

|                 |  |
|-----------------|--|
| 1. Security     | <p>The current facility has an AOC security rating of 80, the highest possible rating for deficiencies. The court is currently unsafe, substandard in size, and overcrowded. It has numerous deficiencies that create critical security concerns, including the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• The building has no central security control room.</li><li>• There is not a secure path of circulation separating judicial officers and staff from in-custody defendants in the restricted corridor.</li><li>• The security screening equipment is substandard due to lack of space at the entry doors and public main lobby.</li><li>• Judicial parking is not secure and is fully accessible / visible to the public on the side of the building.</li><li>• The in-custody holding / waiting area is located in a restricted corridor used by judicial officers and staff.</li><li>• An unused, non-secure office is used as a makeshift adult holding area off of a public corridor.</li></ul> |
| 2. Overcrowding | <p>Some examples of the overcrowding that exist at this courthouse include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• The two juvenile courtrooms are significantly undersized and do not meet current design standards. The courtrooms are 339 square feet in size, approximately 80 percent less than the smallest courtroom size of 1,600 square feet, per the approved California Trial Court Facilities Standards.</li><li>• Lobby waiting area overcrowded and small; the current lobby is 1,000 square feet, 60 percent smaller than the current approved design of 2,460 square feet.</li><li>• Front clerk counter is inadequate to serve the public. Customers at the public clerk's window stand next to those waiting for court so there is no confidentiality possible.</li><li>• Due to overcrowding, people often wait outside in extreme temperatures as there is not enough room to sit in the lobby. Average temperatures in Indio range from 102 to 119 during the summertime months.</li></ul>     |

|                                 |   |
|---------------------------------|---|
| 3. Physical Condition           | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The facility lacks the following: appropriately-sized ADA accessible courtrooms and chambers; jury deliberation rooms; a self-help center; an adequate children’s room; an appropriately sized in-custody holding area; and attorney interview / witness waiting rooms to support a full service court.</li> <li>• The lobby area is significantly undersized, leading to excessive overcrowding. The current lobby is 1,000 square feet, 60 percent smaller than the current approved design of 2,460 square feet.</li> <li>• Due to the overcrowding, people must often wait outside in extreme temperatures because there is insufficient room to sit in the lobby. Average temperatures in Indio range from 102 to 119 degrees during the summer months.</li> <li>• The front clerk counter is inadequate to serve the public. Customers at the clerk’s window stand next to those waiting for court so confidentiality is impossible.</li> <li>• Restrooms are inadequate.</li> </ul> |
| 4. Access to Court Services     | The new courthouse would improve court operational efficiency, as well as provide greater public service and access to justice through consolidation of all juvenile and family law court operations in one location in the court's Desert Region.  |
| 5. Economic Opportunity         | The new courthouse is being built on the site of the existing juvenile court / juvenile hall to maintain a permanent secured pedestrian connection between the facilities. This site was chosen in consideration of the county as it eliminates the costs of transporting juvenile prisoners.   |
| 6. Project Status               |   |
| 6.1 Site Selection              | N/A   |
| 6.2 Site Acquisition            | N/A   |
| 6.3 Property Purchased          | N/A   |
| 6.4 Preliminary Plans           | This facility is currently in the preliminary plans phase - project has been funded for preparation of preliminary plans, which includes schematic design and design development drawings.  |
| 6.5 Preliminary Plans Completed | N/A   |
| 6.6 Working Drawings            | N/A   |
| 6.7 Construction                | N/A   |
| 6.8 Reassessment                | N/A   |
| 7. Court Usage                  |   |
| 7.1 Locations/Assignments       | See the attached judicial officer assignments listing.  |
| 7.2 Estimated Population Served |   |

|  |  |
|--|--|
| 7.2.1  | N/A  |
| 7.2.2  | The new Indio Juvenile and Family Law Courthouse would serve the court's Desert Region, a large geographical area stretching from east of Banning to California's eastern border at Blythe. Based on data contained in the State of California, Department of Finance, E-1 Population Estimates for Cities, Counties, and the State with Annual Percent Change – January 1, 2011 and 2012, this new courthouse would serve 375,323 citizens (Blythe – 20,400; Cathedral City – 51,952; Coachella – 41,904; Desert Hot Springs – 27,638; Indian Wells – 5,035; Indio – 78,065; La Quinta – 38,075; Palm Desert – 49,471; Palm Springs – 45,279; and Rancho Mirage – 17,504) plus an unspecified number of the 356,633 county residents living in unincorporated areas.            |
| 7.3 Number of Filings  | AOC to provide   |
| 7.4 Number of Dispositions                                     | AOC to provide   |
| 7.5 Number of Jury Trials                                      | AOC to provide   |
| 7.6 Weighted Filings Data                                      | AOC to provide   |
| 8. Type of Courthouse  |  |
| 8.1 Main Courthouses   | N/A  |
| 8.2 Branch Courthouses   | This courthouse is currently a branch facility located next to the Larson Justice Center in Indio, the main courthouse for the court's Desert Region. The new courthouse would consolidate family law, juvenile dependency, and juvenile delinquency matters in one facility for the region.   |
| 9. Disposition Of Existing Court Space/Facility                | AOC to provide   |
| 10. Consolidation of Facilities                                | N/A  |
| 11. Extent to Which Project Solves Court's Facilities Problems | This project will allow consolidation of all juvenile and family court functions in one location, which corrects operational inefficiencies for the court and improves access to justice. The new project will solve the current substandard space shortfall, increase security, replace an inadequate and obsolete building, as well as provide for consolidation of services. This option will best serve the current needs of the public and the justice system, as well as provide the foundation for long-term needs. This project, ranked in the Immediate Need priority group in the Trial Court Five-Year Infrastructure Plan adopted by the Judicial Council in April 2008, is one of the highest priority trial court capital-outlay projects for the judicial branch. |
| 12. Expected Operational Impact                                |  |

|  |   |
|--|---|
| 12.1 One-time and ongoing cost impacts related to moving in and operating the new facility. List items separately.                                       | One-time costs include: moving costs of \$25,000 and new information technology related equipment (computers, servers, printers, copiers, and telephones) costs of \$550,000. The court anticipates ongoing costs for janitorial to increase by \$6,500 per month, or \$78,000 annually, for the larger facility.   |
| 12.2 Funding sources to address net cost increases   | The court's TCTF allocation.  |
| 12.3 Potential ongoing cost savings through elimination of "court funded" lease costs, consolidation and reduction of staff.                             | N/A   |
| 12.4 Data on elimination of AOC funded lease costs and impacts to AOC funded building operational costs, to include offset from County Facility Payment. | AOC to provide  |
| 13. Quantitative Statement of Need to Replace Facility   | <p>The new Indio Juvenile and Family Law Courthouse project was ranked in the Immediate Need priority group by the AOC due to many security deficiencies that continue to be of great concern as the court operates the current facility. The courthouse has a security rating of 80, which is the highest possible rating for deficiencies. Critical security concerns include: the substandard security screening equipment caused by a lack of lobby space; non-secured judicial parking that is accessible to the public; in-custody waiting areas located in a restricted corridor used by judicial officers; and a non-secure office off of the public corridor used for adult holding. Additional deficiencies in the building include many substandard sized spaces and overcrowding from the volume of customers served in the desert region. Both juvenile courtrooms are significantly undersized for the volume of cases heard and they do not meet current AOC design standards. The lobby and main public corridors are also undersized and do not allow all who are waiting to enter the building immediately upon arrival. This creates a health concern as the average temperature during the day in Indio is 89 degrees and temperatures range between 102 and 119 degrees during the summer months. The new Indio Juvenile and Family Law Courthouse project is considered the most critical need project for the Riverside County Superior Court.</p> |
| 14. Courtroom and Courthouse Closures  |   |
| 14.1 Courthouse or Courtrooms  | N/A   |
| 14.2 Courtrooms that are not fully scheduled   | See attached judicial officer assignments listing   |

|                                |  |
|--------------------------------|--|
| 15. "Outside the Box Thinking" | The court has already started discussions with the PAG to reduce the overall square footage of the project by at least 10 percent. Square footage and savings may be gained by removing three of the five jury boxes from the family law / dependency courtrooms that have been designed as multi-functional courtrooms for future jury trial use. Additional savings may be found by reducing the AOC Trial Court Facility standards where they do not effect the overall safety or security of the new building. The PAG is also willing to consider the effective implementation of lower-cost construction methods, such as tilt up construction for additional savings. |
| 16. Expended Resources         | The AOC is to provide data on SB 1407 fund expenditures as of June 30, 2012. It is estimated that the court has expended 1,175 hours of judicial and staff time on the project since January 2009, which translates to an unspecified amount of costs.   |

SB 1407 Projects Review

Summary of Review Criterion

Court Facilities Working Group Meeting - September 5-7, 2012

New Hemet Area Courthouse

|                        |   |
|------------------------|---|
| <p>1. Security</p>     | <p>The current facility has a number of security problems, including:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• The building has no central security control room.</li><li>• There is not a secure path of circulation separating judicial officers and staff from in-custody defendants in the restricted corridor.</li><li>• The circulation path from the central holding area is a convoluted route through several rooms and corridors created by multiple building additions.</li></ul>   |
| <p>2. Overcrowding</p> | <p>The current Hemet courthouse is overcrowded, as shown by:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• A small and outdated jury assembly room has been converted to a cramped Family Law Assistance Center (FLAC). The setup of the room makes it difficult for the facilitator and other court staff to have confidential meetings with litigants.</li><li>• The courthouse main entrance has enough room for only one security screening station, which creates problems when over 1,000 people enter the courthouse each day. This becomes even worse with the average summer temperatures ranging between 95 and 106 degrees and people are unable to enter the building.</li><li>• Because of a lack of space, the court was forced to relocate the enhanced collections division (ECD) staff to another courthouse. Customers wishing to set up payment plans or discuss their financial obligations to the court must make contact with ECD telephonically or travel to another courthouse.</li><li>• The entrance to the courtroom that hears general civil, unlawful detainers, small claims, civil harassments, and traffic cases is situated adjacent to the public service windows for the civil department. The lack of space means crowds of people gather outside of the courtroom, creating chaos while waiting for court to start or for service at the counters.</li></ul> |

|                                 |  |
|---------------------------------|--|
| 3. Physical Condition           | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The courthouse is too small to support the operational needs of the court and the need for additional civil court services.</li> <li>• The building has had two separate additions that have created awkward and confusing circulation for both the public and staff and are not fully ADA compliant.</li> <li>• The building has an undersized entrance screening queuing and lobby area, resulting in lines extending outside the building on a regular basis. The remaining public lobby space beyond the court screening magnetometer is small and confined.</li> <li>• Due to the constrained lobby configuration, security is unable to monitor public service windows as they are physically out of view, creating the need for additional roving security.</li> </ul> |
| 4. Access to Court Services     | <p>The proposed project will improve access to court services for court users. There are currently limited court services for the residents of mid-Riverside County at the existing Hemet Courthouse. The existing courthouse houses five courtrooms serving civil, small claims, family law, probate and traffic cases, and is physically deficient, substandard in size, and overcrowded. The facility lacks secure circulation and an adequate holding facility and does not accommodate criminal trials.</p>   |
| 5. Economic Opportunity         | <p>There are a number of options that the developer for one of the possible sites will consider to move this project forward. If the AOC decides to pursue the originally approved direction, the developer is willing to sell the land at 50 percent of market value. The developer is also willing to proceed with a "build to suit / lease option" if the AOC prefers not to purchase the land or own the building.</p>   |
| 6. Project Status               |  |
| 6.1 Site Selection              | N/A  |
| 6.2 Site Acquisition            | This facility is currently in the site acquisition phase.  |
| 6.3 Property Purchased          | N/A  |
| 6.4 Preliminary Plans           | N/A  |
| 6.5 Preliminary Plans Completed | N/A  |
| 6.6 Working Drawings            | N/A  |
| 6.7 Construction                | N/A  |

|   |   |
|---|---|
| 6.8 Reassessment                                | This project was reassessed in April 2012 by the AOC to confirm the project scope and budget and identify significant ways to reduce costs, including square footage, undertaking renovations of existing buildings, evaluating lease options, etc.   |
| 7. Court Usage                                  |   |
| 7.1 Locations/Assignments                       | See attached judicial officer assignments listing.  |
| 7.2 Estimated Population Served                 |   |
| 7.2.1   | N/A   |
| 7.2.2   | The new Hemet Area Courthouse would primarily hear civil and family law matters and would serve the court's Mid County Region. Based on data contained in the State of California, Department of Finance, E-1 Population Estimates for Cities, Counties, and the State with Annual Percentage Change – January 1, 2011 and 2012, this new courthouse would serve 580,170 citizens (Canyon Lake – 10,689; Hemet – 80,089; Lake Elsinore – 53,024; Menifee – 80,589; Murrieta – 104,985; Perris – 70,180; San Jacinto – 44,803; Temecula – 103,092; and Wildomar – 32,719) plus an unspecified number of the 356,633 county residents living in unincorporated areas. |
| 7.3 Number of Filings                           | AOC to provide  |
| 7.4 Number of Dispositions                      | AOC to provide  |
| 7.5 Number of Jury Trials                       | AOC to provide  |
| 7.6 Weighted Filings Data                       | AOC to provide  |
| 8. Type of Courthouse                           |   |
| 8.1 Main Courthouses                            | N/A   |
| 8.2 Branch Courthouses                          | The Hemet courthouse was originally a one courtroom municipal courthouse that later expanded to three, then five courtrooms. The new courthouse would serve as a main justice center in the fastest growing region of the court's three regions. This justice center would hear the following case types for the entire mid-county region when built: family law; Department of Child Support Services (DCSS) family support; adoptions; unlimited civil; general civil; unlawful detainer; small claims; traffic; and probate.   |
| 9. Disposition Of Existing Court Space/Facility | AOC to provide  |
| 10. Consolidation of Facilities                 | N/A   |



|   |   |
|---|---|
| <p>11. Extent to Which Project Solves Court's Facilities Problems</p>   | <p>The proposed new Hemet Courthouse will accomplish the following immediately needed improvements for the court and enhance its ability to serve the public:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Serve the fastest growing region not only in Riverside County, but one of the fastest growing areas in the state and the nation.</li> <li>• Greatly increase access to justice and overall public service in the mid-county area.</li> <li>• Bring an increased presence for the civil and probate case types to the region; residents must currently travel to Riverside for probate and unlimited civil proceedings.</li> <li>• Replace the existing Hemet Courthouse, which is physically deficient, substandard in size, and overcrowded.</li> <li>• Provide basic services not currently provided to mid-county residents due to space restrictions such as: appropriately-sized ADA accessible courtrooms and chambers, jury deliberation and assembly rooms, an adequately-sized self-help center, a children’s waiting room, adequately-sized in-custody holding, and attorney interview/witness waiting rooms to support a full service court. This project—ranked in the Immediate Need priority group in the Trial Court Five-Year Infrastructure Plan that was adopted by the Judicial Council in October 2008—is one of the highest priority trial court capital-outlay projects for the judicial branch, and was selected by the Judicial Council in October 2008 as one of 41 projects to be funded by Senate Bill (SB) 1407 revenues.</li> </ul> |
| <p>12. Expected Operational Impact</p>  |   |
| <p>12.1 One-time and ongoing cost impacts related to moving in and operating the new facility. List items separately.</p>                                       | <p>One-time costs include: moving costs of \$25,000 and new information technology related equipment (computers, servers, printers, copiers, and telephones) costs of \$950,000. The court anticipates ongoing costs for janitorial to increase by \$11,500 per month, or \$138,000 annually, for the larger facility.</p>  |
| <p>12.2 Funding sources to address net cost increases</p>   | <p>The court's TCTF allocation.</p>   |
| <p>12.3 Potential ongoing cost savings through elimination of "court funded" lease costs, consolidation and reduction of staff.</p>                             | <p>N/A</p>  |
| <p>12.4 Data on elimination of AOC funded lease costs and impacts to AOC funded building operational costs, to include offset from County Facility Payment.</p> | <p>AOC to provide</p>   |

|  |  |
|--|--|
| 13. Quantitative Statement of Need to Replace Facility | The new Hemet Courthouse project was ranked in the Immediate Needs priority group by the AOC due to many security deficiencies that continue to be of great concern as the court operates the current facility. Critical security concerns include the substandard security screening equipment due to lack of lobby space, secure circulation for both in-custody and judges, and an inadequate holding facility. Additional deficiencies in this building are the many substandard sized spaces and overcrowding from the volume of public that is served in the court's Mid-County Region. Courtrooms are undersized for the volume of cases heard and they do not meet current AOC design standards. The lobby and main public corridors are also undersized and they do not allow all who are waiting to enter the building immediately upon arrival. This creates a health concern as average temperatures in Hemet during the summer months range from 96 to 105 degrees. The Riverside County Superior Court considers this project to be the second most critical need project in the county. |
| 14. Courtroom and Courthouse Closures                  |  |
| 14.1 Courthouse or Courtrooms                          | N/A  |
| 14.2 Courtrooms that are not fully scheduled           | See attached judicial officer assignments listing.   |
| 15. "Outside the Box Thinking"                         | The PAG has already discussed ways to reduce the overall scope of work and square footage of the project by at least 10 percent before the programming phase starts. Additional savings may be found by reducing the AOC Trial Court Facility standards where they do not effect the overall safety or security of the new building. The PAG is also willing to consider the effective implementation of lower-cost construction methods, such as tilt up construction for additional savings.   |
| 16. Expended Resources                                 | AOC to provide data on SB 1407 fund expenditures as of June 30, 2012. It is estimated that the court has expended 630 hours of judicial and staff time on the project since October 2009, which translates to an unspecified amount of costs.  |

**SB 1407 Presentations- OCCM Working Group**

**September 6, 2012**

**2012 Judicial Officer Assignments**

**As of July 1, 2012**

**The 2011 State Judicial Needs Assessment Report indicates that Riverside County has a need for 150.8 judges. The court currently has 76, leaving a deficit of 74.8 judges. For courtrooms that are vacant due to this under-resourced need, the term "No Judge Available" will be indicated below.**

**BANNING**

| <b>Courtroom</b> | <b>Judicial Officer</b> | <b>Calendar Assignment</b>  |
|------------------|-------------------------|---|
| Department B1    | Diaz, Samuel            | Traffic & Misdemeanor Arraignments, Traffic Court Trials, Civil, Small Claims |
| Department B2    | Hernandez, Jorge        | All Custody Arraignments, Felonies, Misdemeanor Settlement Conferences        |
| Department B3    | Vacant                  | <b>Criminal<br/>AB 159 Judge – Authorized<br/>but Unfunded</b>                |

**BLYTHE**

| <b>Courtroom</b> | <b>Judicial Officer</b> | <b>Calendar Assignment</b>   |
|------------------|-------------------------|--|
| Department 260   | Christian, Sarah        | Traffic, Misdemeanors and Felony Criminal Matters, Unlawful Detainers, Small Claims, Civil, & Family Law |
| Department 265   | Vacant                  | <b>Mixed Calendar<br/>No Judge Available</b>   |

**CORONA**

| <b>Courtroom</b> | <b>Judicial Officer</b> | <b>Calendar Assignment</b>             |
|------------------|-------------------------|--|
| Department C1    | Vacant                  | <b>Criminal<br/>No Judge Available</b> |
| Department C2    | Vacant                  | <b>Criminal<br/>No Judge Available</b> |
| Department C3    | Vacant                  | <b>Criminal<br/>No Judge Available</b> |

## HALL OF JUSTICE

| <b>Courtroom</b> | <b>Judicial Officer</b>    | <b>Calendar Assignment</b>                                     |
|------------------|----------------------------|--|
| Department 21    | Moyer, Bambi               | Misdemeanor Arraignments                                       |
| Department 22    | Arreola, Rafael (assigned) | Misdemeanor Arraignments                                       |
| Department 31    | Johnson, Mark              | Mental Health Court  |
| Department 32    | Prevost, Jeffrey           | Criminal Trials  |
| Department 33    | Molloy, John               | Domestic Violence Court  |
| Department 34    | Gunn, David                | Drug Court   |
| Department 35    | Vacant                     | <b>Criminal<br/>AB 159 Judge – Authorized<br/>but Unfunded</b> |
| Department 41    | Dugan, Becky               | Vertical Calendar Department                                   |
| Department 42    | Fields, Richard            | Criminal Trials  |
| Department 43    | Thierbach, Christian       | Criminal Trials  |
| Department 44    | Schwartz, Bernard          | Criminal Trials  |
| Department 45    | Vacant                     | <b>Criminal<br/>AB 159 Judge – Authorized<br/>but Unfunded</b> |
| Department 51    | Koosed, Charles            | Criminal Trials  |
| Department 52    | Cope, Mark                 | Criminal and Civil Trials                                      |
| Department 53    | Sichel, Elisabeth          | Criminal Trials  |
| Department 54    | Leonard, Jean              | Criminal Trials  |
| Department 55    | Vacant                     | <b>Criminal<br/>AB 159 Judge – Authorized<br/>but Unfunded</b> |
| Department 61    | Tranbarger, Gary           | Criminal Trials  |
| Department 62    | Donner, Michael            | Criminal Trials  |
| Department 63    | Hernandez, Helios          | Vertical Calendar Department                                   |
| Department 64    | Levine, Michele            | Master Calendar  |
| Department 65    | Assigned                   | Criminal Trials  |

## HEMET

| <b>Courtroom</b> | <b>Judicial Officer</b> | <b>Calendar Assignment</b>   |
|------------------|-------------------------|--|
| Department H1    | Jacobs, Kathleen        | Civil, Civil Harassments, Small Claims, Traffic, Unlawful Detainers, |

|               |                          |                                       |
|---------------|--------------------------|---------------------------------------|
|               |                          | Adoptions                             |
| Department H2 | Warren, James (assigned) | Family Law                            |
| Department H3 | Clark, Judith            | Family Law                            |
| Department H4 | Snell, Brad              | Family Law                            |
| Department H5 | Nagby, Robert            | Family Law and DCSS<br>Family Support |

## HISTORIC

| Courtroom     | Judicial Officer                        | Calendar Assignment  |
|---------------|---|--|
| Department 1  | Fisher, Mac                             | Master Calendar  |
| Department 2  | Ottolia, Daniel                         | Law and Motion   |
| Department 3  | Trask, Gloria                           | Civil Calendar and Trials  |
| Department 4  | Thatcher, Pamela                        | Civil Harassments, long-cause Unlawful Detainers, other civil matters as assigned. |
| Department 5  | Riemer, Craig                           | Civil Calendar and Trials  |
| Department 6  | Vacant<br>Assigned Judge When Available | Civil Calendar and Trials<br><b>Judicial Vacancy (Vineyard)</b>                    |
| Department 7  | Vineyard, John                          | Civil Calendar and Trials  |
| Department 8  | Cahraman, Thomas                        | Probate  |
| Department 10 | Waters, Sharon                          | Civil Calendar and Trials  |
| Department 11 | Burgess, Joan                           | Probate, Mental Health   |
| Department 12 | Barkley, Paulette                       | Law and Motion   |

## LARSON JUSTICE CENTER

| Courtroom     | Judicial Officer | Calendar Assignment   |
|---------------|------------------|---|
| Department 1A | Assigned         | Criminal Trials   |
| Department 1B | Downing, David   | Criminal Trials   |
| Department 1C | Gregory, David   | Unlawful Detainers, Small Claims, Short Cause Civil Trials, Limited Civil Collection Cases. |
| Department 1D | Assigned         | Traffic   |
| Department 2E | Olson, Gregory   | Family Law  |
| Department 2F | Evans, John      | Civil Calendars and Trials  |
| Department 2G | Hopp, Harold     | Civil Calendars and Trials  |
| Department 2H | White, Randall   | Civil Calendars and Trials  |
| Department 2J | Wells, Dale      | Family Law  |
| Department 2K | Sterling, Otis   | Misdemeanor   |

|                |  |   |
|----------------|--|---|
|                |  | Arraignments  |
| Department 3M  | Stafford, Charles  | Master Calendar                                       |
| Department 3N  | Lebov, William (assigned)/<br>Douglass, Thomas<br>(assigned) | Vertical Calendar<br>Department                       |
| Department 3P  | Erwood, Richard  | Criminal Trials                                       |
| Department 3R  | Hawkins, James   | Criminal Trials                                       |
| Department 3S  | Cameron, Victoria  | Vertical Calendar<br>Department                       |
| Department 3T  | Villalobos, Anthony  | Drug Court  |
| Department 240 | Best, Lawrence   | Juvenile<br>Delinquency/Dependency                    |
| Department 241 | Vacant   | Juvenile<br>AB 159 Judge – Authorized<br>but Unfunded |

#### **MORENO VALLEY**

| <b>Courtroom</b> | <b>Judicial Officer</b> | <b>Calendar Assignment</b>         |
|------------------|-------------------------|------------------------------------|
| Department MV1   | Hudspeth, Thomas        | Small Claims/Unlawful<br>Detainers |
| Department MV2   | Anderson, William       | Traffic and Minor Offenses         |

#### **PALM SPRINGS**

| <b>Courtroom</b> | <b>Judicial Officer</b> | <b>Calendar Assignment</b>                            |
|------------------|-------------------------|---|
| Department PS1   | Cox, James              | Probate   |
| Department PS2   | Vacant                  | Criminal<br>AB 159 Judge – Authorized<br>but Unfunded |
| Department PS3   | Vacant                  | Criminal<br>AB 159 Judge – Authorized<br>but Unfunded |

#### **RIVERSIDE FAMILY LAW**

| <b>Courtroom</b> | <b>Judicial Officer</b> | <b>Calendar Assignment</b>                                    |
|------------------|-------------------------|---|
| Department F201  | Wagner, Tamara          | Family Law  |
| Department F301  | Lucky, Jackson          | Family Law  |
| Department F401  | Fernandez, Kenneth      | Family Law/Adoptions and<br>Termination of Parental<br>Rights |
| Department F402  | Counelis, Steven        | Family Law  |

|                 |                 |            |
|-----------------|-----------------|------------|
| Department F501 | Asberry, Irma   | Family Law |
| Department F502 | Kubelun, Walter | Family Law |

### RIVERSIDE JUVENILE

| Courtroom     | Judicial Officer    | Calendar Assignment               |
|---------------|---------------------|-----------------------------------|
| Department J1 | Jackson, Jacqueline | Delinquency                       |
| Department J2 | Luebs, Roger        | Delinquency                       |
| Department J3 | Vacant              | Delinquency<br>No Judge Available |
| Department J4 | Perantoni, Matthew  | Dependency                        |

### SOUTHWEST JUSTICE CENTER

| Courtroom       | Judicial Officer             | Calendar Assignment                |
|-----------------|------------------------------|------------------------------------|
| Department S101 | Gallon, Stephen              | Drug Court                         |
| Department S102 | Monterosso, John             | Dependency                         |
| Department S103 | Davis, John                  | Criminal Trials/Unlawful Detainers |
| Department S104 | Marquez, Raquel              | Misdemeanor Arraignments           |
| Department S201 | Rushton, Michael             | Vertical Calendar Department       |
| Department S202 | Johnson, Elaine              | Vertical Calendar Department       |
| Department S203 | Dickerson, Paul              | Delinquency                        |
| Department S204 | Freer, Timothy               | Master Calendar and Jury Trials    |
| Department S205 | McConaghy, Dennis (assigned) | Criminal Trials                    |
| Department S301 | Hansen, Kelly                | Criminal Trials                    |
| Department S302 | Mandio, Mark                 | Criminal Trials                    |
| Department S303 | Wojcik, Albert               | Criminal Trials                    |
| Department S304 | Bermudez, Angel              | Criminal Trials                    |

### TEMECULA

| Courtroom     | Judicial Officer | Calendar Assignment                          |
|---------------|------------------|--|
| Department T1 | Petersen, Mark   | Traffic, Minor Offenses, Civil, Small Claims |