CHILD'S NAME:	CASE NUMBER:

## TWELVE-MONTH PERMANENCY ATTACHMENT: **REUNIFICATION SERVICES TERMINATED** (Welf. & Inst. Code, § 366.21(f))

1. By a preponderance of the evidence, the return of the child to their parent or legal guardian would create a substantial risk of detriment to the safety, protection, or physical or emotional well-being of the child. The factual basis for this conclusion is stated

	on the record.				
2.	Reunification services are terminated.				
3.	The child is an Indian child or there is reason to know that the child is an Indian child, and as set out in detail in the record,  a. affirmative, active, thorough, and timely efforts have have not been made to provide remedial services and rehabilitative programs designed to prevent the breakup of the Indian family;  b. these efforts did did not include assisting the parent(s) or Indian custodian through the steps of the case plan and with accessing or developing the resources necessary to satisfy the case plan;				
	c. to the maximum extent possible, the efforts were were not provided in a manner consistent with the prevailing social and cultural conditions and way of life of the child's tribe;				
	d. these efforts and the case plan have have not been conducted and developed to the maximum extent possible in partnership with the Indian child, the parents, extended family members, Indian custodians, and the tribe and utilized the available resources of the Indian child's extended family, tribe, tribal and other Indian social service agencies, and individual Indian caregiver service providers; and				
	e. the active efforts have proved successful unsuccessful.				
4.	The child is an Indian child or there is reason to know that the child is an Indian child, and				
	<ul><li>a. qualified expert witness testimony was provided by (name): ; and</li><li>b. evidence regarding the prevailing social and cultural practices of the child's tribe was provided; and</li></ul>				
	c. there is clear and convincing evidence that continued physical custody by the following person is likely to cause serious emotional or physical damage to the child:  Mother Biological father Legal guardian  Presumed father Indian custodian  Other (specify):  Other (specify):				
Pla	cement				
5.	The child's out-of-home placement is necessary.				
6.	The child's current placement is appropriate.				
7.	For a child placed in a short-term residential therapeutic program or community treatment facility, the court has considered the evidence and documentation submitted under Welf. & Inst. Code, § 366.1( <i>I</i> ) when determining the continuing necessity for and appropriateness of the placement.				
8.	The child has left their placement, and their whereabouts are unknown. Out-of-home placement continues to be necessary. The placement was was not appropriate. The county agency has has not made reasonable efforts to locate the child.				
9.	The child is currently detained in juvenile hall. Out-of-home placement continues to be necessary. The placement was was not appropriate.				
10.	The child's current placement is not appropriate. The county agency must locate an appropriate placement for the child.				
	a. The matter is continued to the date and time indicated in form JV-435, item 28, for a report by the county agency on the progress made in locating an appropriate placement.				
	b. Other (specify):				

CHILD'S NAME:	CASE NUMBER:
There has been a change in the child's placement, and the child is an Indian chi an Indian child. Currently <i>(choose one),</i>	ld or there is reason to know that the child is
a the child is placed with a member of the child's extended family as defined by	y 25 U.S.C. § 1903; or
<ul> <li>a diligent search was made for a placement with a member of the child's extended and the child is placed in a foster home licensed, approve</li> </ul>	
c. a diligent search was made for a placement with a member of the child's extended approved, or specified by the Indian child's tribe; the efforts are documented in an Indian foster home licensed or approved by an authorized non-Indian li	in detail in the record; and the child is placed
d. a diligent search was made for a placement with a member of the child's external approved, or specified by the Indian child's tribe, or in an Indian foster home Indian licensing authority; the efforts are documented in detail in the record; children approved by an Indian tribe or operated by an Indian organization the child's needs; or	licensed or approved by an authorized non- and the child is placed in an institution for
e the child is placed in accordance with the preferences established by the trib	e; or
f. the court finds by clear and convincing evidence that there is good cause to based on the reasons set out in the record.	depart from the placement preferences
12. The child is placed outside the state of California, and that out-of-state pla	cement
a continues to be the most appropriate placement for the child and is in the beau	st interest of the child.
b. is no longer the most appropriate placement for the child and is not in the be continued to the date and time indicated in form JV-435, item 28, for a county agency on the progress made toward	st interest of the child. The matter is written oral report by the
(1) returning the child to California and locating an appropriate placement v	vithin California.
(2) locating an out-of-state placement that is the most appropriate placeme of the child.	nt for the child and in the best interest
(3) Other (specify):	
13. The county agency has has not exercised due diligence to locat could be placed. Each relative whose name has been submitted to the agency	e an appropriate relative with whom the child has has not been evaluated.
mportant individuals	
14. For a child who is 10 years of age or older,	
a. the county agency has made reasonable efforts to identify individuals who a maintain the child's relationships with those individuals, consistent with the	
<ul> <li>the county agency has not made reasonable efforts to identify individuals when maintain the child's relationships with those individuals, consistent with the</li> </ul>	
<ul> <li>to identify individuals who are important to the child and to maintain the child the county agency must provide the services</li> </ul>	d's relationships with those individuals,
<ul><li>(1) as stated on the record.</li><li>(2) as follows:</li></ul>	
Health	
15. The mother biological father Other (spe presumed father legal guardian Other (spe is unable unwilling unavailable to make decisions surgical, dental, or other remedial care, and the right to make these decisions is and vested with the county agency.	cify): s regarding the child's needs for medical,

CHILD'S NAME:		CASE NUMBER:
Select	tion of permanent plan	
16.	By clear and convincing evidence, there is a compelling reason for determicode, § 366.26 is not in the best interest of the child because the child is not a potential legal guardian has not been identified.	
a.	The child's permanent plan is permanent placement with (name):  The likely date by which the child's permanent plan will be achieved is (date	, a fit and willing relative.
b.	The child is ordered to remain in foster care with a permanent plan of  (1) return home.  (2) adoption.  (3) tribal customary adoption.  (4) legal guardianship.  (5) placement with a fit and willing relative.  The child is 16 years of age or older, there is a compelling reason that no oth child's best interest, and the child is ordered placed in another planned permand intensive efforts to  return home. establish legal guardianship. place for adoption. place with a relative.  Other (specify):	ner preferred permanent plan is in the
	The likely date by which the child's permanent plan will be achieved is (date):	
d.	The court finds that the barriers to achieving the child's permanent plans are	(describe):
17 a.	For children 16 years of age or older placed in another planned permanent the court asked the child where the child wants to live, and the child provided the fo	
b.	the court has considered the evidence before it and finds that another planned pern permanent plan because <i>(describe):</i>	nanent living arrangement is the best
c.	the compelling reasons why the other permanent plan options are not in the child's	best interest are <i>(describe):</i>

		JV-438	
CHILD'S NAME:		CASE NUMBER:	
8 a.	<ul> <li>a. The matter is ordered set for hearing under Welf. &amp; Inst. Code, § 366.26 to select the most appropriate permanent plan for the child.</li> <li>b. By clear and convincing evidence, reasonable services have been provided or offered to the child's parents, legal guardian, or Indian custodian.</li> <li>c. The county agency and the licensed county adoption agency or the California Department of Social Services, acting as an adoption agency, will prepare and serve an assessment report as described in Welf. &amp; Inst. Code, § 366.21(i).</li> <li>d. The court advised all parties present in court that to preserve any right to review on appeal of this order, a party must seek an extraordinary writ by filing a notice of intent to file a writ petition and a request for the record, which may be submitted on Notice of Intent to File Writ Petition and Request for Record to Review Order Setting a Hearing Under Welfare and Institutions Code Section 366.26 (California Rules of Court, Rule 8.450) (form JV-820), and a petition for extraordinary writ, which may be submitted on Petition for Extraordinary Writ (form JV-825). A copy of each form is available in the courtroom. The court advised all parties present in court that, as to them, a notice of intent to file a writ petition and request for record must be filed with the juvenile court clerk within seven days of the date of this hearing. The clerk of the court must provide written notice as stated in rule 5.590(b)(2) of the California Rules of Court to any party not present.</li> </ul>		
b.			
C.			
d.			
e.	The court advised each parent present in court of the date, time, and place of \$366.26; their right to counsel; the nature of the proceedings; and the requires select and implement a plan of adoption, guardianship, placement with a fit a permanent living arrangement, or in the case of an Indian child, in consultation adoption for the child. The court ordered each parent present in court to appeal Code, §366.26 and directed that each parent be notified hereafter by first-clabusiness only.	ement that at the proceedings the court must and willing relative, or another planned on with the child's tribe, tribal customary ear for the hearing set under Welf. & Inst.	
f.	The court orders that no notice of the hearing set under Welf. & Inst. C named below, who is a mother, a presumed father, or an alleged father adoption where the relinquishment has been accepted and filed with no alleged father who has denied paternity and has executed section 2 of (form JV-505).	r and who has relinquished the child for otice under Family Code section 8700, or an	
	(1) (name): (2) (name):		

g. The likely date by which the child may be placed for adoption, tribal customary adoption, legal guardianship, or with a fit

and willing relative is (specify date):