



**NEWS RELEASE**

Contact: [Leanne Kozak](mailto:Leanne.Kozak@courts.ca.gov), 916-263-2838

**FOR IMMEDIATE RELEASE**

August 17, 2012 (S.C. 33/12)

---

## Summary of Cases Accepted and Related Actions for Week of August 13, 2012

*[This news release is issued to inform the public about cases that the California Supreme Court has accepted, their general subject matter, and related actions. The statement of the issue or issues in each case does not necessarily reflect the view of the court or define the specific issues that will be addressed by the court.]*

**#12-90 *People v. Chiu, S202724*.** (C063913; nonpublished opinion; Sacramento County Superior Court; 03F08566.) Petition for review after the Court of Appeal reversed a judgment of conviction of a criminal offense. This case presents the following issue: Does a conviction for first degree murder as an aider and abettor under the natural and probable consequences doctrine require that premeditated murder have been a reasonably foreseeable consequence of the target crimes or only that murder have been such a consequence?

**#12-91 *People v. E\*Poly Star, Inc., S203477*.** (B233008; 205 Cal.App.4th 1316; Los Angeles County Superior Court; BC450218.) Petition for review after the Court of Appeal reversed an order dismissing a civil action. The court ordered briefing deferred pending decision in *Aryeh v. Canon Business Solutions, Inc.*, S184929 (#10-123), which presents the following issues: (1) May the continuing violation doctrine, under which a defendant may be held liable for actions that take place outside the limitations period if those actions are sufficiently linked to unlawful conduct within the limitations period, be asserted in an action under the Unfair Competition Law (Bus. & Prof. Code, § 17200 et seq.)? (2) May the continuous accrual doctrine, under which each violation of a periodic obligation or duty is deemed to give rise to a separate cause of action that accrues at the time of the individual wrong, be asserted in such an action? (3) May the delayed discovery rule, under which a cause of action does not accrue until a reasonable person in the plaintiff's position has actual or constructive knowledge of facts giving rise to a claim, be asserted in such an action?

###