

## CIVIL JUSTICE ASSOCIATION CALIFORNIA

January 10, 2012

RE:

BOARD ORGANIZATIONS

Allstate Insurance Company Altria Client Services, Inc. American Council of Engineering American Insurance Association

American International Group, Inc. Amgen, Inc.

Anthem Blue Cross of California Apple Computer, Inc. Association of California Insurance

Companies at&t Inc.

Bank of America Bayer Corporation

Bristol-Myers Souibb Company California Apartment Association California Association of Realtors California Building Industry Association CalChamber

California Farm Bureau Federation California Hospital Association California State Association of Counties

Caterpillar Inc. Chevron Corporation CNA Insurance Companies

Cooperative of American Physicians, Inc. ExxonMobil Corporation Farmers Insurance Group

Fireman's Fund Insurance Company Ford Motor Company

General Electric Company Georgia-Pacific GlaxoSmithKline

Greenberg Traurig

Hewlett-Packard Company Intel Corporation

JPMorgan Chase Johnson & Johnson

Kaiser Permanente League of California Cities

Liberty Mutual Insurance Company LyondellBasell Industries

Oracle Corporation Pacific Life Insurance Company Pfizer Inc.

Pharmaceutical Research & Manufacturers of America Robert W. Naylor Advocacy Sempra Energy

Shell Oil Company Southern California Edison State Farm Insurance Companies

The Accountants Coalition The Dentists Insurance Company

The Doctors Company The Dow Chemical Company

The Flanigan Law Firm

The Hartford The Travelers Indemnity Company Wells Fargo Bank

United Services Auto Association U.S. Chamber Institute for

Legal Reform

TO: Members of the California Assembly

FROM: Katherine Pettibone, Legislative Director

Kim Stone, President

AB 1208 (Calderon) As Amended May 18, 2011

FLOOR ALERT

**CJAC POSITION: OPPOSE** 

The Civil Justice Association of California must respectfully oppose Assembly Bill 1208 (Calderon), as amended on May 18, 2011.

Assembly Bill 1208, as amended on May 18, 2011, appears to remove or restrict the Judicial Council's responsibility for allocating funding that ensures statewide court functions, promotes statewide policies, and promotes the implementation of efficiencies or cost-saving measures (p. 8, lines 27-33 and p. 15, lines 5-30). It also limits the Judicial Council's ability to respond to changing conditions and ensure equal access to trial courts for all the public (p. 7, lines 20-27). The Judicial Council has overseen the unification of the courts, which was accompanied by the development and provision of, among other things, uniform judicial qualifications, court rules, filing fees, salaries, and court construction. Statewide programs, like the complex civil case management system, or the recently enacted expedited jury trials, are valuable measures that promote important efficiencies to the benefit of all litigants. Some were skeptical of these initiatives. Thus, it is unclear without the Judicial Council leadership whether these developments would have been successful.

Over the years, CJAC has been a partner and a proponent for measures that make court procedures more streamlined so that the administration of justice in civil litigation is not dependent on the county where one happened to be sued. We believe that provisions that hinder or remove the Judicial Council's ability to uniformly and effectively administer the judicial branch should not be implemented, lest we return to days of different programs and treatment in each of the 58 Superior Courts. Our members need and deserve uniformity, consistency, and predictability in our state courts. This bill threatens those all-important characteristics.

Therefore, we urge your "no" vote.