ATTACHMENT 9

**Contractor Past Performance Evaluation Form**

 **Parts I and II to be completed by the Proposer:**

**Part I**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Contract Number |  | Award Date |  |
| Order Number1 |  | Expiration Date |  |
| Contractor |  | Contract Value2 | $  |
| COR |  | Project Monitor (PM) |  |

**Part II**

Program Title

Contract Effort Description

# To be completed by the Client of the Proposer:

# Directions: Select appropriate rating for contractor performance. The definitions of the evaluation ratings are described on the following page(s).

1. Quality of Product or Service
2. Schedule
3. Cost Control
4. Business Relations
5. Management of Key Personnel
6. Recommendation

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Rating** | **Definition** |
| Exceptional | Performance meets contractual requirements and exceeds many to the Government’s benefit. The contractual performance of the element or sub- element being assessed was accomplished with few minor problems for which corrective actions taken by the contractor was highly effective. |
| Very Good | Performance meets contractual requirements and exceeds some to the Government’s benefit. The contractual performance of the element or sub- element being assessed was accomplished with some minor problems for which corrective actions taken by the contractor was effective. |
| Satisfactory | Performance meets contractual requirements. The contractual performance of the element or sub- element contains some minor problems for which corrective actions taken by the contractor appear or were satisfactory. |
| Marginal | Performance does not meet some contractual requirements. The contractual performance of the element or sub- element being assessed reflects a serious problem for which the contractor has not yet identified corrective actions. Thecontractor’s proposed actions appear only marginally effective or were not fully implemented. |
| Unsatisfactory | Performance does not meet most contractual requirements and recovery is not likely in a timely manner. The contractual performance of the element or sub-element contains a serious problem(s) for which the contractor’s corrective actions appear or were ineffective. |