 2011, regarding receipts and expenditures from local courthouse construction funds, as reported by each county.
2. Direct the AOC to submit the report to the budget and fiscal committees of the California Legislature.

Previous Council Action

The previous five reports can be found at *www.courts.ca.gov/7466.htm*.

Rationale for Recommendation

The annual submission of this report is required under Government Code section 70403(d). When a county submits its annual report on the condition of the local courthouse construction fund, the AOC reviews the report and requests the information necessary to determine compliance, including the beginning and ending fund balances and an explanation of expenditures by project, if the report does not include that information. Each review includes a determination of whether the receipts and expenditures were made in accordance with the provisions of Government Code section 76100, including verifying that outstanding debt service still exists. If, based on the information provided, the AOC concludes that a county made an expenditure not permitted by statute, the AOC will notify the county and the state Department of Finance of the amount due for repayment to the state.

Comments, Alternatives Considered, and Policy Implications

The attached annual report was not circulated because it is factual and does not contain recommendations.

Implementation Requirements, Costs, and Operational Impacts

The attached report is factual, with no recommendations and no consequential costs or impacts.

Relevant Strategic Plan Goals and Operational Plan Objectives

The recommendations contained in this report pertain to statutory requirements.

Attachments

1. *Receipts and Expenditures from Local Courthouse Construction Funds: Report to the Budget and Fiscal Committees of the Legislature*

Judicial Council of California

ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICE OF THE COURTS

455 Golden Gate Avenue • San Francisco, California 94102-3688

Telephone 415-865-4200 • Fax 415-865-4205 • TDD 415-865-4272

TANI G. CANTIL-SAKAUYE
Chief Justice of California
Chair of the Judicial Council

RONALD G. OVERHOLT
Interim Administrative Director of the Courts

CHRISTINE PATTON
Interim Chief Deputy Director

January 20, 2012

Hon. Christine Kehoe, Chair
Senate Appropriations Committee
California State Senate
State Capitol, Room 5050
Sacramento, California 95814

Hon. Bob Blumenfield, Chair
Assembly Budget Committee
California State Assembly
State Capitol, Room 6026
Sacramento, California 95814

Hon. Mark Leno, Chair
Senate Committee on Budget and Fiscal Review
California State Senate
State Capitol, Room 5100
Sacramento, California 95814

Hon. Felipe Fuentes, Chair
Assembly Appropriations Committee
California State Assembly
State Capitol, Room 2114
Sacramento, California 95814

Re: *2011 Report to the Legislature: Receipts and Expenditures From Local Courthouse Construction Funds,* as Required by Government Code Section 70403(d)

Dear Senator Kehoe, Senator Leno, Assembly Member Blumenfield, and Assembly Member Fuentes:

Attached is the Judicial Council report required under Government Code section 70403(d) regarding receipts and expenditures from local courthouse construction funds, as reported by each county.

If you have questions about this report, please contact Gisele Corrie, Financial Manager, AOC Office of Court Construction and Management, at 916-263-1687 or gisele.corrie@jud.ca.gov.

Sincerely,

Ronald G. Overholt
Interim Administrative Director of the Courts

RGO/GC/cj
Attachments
cc: Members of the Judicial Council
Ms. Diane F. Boyer-Vine, Legislative Counsel
Mr. Gregory P. Schmidt, Secretary of the Senate
Mr. E. Dotson Wilson, Chief Clerk of the Assembly (by e-copy)
Ms. Margie Estrada, Consultant, Office of Senate President pro Tempore Darrell Steinberg
Ms. Fredericka McGee, General Counsel, Office of Assembly Speaker John A. Pérez
Mr. Joe Stephenshaw, Consultant, Senate Committee on Budget and Fiscal Review
Ms. Jolie Onodera, Consultant, Senate Appropriations Committee
Mr. Matt Osterli, Consultant, Senate Republican Fiscal Office
Mr. Marvin Deon II, Consultant, Assembly Budget Committee
Mr. Chuck Nicol, Principal Consultant, Assembly Appropriations Committee
Mr. Allan Cooper, Consultant, Assembly Republican Fiscal Office
Mr. Michael Miyao, Program Budget Analyst, Department of Finance
Ms. Anita Lee, Fiscal and Policy Analyst, Legislative Analyst's Office
Ms. Christine Patton, Interim AOC Chief Deputy Director
Mr. Lee Willoughby, Director, AOC Office of Court Construction and Management

Judicial Council of California
ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICE OF THE COURTS

OFFICE OF COURT CONSTRUCTION AND MANAGEMENT

2860 Gateway Oaks Drive, Suite 400 • Sacramento, California 95833-4336
Telephone 916-263-8022 • Fax 916-263-2342

TANI G. CANTIL-SAKAUYE
Chief Justice of California
Chair of the Judicial Council

RONALD G. OVERHOLT
Interim Administrative Director of the Courts

CHRISTINE PATTON
Interim Chief Deputy Director

LEE WILLOUGHBY
Director, Office of Court Construction and Management

Title of Report: *Receipts and Expenditures From Local Courthouse Construction Funds: Report to the Budget and Fiscal Committees of the Legislature*

Statutory Citation: Government Code section 70403(d)

Date of Report: January 20, 2012

The Judicial Council has submitted a report to the Legislature in accordance with Government Code section 70403(d) regarding receipts and expenditures from local courthouse construction funds, as reported by each county. The following summary is provided under the requirements of Government Code section 9795.

Government Code section 70402(a) requires that any amount in a county's local courthouse construction fund be transferred to the State Court Facilities Construction Fund following the date of the last transfer of court facilities from that county to the Judicial Council if there is no outstanding bonded indebtedness. All such facility transfers were completed as of December 31, 2009.

As of January 20, 2012, five counties that have transferred their court facilities and have no outstanding bonded indebtedness—Colusa, Del Norte, Fresno, Lassen, and Modoc—have yet to transfer their courthouse construction fund balances to the State Court Facilities Construction Fund. Thirteen counties have transferred the fund balances in their local courthouse construction funds to the State Court Facilities Construction Fund. Four counties retained their courthouse construction funds consistent with responsibility of 100 percent court square footage. Two counties (Madera and Sierra) reported zero receipts and expenditures. Thirty-three counties continue to retain their courthouse construction funds as statutorily permitted because they have bonded indebtedness for which the funds are used. San Diego County previously reported zero receipts and expenditures, but in FY 2010–2011, the county corrected reports to reflect revenues and expenditures, as well as outstanding debt obligations.

The full report is available at *www.courts.ca.gov/7466.htm*.

For more information or to obtain a printed copy of the report, please call Gisele Corrie, Manager, at 916-263-1687.

Judicial Council Members

As of January 10, 2012

Hon. Tani G. Cantil-Sakauye
Chief Justice of California and
Chair of the Judicial Council

Hon. Judith Ashmann-Gerst
Associate Justice of the Court of Appeal
Second Appellate District, Division Two

Hon. Stephen H. Baker
Judge of the Superior Court of California,
County of Shasta

Hon. Marvin R. Baxter
Associate Justice of the Supreme Court

Ms. Angela J. Davis
Assistant United States Attorney

Hon. Emilie H. Elias
Judge of the Superior Court of California,
County of Los Angeles

Hon. Noreen Evans
Member of the California State Senate

Hon. Mike Feuer
Member of the California State Assembly

Hon. James E. Herman
Judge of the Superior Court of California,
County of Santa Barbara

Hon. Harry E. Hull, Jr.
Associate Justice of the Court of Appeal
Third Appellate District

Hon. Teri L. Jackson
Judge of the Superior Court of California,
County of San Francisco

Hon. Ira R. Kaufman
Assistant Presiding Judge of the
Superior Court of California,
County of Plumas

Ms. Miriam Aroni Krinsky
UCLA School of Public Affairs
(Lecturer)

Ms. Edith R. Matthai
Attorney at Law
Robie & Matthai

Hon. Douglas P. Miller
Associate Justice of the Court of Appeal
Fourth Appellate District, Division Two

Hon. Mary Ann O'Malley
Judge of the Superior Court of California,
County of Contra Costa

Mr. Mark P. Robinson, Jr.
Attorney at Law
Robinson Calcagnie et al

Hon. Kenneth K. So
Judge of the Superior Court of California,
County of San Diego

Hon. Sharon J. Waters
Judge of the Superior Court of California,
County of Riverside

Judicial Council Members

As of January 10, 2012

Hon. David S. Wesley
Assistant Presiding Judge of the
Superior Court of California,
County of Los Angeles

Hon. Erica R. Yew
Judge of the Superior Court of California,
County of Santa Clara

ADVISORY MEMBERS

Hon. Sue Alexander
Commissioner of the
Superior Court of California,
County of Alameda

Mr. Alan Carlson
Chief Executive Officer
Superior Court of California,
County of Orange

Hon. David F. De Alba
Judge of the Superior Court of California,
County of Sacramento

Hon. Terry B. Friedman (Ret.)

Hon. Robert James Moss
Judge of the Superior Court of California,
County of Orange

Mr. Frederick K. Ohlrich
Clerk of the California Supreme Court

Hon. David Rosenberg
Presiding Judge of the
Superior Court of California,
County of Yolo

Hon. David M. Rubin
Judge of the Superior Court of California,
County of San Diego

Ms. Kim Turner
Court Executive Officer
Superior Court of California,
County of Marin

Mr. David H. Yamasaki
Court Executive Officer
Superior Court of California,
County of Santa Clara

ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICE OF THE COURTS

Mr. Ronald G. Overholt
Interim Administrative Director of the Courts
and Secretary to the Judicial Council

JUDICIAL COUNCIL OF CALIFORNIA
ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICE OF THE COURTS

Tani G. Cantil-Sakauye
Chief Justice of California and
Chair of the Judicial Council

Ronald G. Overholt
Interim Administrative Director of the Courts

Christine Patton
Interim Chief Deputy Director

OFFICE OF COURT CONSTRUCTION AND MANAGEMENT

Lee Willoughby
Director

Gisele Corrie
Primary Author
Manager

Receipts and Expenditures From Local Courthouse Construction Funds

Report to the Budget and Fiscal Committees of the Legislature

July 1, 2010, to June 30, 2011
January 1, 1998, to December 31, 2005 (Update)
January 1, 2006, to June 30, 2007 (Update)
July 1, 2007, to June 30, 2008 (Update)
July 1, 2008, to June 30, 2009 (Update)
July 1, 2009, to June 30, 2010 (Update)

ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICE OF THE COURTS

OFFICE OF COURT CONSTRUCTION AND MANAGEMENT

Judicial Council of California
Administrative Office of the Courts
455 Golden Gate Avenue
San Francisco, CA 94102-3688

Printed on recycled and recyclable paper ♺

County Reporting on Local Courthouse Construction Funds

The Trial Court Facilities Act of 2002 (Sen. Bill 1732 [Escutia]; Stats. 2002, ch. 1082) required counties to report receipts to and expenditures from local courthouse construction funds. Government Code section 70403 mandates that each county submit a report to the Administrative Director of the Courts of all local courthouse construction fund receipts and expenditures for the period January 1, 1998, to December 31, 2005. Counties retaining funds for the purpose of paying bonded indebtedness must submit to the Administrative Office of the Courts (AOC) and the California Department of Finance annual updates of all receipts and expenditures within 90 days after the end of each fiscal year. The section further requires the Judicial Council to submit a report to the Legislature on the information received from the counties regarding the status of local courthouse construction funds. This report covers the period from July 1, 2010, to June 30, 2011, and includes updates to prior reports covering the periods of January 1, 1998, to December 31, 2005; January 1, 2006, to June 30, 2007; July 1, 2007, to June 30, 2008; July 1, 2008, to June 30, 2009, and July 1, 2009, to June 30, 2010.

Government Code section 70402(a) requires that any amount in a county's courthouse construction fund established under Government Code section 76100 be transferred to the State Court Facilities Construction Fund following the date of the last transfer of court facilities from the county to the Judicial Council if there is no outstanding bonded indebtedness. All transfers of court facilities were completed as of December 31, 2009. As of January 20, 2012, five counties that have transferred their court facilities and have no outstanding bonded indebtedness—Colusa, Del Norte, Fresno, Lassen, and Modoc—have yet to transfer their courthouse construction fund balances to the State Court Facilities Construction Fund. Thirteen counties have transferred the fund balances in their local courthouse construction funds to the State Court Facilities Construction Fund. Four counties retained their courthouse construction funds consistent with responsibility of 100 percent court square footage. Two counties (Madera and Sierra) reported zero receipts and expenditures. Thirty-three counties continue to retain their courthouse construction funds as statutorily permitted as they have bonded indebtedness for which the funds are used. San Diego County previously reported zero receipts and expenditures, but in FY 2010–2011, the county corrected reports to reflect revenues and expenditures, as well as outstanding debt obligations. The 33 counties that retain their courthouse construction funds to pay off outstanding debt will continue to submit annual reports on revenues and expenditures until the debt obligation is retired.

County Reporting Under Section 70403(a) for July 1, 2010, to June 30, 2011

As of January 20, 2012, the AOC received reports on the condition of local courthouse construction funds from all 33 of the 33 counties that have outstanding bonded

indebtedness as required by statute (see Attachment 1). All reports received are in compliance with section 70403(b), which requires an annual update of all local courthouse construction fund receipts and expenditures from counties with outstanding bonded indebtedness, in this case, for the period from July 1, 2010, to June 30, 2011.

As reported by the 33 counties, the local courthouse construction fund receipts totaled $56,801,537 during the statutory reporting period. The 33 counties reported a total of $67,131,546 in local courthouse construction fund expenditures.

Status of Reviews

When a county submits its annual report on the condition of the local courthouse construction fund, the AOC reviews the report and requests the information necessary to determine compliance, including the beginning and ending fund balances and an explanation of expenditures by project if the report does not include that information. Each review includes a determination of whether the receipts and expenditures were made in accordance with the provisions of Government Code section 76100, including verifying that there still exists outstanding debt service. If the AOC concludes based on the information provided that a county made an expenditure not permitted by statute, the AOC will notify the county and the state Department of Finance of the amount due for repayment to the state.

Status of Determination of Repayment Amounts Required Under Section 70403(d)

As reviews of the reports are completed for the 33 counties with outstanding debt service, repayments to the State Court Facilities Construction Fund, if any, will be finalized and reported in subsequent annual reports from the Judicial Council to the budget and fiscal committees of the Legislature. Reviews of the county reports have not yet been completed; therefore, at this time there are no repayments to report for this reporting period.

Update to Report for January 1, 1998, to December 31, 2005, Reporting Period

The previous report issued under Government Code section 70403 reported that all 58 counties had submitted reports on their local courthouse construction fund receipts and expenditures (see Attachment 2). All reports were in compliance with requirements of the statute for the reporting period. As of January 20, 2012, Inyo and San Diego have submitted revised reports for the reporting period from January 1998 to December 2005.

With the revised reports received, the local courthouse construction fund receipts reported total $528,997,401 during the statutory reporting period, and expenditures total $501,879,065. This compares to $520,576,885 in receipts and $488,966,606 in expenditures stated in the previous report.

Update to Report for January 1, 2006, to June 30, 2007, Reporting Period

The previous report issued under Government Code section 70403 reported that 34 of the 37 counties that had bonded indebtedness at the time had submitted reports on their local courthouse construction fund receipts and expenditures and all were in compliance with the requirements of the statute for the reporting period. San Diego submitted revised reports and has been added to the listing of counties that have bonded indebtedness. As of January 20, 2012, all 38 of the 38 reports from counties that have reported outstanding bonded indebtedness during the January 1, 2006, to June 30, 2007, reporting period have been received (see Attachment 3). All of the reports are in compliance with the requirements of section 70403.

With the additional reports received, the local courthouse construction fund receipts reported total $105,521,797 during the statutory reporting period, and expenditures total $111,394,857. This compares to $102,441,995 in receipts and $107,009,647 in expenditures stated in the previous report.

As reviews of the reports are completed for these counties, repayments to the State Court Facilities Construction Fund, if any, will be finalized and reported in subsequent annual reports from the Judicial Council to the budget and fiscal committees of the Legislature. To date, no repayments have been identified for this reporting period.

Update to Report for July 1, 2007, to June 30, 2008, Reporting Period

The previous report issued under Government Code section 70403 reported that 33 of the 36 counties that had bonded indebtedness during the reporting period had submitted reports on their local courthouse construction fund receipts and expenditures and all were in compliance with the requirements of the statute for the reporting period. San Diego submitted revised reports and has been added to the listing of counties that have bonded indebtedness. As of January 20, 2012, reports from all 37 counties that reported outstanding bonded indebtedness for the July 1, 2007, to June 30, 2008, reporting period have been received (see Attachment 4). All of the reports received are in compliance with the requirements of section 70403.

With the additional reports received, the local courthouse construction fund receipts reported total $66,881,626 during the statutory reporting period, and expenditures total $87,046,095. This compares to $64,865,536 in receipts and $82,048,570 in expenditures stated in the previous report.

As reviews of the reports are completed for these counties, repayments to the State Court Facilities Construction Fund, if any, will be finalized and reported in subsequent annual

reports from the Judicial Council to the budget and fiscal committees of the Legislature. To date, no repayments have been identified for this reporting period.

Update to Report for July 1, 2008, to June 30, 2009, Reporting Period

The previous report issued under Government Code section 70403 reported that 32 of the 36 counties that had bonded indebtedness during the reporting period had submitted reports on their local courthouse construction fund receipts and expenditures and all were in compliance with the requirements of the statute for the reporting period. San Diego has submitted revised reports and has been added to the listing of counties that have bonded indebtedness. As of January 20, 2012, reports from all 37 counties that reported outstanding bonded indebtedness for the July 1, 2008, to June 30, 2009, reporting period have been received (see Attachment 5). All of the reports received are in compliance with the requirements of section 70403.

With the additional reports received, the local courthouse construction fund receipts reported total $63,815,900 during the statutory reporting period, and expenditures total $75,238,906. This compares to $60,304,911 in receipts and $70,309,165 in expenditures stated in the previous report.

As reviews of the reports are completed for these counties, repayments to the State Court Facilities Construction Fund, if any, will be finalized and reported in subsequent annual reports from the Judicial Council to the budget and fiscal committees of the Legislature. To date, no repayments have been identified for this reporting period.

Update to Report for July 1, 2009, to June 30, 2010, Reporting Period

The previous report issued under Government Code section 70403 reported that 29 of 33 counties that have outstanding bonded indebtedness had submitted reports on their local courthouse construction fund receipts and expenditures and were all in compliance with the requirements of the statute for the reporting period. San Diego has submitted revised reports and has been added to the listing of counties that have bonded indebtedness. As of January 20, 2012, reports from all 34 counties that have reported outstanding bonded indebtedness for the July 1, 2009, to June 30, 2010, reporting period have been received (see Attachment 6). All of the reports are in compliance with section 70403.

With the additional reports received, the local courthouse construction fund receipts total $62,515,613 during the statutory reporting period, and expenditures total $79,918,425. This compares to the $58,570,642 in receipts and $65,159,503 in expenditures from the previous report.

As reviews of the reports are completed for these counties, repayments to the State Court Facilities Construction Fund, if any, will be finalized and reported in subsequent annual

reports from the Judicial Council to the budget and fiscal committees of the Legislature. To date, no repayments have been identified for this reporting period.

Attachments

Attachment 1: County Reporting for Period July 1, 2010, to June 30, 2011
Attachment 2: County Reporting for Period January 1, 1998, to December 31, 2005
Attachment 3: County Reporting for Period January 1, 2006, to June 30, 2007
Attachment 4: County Reporting for Period July 1, 2007, to June 30, 2008
Attachment 5: County Reporting for Period July 1, 2008, to June 30, 2009
Attachment 6: County Reporting for Period July 1, 2009, to June 30, 2010

July 1, 2010, to June 30, 2011

Overview of County Reporting on
Local Courthouse Construction Funds Under Government Code Section 70403(d)

ATTACHMENT 1

	County	Review Status *(complete/in progress)*	Revenues for Period *(as reported by county)*	Expenditures for Period *(as reported by county)*	Repayments Due	Bonded Indebtedness *(indicated in report)*
1	Alameda[1]	In Progress	2,530,934	550,729		X
2	Amador	In Progress	37,317	36,525		X
3	Butte	In Progress	85,512	249,860		X
4	Contra Costa	In Progress	1,308,510	1,308,510		X
5	El Dorado[2]	In Progress	97,970	1,032,936		X
6	Glenn[2]	In Progress	146,737	0		X
7	Humboldt	In Progress	250,695	310,935		X
8	Inyo[2]	In Progress	153,131	0		X
9	Los Angeles	In Progress	19,513,000	24,769,000		X
10	Marin	In Progress	512,977	398,681		X
11	Merced	In Progress	494,217	494,217		X
12	Monterey	In Progress	601,061	542,313		X
13	Napa	In Progress	452,511	233,979		X
14	Orange	In Progress	5,241,871	4,532,460		X
15	Placer	In Progress	455,630	500,000		X
16	Riverside	In Progress	7,413,489	7,961,871		X
17	Sacramento	In Progress	1,633,444	1,790,000		X
18	San Bernardino	In Progress	2,633,033	2,633,136		X
19	San Diego[3]	In Progress	775,112	3,624,888		X
20	San Francisco	In Progress	3,228,950	4,573,121		X
21	San Joaquin	In Progress	157,764	209,573		X
22	San Luis Obispo	In Progress	313,710	307,169		X
23	San Mateo	In Progress	1,526,321	3,856,256		X
24	Santa Barbara	In Progress	1,129,184	578,258		X
25	Santa Clara	In Progress	1,800,171	2,187,964		X
26	Santa Cruz	In Progress	150,443	210,762		X
27	Shasta	In Progress	529,828	684,786		X
28	Solano	In Progress	405,350	408,515		X
29	Sonoma	In Progress	523,842	564,544		X
30	Stanislaus	In Progress	822,537	319,944		X
31	Tulare	In Progress	379,762	522,255		X
32	Ventura	In Progress	1,168,925	1,540,047		X
33	Yuba	In Progress	327,598	198,312		X
	TOTALS		**$ 56,801,537**	**$ 67,131,546**		**33**

Notes:

1. Pending debt for new approved capital project
2. Bonded indebtedness: approved project under way, pending completion for Glenn, El Dorado, Inyo, and Siskiyou (report not received)
3. Revised report under review

January 1, 1998, to December 31, 2005

Overview of County Reporting on
Local Courthouse Construction Funds Under Government Code Section 70403(d)

ATTACHMENT 2

	County	Review Status *(complete/in progress)*	Revenues for Period *(as reported by county)*	Expenditures for Period *(as reported by county)*	Repayments Due	Bonded Indebtedness *(indicated in report)*
1	Alameda	In Progress	$ 19,867,137	$ 18,942,669		X
2	Alpine	In Progress	137,794	57,955		
3	Amador	In Progress	552,494	260,044		X
4	Butte	In Progress	3,694,518	3,934,294		X
5	Calaveras[3]	In Progress	1,406,258	915,924		X
6	Colusa	Complete	463,599	479,021	0	X
7	Contra Costa	In Progress	10,204,719	10,568,957		X
8	Del Norte	Complete	685	0	0	
9	El Dorado	In Progress	1,856,437	536,996		X
10	Fresno	In Progress	1,682,944	1,215,322		X
11	Glenn	In Progress	1,082,644	932,282		X
12	Humboldt	Complete	16,620,537	17,066,091	0	X
13	Imperial	In Progress	1,628,153	2,136,263		X
14	Inyo	In Progress	1,131,564	327,961		
15	Kern	In Progress	517,693	527,625		
16	Kings	In Progress	457,396	430,658		
17	Lake	Complete	22,536	25,077	0	
18	Lassen	In Progress	1,906,284	2,771,540		
19	Los Angeles	In Progress	196,130,000	170,210,000		X
20	Madera	Complete	0	0	0	
21	Marin	In Progress	281,926	203,456		X
22	Mariposa	In Progress	319,581	77,956		
23	Mendocino	In Progress	115,867	351,454		
24	Merced	Complete	8,822,580	5,695,062	0	X
25	Modoc	In Progress	229,582	229,377		X
26	Mono	Complete	507,544	507,543	0	
27	Monterey	In Progress	5,398,670	8,743,508		X
28	Napa	In Progress	3,578,837	4,371,585		X
29	Nevada	Complete	1,899,407	2,061,522	0	X
30	Orange	In Progress	39,002,259	37,253,412		X
31	Placer	In Progress	3,075,472	3,082,339		X
32	Plumas	Complete	9,564	55,516	0	
33	Riverside	In Progress	26,049,765	24,854,033		X
34	Sacramento	In Progress	15,744,490	14,433,367		X
35	San Benito	Complete	684,673	309,182	0	X
36	San Bernardino	In Progress	16,114,558	16,298,505		X
37	San Diego[4]	In Progress	8,394,261	13,020,630	0	
38	San Francisco[1]	In Progress	41,787,702	55,296,023		X
39	San Joaquin	In Progress	11,766,826	7,836,561		X
40	San Luis Obispo	In Progress	4,873,888	2,175,271		X
41	San Mateo	In Progress	11,678,138	10,801,760		X
42	Santa Barbara	In Progress	9,701,438	9,988,837		X
43	Santa Clara	Complete	16,761,142	16,831,245	0	X
44	Santa Cruz	In Progress	1,559,915	1,444,729		X
45	Shasta	In Progress	4,551,181	3,758,240		X
46	Sierra	Complete	0	0	0	
47	Siskiyou	In Progress	3,706,842	113,234		
48	Solano	In Progress	4,625,213	4,806,996		X
49	Sonoma	In Progress	6,168,695	9,587,957		X
50	Stanislaus	Complete	4,224,471	3,411,085	0	X
51	Sutter	Complete	592,087	288,836	0	
52	Tehama	In Progress	1,396	5,379		
53	Trinity	Complete	319,189	259,736	0	
54	Tulare[2]	In Progress	3,556,947	3,364,496		X
55	Tuolumne	In Progress	1,020,500	1,784,183		
56	Ventura	In Progress	9,488,022	4,699,661		X
57	Yolo	In Progress	1,993,191	1,756,977		
58	Yuba[1]	In Progress	1,028,190	780,733		X
	TOTALS		**$ 528,997,401**	**$ 501,879,065**		**38**

Notes:

1. Report prepared on a fiscal year basis; includes July to December 1997
2. Revenues and expenditures amended per FY 2007–2008 report
3. Final county report: CCF balance transferred to State Court Facilities Construction Fund per Gov. Code, § 70402(a)
4. Revised report under review

January 1, 2006, to June 30, 2007

Overview of County Reporting on
Local Courthouse Construction Funds Under Government Code Section 70403(d)

ATTACHMENT 3

	County	Review Status *(complete/in progress)*	Revenues for Period *(as reported by county)*	Expenditures for Period *(as reported by county)*	Repayments Due	Bonded Indebtedness *(indicated in report)*
1	Alameda[1]	In Progress	4,402,815	4,683,200		X
2	Amador	In Progress	138,964	790,443		X
3	Butte	In Progress	185,715	152,869		X
4	Colusa[4]	In Progress	122,472	(492,877)		X
5	Contra Costa	In Progress	2,200,103	2,065,795		X
6	El Dorado[2]	In Progress	509,264	5,596		X
7	Glenn[2]	In Progress	287,153	0		X
8	Humboldt	In Progress	382,005	621,665		X
9	Imperial	In Progress	376,310	542,730		X
10	Inyo[2]	In Progress	371,140	0		X
11	Los Angeles	In Progress	41,048,000	47,803,000		X
12	Marin	In Progress	874,449	859,446		X
13	Merced	In Progress	1,016,562	2,153,587		X
14	Modoc	In Progress	30,502	24,688		X
15	Monterey	In Progress	1,045,523	1,045,523		X
16	Napa	In Progress	748,698	338,550		X
17	Nevada	In Progress	333,999	150,405		X
18	Orange	In Progress	7,392,298	8,585,286		X
19	Placer	In Progress	839,793	1,020,599		X
20	Riverside	In Progress	7,910,417	6,484,190		X
21	Sacramento	In Progress	3,317,350	4,510,721		X
22	San Benito	In Progress	241,653	(97,327)		X
23	San Bernardino	In Progress	4,130,205	2,340,381		X
24	San Diego[3]	In Progress	1,632,637	3,315,000		X
25	San Francisco	In Progress	6,278,455	6,530,060		X
26	San Joaquin	In Progress	1,756,484	1,417,928		X
27	San Luis Obispo[1]	In Progress	1,121,122	1,145,925		X
28	San Mateo	In Progress	2,203,231	1,013,932		X
29	Santa Barbara	In Progress	1,806,072	2,009,297		X
30	Santa Clara	In Progress	3,538,275	4,316,755		X
31	Santa Cruz	In Progress	333,146	199,842		X
32	Shasta	In Progress	983,873	1,660,572		X
33	Solano	In Progress	960,428	962,708		X
34	Sonoma	In Progress	1,677,328	847,569		X
35	Stanislaus	In Progress	1,438,936	574,934		X
36	Tulare	In Progress	862,887	501,417		X
37	Ventura	In Progress	2,389,106	3,120,449		X
38	Yuba	In Progress	634,427	190,000		X
	TOTALS		**$ 105,521,797**	**$ 111,394,857**		**38**

Notes:

1. Pending debt for new approved capital project
2. Bonded indebtedness: approved project under way, pending completion for Glenn, El Dorado, Inyo, and Siskiyou (report not received)
3. Revised report under review
4. Pending review of request for use of CCFs, request subsequently cancelled

July 1, 2007, to June 30, 2008

Overview of County Reporting on
Local Courthouse Construction Funds Under Government Code Section 70403(d)

ATTACHMENT 4

	County	Review Status *(complete/in progress)*	Revenues for Period *(as reported by county)*	Expenditures for Period *(as reported by county)*	Repayments Due	Bonded Indebtedness *(indicated in report)*
1	Alameda[1]	In Progress	2,584,839	669,332		X
2	Amador	In Progress	88,617	70,757		X
3	Butte	In Progress	112,501	120,520		X
4	Contra Costa	In Progress	1,864,761	1,864,761		X
5	El Dorado[2]	In Progress	295,078	33,130		X
6	Glenn[2]	In Progress	256,052	410,432		X
7	Humboldt	In Progress	294,809	309,720		X
8	Imperial	In Progress	225,024	286,636		X
9	Inyo[2]	In Progress	158,669	0		X
10	Los Angeles	In Progress	24,558,000	27,050,000		X
11	Marin	In Progress	532,573	255,157		X
12	Merced	In Progress	609,809	805,559		X
13	Modoc	In Progress	34,124	39,400		X
14	Monterey	In Progress	648,125	648,125		X
15	Napa	In Progress	479,985	226,835		X
16	Nevada	In Progress	229,500	7,318		X
17	Orange	In Progress	4,748,186	4,518,072		X
18	Placer	In Progress	542,358	500,000		X
19	Riverside	In Progress	6,382,244	8,340,240		X
20	Sacramento	In Progress	2,004,667	2,100,000		X
21	San Benito	In Progress	142,778	30,156		X
22	San Bernardino	In Progress	2,795,963	15,488,724		X
23	San Diego[3]	In Progress	1,175,172	2,210,000		X
24	San Francisco	In Progress	4,049,323	4,565,692		X
25	San Joaquin	In Progress	1,635,756	2,891,002		X
26	San Luis Obispo	In Progress	712,987	4,931,326		X
27	San Mateo	In Progress	1,349,001	1,028,248		X
28	Santa Barbara	In Progress	1,154,245	1,168,383		X
29	Santa Clara	In Progress	1,945,344	2,376,202		X
30	Santa Cruz	In Progress	209,166	320,445		X
31	Shasta	In Progress	842,575	965,537		X
32	Solano	In Progress	592,026	408,999		X
33	Sonoma	In Progress	578,945	550,096		X
34	Stanislaus	In Progress	598,497	181,158		X
35	Tulare	In Progress	569,301	0		X
36	Ventura	In Progress	1,464,010	1,563,349		X
37	Yuba	In Progress	416,616	110,784		X
	TOTALS		**$ 66,881,626**	**$ 87,046,095**		**37**

Notes:

1. Pending debt for new approved capital project
2. Bonded indebtedness: approved project under way, pending completion for Glenn, El Dorado, Inyo, and Siskiyou (report not received)
3. Revised report under review

July 1, 2008, to June 30, 2009

Overview of County Reporting on
Local Courthouse Construction Funds Under Government Code Section 70403(d)

ATTACHMENT 5

	County	Review Status *(complete/in progress)*	Revenues for Period *(as reported by county)*	Expenditures for Period *(as reported by county)*	Repayments Due	Bonded Indebtedness *(indicated in report)*
1	Alameda[1]	In Progress	2,751,481	2,902,462		X
2	Amador	In Progress	79,419	70,560		X
3	Butte	In Progress	87,484	0		X
4	Contra Costa	In Progress	1,268,123	1,267,676		X
5	El Dorado[2]	In Progress	230,427	74,105		X
6	Glenn[2]	In Progress	183,671	0		X
7	Humboldt	In Progress	273,227	309,720		X
8	Imperial	In Progress	218,597	285,333		X
9	Inyo[2]	In Progress	157,849	0		X
10	Los Angeles	In Progress	23,230,000	28,396,000		X
11	Marin	In Progress	474,805	476,753		X
12	Merced	In Progress	558,140	419,449		X
13	Modoc[3]	In Progress	31,162	918		X
14	Monterey	In Progress	628,824	628,824		X
15	Napa	In Progress	452,943	230,079		X
16	Nevada[4]	In Progress	217,835	281,349		X
17	Orange	In Progress	4,950,165	4,519,492		X
18	Placer	In Progress	585,167	500,000		X
19	Riverside	In Progress	7,159,229	6,756,172		X
20	Sacramento	In Progress	1,918,448	2,100,000		X
21	San Benito[4]	In Progress	116,949	22,480		X
22	San Bernardino	In Progress	2,518,450	2,986,778		X
23	San Diego[5]	In Progress	774,706	2,200,000		X
24	San Francisco	In Progress	3,818,576	4,670,026		X
25	San Joaquin	In Progress	931,011	5,198,000		X
26	San Luis Obispo	In Progress	520,719	402,745		X
27	San Mateo	In Progress	1,119,734	1,444,211		X
28	Santa Barbara	In Progress	1,142,134	1,199,361		X
29	Santa Clara	In Progress	2,033,816	2,382,519		X
30	Santa Cruz	In Progress	177,819	210,199		X
31	Shasta	In Progress	667,154	691,021		X
32	Solano	In Progress	574,761	403,512		X
33	Sonoma	In Progress	540,554	486,299		X
34	Stanislaus	In Progress	875,803	1,181,356		X
35	Tulare	In Progress	581,978	850,000		X
36	Ventura	In Progress	1,462,308	1,562,167		X
37	Yuba	In Progress	502,432	129,341		X
	TOTALS		**$ 63,815,900**	**$ 75,238,906**		**37**

Notes:

1. Pending debt for new approved capital project
2. Bonded indebtedness: approved project under way, pending completion for Glenn, El Dorado, and Inyo
3. Debt retired in FY 2008-2009; pending receipt of county report to confirm
4. Final county report: CCF balance transferred to State Court Facilities Construction Fund per Gov. Code, § 70402(a)
5. Revised report under review

July 1, 2009, to June 30, 2010

Overview of County Reporting on
Local Courthouse Construction Funds Under Government Code Section 70403(d)

ATTACHMENT 6

	County	Review Status *(complete/in progress)*	Revenues for Period *(as reported by county)*	Expenditures for Period *(as reported by county)*	Repayments Due	Bonded Indebtedness *(indicated in report)*
1	Alameda[1]	In Progress	2,612,121	2,923,090		X
2	Amador	In Progress	48,075	48,075		X
3	Butte	In Progress	76,149	0		X
4	Contra Costa	In Progress	1,316,789	1,317,236		X
5	El Dorado[2]	In Progress	193,653	484,855		X
6	Glenn[2]	In Progress	162,380	0		X
7	Humboldt	In Progress	252,754	314,535		X
8	Imperial[4]	In Progress	86,222	285,763		X
9	Inyo[2]	In Progress	168,589	0		X
10	Los Angeles	In Progress	22,144,000	27,810,000		X
11	Marin	In Progress	435,339	507,000		X
12	Merced	In Progress	462,112	1,605,036		X
13	Monterey	In Progress	607,576	607,576		X
14	Napa	In Progress	445,445	220,244		X
15	Orange	In Progress	5,606,919	4,512,691		X
16	Placer	In Progress	531,102	500,000		X
17	Riverside	In Progress	7,751,474	6,968,876		X
18	Sacramento	In Progress	2,011,315	1,977,600		X
19	San Bernardino	In Progress	2,813,737	2,813,809		X
20	San Diego[3]	In Progress	995,110	12,173,745		X
21	San Francisco	In Progress	3,588,795	4,635,781		X
22	San Joaquin	In Progress	244,882	187,459		X
23	San Luis Obispo	In Progress	439,924	306,069		X
24	San Mateo	In Progress	1,321,247	1,398,699		X
25	Santa Barbara	In Progress	1,230,460	1,345,019		X
26	Santa Clara	In Progress	1,864,910	1,994,420		X
27	Santa Cruz	In Progress	168,234	211,112		X
28	Shasta	In Progress	572,397	697,373		X
29	Solano	In Progress	568,979	402,768		X
30	Sonoma	In Progress	578,545	735,327		X
31	Stanislaus	In Progress	815,137	388,830		X
32	Tulare	In Progress	360,308	300,000		X
33	Ventura	In Progress	1,338,867	1,555,461		X
34	Yuba	In Progress	702,066	689,975		X
	TOTALS		**$ 62,515,613**	**$ 79,918,425**		**34**

Notes:

1. Pending debt for new approved capital project
2. Bonded indebtedness: approved project under way, pending completion for Glenn, El Dorado, and Inyo
3. Revised report under review
4. Bonded debt retired in February 2010; this will be the final reporting period