

CHILD'S NAME:	CASE NUMBER:
---------------	--------------

**EIGHTEEN-MONTH PERMANENCY ATTACHMENT: REUNIFICATION SERVICES CONTINUED**  
(Welf. & Inst. Code, § 366.22)

1. By a preponderance of the evidence, the return of the child to their parent or legal guardian would create a substantial risk of detriment to the safety, protection, or physical or emotional well-being of the child. The factual basis for this conclusion is stated on the record.

**Placement**

2. **The child's out-of-home placement is necessary.**
3.  **The child's current placement is appropriate.**
4.  For a child placed in a short-term residential therapeutic program or community treatment facility, the court has considered the evidence and documentation submitted under Welf. & Inst. Code, § 366.1(l) when determining the continuing necessity for and appropriateness of the placement.
5.  The child has left their placement, and their whereabouts are unknown. Out-of-home placement continues to be necessary. The placement  was  was not appropriate. The county agency  has  has not made reasonable efforts to locate the child.
6.  The child is currently detained in juvenile hall. Out-of-home placement continues to be necessary. The placement  was  was not appropriate.
7.  **The child's current placement is not appropriate.** The county agency must locate an appropriate placement for the child.
- a.  The matter is continued to the date and time indicated in form JV-440, item 28, for a  written  oral report by the county agency on the progress made in locating an appropriate placement.
- b.  Other (*specify*):
8.  There has been a change in the child's placement and the child is an Indian child, or there is reason to know that the child is an Indian child. Currently (*choose one*),
- a.  the child is placed with a member of the child's extended family as defined by 25 U.S.C. § 1903; or
- b.  a diligent search was made for a placement with a member of the child's extended family, the efforts are documented in detail in the record, and the child is placed in a foster home licensed, approved, or specified by the Indian child's tribe; or
- c.  a diligent search was made for a placement with a member of the child's extended family or in a foster home licensed, approved, or specified by the Indian child's tribe; the efforts are documented in detail in the record; and the child is placed in an Indian foster home licensed or approved by an authorized non-Indian licensing authority; or
- d.  a diligent search was made for a placement with a member of the child's extended family, in a foster home licensed, approved, or specified by the Indian child's tribe, or in an Indian foster home licensed or approved by an authorized non-Indian licensing authority; the efforts are documented in detail in the record; and the child is placed in an institution for children approved by an Indian tribe or operated by an Indian organization that has a program suitable to meet the Indian child's needs; or
- e.  the child is placed in accordance with the preferences established by the tribe; or
- f.  the court finds by clear and convincing evidence that there is good cause to depart from the placement preferences based on the reasons set out in the record.
9.  **The child is placed outside the state of California, and that out-of-state placement**
- a.  continues to be the most appropriate placement for the child and is in the best interest of the child.
- b.  is no longer the most appropriate placement for the child and is not in the best interest of the child. The matter is continued to the date and time indicated in form JV-440, item 28, for a  written  oral report by the county agency on the progress made toward
- (1)  returning the child to California and locating an appropriate placement within California.
- (2)  locating an out-of-state placement that is the most appropriate placement for the child and in the best interest of the child.
- (3)  Other (*specify*):

CHILD'S NAME:	CASE NUMBER:
---------------	--------------

**Reunification services**

**10. By clear and convincing evidence, it is in the best interest of the child to provide additional reunification services to the**

- a.  mother                       biological father                       Indian custodian  
 presumed father                       legal guardian                       Other (*specify*):  
 Other (*specify*):

- (1)  who is making significant and consistent progress in a substance abuse treatment program.  
(2)  who is recently discharged from incarceration, institutionalization, or the custody of the Department of Homeland Security and making significant and consistent progress in establishing a safe home for the child's return.  
(3)  who was a minor parent or a nonminor dependent parent at the time of the initial hearing and is making significant and consistent progress in establishing a safe home for the child's return.

and

**b. There is a substantial probability that the child may be returned to the**

- mother                       biological father                       Indian custodian  
 presumed father                       legal guardian                       Other (*specify*):  
 Other (*specify*):

by the date set for the 24-month permanency hearing under Welf. & Inst. Code, § 366.25 because the person has

- (1) consistently and regularly contacted and visited the child;  
(2) made significant and consistent progress in the prior 18 months in resolving the problems that led to the child's removal from the home; and  
(3) demonstrated the capacity and ability to provide for the safety, protection, physical and emotional health, and special needs of the child and  
    (a)  to complete the objectives of their substance abuse treatment plan as evidenced by reports from a substance abuse provider.  
    (b)  to complete a treatment plan postdischarge from incarceration or institutionalization.

- c.  The court finds reasonable reunification services have not been provided. Based on this finding and other relevant factors, including the likelihood of success of further reunification services and the child's need for a prompt resolution of dependency status, the court finds good cause under Welf. and Inst. Code, § 352 to continue the 18-month status review to (*date*):

**11. Reunification services are continued for the**

- mother                       biological father                       Indian custodian  
 presumed father                       legal guardian                       Other (*specify*):  
 Other (*specify*):

- a.  as previously ordered.  
b.  as modified  
    (1)  on the record.  
    (2)  in the case plan.

12.  **The likely date** by which the child may be returned to and safely maintained in the home or another permanent plan selected is (*date*):

**Important individuals**

**13.  For a child who is 10 years of age or older**

- a.  The county agency has made efforts to identify individuals who are important to the child and to maintain the child's relationships with those individuals, consistent with the child's best interest.  
b.  The county agency has not made efforts to identify individuals who are important to the child and to maintain the child's relationships with those individuals, consistent with the child's best interest.

CHILD'S NAME:	CASE NUMBER:
---------------	--------------

13. c.  To identify individuals who are important to the child and to maintain the child's relationships with those individuals, the county agency must provide the services

(1)  as stated on the record.

(2)  as follows:

**Health**

14.  The  mother  biological father  Indian custodian  
 presumed father  legal guardian  Other (*specify*):  
 Other (*specify*):

is  unable  unwilling  unavailable to make decisions regarding the child's needs for medical, surgical, dental, or other remedial care, and the right to make these decisions is suspended under Welf. & Inst. Code, § 369 and vested with the county agency.

**Advisement**

15. The court informed all parties present at the time of the hearing and further advises all parties that if the child is not returned to the home at the 24-month permanency hearing set on a date within 24 months from the date the child was initially removed from their home, the case may be referred to a selection and implementation hearing under Welf. & Inst. Code, § 366.26. **That hearing may result in the termination of parental rights and adoption of the child and other members of the sibling group or, in the case of an Indian child for whom tribal customary adoption under Welf. & Inst. Code, § 366.24 is selected as the permanent plan goal, modification of parental rights and the adoption of the child and other members of the sibling group.**

<b>Twenty-four-month permanency hearing date:</b>
---

