

NEWS RELEASE

Release Number: S.C. 16/05 Release Date: April 22, 2005

JUDICIAL COUNCIL OF CALIFORNIA ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICE OF THE COURTS Public Information Office 455 Golden Gate Avenue San Francisco, CA 94102-3688 www.courtinfo.ca.gov

415-865-7740

Lynn Holton Public Information Officer

Summary of Cases Accepted During the Week of April 18, 2005

[This news release is issued to inform the public and the press of cases that the Supreme Court has accepted and of their general subject matter. The description or descriptions set out below do not necessarily reflect the view of the court, or define the specific issues that will be addressed by the court.]

#05-89 People v. Bell, S132219. (B171066; unpublished opinion; Los Angeles County Superior Court; NA047579.) Petition for review after the Court of Appeal remanded for resentencing and otherwise affirmed a judgment of conviction of criminal offenses.

#05-90 People v. Patton, S132287. (H027044; unpublished opinion; Santa Clara County Superior Court; CC262233.) Petition for review after the Court of Appeal remanded for resentencing and otherwise affirmed a judgment of conviction of criminal offenses.

#05-91 People v. Shaw, S131482. (D042877; unpublished opinion; San Diego County Superior Court; SCE224064.) Petition for review after the Court of Appeal remanded for resentencing and otherwise affirmed a judgment of conviction of a criminal offense.

The court ordered briefing in *Bell*, *Patton*, and *Shaw* deferred pending decision in *People v. Black*, S126182 (#04-83) and *People v. Towne*, S125677 (#04-75), which include the following issues: (1) Does *Blakely v. Washington* (2004) 542 U.S. ___, 124 S.Ct. 2531, preclude a trial court from making findings on aggravating factors in support of an upper term sentence? (2) What effect does *Blakely* have on a trial court's imposition of consecutive sentences?

#05-92 People v. Nawi, S131126. (A096635; unpublished opinion; San Francisco County Superior Court; 176527.) Petition for review after the

Court of Appeal affirmed a judgment of conviction of a criminal offense. The court ordered briefing deferred pending decision in *People v. Wilson*, S130157 (#05-32), which presents the following issue: May a DNA expert testify to the statistical probability of a match between the perpetrator's and defendant's genetic profiles based on different racial databases if the prosecution has not first provided independent evidence of the perpetrator's race?

#