


Full Faith & Credit and Enforcement of Tribal Protection Orders




KELLY STONER
VICTIM ADVOCACY LEGAL SPECIALIST
TRIBAL LAW & POLICY INSTITUTE

Before we begin...

Here are some friendly housekeeping reminders:


1. Your control panel will appear on your user screen.
2. To minimize the control panel, use the orange arrow at the top left panel.
3. All attendees will be muted during the presentation.
4. Once you call into the meeting call line, enter your Audio PIN shown on your control panel.
 - i. In Audio: you can view, select, and test your audio.
5. Use the questions box on your panel to submit questions and responses via text.
6. During the discussion portion, please 'raise' your hand by clicking the hand icon to signal to be unmuted.
7. Everyone will receive an email with a link to a survey about today's session.
8. A recording of the webinar will be made available after the presentation.



Learning Objectives

- Understand the importance of enforcement of tribal protection orders.
- Understand the concept of Violence Against Women Act (VAWA) Full Faith and Credit for protection orders.
- Understand the VAWA federal definition of a protection order.
- List the necessary protection order language to trigger VAWA full faith and credit mandate.
- Describe examples of California tribal-state collaboration to enforce tribal protection orders.

What is Cross-Jurisdictional Enforcement of Tribal Protection Orders?



Why is Cross-Jurisdictional Enforcement of a Tribal Protection Order Important?

- Crucial means of providing safety to the victim as the victim travels across jurisdictional boundaries.
- Provides reassurance to the victim that traveling across jurisdictional boundaries will not affect the terms of the tribal protection order.
- Sends message to the perpetrator that violations of a tribal protection order outside of Indian country will have consequences.
- Instrumental in recognizing tribal sovereignty by recognizing and enforcing tribal protection orders.

VAWA: Full Faith & Credit

What does full faith and credit for tribal protection orders mean?

- Legally, it means that when a protection order issued by any state, Indian tribe, or territory is violated in another jurisdiction, that jurisdiction must enforce the order as if it were its own.
- Practically, it means that a victim can travel from one jurisdiction to another without having to secure a new protection order in each jurisdiction.
- It means that the protection order will be enforced as it is written by the enforcing jurisdiction.

18 U.S.C. 2265 (a): Full Faith and Credit for Protection Orders

“Any protection order issued that is consistent with subsection (b) of this section by the court of one State, Indian tribe, or territory (the issuing State, Indian tribe, or territory) shall be accorded full faith and credit by the court of another State, Indian tribe or territory (the enforcing State, Indian tribe, or territory) and ***enforced by the court and law enforcement personnel of the other State, Indian tribal government or Territory as if it were the order of the enforcing State or tribe.***”

18 U.S.C. 2265 (b): Full Faith and Credit for Protection Orders

“(b) PROTECTION ORDER.—A protection order issued by a state, tribal, or territorial court is consistent with this subsection if—(1) *such court has jurisdiction over the parties and matter under the law of such state, Indian tribe, or territory; and*

(2) *reasonable notice and opportunity to be heard is given to the person against whom the order is sought sufficient to protect that person’s right to due process. In the case of ex parte orders, notice and opportunity to be heard must be provided within the time required by state, tribal, or territorial law, and in any event within a reasonable time after the order is issued, sufficient to protect the respondent’s due process rights.*” (Emphasis added)

Critical Protection Order Language

- In order to be provided full faith and credit, the specific VAWA requirements in [18 U.S.C. 2265\(b\)](#) *need to be met and in the Protection Order:*
 - The court has jurisdiction over the parties and matter under the law of such state, Indian tribe, or territory; and
 - Reasonable notice and opportunity to be heard is given to the person against whom the order is sought sufficient to protect that person's right to due process.
 - In the case of ex parte orders, notice and opportunity to be heard must be provided within the time required by state, tribal, or territorial law, and in any event within a reasonable time after the order is issued, sufficient to protect the respondent's due process rights.

VAWA: Protection Order

What Orders are Included in the 18 U.S.C. 2266 (5) VAWA Definition of a Protection Order?

- Issued by any court- state or tribal.
- May be civil or criminal.
- If order fits within the definition of a protection order, it does not matter what the order is named or called (stay away, personal protection order, restraining order).
- The definition includes any order that prevents:
 - violent or threatening acts;
 - harassment;
 - sexual violence;
 - contact or communication with another person.
- May include other provisions (e.g., child custody and visitation) that are also entitled to Full Faith and Credit.

18 U.S.C. 2266(5): Protection Order

“(A) any injunction, restraining order, or any other order issued by a civil or criminal court for the purpose of preventing violent or threatening acts or harassment against, sexual violence, or contact or communication with or physical proximity to, another person, including any temporary or final order issued by a civil or criminal court whether obtained by filing an independent action or as a pendente lite order in another proceeding so long as any civil or criminal order was issued in response to a complaint, petition, or motion filed by or on behalf of a person seeking protection; and

(B) any support, child custody or visitation provisions, orders, remedies or relief issued as part of a protection order, restraining order, or injunction pursuant to state,, tribal, territorial, or local law authorizing the issuance of protection orders, restraining orders, or injunctions for the protection of victims of domestic violence, sexual assault, dating violence, or stalking.”

Tips: Improving Enforcement Outcomes

*Tip: Review VAWA
Mandatory
Protection Order
Language*

- Jurisdiction over the subject matter.
- Jurisdiction over the person.
- Notice and opportunity to be heard.

Tribal Civil Jurisdiction Over the Subject Matter 18 U.S.C. 2265 (e)

“For purposes of this section, a court of an Indian tribe shall have full civil jurisdiction to issue and enforce protection orders involving any person, including the authority to enforce any orders through civil contempt proceedings, to exclude violators from Indian land, and to use other appropriate mechanisms, in matters arising anywhere in the Indian country of the Indian tribe (as defined in section 1151) or otherwise within the authority of the Indian tribe.”

(Emphasis added)

18 U.S.C. 2265 (e) May be a partial overruling of *Montana* but not clear

Let's take a look at the *Montana* test.

Tribal Jurisdiction Over the Subject Matter

The *Montana* test requires the tribal court to find that:

- 1) the parties entered into a consensual relationship with the tribe or its members through commercial dealing, contracts, leases or “other arrangements” **or**
- 2) the conduct threatens or has some direct effect on the political integrity, the economic security, or the health or welfare of the tribe.

If neither of the two factors listed in the *Montana* test are present, the tribal courts may not exercise civil jurisdiction over non-members on non-Indian lands in Indian country.

Tip: *Drafting Provisions Relevant to Tribal Civil Jurisdiction Over the Subject Matter*

- What tribal constitutional provision states that this court may hear this types of case?
- What tribal code provision(s) indicates that this court may hear this case?
- If either party is non-member, consider explaining the jurisdictional basis (VAWA 2013 codified at 18 U.S.C. 2265(e)) and/or address the *Montana* test discussed next.

Jurisdiction over the Person or Parties

- Personal Jurisdiction: the legal power of the court to render judgment over a specific person.
- Demonstrates a person's minimum contacts or connections with the tribe to indicate that it is not unfair to require the defendant to litigate in tribal court.

Tip: Drafting Provisions Relevant to Tribal Civil Jurisdiction Over the Person

- List any requirements in the statute regarding who may file a protection order (typically the filing of a petition satisfies the tribal court's jurisdiction over the petitioner).
- List tribal statute setting forth parties the tribes may exercise civil jurisdiction over.
- Identify any contacts the petitioner and defendant have to the tribe (Examples: tribal members, work for tribe, child is a member, etc).

Reasonable Notice and Opportunity to be Heard

- This is also known as a form of due process.
- This concept demonstrates that the defendant has been given notice of the allegations alleged; and provided with notice of hearing on the matter and a meaningful opportunity to be heard on the matter.

Tip: Drafting Provisions Relevant to Due Process Reasonable Notice and Opportunity to be Heard

- Identify whether the defendant has been served with a copy of the petition in compliance with the tribal statute.
- Identify whether the court date has been scheduled according to any timelines set out in the tribal statute.
- If the defendant appeared, was the defendant provided an opportunity to tell the defendant's side of the story or ask questions of the petitioner?

Example of California Cross-Jurisdictional Collaboration to Strengthen Enforcement of Tribal Protection Orders

California DOJ Information Bulletin No. DLE-2016-03, 11/29/16, Subject: Enforcement of tribal protection orders

https://www.courts.ca.gov/documents/tribal_bulletin-court-protection-orders.pdf

California DOJ Information Bulletin No. DLE-2016-03, 11/29/16, Subject: Enforcement of tribal protection orders

- Presentation of Protection Order that identifies protected party and person against whom enforcement is sought and, on face, appears to be currently in effect constitutes probable cause to believe valid tribal Protection Order exists.
- Enforce as if California court order.
- In absence of order itself, may consider other order information to find probable cause that order exists.
- Cannot require for enforcement purposes:
 - Certified tribal court order.
 - Registration or filing of tribal order with California.
 - Verification in state database.
- Additional Resource: [Recognition and Enforcement of Tribal Protection Orders Brochure](#) (2017)

Additional Information for Tribal Protection Orders in California

- While registration in the CA court system is not required, it can be helpful in ensuring full protection for victims. Registering with CA Court using the DV-600 will not only make sure order gets into CLETS, but will also ensure it gets into the California Courts Protective Order registry (CCPOR)

<https://www.courts.ca.gov/partners/ccpor.htm>

- Registering the DV-600

<https://www.courts.ca.gov/documents/dv600.pdf>

Additional Information for Tribal Protection Orders in California

California Rules of Court,
Rule 5.386

https://www.courts.ca.gov/cms/rules/index.cfm?title=five&linkid=rule5_386

Rule 5.386. Procedures for filing a tribal court protective order

(a) Request for written procedures for filing a tribal court protective order

At the request of any tribal court located within the county, a court must adopt a written procedure or local rule to permit the fax or electronic filing of any tribal court protective order that is entitled to be registered under Family Code section 6404.

(b) Process for registration of order

The written procedure or local rule developed in consultation with the local tribal court or courts must provide a process for:

- (1) The tribal court or courts to contact a representative of the superior court to inform him or her that a request for registration of a tribal court protective order will be made;
- (2) Confirmation of receipt of the request for registration of the order; and
- (3) Return of copies of the registered order to the tribal court or the protected person.

(c) No filing fee required

In accordance with Family Code section 6404(b), no fee may be charged for the fax or electronic filing registration of a tribal court protective order.

(d) Facsimile coversheet

The *Fax Transmission Cover Sheet for Registration of Tribal Court Protective Order* (form DV-610) or similar cover sheet established by written procedure or local rule must be used when fax filing a tribal court protective order. The cover sheet must be the first page transmitted, to be followed by any special handling instructions needed to ensure that the document will comply with local rules. Neither the cover sheet nor the special handling instructions are to be filed in the case. The court is not required to keep a copy of the cover sheet.

Resources

Tribal Law and Policy Institute

- <http://TribalProtectionOrder.org/>

National Center on Protection Orders and Full Faith and Credit

- www.FullFaithandCredit.org

Protection Order Checklists (member and non-member)

- <https://www.Home.Tlpi.org/violence-against-native-women-publicatio>

[Courts](#)[Self-Help](#)[Forms & Rules](#)[Opinions](#)[Programs](#)[Policy & Administration](#)[News & Reference](#)[Programs](#) > [Tribal/State Programs](#) > [Family Violence](#)[Newsroom](#)[Reports & Publications](#)[Research & Statistics](#)[Tribal/State Programs](#)[Tribal Court-State Court Forum](#)[Indian Child Welfare Act \(ICWA\)](#)[Family Violence](#)[Native American Communities Justice Project \(NACJP\)](#)[California Tribal Communities](#)[Tribal Justice Systems](#)

Family Violence

Family Violence

Resources relating to cases of domestic violence, dating violence, sexual assault, trafficking, elder abuse, and stalking and Native American communities.

Featured Resources

A Journey to Healing: How One Tribe Incorporates a Traditional Approach to Address Domestic Violence

[Listen](#) · 37:10 [\(Transcript\)](#)

Before you listen: Please be aware that this episode includes first-person accounts of

[Print](#)

Quick Links

- » [Emergency Court Actions and COVID-19 \(Coronavirus\)](#)
- » [California Dependency Online Guide \(CalDog\)](#)
- » [Resources for Tribal/State Court Collaborations](#)
- » [Statewide Directory of Services for Native American Families](#)
- » [Tribal Grants](#)
- » [Tribal/State Programs Fact Sheet](#)

CA Resources


<https://www.courts.ca.gov/14851.htm>

THANK YOU!!!

Questions?

Kelly Stoner, Victim Advocate Legal Specialist,
Tribal Law and Policy Institute

Kelly@tlpi.org

| | | |
|--|--|--|
| <p>California Department of Justice DIVISION OF LAW ENFORCEMENT Larry J. Wallace, Director</p>  | <h1>INFORMATION BULLETIN</h1> | |
| <p><i>Subject:</i> Enforcement of tribal court protection orders</p> | <p><i>No.</i> DLE-2016-03</p> <p><i>Date:</i> 11/29/16</p> | <p><i>Contact for information:</i> Larry J. Wallace, Director Division of Law Enforcement (916) 319-8200</p> |

TO: All State and Local Law Enforcement Agencies

Both California and federal law require all law enforcement officers of this state to enforce tribal court protection orders, sometimes called “protective orders.” (Cal. Fam. Code, §§ 6400-6409 [Uniform Interstate Enforcement of Domestic Violence Protective Orders Act]; 18 U.S.C. § 2265 [Violence Against Women Act; federal law requiring “full faith and credit” be given to tribal court protection orders].)

Presentation of a protection order that identifies both the protected individual and the individual against whom enforcement is sought and, on its face, appears to be currently in effect constitutes probable cause to believe that a valid tribal court protection order exists. (Cal. Fam. Code, § 6403, subd. (a).)


Once there is probable cause to believe that a valid tribal court protection order exists, a law enforcement officer must enforce the order as if it were an order issued by a California court. (Cal. Fam. Code, § 6403, subd. (a); 18 U.S.C. § 2265(a).) If a protection order is not presented, a law enforcement officer may consider other information to determine if there is probable cause to believe that a valid order exists. (Cal. Fam. Code § 6403, subd. (b).)

Law enforcement officers must enforce valid tribal court protection orders and shall not require any of the following:

- (1) Presentation of a certified copy of the tribal court protection order. The order may be inscribed on any tangible medium or stored in an electronic or other medium if it is retrievable in perceivable form. (Cal. Fam. Code, § 6403, subd. (a).)
- (2) Registration or filing of the protection order with the state. (Cal. Fam. Code, § 6403, subd. (d).)
- (3) Verification in any statewide database (for example, the California Law Enforcement Telecommunications System (CLETS) or the California Restraining and Protective Order System (CARPOS)). (Cal. Fam. Code § 6403, subd. (d).)

If a law enforcement officer determines that an otherwise valid tribal court protection order cannot be enforced because the respondent (i.e., the individual against whom enforcement is sought) has not been notified or served with the order, the officer shall inform him or her of the order, make a reasonable effort to serve the order, and allow him or her a reasonable opportunity to comply with the order before enforcing it. Verbal notice of the order is sufficient. (Cal. Fam. Code, § 6403, subd. (c).)

Sincerely,



Larry J. Wallace, Director
Division of Law Enforcement

For KAMALA D. HARRIS
Attorney General

CALIFORNIA TRIBAL COURT-STATE COURT FORUM

May 2015

Jurisdictional Issues in California Regarding Indians and Indian Country

California Indian Tribes and Territory

California currently has approximately 110 federally recognized tribes,¹ with nearly 100 separate reservations or rancherias.² In addition there are currently 81 groups petitioning for federal recognition.³ In the 2010 census roughly 725,000 California citizens identified as American Indian or Alaska Native either alone or in combination with other ethnicities.⁴ This represents roughly 14% of the entire American Indian/Alaska Native population of the United States.

General Rules (these rules apply in California unless modified by PL 280)

Tribes are sovereign and have exclusive inherent jurisdiction over their territory and members, but **not** necessarily with jurisdiction over non-Indians even within tribal territory.

Tribes are under the exclusive and plenary jurisdiction of the federal congress, which may restrict or abolish jurisdiction and sovereignty. The federal government has exercised this power a number of times to limit tribal jurisdiction, assume federal jurisdiction over a number of areas, and delegate that jurisdiction to some states. Congress has granted limited jurisdictional authority to the federal courts (under the General Crimes Act 18 USC § 1153 and the Major Crimes Act 18 USC § 1152) and to state courts (for example under Public Law 280). Congress has imposed limits on tribal courts through the Indian Civil Rights Act (ICRA 25 USC § 1301-1303).

Public Law 280

The general jurisdictional scheme was altered in California by Public Law 280 enacted by Congress in 1953. PL 280 transferred federal criminal jurisdiction and conferred some civil jurisdiction on states and state courts in the six mandatory Public Law 280 states, which includes California. Public Law 280 is now codified in federal law as 28

¹ See <http://www.bia.gov/cs/groups/public/documents/text/idc006989.pdf>

² Note that some tribes remain “landless” meaning they have no land in trust for their members, while other tribes may have more than one reservation or rancheria.

³ As of November 12, 2013. See <http://www.bia.gov/cs/groups/xofa/documents/text/idc1-024418.pdf>

⁴ See <http://www.census.gov/prod/cen2010/briefs/c2010br-10.pdf>

U.S.C. § 1360 regarding civil jurisdiction and 18 U.S.C. § 1162 regarding criminal jurisdiction.⁵

Per the U.S. Supreme Court in *California v. Cabazon Band of Mission Indians* (1987) 480 U.S. 202, Public Law 280 had the following effect on California's civil and criminal jurisdiction in Indian Country:

In Pub L. 280, Congress expressly granted six States, including California, jurisdiction over specified areas of Indian country within the States and provided for the assumption of jurisdiction by other States. In § 2 [ie. 18 U.S.C. § 1162], California was granted broad criminal jurisdiction over offenses committed by or against Indians within all Indian country within the State. Section 4's [ie. 28 U.S.C. § 1360] grant of civil jurisdiction was more limited. In *Bryan v. Itasca County*, 426 U.S. 373 (1976), we interpreted § 4 to grant States jurisdiction over private civil litigation involving reservation Indians in state court, but not to grant general civil regulatory authority. *Id.*, at 385, 388-390. Accordingly, when a State seeks to enforce a law within an Indian reservation under the authority of Pub. L. 280 it must be determined whether the law is criminal in nature, and thus fully applicable to the reservation under § 2, or civil in nature, and applicable only as it may be relevant to private civil litigation in state court. (at pp. 207-208)

The "criminal/prohibitory" versus "civil/regulatory" distinction was set out by the Court in *Cabazon* as follows:

[I]f the intent of a state law is generally to prohibit certain conduct, it falls within Pub. L. 280's grant of criminal jurisdiction, but if the state law generally permits the conduct at issue, subject to regulation, it must be classified as civil/regulatory and Publ. L. 280 does not authorize its enforcement on an Indian reservation. (p. 209)

So, in terms of civil jurisdiction, the effect of PL 280 was merely to grant Indians access to state court forums to resolve disputes. It did not give the state jurisdiction to impose civil regulatory laws on the tribes or tribal territory. Note that the fact that there are misdemeanor criminal penalties for infraction of a law is not sufficient in and of itself to convert it from civil/regulatory into criminal/prohibitory for the purposes of Pub. L. 280. Further, PL 280 applies only to STATE laws of general application, local ordinances do not apply.

The term "Indian Country" is defined in 18 U.S.C. § 1151:

Except as otherwise provided in sections 1154 and 1156 of this title, the term "Indian country", as used in this chapter, means (a) all land within the limits of any Indian reservation under the jurisdiction of the United

⁵ See attached statutes.

States Government, notwithstanding the issuance of any patent, and, including rights-of-way running through the reservation, (b) all dependent Indian communities within the borders of the United States whether within the original or subsequently acquired territory thereof, and whether within or without the limits of a state, and (c) all Indian allotments, the Indian titles to which have not been extinguished, including rights-of-way running through the same.

California Criminal Jurisdiction in Indian Country pursuant to Public Law 280

| Offender | Victim | Jurisdiction |
|-----------------|---------------|---|
| Non-Indian | Non-Indian | State jurisdiction is exclusive of federal and tribal jurisdiction unless certain specific federal laws apply. |
| Non-Indian | Indian | Generally, state has jurisdiction exclusive of federal and tribal jurisdiction. (However, under VAWA ⁶ can have concurrent tribal, and Federal if interstate provisions (18 U.S.C. 2261, 2261A, 2262 or 922(g)(8) or (9)) apply.) Under VAWA tribes may opt to exercise some jurisdiction over non-Indians for DV offences |
| Indian | Non-Indian | State has jurisdiction exclusive of federal government (unless federal government has reassumed jurisdiction under the Tribal Law and order Act) but tribe may exercise concurrent jurisdiction. Federal for certain federal offences including interstate DV. |
| Indian | Indian | Generally, state has jurisdiction exclusive of federal government (unless federal government has reassumed jurisdiction under Tribal Law and Order Act, or unless specific federal crimes are involved) but tribe may exercise concurrent jurisdiction. |
| Non-Indian | Victimless | State jurisdiction is exclusive unless federal jurisdiction has been reassumed under Tribal Law and order Act. |
| Indian | Victimless | There may be concurrent state, tribal, and federal jurisdiction if reassumption under Tribal Law and Order Act. There is no state regulatory jurisdiction. |

⁶ Violence Against Women Act

Full Faith and Credit

While tribes are recognized as sovereign, they are not “states” for the purposes of the full faith and credit requirements of Article IV of the U.S. Constitution. There is general consensus (but no Supreme Court authority on point) that tribes are not encompassed by the federal full faith and credit statute (28 U.S.C. §1738). There are, however, a number of relevant federal and state provisions that mandate full faith and credit for and between tribal courts:

- ❑ Indian Child Welfare Act (25 U.S.C. § 1911 (d))
- ❑ Violence Against Women Act (18 U.S.C. § 2265)
- ❑ Child Support Enforcement Act (28 U.S.C. 1738 B)
- ❑ Uniform Child Custody Jurisdiction and Enforcement Act (Family Code §3404)

Where there is no specific statutory mandate for full faith and credit, the general rule is that tribal court orders are entitled to comity

Effect on Dependency and Delinquency Jurisdiction

Under the jurisdictional regime of PL 280, State courts in California generally have jurisdiction over dependency and delinquency cases involving Indians and Indian children, even if the events occur in Indian country. However, this jurisdiction is affected by the requirements of the Indian Child Welfare Act (ICWA) and the fact that tribe’s may also exercise jurisdiction over these matters. Pursuant to ICWA (25 U.S.C. § 1911) even in PL-280 state, tribal jurisdiction is exclusive where a child is already the ward of a tribal court. Further, ICWA recognizes presumptive tribal jurisdiction over cases involving Indian children who are not already wards of a tribal court.

Effect on Jurisdiction in DV cases and ability to enforce protective orders

If events take place in Indian country and either the victim or perpetrator or both are Indian, then tribal court may exercise concurrent jurisdiction with the state court. (Note that there may also be federal jurisdiction over some federally defined crimes). Tribal jurisdiction and remedies subject to limitations under the Indian Civil Rights Act and Major Crimes Act.

Civil state protective or restraining orders may be considered civil/regulatory and therefore be unenforceable in Indian country unless registered with the tribe/tribal court. Some county police departments take position that they have no authority to enforce protective orders in Indian country. Restraining orders issued in a criminal case should be enforced/enforceable on tribal lands.

Few California tribes have tribal courts or tribal police departments.

Laws Governing Federal Jurisdiction in Indian Country

General Crimes Act:

18 U.S.C. § 1152. Laws governing

Except as otherwise expressly provided by law, the general laws of the United States as to the punishment of offenses committed in any place within the sole and exclusive jurisdiction of the United States, except the District of Columbia, shall extend to the Indian country.

This section shall not extend to offenses committed by one Indian against the person or property of another Indian, nor to any Indian committing any offense in the Indian country who has been punished by the local law of the tribe, or to any case where, by treaty stipulations, the exclusive jurisdiction over such offenses is or may be secured to the Indian tribes respectively.

Major Crimes Act:

18 U.S.C. § 1153. Offenses committed within Indian country

(a) Any Indian who commits against the person or property of another Indian or other person any of the following offenses, namely, murder, manslaughter, kidnapping, maiming, a felony under chapter 109A, incest, a felony assault under section 113, an assault against an individual who has not attained the age of 16 years, felony child abuse or neglect, arson, burglary, robbery, and a felony under section 661 of this title within the Indian country, shall be subject to the same law and penalties as all other persons committing any of the above offenses, within the exclusive jurisdiction of the United States.

(b) Any offense referred to in subsection (a) of this section that is not defined and punished by Federal law in force within the exclusive jurisdiction of the United States shall be defined and punished in accordance with the laws of the State in which such offense was committed as are in force at the time of such offense.

Embezzlement:

18 U.S.C. § 1163. Embezzlement and theft from Indian tribal organizations

Whoever embezzles, steals, knowingly converts to his use or the use of another, willfully misapplies, or willfully permits to be misapplied, any of the moneys, funds, credits, goods, assets, or other property belonging to any Indian tribal organization or intrusted to the custody or care of any officer, employee, or agent of an Indian tribal organization; or

Whoever, knowing any such moneys, funds, credits, goods, assets, or other property to have been so embezzled, stolen, converted, misapplied or permitted to be misapplied,

receives, conceals, or retains the same with intent to convert it to his use or the use of another--

Shall be fined under this title, or imprisoned not more than five years, or both; but if the value of such property does not exceed the sum of \$1,000, he shall be fined under this title, or imprisoned not more than one year, or both.

As used in this section, the term "Indian tribal organization" means any tribe, band, or community of Indians which is subject to the laws of the United States relating to Indian affairs or any corporation, association, or group which is organized under any of such laws.

Public Law 280

Public Law 280 (Criminal Provision):

18 U.S.C. § 1162. State jurisdiction over offenses committed by or against Indians in the Indian country

(a) Each of the States or Territories listed in the following table shall have jurisdiction over offenses committed by or against Indians in the areas of Indian country listed opposite the name of the State or Territory to the same extent that such State or Territory has jurisdiction over offenses committed elsewhere within the State or Territory, and the criminal laws of such State or Territory shall have the same force and effect within such Indian country as they have elsewhere within the State or Territory:

| State or Territory of | Indian country affected |
|------------------------------|---|
| aska | 1 Indian country within the State, except that on Annette Islands, the Metlakatla Indian community may exercise jurisdiction over offenses committed by Indians in the same manner in which such jurisdiction may be exercised by Indian tribes in Indian country over which State jurisdiction has not been extended |
| alifornia | 1 Indian country within the State |
| innesota | 1 Indian country within the State, except the Red Lake Reservation |
| braska | 1 Indian country within the State |
| regon | 1 Indian country within the State, except the Warm Springs Reservation |
| isconsin | 1 Indian country within the State |

(b) Nothing in this section shall authorize the alienation, encumbrance, or taxation of any real or personal property, including water rights, belonging to any Indian or any Indian tribe, band, or community that is held in trust by the United States or is subject to a restriction against alienation imposed by the United States; or shall authorize regulation of the use of such property in a manner inconsistent with any Federal treaty, agreement, or statute or with any regulation made pursuant thereto; or shall deprive any Indian or any Indian tribe, band, or community of any right, privilege, or immunity afforded under Federal treaty, agreement, or statute with respect to hunting, trapping, or fishing or the control, licensing, or regulation thereof.

(c) The provisions of sections 1152 and 1153 of this chapter shall not be applicable within the areas of Indian country listed in subsection (a) of this section as areas over which the several States have exclusive jurisdiction.

(d) Notwithstanding subsection (c), at the request of an Indian tribe, and after consultation with and consent by the Attorney General--

(1) sections 1152 and 1153 shall apply in the areas of the Indian country of the Indian tribe; and

(2) jurisdiction over those areas shall be concurrent among the Federal Government, State governments, and, where applicable, tribal governments.

Public Law 280 (Civil Provisions):

28 U.S.C. § 1360. State civil jurisdiction in actions to which Indians are parties

(a) Each of the States listed in the following table shall have jurisdiction over civil causes of action between Indians or to which Indians are parties which arise in the areas of Indian country listed opposite the name of the State to the same extent that such State has jurisdiction over other civil causes of action, and those civil laws of such State that are of general application to private persons or private property shall have the same force and effect within such Indian country as they have elsewhere within the State:

| <i>State of</i> | <i>Indian country affected</i> |
|-----------------|--|
| Alaska | 1 Indian country within the State |
| California | 1 Indian country within the State |
| Minnesota | 1 Indian country within the State, except the Red Lake Reservation |
| Nebraska | 1 Indian country within the State |
| Oregon | 1 Indian country within the State, except the Warm Springs Reservation |
| Wisconsin | 1 Indian country within the State |

(b) Nothing in this section shall authorize the alienation, encumbrance, or taxation of any real or personal property, including water rights, belonging to any Indian or any Indian tribe, band, or community that is held in trust by the United States or is subject to a restriction against alienation imposed by the United States; or shall authorize regulation of the use of such property in a manner inconsistent with any Federal treaty, agreement, or statute or with any regulation made pursuant thereto; or shall confer jurisdiction upon the State to adjudicate, in probate proceedings or otherwise, the ownership or right to possession of such property or any interest therein.

(c) Any tribal ordinance or custom heretofore or hereafter adopted by an Indian tribe, band, or community in the exercise of any authority which it may possess shall, if not inconsistent with any applicable civil law of the State, be given full force and effect in the determination of civil causes of action pursuant to this section.

Federal Laws Requiring Full Faith and Credit

18 U.S.C. § 2265. Full faith and credit given to protection orders

(a) Full faith and credit.--Any protection order issued that is consistent with subsection (b) of this section by the court of one State, Indian tribe, or territory (the issuing State, Indian tribe, or territory) shall be accorded full faith and credit by the court of another State, Indian tribe, or territory (the enforcing State, Indian tribe, or territory) and enforced by the court and law enforcement personnel of the other State, Indian tribal government or Territory as if it were the order of the enforcing State or tribe.

(b) Protection order.--A protection order issued by a State, tribal, or territorial court is consistent with this subsection if--

(1) such court has jurisdiction over the parties and matter under the law of such State, Indian tribe, or territory; and

(2) reasonable notice and opportunity to be heard is given to the person against whom the order is sought sufficient to protect that person's right to due process. In the case of ex parte orders, notice and opportunity to be heard must be provided within the time required by State, tribal, or territorial law, and in any event within a reasonable time after the order is issued, sufficient to protect the respondent's due process rights.

(c) Cross or counter petition.--A protection order issued by a State, tribal, or territorial court against one who has petitioned, filed a complaint, or otherwise filed a written pleading for protection against abuse by a spouse or intimate partner is not entitled to full faith and credit if--

(1) no cross or counter petition, complaint, or other written pleading was filed seeking such a protection order; or

(2) a cross or counter petition has been filed and the court did not make specific findings that each party was entitled to such an order.

(d) Notification and registration.--

(1) **Notification.**--A State, Indian tribe, or territory according full faith and credit to an order by a court of another State, Indian tribe, or territory shall not notify or require notification of the party against whom a protection order has been issued that the protection order has been registered or filed in that enforcing State, tribal, or territorial jurisdiction unless requested to do so by the party protected under such order.

(2) **No prior registration or filing as prerequisite for enforcement.**--Any protection order that is otherwise consistent with this section shall be accorded full faith and credit, notwithstanding failure to comply with any requirement that the order be registered or filed in the enforcing State, tribal, or territorial jurisdiction.

(3) Limits on Internet publication of registration information.--A State, Indian tribe, or territory shall not make available publicly on the Internet any information regarding the registration, filing of a petition for, or issuance of a protection order, restraining order or injunction, restraining order, or injunction in either the issuing or enforcing State, tribal or territorial jurisdiction, if such publication would be likely to publicly reveal the identity or location of the party protected under such order. A State, Indian tribe, or territory may share court-generated and law enforcement-generated information contained in secure, governmental registries for protection order enforcement purposes.

(e) Tribal court jurisdiction.--For purposes of this section, a court of an Indian tribe shall have full civil jurisdiction to issue and enforce protection orders involving any person, including the authority to enforce any orders through civil contempt proceedings, to exclude violators from Indian land, and to use other appropriate mechanisms, in matters arising anywhere in the Indian country of the Indian tribe (as defined in section 1151) or otherwise within the authority of the Indian tribe.

25 U.S.C. § 1911. Indian tribe jurisdiction over Indian child custody proceedings

(d) Full faith and credit to public acts, records, and judicial proceedings of Indian tribes

The United States, every State, every territory or possession of the United States, and every Indian tribe shall give full faith and credit to the public acts, records, and judicial proceedings of any Indian tribe applicable to Indian child custody proceedings to the same extent that such entities give full faith and credit to the public acts, records, and judicial proceedings of any other entity.

§ 1738B. Full faith and credit for child support orders

(a) General rule.--The appropriate authorities of each State--

(1) shall enforce according to its terms a child support order made consistently with this section by a court of another State; and

(2) shall not seek or make a modification of such an order except in accordance with subsections (e), (f), and (i).

(b) Definitions.--In this section:

“child” means--

(A) a person under 18 years of age; and

(B) a person 18 or more years of age with respect to whom a child support order has been issued pursuant to the laws of a State.

“child's State” means the State in which a child resides.

“child's home State” means the State in which a child lived with a parent or a person acting as parent for at least 6 consecutive months immediately preceding the time of filing of a petition or comparable pleading for support and, if a child is less than 6 months old, the State in which the child lived from birth with any of them. A period of temporary absence of any of them is counted as part of the 6-month period.

“child support” means a payment of money, continuing support, or arrearages or the provision of a benefit (including payment of health insurance, child care, and educational expenses) for the support of a child.

“child support order”--

(A) means a judgment, decree, or order of a court requiring the payment of child support in periodic amounts or in a lump sum; and

(B) includes--

(i) a permanent or temporary order; and

(ii) an initial order or a modification of an order.

“contestant” means--

(A) a person (including a parent) who--

(i) claims a right to receive child support;

(ii) is a party to a proceeding that may result in the issuance of a child support order; or

(iii) is under a child support order; and

(B) a State or political subdivision of a State to which the right to obtain child support has been assigned.

“court” means a court or administrative agency of a State that is authorized by State law to establish the amount of child support payable by a contestant or make a modification of a child support order.

“modification” means a change in a child support order that affects the amount, scope, or duration of the order and modifies, replaces, supersedes, or otherwise is made subsequent to the child support order.

“State” means a State of the United States, the District of Columbia, the Commonwealth of Puerto Rico, the territories and possessions of the United States, and Indian country (as defined in section 1151 of title 18).

(c) Requirements of child support orders.--A child support order made by a court of a State is made consistently with this section if--

(1) a court that makes the order, pursuant to the laws of the State in which the court is located and subsections (e), (f), and (g)--

(A) has subject matter jurisdiction to hear the matter and enter such an order; and

(B) has personal jurisdiction over the contestants; and

(2) reasonable notice and opportunity to be heard is given to the contestants.

(d) Continuing jurisdiction.--A court of a State that has made a child support order consistently with this section has continuing, exclusive jurisdiction over the order if the State is the child's State or the residence of any individual contestant unless the court of another State, acting in accordance with subsections (e) and (f), has made a modification of the order.

(e) Authority to modify orders.--A court of a State may modify a child support order issued by a court of another State if--

(1) the court has jurisdiction to make such a child support order pursuant to subsection (i); and

(2)(A) the court of the other State no longer has continuing, exclusive jurisdiction of the child support order because that State no longer is the child's State or the residence of any individual contestant; or

(B) each individual contestant has filed written consent with the State of continuing, exclusive jurisdiction for a court of another State to modify the order and assume continuing, exclusive jurisdiction over the order.

(f) Recognition of child support orders.--If 1 or more child support orders have been issued with regard to an obligor and a child, a court shall apply the following rules in determining which order to recognize for purposes of continuing, exclusive jurisdiction and enforcement:

(1) If only 1 court has issued a child support order, the order of that court must be recognized.

(2) If 2 or more courts have issued child support orders for the same obligor and child, and only 1 of the courts would have continuing, exclusive jurisdiction under this section, the order of that court must be recognized.

(3) If 2 or more courts have issued child support orders for the same obligor and child, and more than 1 of the courts would have continuing, exclusive jurisdiction under this section, an order issued by a court in the current home State of the child must be recognized, but if an order has not been issued in the current home State of the child, the order most recently issued must be recognized.

(4) If 2 or more courts have issued child support orders for the same obligor and child, and none of the courts would have continuing, exclusive jurisdiction under this section, a court having jurisdiction over the parties shall issue a child support order, which must be recognized.

(5) The court that has issued an order recognized under this subsection is the court having continuing, exclusive jurisdiction under subsection (d).

(g) Enforcement of modified orders.--A court of a State that no longer has continuing, exclusive jurisdiction of a child support order may enforce the order with respect to nonmodifiable obligations and unsatisfied obligations that accrued before the date on which a modification of the order is made under subsections (e) and (f).

(h) Choice of law.--

(1) In general.--In a proceeding to establish, modify, or enforce a child support order, the forum State's law shall apply except as provided in paragraphs (2) and (3).

(2) Law of State of issuance of order.--In interpreting a child support order including the duration of current payments and other obligations of support, a court shall apply the law of the State of the court that issued the order.

(3) Period of limitation.--In an action to enforce arrears under a child support order, a court shall apply the statute of limitation of the forum State or the State of the court that issued the order, whichever statute provides the longer period of limitation.

(i) Registration for modification.--If there is no individual contestant or child residing in the issuing State, the party or support enforcement agency seeking to modify, or to modify and enforce, a child support order issued in another State shall register that order in a State with jurisdiction over the nonmovant for the purpose of modification.

California State Laws Concerning Recognition and Enforcement of Tribal Court Orders

Under the Uniform Child Custody Jurisdiction and Enforcement Act:

Family Code § 3404. Native American children

(a) A child custody proceeding that pertains to an Indian child as defined in the Indian Child Welfare Act (25 U.S.C. Sec. 1901 et seq.) is not subject to this part to the extent that it is governed by the Indian Child Welfare Act.

(b) A court of this state shall treat a tribe as if it were a state of the United States for the purpose of applying this chapter and Chapter 2 (commencing with Section 3421).

(c) A child custody determination made by a tribe under factual circumstances in substantial conformity with the jurisdictional standards of this part must be recognized and enforced under Chapter 3 (commencing with Section 3441).

Under the Uniform Interstate Family Support Act:

Family Code § 4901

The following definitions apply to this chapter:

(s) “State” means a state of the United States, the District of Columbia, Puerto Rico, the United States Virgin Islands, or any territory or insular possession subject to the jurisdiction of the United States. The term “state” also includes both of the following:

- (1) An Indian tribe

Under the Uniform Interstate Enforcement of Domestic Violence Protection Orders:

Family Code § 6401

In this part:

(1) “Foreign protection order” means a protection order issued by a tribunal of another state.

(2) “Issuing state” means the state whose tribunal issues a protection order.

(3) “Mutual foreign protection order” means a foreign protection order that includes provisions in favor of both the protected individual seeking enforcement of the order and the respondent.

(4) “Protected individual” means an individual protected by a protection order.

(5) “Protection order” means an injunction or other order, issued by a tribunal under the domestic violence, family violence, or antistalking laws of the issuing state, to prevent an individual from engaging in violent or threatening acts against, harassment of, contact or communication with, or physical proximity to, another individual.

(6) “Respondent” means the individual against whom enforcement of a protection order is sought.

(7) “State” means a state of the United States, the District of Columbia, Puerto Rico, the United States Virgin Islands, or any territory or insular possession subject to the jurisdiction of the United States. The term includes an Indian tribe or band, or any branch of the United States military, that has jurisdiction to issue protection orders.

(8) “Tribunal” means a court, agency, or other entity authorized by law to issue or modify a protection order.

Under the Foreign Country Money Judgments Act:

Code of Civil Procedure § 1714. Definitions

As used in this chapter:

(a) “Foreign country” means a government other than any of the following:

(1) The United States.

(2) A state, district, commonwealth, territory, or insular possession of the United States.

(3) Any other government with regard to which the decision in this state as to whether to recognize a judgment of that government's courts is initially subject to determination under the Full Faith and Credit Clause of the United States Constitution.

(b) “Foreign-country judgment” means a judgment of a court of a foreign country. “Foreign-country judgment” includes a judgment by any Indian tribe recognized by the government of the United States.

Under the Interstate and International Depositions and Discovery Act

Code of Civil Procedure § 2029.200.

In this article:

(a) “Foreign jurisdiction” means either of the following:

(1) A state other than this state.

(2) A foreign nation.

(b) “Foreign subpoena” means a subpoena issued under authority of a court of record of a foreign jurisdiction.

(c) “Person” means an individual, corporation, business trust, estate, trust, partnership, limited liability company, association, joint venture, public corporation, government, or governmental subdivision, agency, or instrumentality, or any other legal or commercial entity.

(d) “State” means a state of the United States, the District of Columbia, Puerto Rico, the Virgin Islands, a federally recognized Indian tribe, or any territory or insular possession subject to the jurisdiction of the United States.

(e) “Subpoena” means a document, however denominated, issued under authority of a court of record requiring a person to do any of the following:

(1) Attend and give testimony at a deposition.

(2) Produce and permit inspection, copying, testing, or sampling of designated books, documents, records, electronically stored information, or tangible things in the possession, custody, or control of the person.

(3) Permit inspection of premises under the control of the person.

Indian Civil Rights Act

25 U.S.C. § 1301. Definitions

For purposes of this subchapter, the term--

- (1) "Indian tribe" means any tribe, band, or other group of Indians subject to the jurisdiction of the United States and recognized as possessing powers of self-government;
- (2) "powers of self-government" means and includes all governmental powers possessed by an Indian tribe, executive, legislative, and judicial, and all offices, bodies, and tribunals by and through which they are executed, including courts of Indian offenses; and means the inherent power of Indian tribes, hereby recognized and affirmed, to exercise criminal jurisdiction over all Indians;
- (3) "Indian court" means any Indian tribal court or court of Indian offense; and
- (4) "Indian" means any person who would be subject to the jurisdiction of the United States as an Indian under section 1153, Title 18, if that person were to commit an offense listed in that section in Indian country to which that section applies.

25 U.S.C. § 1302. Constitutional rights

(a) In general

No Indian tribe in exercising powers of self-government shall--

- (1) make or enforce any law prohibiting the free exercise of religion, or abridging the freedom of speech, or of the press, or the right of the people peaceably to assemble and to petition for a redress of grievances;
- (2) violate the right of the people to be secure in their persons, houses, papers, and effects against unreasonable search and seizures, nor issue warrants, but upon probable cause, supported by oath or affirmation, and particularly describing the place to be searched and the person or thing to be seized;
- (3) subject any person for the same offense to be twice put in jeopardy;
- (4) compel any person in any criminal case to be a witness against himself;
- (5) take any private property for a public use without just compensation;

(6) deny to any person in a criminal proceeding the right to a speedy and public trial, to be informed of the nature and cause of the accusation, to be confronted with the witnesses against him, to have compulsory process for obtaining witnesses in his favor, and at his own expense to have the assistance of counsel for his defense (except as provided in subsection (b));

(7)(A) require excessive bail, impose excessive fines, or inflict cruel and unusual punishments;

(B) except as provided in subparagraph (C), impose for conviction of any 1 offense any penalty or punishment greater than imprisonment for a term of 1 year or a fine of \$5,000, or both;

(C) subject to subsection (b), impose for conviction of any 1 offense any penalty or punishment greater than imprisonment for a term of 3 years or a fine of \$15,000, or both; or

(D) impose on a person in a criminal proceeding a total penalty or punishment greater than imprisonment for a term of 9 years;

(8) deny to any person within its jurisdiction the equal protection of its laws or deprive any person of liberty or property without due process of law;

(9) pass any bill of attainder or ex post facto law; or

(10) deny to any person accused of an offense punishable by imprisonment the right, upon request, to a trial by jury of not less than six persons.

(b) Offenses subject to greater than 1-year imprisonment or a fine greater than \$5,000

A tribal court may subject a defendant to a term of imprisonment greater than 1 year but not to exceed 3 years for any 1 offense, or a fine greater than \$5,000 but not to exceed \$15,000, or both, if the defendant is a person accused of a criminal offense who--

(1) has been previously convicted of the same or a comparable offense by any jurisdiction in the United States; or

(2) is being prosecuted for an offense comparable to an offense that would be punishable by more than 1 year of imprisonment if prosecuted by the United States or any of the States.

(c) Rights of defendants

In a criminal proceeding in which an Indian tribe, in exercising powers of self-

government, imposes a total term of imprisonment of more than 1 year on a defendant, the Indian tribe shall--

(1) provide to the defendant the right to effective assistance of counsel at least equal to that guaranteed by the United States Constitution; and

(2) at the expense of the tribal government, provide an indigent defendant the assistance of a defense attorney licensed to practice law by any jurisdiction in the United States that applies appropriate professional licensing standards and effectively ensures the competence and professional responsibility of its licensed attorneys;

(3) require that the judge presiding over the criminal proceeding--

(A) has sufficient legal training to preside over criminal proceedings; and

(B) is licensed to practice law by any jurisdiction in the United States;

(4) prior to charging the defendant, make publicly available the criminal laws (including regulations and interpretative documents), rules of evidence, and rules of criminal procedure (including rules governing the recusal of judges in appropriate circumstances) of the tribal government; and

(5) maintain a record of the criminal proceeding, including an audio or other recording of the trial proceeding.

(d) Sentences

In the case of a defendant sentenced in accordance with subsections (b) and (c), a tribal court may require the defendant--

(1) to serve the sentence--

(A) in a tribal correctional center that has been approved by the Bureau of Indian Affairs for long-term incarceration, in accordance with guidelines to be developed by the Bureau of Indian Affairs (in consultation with Indian tribes) not later than 180 days after July 29, 2010;

(B) in the nearest appropriate Federal facility, at the expense of the United States pursuant to the Bureau of Prisons tribal prisoner pilot program described in section 304(c) of the Tribal Law and Order Act of 2010;

(C) in a State or local government-approved detention or correctional center pursuant to an agreement between the Indian tribe and the State or local government; or

(D) in an alternative rehabilitation center of an Indian tribe; or

(2) to serve another alternative form of punishment, as determined by the tribal court judge pursuant to tribal law.

(e) Definition of offense

In this section, the term “offense” means a violation of a criminal law.

(f) Effect of section

Nothing in this section affects the obligation of the United States, or any State government that has been delegated authority by the United States, to investigate and prosecute any criminal violation in Indian country.

25 U.S.C. § 1303. Habeas corpus

The privilege of the writ of habeas corpus shall be available to any person, in a court of the United States, to test the legality of his detention by order of an Indian tribe.

Legislation Affecting Jurisdiction Over Domestic Violence Cases

25 U.S.C. § 1304. Tribal jurisdiction over crimes of domestic violence

(a) Definitions

In this section:

(1) Dating violence

The term “dating violence” means violence committed by a person who is or has been in a social relationship of a romantic or intimate nature with the victim, as determined by the length of the relationship, the type of relationship, and the frequency of interaction between the persons involved in the relationship.

(2) Domestic violence

The term “domestic violence” means violence committed by a current or former spouse or intimate partner of the victim, by a person with whom the victim shares a child in common, by a person who is cohabitating with or has cohabitated with the victim as a spouse or intimate partner, or by a person similarly situated to a spouse of the victim under the domestic- or family- violence laws of an Indian tribe that has jurisdiction over the Indian country where the violence occurs.

(3) Indian country

The term “Indian country” has the meaning given the term in section 1151 of Title 18.

(4) Participating tribe

The term “participating tribe” means an Indian tribe that elects to exercise special domestic violence criminal jurisdiction over the Indian country of that Indian tribe.

(5) Protection order

The term “protection order”--

(A) means any injunction, restraining order, or other order issued by a civil or criminal court for the purpose of preventing violent or threatening acts or harassment against, sexual violence against, contact or communication with, or physical proximity to, another person; and

(B) includes any temporary or final order issued by a civil or criminal court, whether obtained by filing an independent action or as a pendent lite order in another proceeding,

if the civil or criminal order was issued in response to a complaint, petition, or motion filed by or on behalf of a person seeking protection.

(6) Special domestic violence criminal jurisdiction

The term “special domestic violence criminal jurisdiction” means the criminal jurisdiction that a participating tribe may exercise under this section but could not otherwise exercise.

(7) Spouse or intimate partner

The term “spouse or intimate partner” has the meaning given the term in section 2266 of Title 18.

(b) Nature of the criminal jurisdiction

(1) In general

Notwithstanding any other provision of law, in addition to all powers of self-government recognized and affirmed by sections 1301 and 1303 of this title, the powers of self-government of a participating tribe include the inherent power of that tribe, which is hereby recognized and affirmed, to exercise special domestic violence criminal jurisdiction over all persons.

(2) Concurrent jurisdiction

The exercise of special domestic violence criminal jurisdiction by a participating tribe shall be concurrent with the jurisdiction of the United States, of a State, or of both.

(3) Applicability

Nothing in this section--

(A) creates or eliminates any Federal or State criminal jurisdiction over Indian country;
or

(B) affects the authority of the United States or any State government that has been delegated authority by the United States to investigate and prosecute a criminal violation in Indian country.

(4) Exceptions

(A) Victim and defendant are both non-Indians

(i) In general

A participating tribe may not exercise special domestic violence criminal jurisdiction over an alleged offense if neither the defendant nor the alleged victim is an Indian.

(ii) Definition of victim

In this subparagraph and with respect to a criminal proceeding in which a participating tribe exercises special domestic violence criminal jurisdiction based on a violation of a protection order, the term “victim” means a person specifically protected by a protection order that the defendant allegedly violated.

(B) Defendant lacks ties to the Indian tribe

A participating tribe may exercise special domestic violence criminal jurisdiction over a defendant only if the defendant--

- (i) resides in the Indian country of the participating tribe;
- (ii) is employed in the Indian country of the participating tribe; or
- (iii) is a spouse, intimate partner, or dating partner of--
 - (I) a member of the participating tribe; or
 - (II) an Indian who resides in the Indian country of the participating tribe.

(c) Criminal conduct

A participating tribe may exercise special domestic violence criminal jurisdiction over a defendant for criminal conduct that falls into one or more of the following categories:

(1) Domestic violence and dating violence

An act of domestic violence or dating violence that occurs in the Indian country of the participating tribe.

(2) Violations of protection orders

An act that--

- (A) occurs in the Indian country of the participating tribe; and
- (B) violates the portion of a protection order that--

(i) prohibits or provides protection against violent or threatening acts or harassment against, sexual violence against, contact or communication with, or physical proximity to, another person;

(ii) was issued against the defendant;

(iii) is enforceable by the participating tribe; and

(iv) is consistent with section 2265(b) of Title 18.

(d) Rights of defendants

In a criminal proceeding in which a participating tribe exercises special domestic violence criminal jurisdiction, the participating tribe shall provide to the defendant--

(1) all applicable rights under this Act;

(2) if a term of imprisonment of any length may be imposed, all rights described in section 1302(c) of this title;

(3) the right to a trial by an impartial jury that is drawn from sources that--

(A) reflect a fair cross section of the community; and

(B) do not systematically exclude any distinctive group in the community, including non-Indians; and

(4) all other rights whose protection is necessary under the Constitution of the United States in order for Congress to recognize and affirm the inherent power of the participating tribe to exercise special domestic violence criminal jurisdiction over the defendant.

(e) Petitions to stay detention

(1) In general

A person who has filed a petition for a writ of habeas corpus in a court of the United States under section 1303 of this title may petition that court to stay further detention of that person by the participating tribe.

(2) Grant of stay

A court shall grant a stay described in paragraph (1) if the court--

(A) finds that there is a substantial likelihood that the habeas corpus petition will be granted; and

(B) after giving each alleged victim in the matter an opportunity to be heard, finds by clear and convincing evidence that under conditions imposed by the court, the petitioner is not likely to flee or pose a danger to any person or the community if released.

(3) Notice

An Indian tribe that has ordered the detention of any person has a duty to timely notify such person of his rights and privileges under this subsection and under section 1303 of this title.

(f) Grants to tribal governments

The Attorney General may award grants to the governments of Indian tribes (or to authorized designees of those governments)--

(1) to strengthen tribal criminal justice systems to assist Indian tribes in exercising special domestic violence criminal jurisdiction, including--

(A) law enforcement (including the capacity of law enforcement or court personnel to enter information into and obtain information from national crime information databases);

(B) prosecution;

(C) trial and appellate courts;

(D) probation systems;

(E) detention and correctional facilities;

(F) alternative rehabilitation centers;

(G) culturally appropriate services and assistance for victims and their families; and

(H) criminal codes and rules of criminal procedure, appellate procedure, and evidence;

(2) to provide indigent criminal defendants with the effective assistance of licensed defense counsel, at no cost to the defendant, in criminal proceedings in which a participating tribe prosecutes a crime of domestic violence or dating violence or a criminal violation of a protection order;

(3) to ensure that, in criminal proceedings in which a participating tribe exercises special domestic violence criminal jurisdiction, jurors are summoned, selected, and instructed in a manner consistent with all applicable requirements; and

(4) to accord victims of domestic violence, dating violence, and violations of protection orders rights that are similar to the rights of a crime victim described in section 3771(a) of Title 18, consistent with tribal law and custom.

(g) Supplement, not supplant

Amounts made available under this section shall supplement and not supplant any other Federal, State, tribal, or local government amounts made available to carry out activities described in this section.

(h) Authorization of appropriations

There are authorized to be appropriated \$5,000,000 for each of fiscal years 2014 through 2018 to carry out subsection (f) and to provide training, technical assistance, data collection, and evaluation of the criminal justice systems of participating tribes.

18 U.S.C. § 2261. Interstate domestic violence

(a) Offenses.--

(1) Travel or conduct of offender.--A person who travels in interstate or foreign commerce or enters or leaves Indian country or is present within the special maritime and territorial jurisdiction of the United States with the intent to kill, injure, harass, or intimidate a spouse, intimate partner, or dating partner, and who, in the course of or as a result of such travel or presence, commits or attempts to commit a crime of violence against that spouse, intimate partner, or dating partner, shall be punished as provided in subsection (b).

(2) Causing travel of victim.--A person who causes a spouse, intimate partner, or dating partner to travel in interstate or foreign commerce or to enter or leave Indian country by force, coercion, duress, or fraud, and who, in the course of, as a result of, or to facilitate such conduct or travel, commits or attempts to commit a crime of violence against that spouse, intimate partner, or dating partner, shall be punished as provided in subsection (b).

(b) Penalties.--A person who violates this section or section 2261A shall be fined under this title, imprisoned--

(1) for life or any term of years, if death of the victim results;

(2) for not more than 20 years if permanent disfigurement or life threatening bodily injury to the victim results;

(3) for not more than 10 years, if serious bodily injury to the victim results or if the offender uses a dangerous weapon during the offense;

(4) as provided for the applicable conduct under chapter 109A if the offense would constitute an offense under chapter 109A (without regard to whether the offense was committed in the special maritime and territorial jurisdiction of the United States or in a Federal prison); and

(5) for not more than 5 years, in any other case,

(6) Whoever commits the crime of stalking in violation of a temporary or permanent civil or criminal injunction, restraining order, no-contact order, or other order described in section 2266 of title 18, United States Code, shall be punished by imprisonment for not less than 1 year.

or both fined and imprisoned.

18 U.S.C. § 2261A. Stalking

Whoever--

(1) travels in interstate or foreign commerce or is present within the special maritime and territorial jurisdiction of the United States, or enters or leaves Indian country, with the intent to kill, injure, harass, intimidate, or place under surveillance with intent to kill, injure, harass, or intimidate another person, and in the course of, or as a result of, such travel or presence engages in conduct that--

(A) places that person in reasonable fear of the death of, or serious bodily injury to--

(i) that person;

(ii) an immediate family member (as defined in section 115) of that person; or

(iii) a spouse or intimate partner of that person; or

(B) causes, attempts to cause, or would be reasonably expected to cause substantial emotional distress to a person described in clause (i), (ii), or (iii) of subparagraph (A); or

(2) with the intent to kill, injure, harass, intimidate, or place under surveillance with intent to kill, injure, harass, or intimidate another person, uses the mail, any interactive computer service or electronic communication service or electronic communication system of interstate commerce, or any other facility of interstate or foreign commerce to engage in a course of conduct that--

(A) places that person in reasonable fear of the death of or serious bodily injury to a person described in clause (i), (ii), or (iii) of paragraph (1)(A); or

(B) causes, attempts to cause, or would be reasonably expected to cause substantial emotional distress to a person described in clause (i), (ii), or (iii) of paragraph (1)(A),

shall be punished as provided in section 2261(b) of this title.

18 U.S.C. § 2262. Interstate violation of protection order

(a) Offenses.--

(1) Travel or conduct of offender.--A person who travels in interstate or foreign commerce, or enters or leaves Indian country or is present within the special maritime and territorial jurisdiction of the United States, with the intent to engage in conduct that violates the portion of a protection order that prohibits or provides protection against violence, threats, or harassment against, contact or communication with, or physical proximity to, another person, or that would violate such a portion of a protection order in the jurisdiction in which the order was issued, and subsequently engages in such conduct, shall be punished as provided in subsection (b).

(2) Causing travel of victim.--A person who causes another person to travel in interstate or foreign commerce or to enter or leave Indian country by force, coercion, duress, or fraud, and in the course of, as a result of, or to facilitate such conduct or travel engages in conduct that violates the portion of a protection order that prohibits or provides protection against violence, threats, or harassment against, contact or communication with, or physical proximity to, another person, or that would violate such a portion of a protection order in the jurisdiction in which the order was issued, shall be punished as provided in subsection (b).

(b) Penalties.--A person who violates this section shall be fined under this title, imprisoned--

(1) for life or any term of years, if death of the victim results;

(2) for not more than 20 years if permanent disfigurement or life threatening bodily injury to the victim results;

(3) for not more than 10 years, if serious bodily injury to the victim results or if the offender uses a dangerous weapon during the offense;

(4) as provided for the applicable conduct under chapter 109A if the offense would constitute an offense under chapter 109A (without regard to whether the offense was committed in the special maritime and territorial jurisdiction of the United States or in a Federal prison); and

(5) for not more than 5 years, in any other case,

or both fined and imprisoned.

18 U.S.C. § 922. Unlawful acts

(g) It shall be unlawful for any person—

(8) who is subject to a court order that--

(A) was issued after a hearing of which such person received actual notice, and at which such person had an opportunity to participate;

(B) restrains such person from harassing, stalking, or threatening an intimate partner of such person or child of such intimate partner or person, or engaging in other conduct that would place an intimate partner in reasonable fear of bodily injury to the partner or child; and

(C)(i) includes a finding that such person represents a credible threat to the physical safety of such intimate partner or child; or

(ii) by its terms explicitly prohibits the use, attempted use, or threatened use of physical force against such intimate partner or child that would reasonably be expected to cause bodily injury; or

(9) who has been convicted in any court of a misdemeanor crime of domestic violence,

to ship or transport in interstate or foreign commerce, or possess in or affecting commerce, any firearm or ammunition; or to receive any firearm or ammunition which has been shipped or transported in interstate or foreign commerce.

CALIFORNIA TRIBAL COURT–STATE COURT FORUM

June 2012

Native American Research Series: Tribal Justice Systems

Introduction

The Tribal/State Programs of the Judicial Council’s Center for Families, Children & the Courts has developed a series of informational abstracts that bring together the available data from various sources on American Indians and Alaskan Natives (AI/AN) nationally, statewide, and tribally specific to California’s AI/AN population. The purpose of these abstracts is to develop and disseminate justice-related information and links to reports to ensure the highest quality of justice and service for California’s AI/AN population. This information is intended for the state judicial branch, tribal justice systems, tribal organizations, state agencies, and local agencies to support effective collaboration and tribal justice development.

Preface

This report will provide a general overview of tribal justice systems in tribes. The majority of California tribes still rely on local courts and law enforcement. However, the past 10 years has seen remarkable growth in both the number of tribal justice agencies, and the services offered.

We would like to extend special thanks to Bill Denke, Chief of the Sycuan Police Department and Chair of the California Tribal Police Chief’s Association, for providing current information on tribal law enforcement agencies in California.

Jurisdictional Issues

As sovereigns, tribes have legal jurisdiction over both their citizens and their lands. According to most recent census data, California is home to more people of Native American/Alaska Native heritage than any other state in the country. There are currently 109 federally recognized Indian tribes in California and 78 entities petitioning for recognition. Tribes in California currently have nearly 100 separate reservations or rancherias. There are also a number of individual Indian trust allotments. These lands constitute “Indian Country,” and a different jurisdictional scheme applies in Indian Country. For Indians and Indian Country there are special rules that govern state and local jurisdiction. There may also be federal and tribal laws that apply.

Please see <http://www.courts.ca.gov/8710.htm> and <http://www.tribal-institute.org/lists/pl280.htm> for more information on jurisdiction in Indian Country.

Tribal Justice Agencies

Law Enforcement

Law enforcement on tribal lands has historically been, and remains, a challenging task for tribal communities. According to the National Congress of American Indians (NCAI):¹

- Police in Indian Country function within a complicated jurisdictional net, answer to multiple authorities, operate with limited resources, and patrol some of the most desolate of territory, often without assistance from partner law enforcement agencies.
- There are only 2,380 Bureau of Indian Affairs and tribal uniformed officers available to serve an estimated 1.4 million Indians covering over 56 million acres of tribal lands in the lower 48 states.
- On tribal lands, 1.3 officers must serve every 1,000 citizens, compared to 2.9 officers per 1,000 citizens in non-Indian communities with populations under 10,000.
- A total of at least 4,290 sworn officers are needed in Indian Country to provide the minimum level of coverage enjoyed by most communities in the United States.
- These departments rarely have more than one officer on duty at any time, and their officers often work without adequate backup.

Law enforcement jurisdiction varies by the location of the offense (on or off reservation land), the status of the parties (the race/ethnicity of the victim and offender), and the nature of the crime (major crime or misdemeanor). In California, a P.L. 280 State, officers who have jurisdiction on reservations include the following:

Tribal Security Officers

These officers are employed by tribes and have security duties on the reservation. They often are given jurisdiction by the tribal government to enforce tribal law and order codes violated by tribal members, and may be granted arrest powers over tribal members and Indians on the reservation only. They have arrest powers only in the capacity of a private citizen.

Tribal Police Officers

These officers are also employed by individual tribal governments and have tribal authorized police and arrest powers over tribal members committing violations of tribal law and order codes committed on reservation property. Currently, most tribal governments require at a minimum, graduation from a formal law enforcement academy.

Federally Deputized Police Officers

These include Bureau of Indian Affairs (BIA) Special Deputy Officers and Tribal Officers Holding Special Law Enforcement Commissions (SLECs). SLEC officers are a hybrid tribal/federal officer, paid by the individual tribal government, but deputized by the BIA as federal law enforcement officers with the same authority as BIA police officers. These officers are federally empowered to enforce

¹ http://tloa.ncai.org/documentlibrary/2011/08/Talking_Circles_Report_Final_Jul11.pdf (as of 6/14/12)

federal laws on and off reservation if a nexus to the reservation exists. These officers may enforce federal laws, and arrest non-Indians for violations of federal laws. In addition, these federal officers may enforce observed violations of federal laws while off the reservation, and conduct investigations off the reservation.

A comparison of data collected for the 2002 Census of Tribal Justice Agencies² and more current information obtained from California Tribal Police Chief's Association shows a pattern of growth in tribal law enforcement across the state.

- In 2002, 20 Tribes (23 percent of California tribes, compared to 53% percent nationally) reported having a Tribal law enforcement agency. In 2012, this has grown to 39 tribes (about 37 percent of California tribes). The remaining tribes rely on some combination of state/local law enforcement.³
- In 2002, 10 agencies employed sworn officers; of these, 5 had a cross-deputization agreement with either the BIA (4) or “neighboring non-tribal authorities” (1). By 2012, this had grown to 17 agencies with sworn officers⁴.
- The number of agencies which operate through a PL 93-638 or self-governance contract (6) has been stable from 2002 to 2012.
- Six tribal agencies had arrest authority over non-Indians in 2002. This has risen to 17 agencies in 2012.

We do not have data that allow us to compare current California figures with tribes outside of California, but data from the 2002 census shows that California tribes rely more heavily on local law enforcement than non-California tribes (see Table 1). This is in part due to California’s status as a “PL-280” state, which cedes Federal law enforcement authority in Indian Country to some states⁵.

² Steven W. Perry, Bureau of Justice Statistics, Census of Tribal Justice Agencies in Indian Country, 2002 (NCJ 205332,) Dec. 2005. <http://bjs.ojp.usdoj.gov/index.cfm?ty=pbdetail&iid=543> (as of 9/19/2011). Unless otherwise noted, the data presented in this section are drawn from independent analysis of this survey.

³ Id.

⁴ Four additional tribes are in the process of establishing law enforcement agencies.

⁵ The implications of PL-280 are extremely complex. Please refer to the Tribal Court Clearinghouse web pages (<http://www.tribal-institute.org/lists/pl280.htm>, as of 3/27/12) for further discussion and references.

Table 1
Tribal Law Enforcement Functions – 2002⁶

Which of the following provide law enforcement functions for your tribe?

| | California | Non-California |
|-----------------------------|------------|----------------|
| Sworn officers | 11% | 69% |
| BIA | 7% | 39% |
| State | 19% | 32% |
| Local | 90% | 37% |
| Tribal Law Enforcement | 21% | 68% |
| Traditional Law Enforcement | 3% | 7% |
| Game/Fish Wardens | 7% | 21% |

Categories not listed are Village Police/Public Safety, Housing Authority, Casino Security, and "Other". Respondents could select more than one category.

- Among all reporting California tribes, 92 percent refer juvenile cases to county authorities, compared to 55 percent of non-California tribes. Eleven percent of California tribes referred juvenile cases to tribal authorities, compared to 56 percent of non-California tribes (see Table 2).

Table 2
Juvenile Justice – 2002

For Juvenile offenses committed on your tribal land, to which justice authorities may cases be referred?

| | California | Non-California |
|-----------------------------|------------|----------------|
| Tribal justice authorities | 11% | 56% |
| County justice authorities | 92% | 55% |
| State justice authorities | 10% | 21% |
| Federal justice authorities | 3% | 24% |

Respondents could select more than one category.

- Five tribal agencies in California operated a detention facility of some sort. Most (85 percent) relay largely on county facilities for all or some of their detention functions.
- Eighty-five percent of California tribal agencies, including all agencies employing sworn officers, recorded the number and types of crime incidents manually and/or electronically. Three tribes shared statistics with local or state agencies, and six shared statistics with federal agencies (FBI, BIA, or both).

Access to Criminal History/Justice Statistics

- Seventy-five percent of California tribes recorded crime incidents on the reservation manually and/or electronically.

⁶ Steven W. Perry, Bureau of Justice Statistics, Census of Tribal Justice Agencies in Indian Country, 2002 (NCJ 205332,) Dec. 2005. <http://bjs.ojp.usdoj.gov/index.cfm?ty=pbdetail&iid=543> (as of 9/19/2011)

- Over half of the tribes had access to the National Criminal Information Center (NCIC).
- An estimated 54 tribes submitted information on tribal sex offenders to the National Sex Offender Registry (NSOR).
- Less than 12 percent of the tribes reported their justice agencies were electronically networked with other justice agencies on or off the reservation.
- Fourteen tribes routinely shared crime statistics with neighboring local governments, the State, or the FBI.
- Tribal law enforcement officers do not have access to the California Law Enforcement Telecommunication System (CLETS) unless they gain access through the National Law Enforcement Telecommunication System (NLETS).
- Tribal law enforcement officers have access to NLETS if they are Special Law Enforcement Commissions (SLEC) officers.⁷ At this time, 7 California agencies have SLEC officers⁸.
- California tribes have access to the California Courts Protective Order Registry (CCPOR).

Tribal Courts⁹

What is a Tribal Court?

Tribal courts are formalized systems established by American Indian and Alaska Native tribes for resolving civil, criminal and other legal matters. There is a great deal of variation in the types of tribal courts and how they apply tribal laws. Some tribal courts resemble Western-style courts in that written laws and court procedures are applied. Others use traditional Native means of resolving disputes, such as peacemaking, elders' councils, and sentencing circles. Some tribes have both types of courts.

There are also a small number of Courts of Indian Offenses. These are courts (also known as “CFR courts”) established by the Bureau of Indian Affairs for the benefit of tribes who do not operate their own tribal court.

⁷ Authority for the issuance of Special Law Enforcement Commissions is based upon Title 25, United States Code, Section 2804 (Pub. L. 101-379), 25 C.F.R. Part 12), and the Tribal Law and Order Act (Pub. L. 111-211). Under the Tribal Law and Order Act (TLOA) tribal agencies do have access to the National Law Enforcement Telecommunications System (NLETS).

⁸ An additional 4 tribal law enforcement departments are in the process of obtaining SLECs.

⁹ Steven W. Perry, Bureau of Justice Statistics, *Census of Tribal Justice Agencies in Indian Country, 2002* (NCJ 205332, Dec. 2005).

Table 3
Tribal Justice Systems - 2002

| | California N=89 | Non-California N=225 |
|-----------------------------------|--------------------|-------------------------|
| Any Tribal Court System | 9 (10%) | 180 (80%) |
| <i>Tribal courts</i> | 9 | 167 |
| <i>Appellate courts</i> | 4 | 99 |
| <i>Circuit rider system</i> | 0 | 2 |
| <i>Traditional Methods/Forums</i> | 2 | 37 |
| <i>Inter-tribal court system</i> | 1 | 14 |
| <i>Other</i> | 1 | 16 |

- In 2002, 9 tribes¹⁰ of 89 participating California tribes (10 percent) reported having a tribal court, compared to 180 of 225 reporting (59 percent) of non-California tribes. About 84% of California’s reporting tribes relied solely on state courts for services.
- In 2012, 39 tribes of 109 federally recognized California tribes (36 percent) either have a tribal court or access to a tribal court through an inter-tribal court coalition.
 - The Intertribal Court of Northern California (ICNC) serves 7 tribes.
 - The Intertribal Court of Southern California (ICSC) serves 12 tribes.
 - The Northern California Intertribal Court System (NCICS) serves 4 tribes.
- Most of these courts heard civil cases (7) and juvenile/family law cases (6). About half (4) heard domestic violence protective orders.
- Four of the tribal courts offered some kind of intermediate sanctions for adult offenders (e.g., drug/alcohol treatment, fines/restitution, counseling).
- Six tribes offered similar intermediate sanctions for juvenile offenders.
- None of the tribes maintained a probation function in 2002.
- The responding tribal courts report staffing levels of one to nine full time staff.

¹⁰ The Colorado River Indian Tribe did not participate, but it has been independently confirmed that they operated a tribal court at that time so they are included.

The number of tribal courts in California has more than doubled since the 2002 survey—from 9 to 22¹¹. The number of tribes with access to a tribal court increases to 39 when the Intertribal Court of Northern California (ICNC), representing 7 tribes, the Intertribal Court of Southern California (ICSC), representing 12 tribes, and the Northern California Intertribal Court System (NCICS), are included. Additional tribes make use of these consortia on a more limited or contract basis (see Figure 1).

Tribal courts in California currently hear more than 30 types of cases (see Table 5).




Table 5: Case types heard by California tribal courts¹²

| | | |
|--|--|--|
| <p><u>Civil/Probate</u> Civil complaints for monetary damages/Small claims Civil disputes Conservator issues Contract disputes Dog/Animal control Evictions/land disputes/possession of tribal lands Game fish and wildlife management Housing matters (unlawful detainer) Name & birth certificate changes Probate</p> | <p><u>Administrative</u> Building codes Elections Employment Enrollment Administrative procedures matters Appeals from tribal ordinances</p> <p><u>Criminal</u> Criminal offenses Environmental offenses Peace/security code violations Nuisance Torts Traffic Trespass</p> | <p><u>Family Law</u> Dissolution of marriage Domestic relations Domestic violence restraining orders Protection/Restraining orders</p> <p><u>Juvenile</u> Juvenile delinquency Juvenile wellness court Truancy Child abuse and neglect guardianships</p> |
|--|--|--|

¹¹ To locate a Tribal Court in California, use the AOC Tribal Court Directory (<http://www.courts.ca.gov/14400.htm>). For a map of these courts, go to <http://g.co/maps/cvdq8>

¹² The rules and procedures of each court will vary, and an individual court may not hear all of these types of cases.

The Tribal Law and Order Act of 2010 (TLOA)¹³

In recent years, the most significant development in tribal justice has been the creation of the Tribal Law and Order Act of 2010. A comprehensive description of this act and the programs and policies issuing from it is well beyond the scope of this discussion, but it would be incomplete without at least mentioning some of the major provisions contained in the TLOA.

- The TLOA requires greater accountability and coordination between federal and tribal justice authorities, for example, the filing of annual disposition reports by federal prosecutors. It also establishes the Office of Tribal Justice within the Department of Justice, providing a point of contact with tribal agencies to advise and provide technical assistance.
- It allows tribal authorities to impose increased penalties under certain circumstances (up to 3 years imprisonment and fines of \$15,000 per offense).
- Tribes in PL 280 states are now allowed to petition the Attorney General to re-assert federal jurisdiction in tribal areas. This is additional to state authority, not a replacement of it. A separate, but related provision makes it possible for tribal law enforcement and prosecutors to obtain commissions granting limited federal authority.
- The TLOA authorizes funding and grant opportunities across most areas of tribal justice, including support and training for data collection, data sharing, and reporting.

Because it is fairly recent legislation (signed into law on July 29, 2010) the immediate impact of the TLOA is only now being felt, and any long-term benefits will take some time to be realized.

¹³ The full text of the TLOA is available at:

<http://www.justice.gov/usao/az/IndianCountry/Tribal%20Law%20%20Order%20Act%202010.pdf>

**Judicial Council of California
Administrative Office of the Courts**

Hon. Tani G. Cantil-Sakauye
*Chief Justice of California
and Chair of the Judicial Council*

Steven Jahr
Administrative Director of the Courts

Jody Patel
Chief of Staff

Diane Nunn
Director, Center for Families, Children & the Courts

Charlene Depner
*Assistant Director, Center for Families, Children & the
Courts*

Production of This Report

Ann Gilmour
Attorney

Deana Farole
Supervising Research Analyst

Jennifer Walter
Supervising Attorney

Don Will
Manager

Alma Balmes
Administrative Coordinator

Jay Fraser
Research Analyst

CFCC generates and distributes research-based information that has promise for informing the work of the courts in California and nationwide. To learn more about its work and to see more *Research Updates*, visit www.courts.ca.gov

455 Golden Gate Avenue, Sixth Floor
San Francisco, California 94102-3688
E-mail: cfcc@jud.ca.gov

The views in this research update are those of the author and do not necessarily represent the official positions or policies of the Judicial Council of California.

The staff names listed above have been updated as of October 2013; otherwise the content of this research update remains unchanged.

This project sets aside funds to provide local educational and technical assistance to tribal and state courts on issues relating to domestic violence.

What is the extent of the problem of domestic violence?

Domestic violence is a particularly troubling issue in Native American communities.

- ◆ 39% of American Indian women report some form of intimate partner violence in their lifetimes, higher than the rate reported by any other race or ethnic group.
- ◆ American Indian victims of intimate and family violence are more likely than victims of other racial groups to be seriously injured and require hospital care.
- ◆ Among American Indian victims of violence, 75% of intimate victimizations and 25% of family victimizations involve an offender of a different race.

For detailed statistics and citations, www.courts.ca.gov/documents/Tribal-NAmericanStatsAbstract.pdf.

What type of local educational assistance is offered?

- ◆ *Faculty*- Identify faculty or pay for travel or other faculty costs.
- ◆ *Facilitator* - Obtain a facilitator for a training or meeting, which brings together tribal and non-tribal representatives.
- ◆ *Educational Materials*- Gather, copy, or develop educational materials.
- ◆ *Educational Curriculum*- Use or tailor our curriculum (i.e., P.L. 280, tribal advocates, Comings and Goings etc.).
- ◆ *Train-the-Trainers*- Train local experts.
- ◆ *Educational Training or Workshop*-Develop a program—brown bag, workshop, or full-day training.

- ◆ *Judge-to-Judge or Court-to-Court*- Structured opportunities for connecting tribal and state court judges or court administrators so that they can learn from each other (e.g., court observations, participation in justice system meetings, sharing information on court operations and procedures).
- ◆ *Cross-Court Educational Exchange*- Convene an educational exchange to learn about each other's courts, share resources, identify local court concerns, and implement local and statewide solutions.
- ◆ *Coordinated Court-Community Responses*
- ◆ Assistance with tribal/state/county engagement (e.g., help with engaging participation at a domestic violence coordinating council, task force, or other system meeting).

What type of technical assistance is available to support tribal capacity-building?

- ◆ *Judicial Council Forms*-Accessing state judicial branch forms so that they may be used as a basis for creating tribal court forms.
- ◆ *California Courts Protective Order Registry*-Accessing this registry and receiving training on how to use it. Through this dedicated online database, state courts and tribal courts can view each other's protective orders. The courts that have access are better able to protect the public, particularly victims of domestic violence, and avoid issuing redundant or conflicting orders. Learn more at www.courts.ca.gov/15574.htm.
- ◆ *Registering Tribal Protective Orders*- Assistance developing a local protocol or rule to implement California Rules of Court, rule 5.386, which requires state courts, at the

request of a tribal court, to adopt a written procedure or local rule permitting the fax or electronic filing of any tribal court protective order that is entitled to be registered under Family Code section 6404. Learn more about the new rule at

www.courts.ca.gov/documents/SPR11-53.pdf.

- ◆ *On-Line Resources*
Court Extranet: This website contains information relevant to all levels of judicial branch personnel and includes resources designed to meet education, facilities, financial, human resources, legal, special court projects, technology, and other informational needs. It also offers both current news and archived resources.
CJER Online: This website contains educational and other resources for state court judges and tribal court judges. It offers a calendar listing judicial institutes.
Dependency Online Guide This website contains dependency-related case law, legal materials, articles and other resources.
- ◆ *Attendance at Judicial Institutes*- All state judicial branch educational programs are open to tribal court judges and offer continuing legal educational credit. There may be limited funding for scholarships to pay for travel expenses.
- ◆ *Security*- Consultation on court security.
- ◆ *Human Resources*- Consultation on court human resource questions.
- ◆ *Letters of Support for Domestic Violence Grant Applications.*

What if I do not see the type of local educational or technical assistance my court needs?

- ◆ *Any assistance focusing on tribal-state-county collaboration*- At the request of judges, Tribal/State Project staff will tailor an educational event to meet local educational needs or provide technical

assistance in response to locally identified and targeted needs.

How to learn about local tribal courts and state courts

To learn if there's a tribal court in your county, please visit the California Tribal Courts Directory (www.courts.ca.gov/14400.htm) or the tribal jurisdictions map (<http://g.co/maps/cvdq8>).

To learn about the local state court in your county, please visit Find My Court <http://www.courts.ca.gov/find-my-court.htm>.

What steps can judges take to improve safety for Native victims?

- ◆ Directly communicate with each other and identify issues of mutual concern.
- ◆ Invite each other to observe court proceedings.
- ◆ Invite each other to participate in justice system meetings or work with each other's justice partners.
- ◆ Learn about each other's courts and procedures.
- ◆ Jointly conduct local or regional trainings.
- ◆ Understand the unique historical trauma responses of Native Americans.

Where can I find more information?

The Tribal/State Programs Unit of the Judicial Council's Center for Families, Children & the Courts, assists the state judicial branch with the development of policies, positions, and programs to promote the highest quality of justice and service for California's Native American communities in all case types. The unit also implements tribal-state programs that improve the administration of justice in all proceedings in which the authority to exercise jurisdiction by the state judicial branch and the tribal justice systems overlaps. To learn more about the Tribal/State Programs Unit or for assistance, call Ann Gilmour at 415-865-4207 or visit www.courts.ca.gov/programs-tribal.htm.

This project is supported with funds from the Office on Violence Against Women, U.S. Department of Justice that are administered through the Governor's Office of Emergency Services (Cal OES).

www.courts.ca.gov/programs-tribal.htm

S.T.E.P.S. to Justice-Domestic Violence

State/Tribal Education, Partnerships, and Services—Information for Tribal Court and State Court Judges

June 2017



Printed on recycled and recyclable paper.

Copyright © 2017 Judicial Council of California
All rights reserved.



JUDICIAL COUNCIL
OF CALIFORNIA
OPERATIONS AND PROGRAMS DIVISION